THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REVIEW OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.'S DISTRIBUTION STORM RIDER.

CASE NO. 21-165-EL-RDR

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC., FOR APPROVAL OF TARIFF AMENDMENTS.

CASE NO. 21-166-EL-ATA

FINDING AND ORDER

Entered in the Journal on September 23, 2021

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission approves Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s application for recovery associated with the Distribution Storm Rider, subject to modifications.

II. DISCUSSION

- $\{\P\ 2\}$ Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke) is an electric distribution utility (EDU) as defined by R.C. 4928.01(A)(6) and a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- {¶ 3} R.C. 4928.141 provides that an EDU shall provide consumers within its certified territory a standard service offer (SSO) of all competitive retail electric services necessary to maintain essential electric services to customers, including a firm supply of electric generation services. The SSO may be either a market rate offer in accordance with R.C. 4928.142 or an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with R.C. 4928.143.
- {¶ 4} On December 19, 2018, the Commission approved a stipulation and recommendation filed by Duke and other parties that, among other things, included an ESP for the period June 1, 2018, through May 31, 2024. *In re Duke Energy Ohio*, Case No. 17-1263-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Dec. 19, 2018). In the Opinion and Order, the Commission continued Duke's Distribution Storm Rider (Rider DSR). In accordance with the stipulation, Rider DSR tracks annual incremental major storm expenses, as compared to the amount recovered in base rates. Duke is required to file an annual adjustment to recover

21-165-EL-RDR, et al.

or refund the accumulated balance of the deferred storm cost deferral as of December 31, 2018.

- {¶ 5} On March 31, 2021, Duke filed an application regarding 2020 storm-related restoration costs. Duke explains that Rider DSR is a nonbypassable rider that is designed to either refund or collect amounts as compared to that which is recovered in base rates. Duke states that \$4.3 million is included in base rates for Rider DSR and that the Company had \$1,684,350 in Rider DSR expenses in 2020. Thus, Duke asserts that it seeks to refund \$1,712,175, on a fixed monthly basis of \$0.10 per residential customer.
- [¶6] Staff filed its review and recommendation on September 1, 2021, and recommends an adjustment of \$1,143.56. Staff asserts that it investigated whether Duke's application was reasonable and whether the application complied with sound ratemaking principles. Staff states that, generally, Duke appropriately included all major-storm related expenses. Staff recommends that a total of \$618.95 related to meal expenses be disallowed, specifically for a meal purchased outside of the storm territory, for meals in which no receipts were provided, and for excessive meal delivery and service fees. Staff also recommends that a total of \$312.33 be disallowed for an employee expense that fell outside of the storm dates. Further, Staff recommends disallowing a total of \$212.28 related to personal vehicles, specifically for an expense in which no invoice/receipt was provided and for an expense where Staff could not verify the business purpose of travel, as the document submitted was redacted. After considering the \$4.3 million already recovered in base rates for storm restoration, Staff's recommended revenue requirement is a refund of \$1,713,318.44.
- {¶ 7} Duke filed a letter on September 10, 2021, stating that it does not contest Staff's recommendations.
- {¶ 8} Upon review, the Commission finds that Duke's application for recovery does not appear to be unjust or unreasonable and should be approved, subject to Staff's

recommendations. Based on Duke's September 10, 2021 communication, no hearing in the matter is necessary.

III. ORDER

- $\{\P 9\}$ It is, therefore,
- \P 10} ORDERED, That Duke's application for recovery be approved, subject to Staff's recommended modifications. It is, further,
- {¶ 11} ORDERED, That Duke be authorized to file in final form complete copies of the tariff pages consistent with this Finding and Order and to cancel and withdraw its superseded tariff pages. Duke shall file one copy in its TRF docket and one copy in this docket. It is, further,
- \P 12} ORDERED, That the effective date of the new tariffs shall be a date not earlier than the date upon which the final tariffs are filed with the Commission. It is, further,
- {¶ 13} ORDERED, That Duke shall notify all affected customers via a bill message or via a bill insert within 30 days of the effective date of the tariffs. A copy of the customer notice shall be submitted to the Commission's Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department, Reliability and Service Analysis Division, at least 10 days prior to its distribution to customers. It is, further,
- {¶ 14} ORDERED, That nothing in this Finding and Order shall be binding upon this Commission in any future proceeding or investigation involving the justness or reasonableness of any rate, charge, rule or regulation. It is further,

 \P 15} ORDERED, That a copy of this Finding and Order be served upon all parties of record.

COMMISSIONERS:

Approving:

Jenifer French, Chair M. Beth Trombold Lawrence K. Friedeman Daniel R. Conway Dennis P. Deters

MJS/kck

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/23/2021 2:58:14 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0165-EL-RDR, 21-0166-EL-ATA

Summary: Finding & Order approving Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s application for recovery associated with the Distribution Storm Rider, subject to modifications. electronically filed by Ms. Mary E. Fischer on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio