BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of:

Edward L. Galewood, : Case No. 20-1606-EL-CSS

VS.

Ohio Edison Company.

PROCEEDINGS

Before Daniel E. Fullin, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, August 10, 2021.

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
          Benesch
          John W. Breig, Jr., Esq.
          200 Public Square, Suite 2300
 3
          Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2378
 4
          Tbreig@beneschlaw.com
 5
          Benesch
          Sarah Siewe, Esq.
 6
          41 South High Street, Suite 2600
          Columbus, Ohio 43215-6164
 7
          Ssiewe@beneschlaw.com
 8
               On behalf of Ohio Edison Company.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		2
1	TNDDV	3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	COMPLAINANT'S CASE WITNESSES:	PAGE
4	Edward Galewood Direct Testimony	6
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Breig	51
6		
7	COMPANY'S CASE WITNESSES:	
8	John Bianchi	71
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Breig Cross-Examination by Mr. Galewood	75
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Breig Recross-Examination by Mr. Galewood	79 80
11		
12	COMPANY EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED C-1 - Police Report (two pages) 55	ADMITTED 86
13	C-1 - Folice Report (two pages) 33 C-2 - Direct Testimony of 72 John Bianchi	86
14		
15		
16	COMPLAINANT EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED A - 2011 information 26	27
17	B - Photo of Crabtree 27 C - Photographs 30	29 34
18	D - Photographs 34 E - Police report (one page) 48	36 48
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Tuesday Morning Session,
August 10, 2021.

- -

2.1

address.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go on the record now. The Commission has assigned for hearing at this time and place Case No. 20-1606-EL-CSS, which is the complaint of Edward Galewood versus Ohio Edison Company. My name is Daniel E. Fullin, I'm an Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this case, and I would like to have appearances on the record, so I'd like each party, beginning with the complainant, just to give your name and address for the record, and introduce yourself just for purposes of getting to introduce each side before I let you take the stand.

MR. GALEWOOD: Just for the record, I've

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, I think what you're telling me is -- I just want a name and

MR. GALEWOOD: Edward Galewood, 745 West Highland Road, Northfield Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And for the Respondent.

never been arrested -- I've never been --

MR. BREIG: John Breig for Benesch,

Friedlander on behalf of Ohio Edison Company.

MR. GALEWOOD: Mr. Fullin, I'm going to have to apologize, with my glasses, I can't wear my hearing aid, so if I misunderstand my son might have to help me.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That's fine. We've very lenient at this time to make sure we get good communication.

MR. GALEWOOD: He'll pass on to me what you said, he's not going to enter the meeting.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So we're all cooperative that way just to get a full record here. Now we have had the introductions, appearances on behalf of each party.

The first thing I'm going to do is allow you to present your side of the case because you're the Complainant, and you have the burden of proof in this case.

I assume you want to testify about your side of the case, so before he gets started I'm going to have you stand and raise your hand and be sworn and affirmed. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you'll provide in this case will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. GALEWOOD: I do.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. And as I

said earlier, I'm planning on letting everyone that is testifying remain where they are presently seated to do their testimony.

2.1

2.2

on your whole case, what it is you want to bring to the Commission's attention to help the Commission decide the case in your favor. It can be anything about your own background, about the case, about these exhibits that I know you're introducing, so you're going to get your chance to present everything.

He can make objections as you go, I'll make rulings if necessary. But even when you're done, he's going to get a chance to cross-examine you about anything you've testified to, and you can respond to those questions and follow up on those. So that's the procedure here. You have the stand. Go ahead.

Edward Galewood,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. GALEWOOD: I'm 83 years old. I had invasive brain surgery eight months ago, had a craniotomy, if anybody knows what that is. I'm fully

recovered, my doctor tested me, just so you understand where I'm at. I'm a stable person.

2.1

The doctor tested me. I was the only one that he's ever had -- Dr. McGuire, Tom McGuire, in Macedonia -- the only one that ever come out a hundred percent on the memory test that the nurse gave me, the only one. He came in and laughed, couldn't believe it. So I have a good memory. A lot of things have happened in the past, including what's been done to me by Ohio Edison.

I grew up in a family-owned a landscape business. My dad was a licensed grower by the State of Ohio. He designed and installed landscape services to homes and businesses.

Age of 18 I went to work for AT&T. I retired from AT&T December 31st, 1989, and the last ten years of my service I was at the executive level.

The last two years of my service I maintained management of the State of Ohio for AT&T long lines network services, including large store program switches, I had 762 people in my organization, the whole state, and 72 were management of various levels.

I retired and instead set up my own telecommunications business that I've owned and

operated for 13 years, Midwest Communication & Cable Service. I have an extensive background in -- you can put this on the record. I have 160 hours of training in electronics, electricity, AC and DC.

2.1

AC is the -- what we use here, 60 cycle. In Europe it's 40 cycle. You couldn't use our lights over there. 80 hours of DC, direct current, which is used in most electronic systems, and most of what you converted through convertors.

I understand electricity. I understand what happened in front of my house. And if I could go on beyond that, what I want to point out is the circuit breakers.

Here is the line, here is the circuit breaker in front of house, west corner of my property, west end of my property on Highland Road.

The circuit breaker's there so if
there's a short down line -- and he wants to talk
about it -- it goes like that, it opens up. Both
times, both incidences I'm going to talk about here,
it exploded, and when it exploded it snapped the
wire, the tension snapped it, and there's no question
about that, okay?

That's what happened in both cases, ten years ago -- and you got the information there. Ten

years ago Ohio Edison settled with me. At that time Bill Roemer, now state representative, a close family friend of mine, has nothing to do with -- no influence.

2.1

Bill is a straight-up guy. He helped me at that time ten years ago get what I need to get information and back and forth between Ohio Edison, and you can see they settled on the case because they were at fault. They almost burned my house down.

MR. BREIG: Objection, your Honor, to the scope of testimony regarding a claim that's been settled and not here before the Commission today.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, I think I want you to keep in mind that we're really here to decide about what happened in the more recent case, and unless you can point out specifically what makes the other case that's already been resolved -- and it's been nine years or more ago -- you have to bring it into the relevancy of today's case about the more recent event.

MR. GALEWOOD: But the relevance -- I'll talk about it. The relevance is two times in ten years the same thing at the same pole happened. And it didn't do this, it exploded. And when it did, both times it broke the wire.

Last time it run into my house, almost burned my house down. It's in there. It was in the paper, New Leader, this last time. It sat there and burned my trees for about 42 minutes.

2.1

I talked to my neighbor the other day. He says man, it was like fireworks. I was watching those trees burn. I said yeah, those are the ones that are gone, they killed them outright.

The damage right now, if you go by and see, the tops of the trees are down -- I'll tell you this; when I had my business I did work for a man, his name is Bill O'Neill, used to own Eastway Transportation, he lived on South Woodlawn, and his driveway goes a half mile back to the south at the top of Chagrin Valley where he's got a big English Tudor and guest house, and he sold Al Lerner 20 acres of a 70 acre estate, and I used to be going back and forth to see Mr. O'Neill, and I come driving up, I said what happened?

All Lerner -- and God bless his soul, he was a good man. His house was up to 26 million in cost. It never got finished. He still lived in that six-room nice brick Colonial in Shaker Heights.

I said where did all them trees come from? I can't even see Lerner's house. I pulled in

his driveway. So I go over to talk to the foremen on the job, they are still planting them.

2.1

And I tell you how they dig them. By the way, I grew up in the business, so I'm not taking anything away from anybody, but I grew up in the business, I understand it.

I got a yard that's been in the Plain

Dealer and the local papers, the garden clubs. But

anyway, he tells me that what we do is we take a

backhoe and we dig a trench --

MR. BREIG: Objection, your Honor, hearsay and beyond the scope of the complaint.

Mr. Galewood a chance to kind of explain his case. I do think that you're explaining all kinds of things that may or may not decide -- have any relevance, but what you're doing, I want to have this hearing be done in one day's time, but short of that I don't really mean to cut you off, I want you to have your chance to explain. So go ahead.

MR. GALEWOOD: The possible replacement cost that I could ask for -- but it goes further. These trees, the way they dig them, they dig a trench with a backhoe. They took 2-by-12s and they band

them about that big, they box it. You cannot dig and ball a tree that big.

2.1

Then they take a front-end loader and they put it on the flatbed, because one was on the flatbed when I was there. They tip it on a flatbed so it's on it's side, they tie it down and tarp it so the wind don't bother it. That's what they do when they move trees if they know what they are doing. This is a job for them, that's really impressive.

I said I got trees like that in my front yard. He said one like that, between 12- and 15,000, each. Yeah, each. So that's what they took out of my yard before they killed it, that are gone. And two are on the way out, possibly a third one.

And I have an arborist, he happens to be a friend of mine, he's been involved with what happened from day one. He stopped the other day just to see how I was doing, and he said they are going to die, they are dying. The top is gone, the look like -- I don't want to tell you what, but they look bad. They are already burned back, and they are continuing to burn.

What you see in the pictures is the day -- two days after the occurrence. September 2nd, 2020 is when it happened. Two days later my daughter

took those pictures, those five pictures. And when you look at the pictures, with -- all that brown isn't dead.

2.1

Evergreens take -- and your forester is sitting there. If he wants to dispute me, I'll be glad to talk about it. Trees -- evergreens can take up to a year to die. They have three elements of life, I don't know if you're schooled on that, the arborist is, and one element is damage, the other two elements struggle to bring back to normal, everything to be whole again, which may or -- my trees, there's no way.

1,700 volts -- the two firemen -- one is my neighbor down the street, the other guy I know through family. And the sheriff's deputies stood on the porch with me, did not allow me to leave my yard or go out of the house, they come running around, and they said man, it was an arc, and bounced.

The trees -- it was raining on top of it. And if anybody understands electricity at all, what you really don't want is a ground, because you can have a fan in your bathroom, you touch it and you touch the tub, you're going to go. That's how it works.

I understand electricity. I'm an

accomplished electrician, besides everything else I've done. I'm an accomplished carpenter, finish carpenter, and I've got a home to prove it. And I understand a lot of other things, too, that I've looked into over the years.

2.1

I looked into everything I do and I try to make sure I make a good decision. And my arborist friend, who again stopped over, he says they are not going to make it. The --

MR. BREIG: Objection, your Honor. It sounds like we're getting into expert testimony. I just want to levy an objection here that I have not seen any documents that have been filed with the court or seen anything from an arborist or -- there's been nothing provided.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I would note that you're testifying about the opinion of someone that you are introducing as an expert, and so that is hearsay.

I'm not going to overrule the -- I'm going to overrule the objection. I'm going to allow you to continue testifying, but I will note that it does appear to be hearsay about from someone that is not here about what they told you.

MR. GALEWOOD: All right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, my goal here is to allow you some space to provide your side of the story, so I'm going to allow it, noting the objection.

2.1

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, I guess it comes down to this. I read the testimony of Mr. Bianchi. It looked like a job resume to me. The only thing that counted was the very last paragraph that talked about it was a maple tree and it was a healthy tree and it came down.

Well, that's that issue. My issue is where my property was damaged as a result, and they want to hold themselves blameless on my property.

This is America. Right-of-ways -- when they sold the right-of-way to my property in 1927 it was 30 feet from the center line to probably a gravel road at the time. And the picture shows exactly where the pole is and where -- the center of my tree is way here. I got maybe one branch anywhere near the line of the boundary line for right-of-way.

Right-of-way means right-of-way. They do not have a right-of-way to damage my property.

And I'll give you an example, and it's not hearsay, unless you know automobiles, because I've been around.

I had hot rods. I put motors in cars myself single handedly with a chain pull. But I know what I'm talking about. A lot of the older cars, they have tie rods, now the suspensions are all different.

2.1

A tie rod keeps your wheels aligned, and when you go to have them aligned, they make them so they are going straight. If that tie rod breaks and you're going even the legal speed, if you hit a bump and your wheel goes -- you go right off the road.

So if I'm driving to Highland Road and my car has a tie rod and the tie rod goes, the wheels go haywire, I go off the road, I take out my neighbor's mailbox, flower bed is chewed up, knock down a trellis, and he comes running out and he says what happened. I said my tie rod broke, it's not my fault. He says you mean you're not going to pay me?

No, I'm going on the same principle that the Ohio Edison now enjoys using my property as their own.

And Roemer is going to help me get this straightened out. Obviously they don't want to settle, I didn't expect them to.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, I'm going to cut you off. I don't want to hear anything about settlement discussions and whether somebody wants to

settle or not.

2.1

That was brought up before we started, and there was a chance for either party to discuss settlement. The decision was made no, we're not talking settlement today, we're going to hearing.

So I don't want to hear about settlement in any form. I don't want to hear numbers, I don't want to hear whether someone did or didn't accept some offer, I don't want to hear what got discussed.

I just want you to present your side of the case on the record here today on which the Commission will make up its mind, not based on what settlement discussion occurred.

MR. GALEWOOD: I understand that. I'm just saying that they had an opportunity, you know, whatever they want to do, and then that determines what I'm going it do, that's all.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, you're here, this is your chance to make your case, so make your case.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, my case is that a tree is a problem that they had down the road from me, caused a circuit breaker to not do this, because I understand, you know, what a circuit breaker is.

There's a transmission line. When the

circuit breaker blew up, the pressure snapping back broke the line in the middle and it come back and laid on top of my trees and burned them during a rainy day -- well, inside of my property.

2.1

So how can I -- you know, I own that property, I maintain it, and because -- you know, if you look at them pictures of -- maintenance, they don't do preventive maintenance.

You're a forester. Don't tell me that you've been doing any trimming on Highland or Boyden.

I just rode down -- some of the pictures -- I got a bunch of them.

I went down Boyden Road. So your line -- transmission line right in between, in fact going down Highland there's a tree maybe, a big tree, about this far from the transmission line, so there's no -- I know what's going on.

I worked for a utility, and things are different now. It costs a lot of money to have trees trimmed. So what you do is you go around and you try to take care of what you can, what you think needs --what's reported, and the rest of it doesn't get taken care of it. They are just not trimming like they used to be, there's no question.

And that leaves another problem. You

got trees -- regardless of whose tree comes down, it takes the power line down. This should not go like that, it should go like that, and then when you're done, you reset it.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You know, I'm in the room, I'm seeing what you're doing with your finger as you're explaining that, but I want it to be clear when I'm reading this in the transcript two months from now.

So what I'm understanding what you're talking about is there's a circuit, and at a certain point that the circuit is broken --

MR. GALEWOOD: Right, exploding. It don't trip, it explodes.

MR. BREIG: Your Honor, I would just object to the point that I think we're getting into expert testimony regarding the operation and design of utility wires and facilities on the utility side.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, I think -- again, I don't think I'm hearing anything that I shouldn't be hearing.

I do think that if you have a better way of explaining things than the way it's being explained by the Complainant, then I'm waiting to hear from the Respondent on that.

But again, at this point I'm not really interested in trying to keep the record very limited on the description from his side, from his point of view as to what's happening.

2.1

2.2

MR. GALEWOOD: Call it what you want.

It failed, that's not how -- it failed.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: When you say failed, you say that the circuit opened and there wasn't any circuit, is that what you're getting at?

MR. GALEWOOD: When -- you get a short on the line, or whatever causes it, it should trip. The breaker should open and trip.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Sort of like -
MR. GALEWOOD: When it fails to trip, it
explodes. It's just like a circuit breaker in your
house, if you have a short and a circuit breaker
fails, it could burn your house down. I know that
for a fact.

So I guess where I'm at is I maintain my property. I pay taxes to the middle of the road, so do all of you if you have a property, to the middle of road.

The legal right-of-way was signed off at some point with the property owner at that time.

25 | That passes on from -- but it doesn't extend into my

yard.

2.1

This is still America. I own that property, I maintain it, and my house and yard is one of the most looked at. People come driving by to see it. The inside is even more, okay?

So I put pride in my property that I take care of. So I just -- this -- I'm not here to make money, okay? But these people think so. Maybe, I don't know. I'm not second guessing what they think, and I don't care.

I'm here to try to get justice, okay?

And people knew me at AT&T knew that, and that's why

I went to corporate headquarters a number of times to

participate in ad hoc meetings in national events.

So I'm just trying -- I'm never going to get what I want out of this because it's going to be too much money. So we'll see. We'll see.

I don't give up. There's one thing, I don't threaten anybody, I promise, and I carry through on my promises.

And I'm really concerned about this rule that was put into effect in 1996, and that rule was put into service for the utility, but I don't understand. I got somebody trying to find out what exactly -- how that happened, because I talked to

Bill Roemer, he can't find where anybody voted on it.

2.1

2.2

So if it wasn't voted on, it must have been something that the Ohio Edison worked out with the Public Utilities Commission and whoever that would decide on the fate of my property, or anybody else's.

He's another friend of mine, he's a school teacher. I talked to him yesterday. He's a retired school teacher from Madonia Hills. He owns 41 acres on Boyden Road in Sagamore Hills, and Ohio Edison lines go through his property, they are on his --

MR. BREIG: Objection, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, I don't understand the relevance. I'm going to actually cut you off from testifying on this unless you can explain why we're talking about your neighbor's property. What does that have to do with you and your case?

MR. GALEWOOD: Because he's concerned when I told him one of those lines come down on your woods --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, he has his concerns and you have your concerns. Let's focus on what your concerns are.

MR. GALEWOOD: All right.

2.1

might bring up at this point is that you made reference to a '96 rule, and I have to tell you, just to hopefully move this along, I don't know what rule you're talking about or what -- why you bring it up. So if you want to explain that further, this is your chance.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Rule 96, if you want to explain what that is that came about '96 that you're talking about.

MR. GALEWOOD: I think that's the date it was passed on that this rule was enforced, was put into whatever level of law it is, but it's never been voted on by anybody.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: But what is the rule?

MR. GALEWOOD: The rule is that Ohio
Edison is blameless for anything that happens unless
it's a flagrant -- like one of their trucks drives
off the road and the guy has lost control -- I'm just
giving an example, I'm not saying it's going to
happen -- but he's on his cellphone, like a lot of
people are today, whatever reason, and he comes off
the road and maybe they will compensate me, otherwise

```
I'm on my own. And I flew two flights --
 1
                  MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: You told them.
 2
 3
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, you told me
     this before we got started this morning. So if you
 4
 5
     want to bring it up in terms of being on the record
 6
     at the hearing, it's not the record, so you can bring
 7
     it up now if you want.
 8
                  MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: You can bring it up
9
     if you want.
10
                  MR. GALEWOOD: Off the record?
11
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No, we're on the
12
     record. You talked to me about that when we were
13
     introducing each other, so it doesn't matter that you
14
     told me earlier.
15
                  MR. GALEWOOD: There's not much more I
16
     can say. I provided all the things that have
17
    happened as a result of the failure of the equipment
18
     to operate properly as designed.
19
                  It's not designed to blow up, it's
20
     designed to open. And when they blow up, there's a
2.1
     failure. Something didn't work, regardless of whose
     fault it is, okay?
22
23
                  It's just like the car incident I was
24
     telling you about. It may not be my fault
25
     personally, but I'm still going to be responsible.
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So let me ask you this. As I understand from what you've been telling me so far on the record today at the hearing, you believe the incident that happened in September of 2020 happened when a circuit breaker exploded, is that right?

2.1

MR. GALEWOOD: That is exactly right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And the circuit breaker is located on your property, or not located on the property?

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, the circuit breaker is on the cross arm of the pole extending up to probably -- the fact is, the right-of-way -- they are actually imposing on the -- people want to be serious about it, because their cross arms extend into my yard. The pole is here, and the cross arm is here. This leg of the cross arm towards my property, they think it is much, but not that much, but it's sure not in the middle of my trees.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But it's on your property, or it's not on your property? That was the question.

MR. GALEWOOD: It's at the edge of the right-of-way.

MR. BREIG: I believe he's talking about

the lines along the street, your Honor.

2.1

any questions right now. And before I turn it over for him to ask cross-examination questions, I know from talking before the hearing that you have certain exhibits that you wanted to introduce, so I think you should take this chance to introduce those so that they be made part of the record so that they get covered before you start the cross-examination. He's going to start cross-examination when you're done.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Enter your exhibits for the record.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So I think you have more that you want to present to me in the form of these exhibits, so I'm encouraging you to go ahead and do that now.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: The exhibits you got, you need to present them.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. GALEWOOD: Okay. The exhibits -Exhibit A outlines what happened back on 2011,
October 7, and what happened on September 2nd, 2020.
So we're here today to talk about 2020, right? Okay.

MR. BREIG: Your Honor, obviously I would just object to the extent the exhibit includes

```
the 2011 reference.
```

2.1

allow the exhibit in as it is including both exhibits, but again, the Commission will make a decision as to relevancy of the things that happened. That doesn't really seem to be the crux of this case, but I'm not going to exclude the exhibit based on that.

MR. BREIG: Just wanted to make the objection.

11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So I'm admitting
12 Exhibit A.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, I assume you're going to move next to Exhibit B, and again, I like what you did. I want you to tell me what it is and why you're trying to bring it forward.

MR. GALEWOOD: The reason I'm bringing it in is it relates to the first part of my Exhibit A that shows what happened that -- the Crabtree that was burned. I never will get one that big again.

Burned into my house -- almost burned my house down, and here is the --

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Don't talk about

settlement. He doesn't want to hear about the settlement.

2.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, he's telling me what is in the exhibit.

MR. GALEWOOD: It's precedence.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm expecting that there's going to be an objection to this exhibit, because -- I'm not trying to cut you off.

If you want to explain further, but what I'm hearing is Exhibit B really relates to the incident that happened in 2011, and it's different information that pertains mainly to that incident and that you would like it to be admitted as an exhibit into the record.

MR. GALEWOOD: I do.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And if there's more to it than that in terms of explaining what it is, but I'm understanding that's what it's all about, that you're trying to bring into the record information that you have in Exhibit B that pertains to the 2011 incident, will the Commission please consider that, and I'm sure I'm going to hear an objection, or not.

MR. GALEWOOD: It's the same problem, the same place that exploded. It was ten years

later, exactly the same thing happened.

2.1

2.2

MR. BREIG: The Company objects to the exhibit because unlike Exhibit A where there's at least reference to the incident at issue in this matter, this pertains entirely to a 2011 incident and a settlement agreement that involved that incident all as Exhibit B, the three documents that make up Exhibit B. So the Company would object to its admission.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I'm prepared to rule. Again, I take under strong advisement the objection that's been made.

I don't really at this point perceive how the Commission is going to use the information from the prior incident. On the other hand, I don't necessarily want to exclude it from consideration. If the Commission decides that it wants to place a lot of weight on what happened in the past, then we'll have that on the record to consider.

So I'm allowing the exhibit in noting the objection and noting that the Commission itself will make a decision in terms of the weight to give that exhibit.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

25 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. GALEWOOD: Exhibit C, and the picture on Exhibit C, was taken recently. That was only to demonstrate where the right-of-way ends, okay, where my property starts, and the trees that were damaged are way inside my property. The outer edge barely is out there.

That line burned on the top of them trees on both -- four on this side and four on this side, for 42 minutes in the rain, and the intensity of the electricity is more when it's raining, there's no question.

The first drawing I made was to show exactly what happened. The breaker exploded, the line snapped because of the break, the pressure, and here is the pole number I put down on here.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm sorry, but again, when I'm reading this months from now, help me to understand where you're pointing.

MR. GALEWOOD: You want the number of the pole?

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Yeah, because they
don't --

MR. GALEWOOD: The pole number is 6401503.

25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I see where

```
you marked that.
```

MR. GALEWOOD: Metal tag NF2324, NF2324 again, 140T. Same place, same tag number twice in ten years. I have breakers in my house -- I built that home back 40 years, I've never had a breaker spark.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let me ask you this. Just help me understand the exhibit. I see -- I have several photos that are in an envelope that's attached by a paperclip, and then there's a photo that's actually on the top that's under the paperclip.

I think that's the one you referred to earlier that shows a picture of the road marked with a black magic marker, 30Ps, I guess it is. When was that picture taken and who took it?

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: The pictures in the envelope?

19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No, the ones on the 20 top.

21 MR. GALEWOOD: This is the right-of-way.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: He wants to know when it was taken and who took it.

MR. GALEWOOD: This was taken by my son David, his older brother, maybe two weeks ago.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. 2 Go ahead with explaining your whole exhibit then, 3 whatever it is you want me to know, before I make a decision. 4 5 MR. GALEWOOD: This is just the same. 6 That picture was taken before -- back when it 7 happened. This was taken along with the other 8 pictures I submitted. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you're talking 10 about the one that's on the third page of the exhibit 11 that's showing the bushes or trees, is that what you 12 are just now talking about? 13 MR. GALEWOOD: Yeah. The other pictures are under item D. 14 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, again, I'm 16 looking at C, and when I get to those, there's a 17 photo, and there's two pages that are your drawing, 18 and the third page is a picture of a tree that was taken September 4, 2020. That's what you were just 19 20 describing? 2.1 MR. GALEWOOD: Yes. 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And then attached to

that is an envelope full of photos taken 9/4/2020.

Do you want to say anything about those photos? I

23

24

25

you submitting these pictures?

2.1

MR. GALEWOOD: Why am I submitting them? To show the damage two days after the -- my trees were electrocuted. The trees were electrocuted, by the way, they just take longer to die.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You testified to that.

MR. GALEWOOD: These all died back -see, here is the thing. Ohio Edison refused to ever
come out and meet with me, ever, until the claims
agent, on the first issue.

But on this issue, I talked to the claims agents and they refused to come out. And so what else can I do? I can't force them, I can't go pick them up and make them come out, so I had these pictures taken back then when it happened.

These now are dead. You can come and see them, they are half a tree and they are dying down. I left them up so you can tell they are dying.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you. Whatever else you wanted to explain about C before counsel -- before the other side makes any arguments he wants to about the exhibit -- wait a minute, before we get to D, I'm giving him a chance to respond.

34 1 MR. BREIG: No objection on C. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Then I 2 3 will move -- I'm not -- I will admit into evidence Exhibit C, Complainants Exhibit C. 4 5 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now you can talk 7 about D. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 8 9 MR. GALEWOOD: This first picture is 10 where the four trees on the east side of my driveway 11 were. And this is an estimate by Dave Ish, and he's 12 removed them since then. If I needed, he can testify 13 for them. 14 I don't get receipts, I deal with local 15 people, I pay cash, okay, for whatever I do. And 16 they are gone, and that's the picture -- you can see 17 where the burn is here yet. 18 And if you want to come out -- if they 19 will come out to the house, I'll walk around and you 20 can see where we took them out. The ground is so 2.1 screwed up. I didn't do anything with it, I left it 22 there purposely so you can tell exactly where the 23 trees were, and he'll testify down the road what 24 happened.

These other pictures are -- I marked

25

them. That's picture 1. Picture 2 shows how these trees look today, and you can see where they are on the right-of-way -- the one, No. 2, you can see the fire plug, and you see where my trees were -- how far that is. That's where the lines were laying, that far in from the right-of-way, from here.

2.1

And picture 3, while they talk about the maintenance, take a look at 3. And up in the hill, you see here, that's their wire right in the middle of all them big trees on the right-of-way.

Take a look at the other one on 3. I put an arrow so you could see it. You could see their line going through it, and you can go down and see it.

And I'll say this. I went down to the bottom of the hill -- and I got another tree number for you, or pole number for the tree -- there's a transformer on the pole at the bottom of the hill, and -- I've got to see if I see it. And there's a --

MR. BREIG: Your Honor, for clarification, are we still on Exhibit D?

And I'm unclear. At some point I was going to interrupt you, because when I open the envelope, I see at the top of D there's something that says

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We're still on D.

```
photo 1, photo 2, photo 3.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

On top of that there was a photo attached, but maybe it's being described because that's the one about the four trees that are gone, removed.

But below it is this envelope, which I have my envelope, four pictures, two of them are marked 3, and two of them are marked 2, and I don't have any that are marked 1.

MR. GALEWOOD: Two No. 3s, two No. 2s, and one No. 1.

MR. BREIG: I believe that is the one,
your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now I get it. I think I understand that. So what's indicated on the page identifying it? It says photo 1, that's referring to the one with the four trees removed?

MR. GALEWOOD: Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Photo 2, there's actually two pictures, and photo 3 there's two pictures?

MR. GALEWOOD: Right.

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand it.
24 Were you done explaining about the pictures and what
25 they represent?

I've

37 1 MR. GALEWOOD: These pictures? 2 MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: He just want to 3 know -- they already know those four died, they just want to know if there's anything else you want to 4 5 add. 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm going to make a 7 ruling whether they come in, so you if want to further explain why you're bringing it --8 9 MR. GALEWOOD: What I'd like to add is 10 these trees used to look like this tree. 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Which one are you 12 looking at now? 13 MR. GALEWOOD: Photo 2 at the fire plug. 14 Photo No. 2 with the fire plug. 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. 16 MR. GALEWOOD: These two trees used to 17 look like this tree, which is also dying, but there's 18 a tree behind it. If anybody comes out and looks at 19 it, and their forester comes out and takes a look at 20 it, you know, it is what it is. 2.1 It's nothing that I -- people know me for my honest integrity. And I know you don't want 22 23 this verified, I don't have any witnesses or proof --24 my son, but this is what it is.

And it looks bad from the street.

```
had neighbors complain about it. I had a couple guys
1
2
     just build a big new house and stopped, what
 3
     happened? After all the work I put on my property,
     the compliments I got have, people stop and tell me
 4
 5
     how bad my yard looks.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let me ask you this.
 6
7
     I'm looking at photo No. 2 with the fire plug
8
    prominent, and there's an area circled where you
9
     can -- go ahead and describe it as a --
10
                  MR. GALEWOOD: Yeah.
11
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: -- with bushes, is
12
     dying in the middle. Now, I look at the other
13
     photo 2 and there's an area circled. Is the area
14
     that's circled just a close-up of the same area
     that's --
15
16
                  MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Is this a close-up
17
     of the same area, is what he's asking.
18
                  MR. GALEWOOD: Yeah, from a different
19
    place on -- same area, but one was here and one was
20
     taken --
2.1
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And that's the
22
    purpose of having these two photos, so you can see --
23
                  MR. GALEWOOD: Yeah, the damage and
24
     exactly what -- the trees that are involved.
25
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And the same with 3,
```

would you want to describe what it is, the two photos of 3 are supposed to be, helping me understand?

2.1

2.2

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, you see a little tiny thin wire. That's their -- that's their high tension line that snapped up by me. So next time one of these trees come down it's going to do it again. There's no maintenance, is what it is.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So I think that the wire I'm seeing on the photo No. 3 that is not marked "on right-of-way", and the arrow is pointing to a cable or wire?

MR. GALEWOOD: Yeah.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That's what you're pointing out there then?

MR. GALEWOOD: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: On the one that says "on right-of-way," is that pointing to the same wire in the --

MR. GALEWOOD: Same wire, different place on the road where the guy drove up the street -- my son did, took a picture, drove up and took another one.

And I could take a whole bunch of them. It's the whole line just down the road from me all the way by the bend, all the way down to the pole,

it's a load pot, or a transformer as people call them, across from the Speed Run mailbox, the transformers on there, the same wire goes right into big trees, and it's going past the big tree about this far from the tree. So even if that should pulsar and there's enough tension, you know, you could have the same thing again.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And then if you can tell me -- help me understand, picture No. 1 that shows the area where the four trees are gone and removed, where is that in relation to the trees that you're showing in either 2 or 3?

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Where is it in relation --

MR. GALEWOOD: The relationship is this No. 1 is to the east side of my drive. There were four trees, just like over here on the west side, but they are burned so bad that they are -- the tree expert -- and this man has been in business for 25 years, Dave Ish, he owns the company and he maintains and cuts my trees, most of them, and he said -- and they are getting into the fall and they are looking bad.

I didn't want them looking even worse going into the winter, so I just had him take them

out. They are not coming back, they are dead. These
I was hoping would survive.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But where are they in relation -- you mentioned the ones that are gone on 1 are on the east side of your driveway? These are on the west side of your driveway? Maybe I'm wrong.

MR. GALEWOOD: These are on the west side. The only picture on the east side of my drive is No. 1.

11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No. 1 was on the east?

MR. GALEWOOD: On the west side.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead then, again, anything that you want me to understand that I haven't already brought up about why you're presenting these pictures and what they help the Commission understand about your case.

MR. GALEWOOD: Can I make a statement here?

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. You have the 22 floor.

MR. GALEWOOD: I'm going back now, so -I don't expect to get into whether you can't talk
about it. I just want to make a statement that back

when this first incident happened back in 2011, I have all the information at home and the name of the agent. The agent who come out to settle the case, his name is on that piece of paper.

2.1

I told him -- I said you got to talk to somebody for your own good, because from my pole on the west end of my property -- goes up Boyden Road, goes all the way down to substations a mile away, there's no breaker between, no breaker between.

If there'd been a breaker in between it would have saved not only what happened the second time, and put a whole bunch of extra people out of service.

He was going to -- I'll get the portion -- if he did or did not, or maybe he did and they just decided we're not going to do it, that's not a big job. That's not an all-day job for a guy in a line truck.

You can go up in probably two hours, cut the line, have the power down and put one in. They never put one in, so now when it goes out, everybody going down the line is dead, and it would have saved my second time.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \hbox{I have a whole-house backup generator.}$ When the power goes out I'm the only guy in the

neighborhood that's got lights. But I don't like to use it a lot.

2.1

And the daytimes when we have outages -- and it wasn't because of anything in front of me, but down the road somewhere, someplace else, and they never decided to act on it.

I would think about it, you know what I mean? Got no break between -- that line burned hot for 42 minutes on my trees and it back fed, it was burning over here, and I got all these people watching with me.

I didn't intend to try to bring people to this, we'll go on from here. But I appreciate the honesty and respect -- and I have respect for the people over here, and it's just that I feel I have a legitimate issue, legitimate case.

And it was my property, not the their right-of-way. And plus all the time it took to come out, 42 minutes, they've got to find somebody almost an hour away to come and shut the power at the substation so they can work on the line.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Again, I wanted to give you the chance. I assume you're asking for admission of your Exhibit D, I think we're on?

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: He wants to know if you want that admitted.

MR. GALEWOOD: Yes.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'll hear from Ohio Edison about it.

MR. BREIG: Your Honor, I have no objection to the photos. The invoice supplied by ADI and the descriptions on this face sheet for Exhibit D, I just want to levy an objection that he said it was, you know -- he could have him come testify regarding this because this guy is an expert with trees, and the testimony basically is, I mean, extensive and reversible damage.

So to the extent that the face sheet is providing expert testimony without the person coming in and saying his opinion regarding the trees, I just object to that.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

MR. GALEWOOD: I'm sorry, I didn't hear, what was the objection?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Would you like to explain it so he understands it?

MR. BREIG: So I'm not objecting to the photos, just an objection to the point of the descriptions on this face sheet.

1 MR. GALEWOOD: What part of that? 2 To the extent that it's MR. BREIG: 3 relaying the information from your tree expert. MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Yeah, because 4 5 you're telling him what Ish said, but there's no 6 testimony from him, so that's why he doesn't want it 7 in there. 8 MR. BREIG: Because he was not available 9 for cross-examination and no testimony was filed, 10 your Honor, I would just object to the extent that the exhibit -- the face sheet of the exhibit brings 11 12 in that information. 13 MR. GALEWOOD: Not the whole part? 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The description of 15 the photos that you have here on Exhibit D, were 16 those descriptions of the photo written by you or 17 were they written by someone from ADI? 18 MR. GALEWOOD: I didn't know I had to 19 bring him, that's the problem. I'm not a lawyer. 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, anyway, the 2.1 question is who wrote these descriptions, did you 2.2 write them? 23 MR. GALEWOOD: Yes, I did. I did. 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Then I'm going to allow them to be -- all the photos and the first page 25

that describes the photos will be admitted into evidence, but I don't think I'm going to allow the ADI Tree Removal Service estimate to be included as part of the exhibit.

2.1

5 MR. GALEWOOD: Only -- you're talking 6 about this picture here?

7 MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: No, this part here. 8 Not the picture, but just --

MR. GALEWOOD: That's fine. It is what it is.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We're striking that from the exhibit. I'm going to leave it as part of the record so that if you give an appeal it's going to be there, but I'm not admitting that page into the record, but all the other -- the photos and the actual first page that describes the photos, those are coming into the record.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. GALEWOOD: All right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And again, for purposes of just helping move this along, I think there's still one more exhibit, or am I wrong? I'm seeing something that looks like this.

MR. GALEWOOD: That's a police report from that day of the incident.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are you wanting to -- are you wanting to have that admitted as an exhibit?

MR. GALEWOOD: Sure.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And what is the purpose of bringing in the police report, if you want the Commission to --

MR. GALEWOOD: To show the amount of time 1,700 volts laid cooking on my trees, and the same thing before, but they just don't have anybody local quickly, but they have to find somebody to shut down the power plant, or that part of it. So that's why I admitted it. That's how long it burned 1,700 volts, as I indicated, burned on my trees.

MR. BREIG: I would just say it's attached as an exhibit to his complaint, so I don't know if we want to reference just that -- instead of marking it as a new exhibit, if we want to reference it through --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, I think I'll probably explain the hearing process to him. Unless both parties stipulate to it, I don't want to make reference to parts of the complaint, I want everything presented here at the hearing as evidence that I make a ruling on at the hearing.

So I will consider it as a separate -- as a separate exhibit. And do you have any further objection to it being admitted?

MR. BREIG: No.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I'll admit that -- I don't see it marked this way, but I'm going to mark it as Complainant's Exhibit E.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. BREIG: We have exhibit stickers.

10 | Would you like an exhibit sticker?

2.1

MR. GALEWOOD: I meant to put the tag on it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So I'm admitting it as -- the police report as Complainant's Exhibit E, and I'm admitting it into the record at this time. Thank you.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, I think I've dealt with all of your exhibits, and I ruled on them. So again, before he gets to do cross-examination of what it is that you've testified about, I want you to understand this is your -- basically your last opportunity to present anything in terms of things that you had to say or things that you have to bring that you want the Commission to take into

consideration as it considers your case.

2.1

So I'm letting you just continue, knowing that, you know, you've had some time, you've provided your exhibits, is there anything else you want to add?

6 MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Anything else you 7 want to add?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I mean, if you're done, then he's going to be allowed to ask you questions.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: If there's anything else you want to add, if not, it's their turn. I think you covered everything.

MR. GALEWOOD: I think it covers most of what happened. I mean, you know, things come up, but I have availability of witnesses if I need them.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just giving you a chance. So the next thing that will happen is I'm going to allow him -- remember that you're still under oath and you're testifying, but he can ask you questions if he wants to about the things that you've already testified about.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, as long as I have an opportunity, I want to ask Mr. Bianchi --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No, Mr. Bianchi is

going to take the stand when they are putting their witness on, if they choose to put him on. But right now you still have the stand to testify about your side of the case.

2.1

So if you have -- again, I asked you, I think I heard you don't have anything further, so now I'm giving him the chance to ask you questions about what it is you've already said and brought. It's called cross-examination, and you've got to answer his questions knowing that you're still under oath. Go ahead.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, I don't know outside of what I've discussed, you know, the -- much different as far as what the outcome of what this meeting is, but what I would say is how can Ohio Edison -- you're Ohio Edison here today? He's representing Ohio Edison, that's who I'm talking to right now?

MR. BREIG: Objection to the extent that he's proposing to call me as a witness and ask questions.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go off the record for just a second.

(Discussion off the record.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We'll go back on the

record and begin the cross-examination.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 By Mr. Breig:

- Q. Mr. Galewood, if you don't understand the question that I'm asking, just ask me to rephrase it and I'll rephrase the question.
 - A. Fine. Okay. Go ahead.
- Q. So on the day in question, is it your understanding that a tree fell further down from your property on the line?
- A. At that point I didn't know for sure what caused it, and I wasn't interested as I was guarding my property that was under siege.
- Q. At this point are you now aware of what caused the wires to fall -- the power line to fall down further down the line?
- A. Based on what your company is telling me, you're telling me. I didn't see it, and I will take your word for it. I didn't see it.
- Q. So if the company has records and testimony regarding a tree that fell further away from -- not further away, but up from your house, you have nothing in your records or knowledge to dispute that?

```
Afterward I was told that a tree
1
             Α.
2
     fell on --
 3
                  MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: You can't dispute
 4
     that it was a tree though.
                  MR. GALEWOOD: Well, no, I can't dispute
 5
 6
     it was a tree, see, because that's your problem. It
7
    became my problem. You had a tree coming down and
8
     you having a circuit breaker and go not go like that,
9
    but explode and ripped the line and laid on my
10
     property, that's the answer.
11
     By Mr. Breig:
12
             0.
                 Do you know who owned the tree that fell
13
     down on Ohio Edison's power line?
14
                  No, I don't know, and I don't care.
             Α.
     What is that to me?
15
16
             Ο.
                  Were you aware of any prior issues with
17
     the tree that fell?
18
                  I don't even know where -- I don't know
             Α.
     exactly where it was at. I don't know what house
19
20
     you're talking about. I know after I found out.
2.1
                  MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Then I would answer
22
     no, you don't know.
23
                  MR. BREIG: Your Honor, I object to the
24
    point -- I mean, I understand like helping to
```

understand the question, but to the point of saying

just answer no --

attorney examiner: Again, I want to give some leniency to let him son help him, but the answers we're going to listen to are the ones that you give, and really I think that's the key. So again, basically I don't want any misunderstanding -
MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: He didn't know, so I was trying to get him to get to the point and say no. No, I was telling him to -- just to say no.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, I'm basically saying I want you to continue to help your father to answer the question, but the answers are coming from you, when you're ready to answer.

MR. GALEWOOD: If I don't understand you have to repeat it, just so Chris don't say anything, that --

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.

By Mr. Breig:

- Q. Regarding the incident when the tree fell, the police responded, correct?
- A. The fellow across the street, according to the police report, because I wasn't involved with that.
 - Q. When the wires fell the police responded to the -- to your property, correct?

A. They responded to -- I don't know exactly where they were, because I was on my porch. I can tell you this, that they were up on the corner blocking traffic and keeping people away from getting electrocuted, is what they were doing. And when the sheriff's deputies was on my porch with two firemen making sure I didn't go out of the house.

2.1

- Q. And is it your understanding that the police department or 911 dispatch communicated to Ohio Edison that the power line was down?
- A. Outside of that report, how would I know?
- Q. I know, that's why I'm just asking you if you know.
- A. I wasn't involved in reporting it or being involved with it, the neighbor made the report.

 Joe across the street, his name is on there.

MR. BREIG: Your Honor, with your permission I'm going to hand Mr. Galewood -- it's an exhibit -- I can either refer to his exhibit or I could mark it myself as Company Exhibit 1. It's going to be the police report.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, if it's the same police report that we just marked --

MR. BREIG: It is the same, however I

just now realized regarding his police report, he only had one page. There appears to be two entries of a second page.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is this one in your folder, Mr. Galewood?

MR. BREIG: It is. It's tab 1, the second-to-last pages. Because it appears that the police report was not the entire police report. I would just ask that that be noted in the record for his exhibit.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: He just wants to note that there was a little bit more.

MR. GALEWOOD: I have that at home. I didn't think that was important.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you can have questioning on that and it includes both pages.

MR. BREIG: And I just wanted to note that, I did not know that at the time we saw his.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, you have it.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

By Mr. Breig:

2.1

Q. And if you turn to the first page of the police report, Mr. Galewood, do you see that at 0738 the police department reported that they were out with a tree down across the road?

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

20

2.1

22

- Q. And do you see that at 0739 Ohio Edison was advised?
- A. Yeah. Yeah, I got it, put an arrow on there.
- Q. Yep. And then do you see that 0803 it said FirstEnergy arrived on scene?
 - A. Yeah, I see it.
 - Q. And then at 0821 all lines are de-energized?
- 11 A. Right. Sure.
- Q. So based upon that timing, Ohio Edison arrived prior to the one hour, correct, that they were notified from?
- A. Yeah, when I talked in terms of almost an hour, but I understand what exactly --
- Q. And I understand that the police report,

 at the time when they said -- when they called it,

 said ETA of one hour, does it not, up above?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. But they arrived within about 22 minutes, 23 minutes of the call, correct?
 - A. Whatever is on there, yeah.
- Q. Just wanted to walk through that and clarify that on the police report.

A. Well, I read the report. I mean, it is what it is, you know. I mean, I wasn't involved with it, I just got a copy because page 2 of the report, which I didn't add to my sheet, I have at home, I didn't think it was necessary, talks about my concern about my damage.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You presented this as an exhibit for you, now he's asking you questions about how to understand what it is that is being presented, so you have to answer his questions.

By Mr. Breig:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

25

- Q. And the only reason I brought up page 2 is that way the complete report -- there was a second page. I didn't think that you were doing anything --
 - A. That's fine.
- Q. -- hiding anything, it was just so we knew there was a second page.

What is your understanding of Ohio Edison's program regarding tree trimming?

A. My impression?

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Your understanding.

MR. GALEWOOD: My understanding from

23 | who?

24 By Mr. Breig:

Q. Do you have any understanding of how

often they perform scheduled maintenance trimming?

A. I don't get involved with the maintenance trimming.

2.1

- Q. So you don't have any understanding of --
- A. Let me finish. What I do have is an analysis that there is none going on on a proactive basis, because right now from the bend on Highland where I think the area where the problem was, all the way to the bottom of a hill right into a transformer that is not trimmed, and on Boyden Road I can tell you there are miles that aren't trimmed, and they are right in the trees. So that's my current understanding.

I know years ago, and guys like
Mr. Bianchi, before him, that had Sagamore Hills,
he's not with the company anymore, but he was -- I
was involved with him, they were on a tree trimming
program at the time.

Every so many years, every five years,

AB Tree would come through, or Asplundh, they quit

doing that because the bean counters -- I have a -- a

daughter of a sister of mine who deals in high math,

and she gets into -- works for banks primarily and

hospitals, and what we call bean counters where they

forecast through high math the past, present, and future of what ought to be done.

2.1

2.2

It's like the guy years ago had one less olive in the jar, he saved his company millions by putting one less olive in. So I know bean counters look at the cost. The cost for trimming is phenomenon. This is my impression, my opinion. I've stated my opinion.

That's my opinion of what I know going on today. I don't get involved with that. I'm not a forester, I'm not a tree trimmer, I don't -- you know, that's -- I don't know what relevance that has to this case.

- Q. So you say it's your impression. So you have nothing to dispute if an Ohio Edison representative testifies that every four years they performed scheduled maintenance trimming for the entire circuit?
- A. Well, it may be. My opinion, I'm not -I'm not arguing officially because I don't know, and
 I don't care. All I know is that it's nice when they
 do trim them.

I haven't seen them around my area for a long time. These trees didn't grow this big in four years, okay? They trees haven't been trimmed for 10

or 15 years.

2.1

Q. Now --

A. So I know trees. I know my dad was in the business, I know people that are an arborist, I know people that know what's going on with vegetation. They give me their opinion, okay?

And I think -- take that for what it's worth. I'm not going to sign an affidavit that this is how it is because, you know, I don't get involved in that. So how you guys trim trees is up to you.

I can tell you the trees that I see around me have not been trimmed, okay? That's real. I can take you down there today and you'll see the same thing the pictures got.

- Q. Are you aware that Ohio Edison sends out the forestry division to inspect the circuit by driving it approximately every two years?
- A. How would I be aware of that? I don't work for --
- Q. I'm asking these questions because we're here today regarding a tree that fell, and there's some allegation that the tree should have been trimmed or removed or dealt with.
- A. I didn't make that allegation. I did not make that allegation.

Q. Excuse me, sir, if you could let me finish the question.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Let him finish.

MR. GALEWOOD: Go ahead. I didn't make that allegation.

By Mr. Breig:

2.1

- Q. So the issue here is a tree fell on the wires, and we're trying to -- I just want to understand what your knowledge is of Ohio Edison's practice of vegetation management and tree inspections.
- A. They never involved me, so how would I know? Why do I want to know? I got enough other things I do that are important, you know.

What I will say, I made that statement that -- and I brought it up at trustees' meetings, I go to trustees meetings, and everybody will tell you, all three of the trustees and Police Chief in Sagamore Hills are long time personal friends, two of them personally own businesses now, they manage them. The third one is a math teacher, a high math teacher that's retired, and the Police Chief just went to the FBI school for two months.

So, you know, people trust me. I don't have to lie to anybody. I never have. I built my

reputation on trust and honesty. And I'm respected.

I respect -- and I respect you. You're doing your
job. You're trying to come out of this looking good,
and that's -- I understand that. That's what you're
getting paid for. And I'm really impressed that a
high level legal team is in here against little old
Edward Galewood.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, you're giving me a lot of stuff that you want to emphasize, but I'm asking you to listen to the question and answer the question that's being asked.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well taken.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And if you later need to clarify something, you can do it then. But right now, listen to the question, answer the question.

MR. GALEWOOD: I apologize.

By Mr. Breig:

2.1

- Q. It's an experience for everyone that's been here, especially if it's your first time ever being in an environmental like this, it's completely understandable. I just have a few more questions for you.
- 24 A. Okay.
 - Q. During your presentation of evidence in

this case you brought up an example of your tie rod breaking, do you remember that?

- A. Yeah, just what might be an example of something happening that you're not responsible for so you don't have to take care of the damages, that's all. It's -- to me it's my comparison, and I'm entitled to that.
- Q. Well, regarding a tie rod -- the tie rod in that example, the tie rod is on your vehicle, correct?
- A. Well, it could be on one I borrowed from somebody, it could be on a truck, it could be anybody's vehicle, could be a bus.
- Q. But it's a vehicle you driving.

 MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: He's talking about that example.
- MR. GALEWOOD: If I was driving it, yeah.
- 19 By Mr. Breig:

- Q. And if that broke causing something else to happen, your expectation and your opinion is whoever -- you know, whoever that belonged to, they should pay for the damage, correct?
- A. It's not my expectation, it's just my -a comparison, something that could happen and

somebody could be in the mind set that, you know, to do that, you know.

2.1

And I'm not saying that's ever going to happen, I'm just giving the example if something happened that I'm responsible for, but there's something else caused that, I shouldn't have to pay for it.

- Q. Do you know if Ohio Edison was responsible for that tree that fell on the wires?
- A. I really don't know. Based on this -Mr. Bianchi's analysis it was a healthy maple tree,
 it come down anyway. That's what I read here. I
 didn't get that before, because I wasn't that
 involved in it.

I didn't go down there, I wasn't interested. I was more interested on saving my house from as much damage as possible, nobody getting electrocuted, because that's the big deal.

That's why the police got out there, to make sure nobody got into that wire. That wire on a wet day, I could have been ten feet from that in the grass and get electrocuted. That's the problem.

- Q. And we're glad no one --
- A. It electrocuted my trees.
- Q. We're glad no one was injured.

A. Yeah.

- Q. Regarding the wire falling, do you believe that -- is it your understanding that if a tree falls on the wires, it can cause tension down that wire line?
- A. That didn't happen on my end, my end was an exploding breaker.
- Q. But you don't know what caused that tension on that exploding breaker, correct?
- A. No, because I wasn't out exploring to see exactly what happened at that point. There was nothing in it for me to do that. I mean, I stay home, take care of my family, make sure nobody came over and walked into that thing and got electrocuted.
- Q. And turning to Exhibit D -- this is the last question I have.
- MR. BREIG: Turning to Exhibit D, your Honor, to show him for purposes, and if you want me to mark it mine, I'm just referencing --
- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No, if it's his
 21 Exhibit D we'll just refer to it that way.
- 22 By Mr. Breig:
- Q. Exhibit D under photo 3, your

 description, not the photo, you say a tree falling on

 to the Ohio Edison power line was responsible for

damage to my property. Ohio Edison has failed to maintain a proper right-of-way clearance for their transmission line. Is that a correct quote from your Exhibit D?

A. What I'm saying here is my understanding, and I got -- I knew it was a tree of some sort, you know, the fact shortly after it happened.

I didn't know the exact reason for the outage, because we get outages sometimes that are wind damage and just hard to say, so I didn't know, but it says a tree fell on the Ohio Edison power line, that's a possible fact.

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: That's what he's asking you.

MR. GALEWOOD: That's a fact, because your tree falling -- or a tree falling on your line didn't do this in front of my house, it went this, it blew up, snapped the line and the line laid on my trees.

21 By Mr. Breig:

- Q. The tension from the tree falling on the wires caused the wires to fall off the pole?
 - A. That's what you're saying.
- Q. Correct.

A. I'll take your word for it.

2.1

- Q. Okay. I just wanted to ---
- A. I wasn't there. I didn't go down, I didn't get any followup, and I didn't care, I had enough problems getting my part of it straightened out.

MR. BREIG: No further questions.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Since he's done asking cross-examination questions, if you wanted to take a chance to further explain any answers that you gave, you can do that, and then he can ask you questions based on what you say now.

But again, he's done asking questions.

If you're done answering his questions, you can just stop, but if you want to further explain anything about what he asked you, this is your chance. And if you've already explained it enough, we can just move on to the next part of the hearing.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, you know, it is what it is, as far as I'm concerned. And I've explained the best I can my position, which obviously doesn't agree with Ohio Edison's position.

And my feelings are that regardless of how the Commission comes out that -- you know, hopefully that they decide that on my side of it,

they may decide -- I understand that, but understand this -- and I don't threaten anybody, I promise, okay?

2.1

I follow through. It's going to go further. I've already talked to some people, okay?

I have a case here, I know I do. And Ohio Edison, I understand, you know, hesitates to pay out, because if they do, then the next person expects to get paid, and that's -- everything personal to a person I expect to be handled that way.

So they can do whatever they want, I'm not going to get excited. I say again, I don't need the money --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, now I think you're talking about things that don't have anything to do with what he asked you.

And this was only your chance to speak about explaining things that related to what he asked you, and now you're telling me about settlements, which I already told you not to, about money which I don't want to hear about.

But this was your chance to explain anything about what he asked you about. So let's get back to that topic, if you have anything further.

MR. GALEWOOD: Now, who do I ask the

question to?

2.1

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: No, he wants to know if there's anything he asked you about, if you want to rebut any of that.

MR. GALEWOOD: Yeah, I do, because number one, I don't see my opinion -- how the -- my knowledge of what Ohio Edison does relative to maintenance procedures and what they exactly do, I'm not involved with that, and I think it's irrelevant to what we're dealing with here. My knowledge of their tree maintenance program, it's irrelevant.

And as some of the others questions regarding that tree and that -- the bottom line is -- well, I'm not arguing that, I just object to that, because that has nothing to do with what we're here to talk about today, my opinion.

It is to them, maybe, not to me, because I don't care about their maintenance program. What I do care about is when they don't do it, and that's what I'm telling you, they don't do out by me, and that's one of the reasons that we're here today in part.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And I hear that further explanation. I think it matches with the way that you answered the questions when they

were asked. I will allow if --

2.1

2.2

MR. BREIG: Nothing further.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will allow all the questions that were asked as part of the record, I think they were appropriate questions and I'll accept your answers to them.

MR. GALEWOOD: I'm okay then.

attorney examiner: Okay. I think we reached the point where I'm going to ask you if the Complainants are ready to rest in terms of their own presentation of their own side of the case, and if they are ready to rest, then I'm going to turn it over to Ohio Edison to present their case in chief. If you're ready to rest?

MR. GALEWOOD: Yeah.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Then does anyone want to take a break?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BREIG: I was about to say the two hours of coffee and water on the road down here --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's take a short break, you know, ten minutes or so.

(Recess taken.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So we'll begin by allowing the Ohio Edison to put on its case in chief, and if you want to call a witness first.

MR. BREIG: The company calls Mr. John
Bianchi to testify.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'll let you testify from where you're at. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you provide will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. BIANCHI: I do.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

John Bianchi,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

13 | By Mr. Breig:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

15

16

- Q. Can you please state your full name, and spell it for the record, please?
 - A. John Bianchi, B-i-a-n-c-h-i.
- Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I'm employed by Ohio Edison as a forester specialist they call it.

MR. BREIG: Your Honor, can I hand the
witness what has been premarked for identification as
Company Exhibit 2? And this is the direct testimony
that was filed.

25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

MR. BREIG: And the Complainant has a copy in front of them. And, your Honor, it's Tab 3 for you, and here is a marked copy for the Court Reporter.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

By Mr. Breig:

6

7

8

9

- Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as Company Exhibit 2. Do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What is it?
- A. It's an affidavit of what -- about myself and what possibly happened out on the -- during the outage.
- Q. And did you prepare this or cause this to be prepared at your direction with input?
 - A. Did I what now?
- Q. Was this document prepared with your assistance?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. If you were asked the same questions that are in this document here today, would your answers be the same?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MR. BREIG: And, your Honor, I would
 just ask permission to briefly do additional direct

on just a few questions on what was brought up during Mr. Galewood's direct and cross-examination.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, go ahead and do that now. And you will be listening, so when it's your turn for cross-examination, you can ask him about everything that's already written and whatever he's about to talk about. But it's not your turn, first he'll get to ask his questions.

9 By Mr. Breig:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

- Q. Were you present today for the testimony of Mr. Galewood?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you hear Mr. Galewood describe tension causing wires to fall down on his property?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it common for tension to cause wires to fall down on property when a tree falls further up the line?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And in your experience, has that happened before?
- 22 A. Yes.

MR. BREIG: I have no further additional direct on Mr. Galewood's testimony. He's available for cross-examination.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So now it's your turn you can ask Mr. Bianchi anything that relates to his testimony, including what he just said live, but also what he put in his prefiled testimony. So any questions that relate to that you can ask.

2.1

MR. GALEWOOD: Here is my response to that.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, again, I'm not asking for you to provide your response, I'm asking you to ask him questions about what he testified to.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, when you asked

Mr. Bianchi about what -- is that common and he said

no, and I would --

MR. BREIG: Objection, your Honor, misstates the testimony.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, I'm not clear -- I'm not arguing with you, but I'm not clear on how it misstates the testimony. But again, I'm going to give him some room to try to formulate a question.

MR. GALEWOOD: Yes, if a wire goes down is it common for a breaker to do what it did to my house? Instead of doing this, it exploded, and when it --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, you're facing

75 me and it sounds like you're testifying to me. What 1 2 I want you to do is look at the witness and ask him questions about what he said that you have questions 3 4 on. 5 MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: Is there anything in here that he said --6 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I know you might have a different point of view. We're not asking 8 9 what your point of view is, we're asking you to ask 10 him about what he stated. 11 MR. GALEWOOD: I have a question --12 statement about what he just said. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I don't want a 14 statement, I want a question, if it's a question 15 about what he said. 16 MR. GALEWOOD: Want me to ask him a 17 question? 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yeah, that's what 19 you're supposed to do. 20 MR. GALEWOOD: To Mr. Bianchi? 2.1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yeah. 2.2 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 By Mr. Galewood:

When you say -- here is -- I'm going to

25

Q.

- ask the question. When you agreed that most cases the breaker couldn't explode, that's --
- A. We didn't talk about that, that wasn't a question.
- Q. You asked what happened to me was common or --
 - A. No, was it common --
 - Q. -- or if it ever happened?
 - A. No, if it's common for a tree to come down for a wire with tension and for the wire to break.
- 12 Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

- 13 A. That's all that was said.
 - Q. Well, that's not what happened at -ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, you're

 telling me what happened. It's not time for you to

 tell me what happened, you had your chance to tell me

 what happened. Now it's your chance to ask him

 questions with --
- 20 By Mr. Galewood:
- Q. Are you fully aware of what happened in front of my house?
- 23 A. Only from testimony.
- Q. Exactly. What's that?
- 25 A. Only from your statements.

- Q. You weren't there?
- 2 A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And you don't know exactly what happened?
- A. No.
 - Q. Okay. So --
- 7 A. On your property, no.

MR. GALEWOOD: Well, I don't see -- I don't -- I've never been in a court of law, okay? It doesn't make me nervous, but I don't know all the -- whereto, therefore, and all that, you know. And to me it's a bunch of jargon, but it's important in the legal picture, so I'm not in it.

- 14 | By Mr. Galewood:
- Q. So you don't know exactly what happened in front of my house. Were you made aware of it right after it happened?
 - A. No.
 - Q. So when did you go down and look at the maple tree that you -- you're saying that? It was what you said?
- A. I was told about it probably about a week later.
- Q. Week later? Wonder why that didn't come up in the -- in November when we had a conference

- call. It never come up.
- 2 I'm not understanding what you're Α. 3 saying.
 - Well, you weren't involved in that? Ο.
- 5 Α. No.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- And I'm not belittling your job, you do Q. what you do. The title is only as good as a person that stands behind it, okay?
 - Α. Right.
- And I respect you for what you're doing Q. for these people, and I don't care, that's your business. But go ahead.
- MR. GALEWOOD: I wanted it noted that he wasn't at the scene, he didn't come by, he has no firsthand knowledge of what happened. It's hearsay to him what happened.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think that is what I was hearing was the gist of the questions you asked and the answers that he gave. So that is on the record. Do you have any other questions for him?
- MR. GALEWOOD: Not really. I mean, good 22 luck on your tree trimming. I don't hold him -- the rules are the rules. I've dealt with trees when I 23 24 was with AT&T and Ohio Bell.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. I'm

hearing that you don't have any further cross-examination of the witness. Do you have any redirect?

MR. BREIG: Just briefly, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, listen to what he's asking, because you can ask more questions but they have to relate to this line of questioning right now.

MR. GALEWOOD: To Mr. Bianchi, but it has to be what --

MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: What he's going to say now is what you can respond to, but nothing else.

13

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

15 By Mr. Breig:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. On Mr. Galewood's street a tree had fallen down onto a tree, correct -- a tree had fallen down onto wires, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And that caused other wires to fall down, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And that's your understanding from
 reviewing the company records and from going out to
 the scene a few days or a week later and observing

the stump of the trunk of the maple tree, correct?

A. Correct.

MR. BREIG: No further questions.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, again, you can ask questions, but they have to relate what --

2.1

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Galewood:

- Q. When you say a wire coming down from a tree could take other wires down, the tree in this case, you understand, was nowhere near my property?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you understand the breaker --
 - A. I understand that.
- Q. You're not aware of what happened to my property, but what happened was it shook my house, it blew up, it exploded. And I mean, man, it vibrated the house, and that caused that wire to snap, the same thing that happened last time. They only take so much -- those things are tight.

MR. BREIG: Objection, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, you're spending a lot of time telling me stuff that's not really in evidence, so it's just -- it has to relate to the questions he was being asked.

```
81
                  MR. GALEWOOD: Okay. I'll go back then.
 1
 2
     By Mr. Galewood:
 3
                  The question I'm asking you is, you say
             Ο.
     that a pole coming down on a wire can pull another
 4
 5
     wire from the next person's house, but would you
 6
     agree it wouldn't be able to snap a wire a half a
 7
     mile away?
 8
             Α.
                  I don't agree with that. It could
9
     happen.
10
                  You agree with that?
             Q.
                  MR. CHRIS GALEWOOD: He said it could
11
12
     happen.
13
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, it could happen.
14
     By Mr. Galewood:
15
             Ο.
                  You may have seen it happen, but mine
     exploded, it broke, it just didn't go down.
16
17
                  I don't know anything about that.
             Α.
18
             Q.
                  Mine snapped, but -- I got witnesses to
19
     exactly what happened. Firemen --
20
                  MR. BREIG: Objection, your Honor.
2.1
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again --
22
                  MR. GALEWOOD: All right. Strike it.
23
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Your chance to bring
24
     witnesses --
25
     By Mr. Galewood:
```

- Q. What I'm saying is, are you saying my problem is caused by that tree coming down, just falling down?
 - A. As far as I know. As far as I know.
- Q. Are you saying it broke because that tree come down a half a mile down the road, that that tree that you are verifying, validating, when it came down, it caused my wire to snap a half a mile away? Did you ever see that happen?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You got to be kidding me. No, I don't believe it. Where? You know, if you guys -- I can't prove it, so you come up with a statement like that. What I'm saying is that --
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, we're not asking you to say anything, we're asking you to ask questions of him.
- 18 | By Mr. Galewood:

2.1

- Q. Did you witness what happened to my property where that wire was snapping?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. So you can't say one way or the other whether that tree had anything to do with it, even though I know it didn't and I can prove it, but do you have any evidence that it did that, that it

caused mine to break?

2.1

- A. I was sent out for an outage investigation.
 - O. What's that?
- A. I was sent out for an outage investigation that we have, and it's my job to go out, find out what caused the power outage. A tree fell down.
- 9 Q. Were you there that day when it came down?
- 11 A. No.
 - Q. I mean, I know what happened. Even though it could happen, you can't say that that was what caused mine, because I can prove it didn't because I watched a guy up there change --

MR. BREIG: Objection.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, you're just being argumentative. You will get another chance to be argumentative when we're all done, but it's not your turn to testify, it's not your turn to argue, it's your turn to get answers from him that help him explain his testimony.

MR. GALEWOOD: What I want written in the record is Mr. Bianchi, since he was out there some certain time shortly after the incident occurred

to investigate, I never seen him in front of my house and he wasn't --

MR. BREIG: Objection.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Now you're telling me about your perspective of things, but you're going to have to ask him about his testimony, that's what this is about.

In fact, it's limited to the questions that came up after your last chance at cross-examination. So I think you're going beyond the scope of what you're allowed to ask him.

And later on when both sides have rested, I'm going to allow both sides to make oral arguments before me on a closing argument, and you can argue, and you won't be interrupted, you can argue anything that you want.

MR. GALEWOOD: Can I ask him one more question?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, but make it a question about his testimony.

21 | By Mr. Galewood:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

- Q. Once again, were you -- did you come to my property when that incident occurred?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Did you investigate what happened in

```
85
     front of my house?
 1
 2
             Α.
                  No.
                  MR. GALEWOOD: Okay. That's it.
 3
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.
 4
 5
     Any followup?
 6
                  MR. BREIG: No, your Honor.
 7
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any other witnesses?
                  MR. BREIG: No. Ohio Edison rests its
 8
9
     case.
10
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you move the
11
     admission --
12
                  MR. BREIG: I do. I move to admit
13
    Exhibit C-2 into evidence, which is the direct
14
     testimony of our expert witness.
15
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any
16
     objection to me allowing his testimony into the
17
     record? And if so, what is your objection?
18
                  MR. GALEWOOD: No, his testimony is what
19
    he witnessed and can attest to.
20
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you don't object
2.1
     if I admit it into the record and the Commission
22
     considers that along with your testimony, is that
     right?
23
24
                  MR. GALEWOOD: As far as Mr. Bianchi's?
25
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm making a
```

decision whether I'm going to allow this testimony.

2.1

MR. GALEWOOD: No. What is here in this document? No, I have no problem with it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Then I will admit it into the record at this time.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

now rested, so we have reached the point where both parties have presented all the evidence that they are going to present in the case, so what is left, if we choose to do it, is I'll allow both sides probably a limited amount of time, five minutes or less, to make closing arguments.

And before we do that, we can also have a discussion whether either side wants to submit briefs in the case. I don't know if you're familiar with briefs, but the idea of brief is -- and you can do briefs instead of an oral argument if that's what you choose to do.

The purpose of a brief is that it's a written statement that you'll provide in the file, make arguments and help the Commission understand what it is that you feel you presented to the Commission, why you should prevail, and what it is that is wrong about the other side's argument.

So it's a written statement, a formal statement that you can make arguments to the Commission about how they should decide the case.

2.1

And usually we give parties about 30 days after the transcript comes in to prepare the briefs, if they chose -- if I make a ruling that we're going to have briefs in the case.

And the other possibility is that even if we have briefs, there's also a chance to allow both sides to file a reply brief where you could reply to what the other side says in their initial brief. Let's go off the record and finish that discussion.

(Discussion off the record.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go back on the record. And I'm about to bring the hearing to a close, but while we were on the break we discussed it, and so based on what got discussed, I'm going to establish a hearing -- a briefing schedule in this case.

And I'm making a ruling here from the Bench that initial briefs in this case will be filed -- the deadline for filing them will be 30 days from the date that the transcript becomes available of record on the Commission's website.

And the deadline for the filing of reply briefs will be -- I'll make it 15 days from the date that the initial briefs are due.

And I already made clear that I'm expecting that the briefs will be filed by mailing a copy of them to the Commission with the case number 20-1606-EL-CSS, and a copy should be served upon the other side at the same time that they are mailed to the Commission.

So because we're going to have briefs submitted in the case we're not going to have closing arguments today at the hearing. And I think with that ruling made, I'm going bring this hearing to a close. And I would expect that the Commission will make its decision in this case as soon as practicable after the filing of briefs. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 12:05 p.m.)

- - -

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, August 10, 2021, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Valerie J. Grubaugh,
Court Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State
of Ohio.

My commission expires August 11, 2026.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/25/2021 2:43:34 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-1606-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript August 10th 2021

In the Matter of: Edward L. Galewood, vs. Ohio Edison Company. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Grubaugh, Valerie