BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of Ray's

Transport, Inc., Notice of : Case No.
Apparent Violation and : 21-143-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess Forfeiture. :

PROCEEDINGS

Before Daniel E. Fullin, Attorney Examiner, held at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Tuesday, August 17, 2021, at 10:00, A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
            Mr. Werner L. Margard
 2
            Assistant Attorney General
 3
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
                  On behalf of the Staff of the
 5
                  Public Utilities Commission
                  of Ohio.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	DIRECT CROSS	
4	STAFF'S WITNESSES	
5	Inspector William Johnson 7	
6	Rod Moser 16	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STAF	F'S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	8	23	
5 6	2	Notice of Apparent Violation	17	23	
7	3	Notice of Preliminary Determination	17	23	
8	4	Photograph of ELD	10	23	
9	5	Bill of Lading	11	23	
11	6	Emergency Declaration Under 49 CFR 390.23	20	23	
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	1				

1 Tuesday Morning, 2 August 17, 2021. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: The 5 Commission has assigned for hearing at this time 6 and place Case No. 21-143-TR-CVF in the Matter 7 of Ray's Transport, Inc. Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. 8 9 My name is Daniel E. Fullin, I am 10 the Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this case 11 today, and we will begin by having appearances 12 first on behalf of the Staff. 13 MR. MARGARD. Thank you, Your Honor. On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio Public 14 15 Utilities Commission, Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney 16 General; John Jones, Section Chief of the Public 17 Utilities Section, by Assistant Attorney General 18 Werner L. Margard, 30 East Broad Street, 26th 19 Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank

you. And I will note that while I will ask for

appearance for Complainant, but note there

doesn't seem to be anyone here appearing on

behalf of the Complainant.

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, at this point I will allow the

Staff to make any motions and put on its case, or both.

2.

2.1

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I would respectfully move for a default judgment against the Respondent having failed to appear. I am prepared to go forward with my case.

will take the motion for default judgment under advisement. I wouldn't rule on it from the Bench anyway. Maybe the Commission will choose to rule that way rather than some other way in the case. But, so I will take that under advisement, wait for the Commission decision, but also allow you to put your case in chief on for today.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. For my first witness I would call Motor Carrier Inspector Johnson to the stand, please.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: My intention would be to just allow him to stay where he is and testify from there. If the court reporter can hear just as well that would be fine. And the next witness can either take the stand or just come up to the table, either way.

7 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 I appreciate it. 3 (WITNESS SWORN) 4 5 INSPECTOR WILLIAM JOHNSON called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 6 7 testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 By Mr. Margard: 10 Would you please state your name for Q. 11 the record? 12 Α. William Johnson. 13 Q. And by whom are you employed and in what capacity, please? 14 By the State of Ohio, and I am a 15 Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector. 16 17 Q. Can you briefly describe your duties? 18 19 I inspect trucks, log books, 20 mechanically, also check for tires, brakes. 2.1 Q. And how long have you been doing 2.2 that? 23 A. Five years. 24 Okay. Did you have occasion to be Ο. 25 performing those duties on the 5th of November

8 in 2020? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And as result of your work on that Q. date did you have occasion to inspect a truck 4 5 being operated by Ray's Transport, Inc? 6 Α. Yes, I did. 7 Ο. And as a result of that inspection did you issue a Driver/Vehicle Examination 8 9 Report? 10 Α. Yes, I did. 11 I have placed before you a document Ο. 12 that I have marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit 1. Can you 13 14 identify that document? 15 Yes. It's a copy of the inspection. 16 Ο. And was that prepared by you at the 17 time of your inspection? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And have you had an opportunity to Ο. 20 review this prior to taking the stand today? 2.1 Α. Yes. And does it accurately reflect your 22

I want to briefly go through

findings on that date?

Α.

Q.

Yes, it does.

23

24

```
the violations. The first violation says

'Onboard recording device does not display
required information."
```

Did the vehicle have the onboard recording device?

A. Yes, it did.

4

5

6

9

- Q. What information did it not display,
 8 if you recall?
 - A. It didn't display the mileage driven.
- Q. Okay. The next violation indicates
 that the "Driver failed to maintain ELD
 instruction sheet."
- First of all, for the record, ELD
 means --
- 16 A. Electronic logging device.
- Q. And what is an ELD instruction sheet?
- A. It is a piece of paper that has
 the company, or it could be electronic also,
 that when you are stopped it will say this is
 how you transfer it to that MCSA website. Very
 basic information. Just push this button, do
 this and off it goes.
- Q. And the driver did not have such a

Proceedings 10 sheet? 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 Thank you. The next violation Q. indicates the "Driver failed to manually add the 4 5 trailer number." This would be on the logging device? 6 7 Α. Correct. And there was no trailer number 8 Ο. noted on the logging device? 9 10 Α. Correct. Was there a trailer on this vehicle? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. What type of trailer was it? Do you recall? 14 15 It was a Walbash. Α. 16 Was it a regular cargo, was it a Q. 17 refrigerator? 18 Α. It's a refrigerated trailer. 19 Thank you very much. I am going to Ο. 20 ask you in the packet that I have handed you to 2.1 go to what's been marked as Staff Exhibit 4. 22 It's a photograph.

Α.

Ο.

Okay.

23

24

Do you recognize this photograph?

- Q. Is this a photograph that you took at the time of the inspection?
 - A. Yes. There is me in the reflection.
- Q. And it's a reflection of the device, and you would have expected to see in this display the things that you noted in your report were missing?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So this photograph documents that missing information; correct?
- 11 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

- Q. Thank you. The next violation on the inspection report is failure to manually add the shipping document number. Is that also something that would be displayed on the ELD?
- 16 A. Yes, it would be.
- Q. And it was not?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. Did the driver have a shipping document?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me ask you to turn to what is
 marked as Staff Exhibit No. 5. It's another
 photograph. And can you identify that document
 for me?

- A. That's his shipping documents.
- 2 Q. And did you take this photograph?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

4

- Q. At the time of the inspection?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What does this photograph show?
- A. It will show the shipping document information like the shipper, what he is hauling, the shipping document number itself, where he is coming from and going to. And what the commodity is.
 - Q. And what commodity was he hauling?
- 13 A. Just refrigerated food.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And this is the document that has the number that you expected to see on the ELD?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. Thank you. The next violation that
 you noted was "Driving beyond the 11 hour
 driving limit in a 14 hour period."
- 21 How did you determine this
- 22 | violation?
- A. By looking at his logs.
- Q. And did his logs show that he had
- 25 more than 11 hours in 14?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

8

2.1

22

Q. And likewise the final violation is "Driving beyond the eight hour limit since the end of the last off duty or sleeper period of at least 30 minutes."

Did you discover this in the same manner?

- A. Yes. Looking at the logs.
- 9 Q. Okay. In refreshing your
 10 recollection prior to the hearing today in
 11 reviewing this report was there any other
 12 information of note as to why he had these
 13 violations? Did he give you any reason or
 14 explanation?
- 15 A. He said Covid exemption.
- Q. Did he explain what he meant by that?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of Covid exemptions?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is your understanding of Covid exemptions?
- A. They are not for general stocking of shelves. They are for supplying a significant amount of the product.

- Q. Are you familiar with this company?
- A. No, not really. They are just a trucking company.
- Q. But in your opinion and based on your experience was this just a routine grocery shipment?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is there anything else with respect to your inspection that we haven't covered that you think the Commission needs to know in order to be able to make a decision in this case?
- A. No.

2.1

- MR. MARGARD: Thank you. Your

 Honor, I have no further questions for

 the witness.
 - ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: Towards the end of your questioning or answering of questions you talked about a Covid exemption having to do with stocking of shelves. That is what I heard.
 - Could you explain more? I am not familiar with Covid exemptions. Could you just help me understand more about what you are talking about?
- THE WITNESS: The Covid exemptions

```
allowed for -- I always got it confused. I
always had to make a phone call to my supervisor
about it because there were a lot of
stipulations.

But it was not just general
restocking like filling up the shelves at
Speedway. It was more for transporting food
that was needed somewhere, and they got
```

8 that was needed somewhere, and they got
9 exemptions so they didn't have to run log books,
10 you just drive.
11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.
12 Maybe you have already covered this, but just
13 because I didn't note it, I concentrated on

everything that I heard, could you explain why
in this case it doesn't seem to be a situation
where the Covid exemption would apply?

THE WITNESS: Because it was just general groceries to a store.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

Thank you. I don't think I have any further questions. Thank you for your testimony.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you. Your Honor, Staff would call Mr. Rod Moser to the stand, please.

(WITNESS SWORN)

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- - - - - J -

		16
1		
2	ROD MOSER	
3	called as a witness, being first duly sworn,	
4	testified as follows:	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
6	By Mr. Margard:	
7	Q. Mr. Moser, will you please state	
8	your name for the record?	
9	A. My name is Rod Moser.	
10	Q. And by whom are you employed and in	
11	what capacity?	
12	A. I am the Chief of the Registration	
13	and Compliance Divisions within the	
14	Transportation Department of the Public	
15	Utilities Commission of Ohio.	
16	Q. And have you been present throughout	
17	the hearing?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. Are you familiar with	
20	the Commission's files in this matter?	
21	A. I am, yes.	
22	Q. And did you have an opportunity to	
23	review it prior to taking the stand today?	
24	A. I did.	

Q. Thank you. Let me ask you first if

you have before you what's been marked as Staff Exhibit No. 1 previously identified as the inspection report in this case?

- Α. Yes, sir, I do.
- Ο. Is this document contained as part of the Commission's record in this case?
 - Α. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Ο. Let me ask you then to turn to, please, Staff Exhibit No. 2. Will you identify that document for me, please?
- Sure. This is what we commonly 12 refer to as an a NAV, or Notice of Apparent Violation. It's a listing of the violations reported on the inspection.

The reason it's in this format as an NAV is because there were no fines assessed as part of this civil forfeiture process.

- Let me then ask you to turn to Staff Q. Exhibit No. 3, and identify that document, please?
- 2.1 This is again what we commonly refer 22 to as an NPD, or Notice of Preliminary Determination. This is a document that 23 24 basically says that the carrier, the Respondent, 25 in this case the carrier, had a conference with

one of the compliance officers, they were unable to resolve any differences.

And this document tells the carrier that their options going forward are either to pay the fine or not pay the fine in this case, but that they could request an administrative hearing.

- Q. These notices indicated in Staff Exhibit No. 2 and Staff Exhibit No. 3, these are required notices; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

- Q. Under the Commission's rules and in your opinion were these notices properly issued and timely served?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, they were.
- Q. Thank you. And you indicated that there were no forfeitures assessed in this.

 Can you briefly explain why that is the case?
- A. Sure. The violations that are reportable by way of inspections are divided into groups. There is five groups. Group zero, 1, 2, 3 and 4.
- Group zero violations never have a
 fine. Groups 1, 2 and 3 have a fine if
 the violation is an out of service violation.

Group 4 always has a fine.

2.1

2.2

Groups zero through 3 are generally related to nominal out of service violations and equipment issues. And they are -- group zero violations are generally considered not to be impactful or related to crashes. Group 1 is the most likely to cause a crash than Group 2 and Group 3.

In this case there are five Group Zeros, again those never have a fine. And then the Group 1 is driving 11 hours in a 14 hour period, it was not an out of service violation, so there was no fine assessed.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, very much. Your Honor, I have provided the witness, the Bench and the court reporter with an additional document from the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration marked Emergency Declaration Under 49 CFR Section 390.23. And I would respectfully request that administrative notice be taken of this document.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: I think I will also mark it as an exhibit.

MR. MARGARD: Very good. In that case, Your Honor, we will mark it as Staff

Exhibit No. 6.

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN:

3 I am marketing the copy I have, and I will take administrative notice of it as well.

5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF

6 IDENTIFICATION)

MR. MARGARD: Thank you.

- Mr. Moser, do you have before you Q. what's been marked now as Staff Exhibit No. 6?
 - Α. I do, yes.
- And are you familiar with this Ο. document?
 - Α. I know of it. I can't say I am intimately familiar with it.
 - Ο. I understand. Let me direct your attention to the third paragraph and just ask you if you would read the first couple of lines in that paragraph?
 - Sure. "This Emergency Declaration Α. provides regulatory relief for commercial motor vehicle operations that are providing direct assistance in support of emergency relief efforts related to Covid-19 outbreaks, including transportation to meet immediate needs for: medical supplies and equipment related to the

```
testing, diagnosis and treatment of Covid-19;
1
2
     (2) supplies and equipment necessary for
 3
     community safety, sanitation, and prevention of
     community transmission of Covid-19 such as
 4
 5
    masks, gloves, hand sanitizer, soap and
 6
     disinfectants; (3) food for emergency restocking
     of stores."
7
8
            Ο.
                 And I can probably stop you there.
9
     No need to read the entire paragraph.
10
                 Is it your understanding that the
11
     issue in this matter relates to food?
12
            Α.
                 Yes. Specifically not just that you
13
     are transporting food, but it's for emergency
14
     restocking.
15
            0.
                 And in fact let me direct your
16
     attention on that same paragraph, about the
17
     fourth line up beginning with the word direct
18
     assistance. Do you see that sentence? It's in
```

21 A. Okay. Yes.

bottom?

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. It says direct assistance. Do you see that?

the third paragraph, four lines up from the

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And would you read that sentence,

please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. "Direct assistance does not include routine commercial deliveries, or transportation of mixed loads that include essential supplies, equipment and persons, along with supplies, equipment and persons that are not being transported in support of emergency relief efforts related to the Covid-19 outbreaks."
- Q. And what is your understanding of that sentence?
- A. Again, it would only apply to emergency relief efforts.
 - Q. And not regular grocery shipments?
- A. Right. Not run of the mill deliveries.
- MR. MARGARD: I have no further
 questions for the witnesses. Thank you, Your
 Honor.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank

 you. I don't think I have any other questions.

 Thank you.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, that

 concludes Staff's case. I would respectfully

 move for the admission of Staff Exhibits 1

 through 6. And I would at this time renew my

motion for a default judge against the Respondent.

2.1

admit all 6 Staff Exhibits into the record at this time. And, again, advise that I am taking, acknowledging your motion for default judgment and taking it under advisement subject to the Commission ruling on it.

I guess I also want to ask, I haven't done that many of these types of cases, and the ones that I have done always have some kind of assessment. Here I see that's not the case, so am I to understand that the Staff is looking to have the Commission find the violations that are set out here, but not necessarily proposing any kind of assessment in this case?

MR. MARGARD: That is correct, Your Honor. And there are consequences for finding violations even without forfeiture, and we can certainly have a Staff witness speak to that if you desire, but that is in fact what we are looking for in this case is a finding of violations.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

```
1
     Yes, I just wanted to understand because, again,
 2
     having limited experience and not having that
     situation come up before, I didn't want to be
 3
     making an assumption that that was the case
 4
 5
     without some understanding from the Staff that
     that is what's involved here.
 6
 7
                 MR. MARGARD: That is correct, Your
 8
     Honor.
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN:
                                              So, I
10
     don't think we need any further testimony on
11
     that. I do feel like if I come into need of
12
     further understanding of that situation I could
13
     consult with Staff without necessarily rendering
14
     any kind of prejudice into the case or anything.
15
     So I will reply on the ability to do that as
16
     well.
17
                 And with that I think we can
18
     probably bring this hearing to a close. And I
19
     appreciate everyone's time and effort, and the
20
     case will be submitted on the record based on
2.1
     today's hearing record.
2.2
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER FULLIN:
                                              Thank
24
     you.
25
                 (At 10:35 A.M. the hearing was
```

concluded) CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on August 17, 2021, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/25/2021 1:12:22 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0143-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript August 17th 2021

In the Matter of Ray's Transport, Inc., Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.