## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REVIEW OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY'S COMPLIANCE WITH R.C. 4928.17 AND OHIO ADM.CODE CHAPTER 4901:1-37.

CASE NO. 17-974-EL-UNC

## ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on September 2, 2021

**{¶ 1}** In this Entry, the attorney examiner finds that a prehearing conference should be scheduled for September 14, 2021, at 10:00 a.m., to be held via Webex.

**{¶ 2}** Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, FirstEnergy or the Companies) are electric distribution utilities, as defined by R.C. 4928.01(A)(6), and public utilities, as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, are subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

**{¶ 3}** To assist the Commission with the review of FirstEnergy's compliance with the corporate separation rules set forth in Ohio Adm.Code Chapter 4901:1-37, the Commission directed Staff, on May 17, 2017, to issue a request for proposal (RFP) for audit services. On July 5, 2017, the Commission issued an Entry selecting Sage Management Consultants, LLC (Sage) to conduct the requested audit services, in accordance with the terms set forth in the RFP. Pursuant to the terms of the RFP, a draft audit report was to be submitted by February 28, 2018, with the final audit report due on March 14, 2018. The deadline for the draft audit report and final audit report was extended to April 30, 2018, and May 14, 2018, respectively. Sage filed the final audit report on May 14, 2018.

**{¶ 4}** Comments regarding the Sage audit report were timely filed by Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (IGS), Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC), Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council (NOPEC), the Companies, and Retail Energy Supply Association (RESA). Reply comments were filed by NOPEC, FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. (FES), OCC, and the Companies. Joint reply comments were filed by RESA and IGS.

**{¶ 5}** In their comments, the Companies noted that, on March 20, 2018, FES filed a voluntary petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for relief pursuant to Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code. Further proceedings in this case were deferred until the resolution of FES' bankruptcy proceeding.

**{**¶ **6}** On March 20, 2020, the Companies filed a notice in this proceeding. The Companies represented that FES had emerged from bankruptcy as Energy Harbor Corp. (Energy Harbor) and that Energy Harbor is no longer an affiliate of the Companies' parent, FirstEnergy Corp.

**{¶ 7}** Further, on January 17, 2020, Suvon, LLC d/b/a FirstEnergy Advisors (Suvon) filed an application for certification as a competitive retail electric service power broker and aggregator in the state of Ohio. *In re Suvon LLC*, Case No. 20-103-EL-AGG. Suvon is an affiliate of the Companies. The Commission approved Suvon's application on April 22, 2020. The Commission also ruled that, although various parties in that case had raised issues both with Suvon's use of a trade name and with compliance with the corporation separation requirements by the Companies and other affiliates of FirstEnergy Corp., those issues were best addressed in this proceeding. *In re Suvon LLC*, Case No. 20-103-EL-AGG, Finding and Order (Apr. 22, 2020) at **¶** 20, 22.

**{¶ 8}** On April 29, 2020, the attorney examiner established a supplemental comment period regarding the audit report filed in this proceeding. Supplemental comments were timely filed by Vistra Energy Corp., NOPEC, IGS, OCC, RESA, and the Companies. Supplemental reply comments were timely filed by OCC, NOPEC, IGS, RESA, and the Companies.

**{¶ 9}** On September 8, 2020, the OCC filed motions in this proceeding for an investigation and management audit of FirstEnergy, its corporate governance, and its activities regarding Am. Sub. H.B. 6, to hire an independent auditor, to reopen the distribution modernization rider audit case, and to require FirstEnergy to show that it did not improperly use money collected from consumers or violate any utility regulatory laws,

rules, or orders in its activities regarding Am. Sub. H.B. 6. The Companies filed a memorandum contra OCC's motions on September 23, 2020. OCC filed a reply on September 30, 2020.

**{¶ 10}** On September 15, 2020, the Commission opened a proceeding to review whether any political and charitable spending by the Companies in support of Am. Sub. H.B. 6 and the subsequent referendum effort was included, directly or indirectly, in any rates or charges paid by ratepayers in this state. *In the Matter of the Review of the Political and Charitable Spending by Ohio Edison Co., The Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co., and The Toledo Edison Co.,* Case No. 20-1502-EL-UNC.

**{¶ 11}** On October 29, 2020, FirstEnergy Corp., the corporate parent of the Companies, filed a Form 8-K with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission reporting the termination of certain officers and appointment of new interim chief executive officers. The Form 8-K further stated that, during the course of FirstEnergy Corp.'s internal investigation related to ongoing government investigations, the Independent Review Committee of the Board of Directors determined that each of the terminated executives violated certain FirstEnergy Corp. policies and its code of conduct.

**{¶ 12}** On November 4, 2020, the Commission issued an Entry directing, in the instant case, Staff to issue an RFP to acquire audit services to assist the Commission with the review of FirstEnergy's compliance with the corporate separation provisions of R.C. 4928.17 and with the Companies' Commission-approved corporate separation plans for the period between November 1, 2016, and October 31, 2020. Bidders were directed to demonstrate their understanding of the project and the work required by showing a clear understanding of the tasks to be completed, the experience and qualifications of the personnel who will perform the work, and the anticipated breakdown of costs and timing. All proposals were submitted on November 25, 2020, in accordance with the terms of the RFP.

## 17-974-EL-UNC

**{¶ 13}** On January 27, 2021, the Commission selected Daymark Energy Advisors, Inc. (Daymark) and directed the Companies to enter into a contract with Daymark to perform the audit services described in the RFP and its proposal. In the Entry, the Commission also set the deadline for the completion of the audit report as June 21, 2021.

**{¶ 14}** On June 11, 2021, Staff filed a motion to extend the time to file the final audit report to August 6, 2021, which was granted by the attorney examiner on June 17, 2021.

**{¶ 15}** On June 25, 2021, OCC filed a motion for subpoena duces tecum for FirstEnergy Corp., FirstEnergy Service Company, and FirstEnergy Foundation. Those subpoenas were issued to the respective companies on June 25, 2021.

**{¶ 16}** On July 19, 2021, FirstEnergy Corp. and FirstEnergy Service Company filed a motion to quash the subpoenas duces tecum. They argue that the subpoenas seek information outside the scope of the proceedings and privileged information. They also note that OCC has not tailored its requests in a way that would limit the burden of nonparty discovery. Finally, they argue that the only relevant, non-privileged information was already provided to OCC, including the consulting agreement and its amendments, as well as the vendor payments and associated details. The attorney examiner notes that a similar motion was filed in *In re the 2020 Review of the Delivery Capital Recovery Rider of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company, Case No. 20-1629-EL-RDR (<i>DCR Review*).

**{¶ 17}** On August 3, 2021, OCC filed a memorandum contra the motion to quash the subpoenas. OCC argues that its document requests are reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and that FirstEnergy already agreed to make its affiliates' records available to the Commission and OCC in its merger commitment. OCC also argues that a privilege log has not been provided and that the privilege was waived for certain documents when the documents were discussed publicly. OCC asserts that although certain documents that were requested in the subpoenas were already produced

by the Companies, those documents may be different versions of the documents in the possession of FirstEnergy Corp. and FirstEnergy Service Company.

**{¶ 18}** On August 10, 2021, FirstEnergy Corp. and FirstEnergy Service Company filed a reply in support of their motion to quash the subpoenas. They argue that OCC failed to address in its memorandum contra the significant burden imposed by the subpoenas. They also reaffirm their arguments as to privilege and that the subpoenas are moot as to the documents already produced.

**{¶ 19}** The attorney examiner finds that, in order to discuss the pending motion to quash, a prehearing conference should be scheduled for September 14, 2021, at 10:00 a.m., to be held via Webex. Instructions for participation in the prehearing conference shall be emailed to the parties. Anyone interested in observing the prehearing conference as a nonparty can access the prehearing using the link https://bit.ly/17-974-PHC2, and entering the password PUCO, or by calling 1-408-418-9388, and entering code 179 633 8453. For administrative convenience, the prehearing conference will be held concurrently with a prehearing conference in the *DCR Review*, but the attorney examiner notes that these cases have not been consolidated.

 $\{\P 20\}$  It is, therefore,

{¶ 21} ORDERED, That a prehearing conference be scheduled in accordance with Paragraph 19. It is, further,

{**[ 22**} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/ Jacky Werman St. John

By: Jacky Werman St. John Attorney Examiner

SJP/kck

## This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/2/2021 11:42:24 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-0974-EL-UNC

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry ordering that a prehearing conference be scheduled in accordance with Paragraph 19. electronically filed by Kelli C. King on behalf of Jacky Werman St. John, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio