APPENDIX O WETLAND AND STREAM DELINEATION REPORT Part 3 of 3

\wedge	1MB		6
8b	Mature forested wetlands. Is the wetland a forested wetland with 50% or more of the cover of upper forest canopy consisting of deciduous trees with large diameters at breast height (dbh), generally diameters greater than 45cm (17.7in) dbh?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status.	Go to Question 9a
		Go to Question 9a	
9a	Lake Erie coastal and tributary wetlands. Is the wetland located at an elevation less than 575 feet on the USGS map, adjacent to this	YES	NO)
9b	elevation, or along a tributary to Lake Erie that is accessible to fish? Does the wetland's hydrology result from measures designed to	Go to Question 9b YES	Go to Question 10
55	prevent erosion and the loss of aquatic plants, i.e. the wetland is partially hydrologically restricted from Lake Erie due to lakeward or landward dikes or other hydrological controls?	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	Go to Question 9c
9c	Are Lake Erie water levels the wetland's primary hydrological influence,	Go to Question 10 YES	NO
30	i.e. the wetland is hydrologically unrestricted (no lakeward or upland border alterations), or the wetland can be characterized as an "estuarine" wetland with lake and river influenced hydrology. These include sandbar deposition wetlands, estuarine wetlands, river mouth wetlands, or those dominated by submersed aquatic vegetation.	Go to Question 9d	Go to Question 10
9d	Does the wetland have a predominance of native species within its	YES	NO
	vegetation communities, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native species can also be present?	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland	Go to Question 9e
		Go to Question 10	
9e	Does the wetland have a predominance of non-native or disturbance tolerant native plant species within its vegetation communities?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	NO Go to Question 10
-40	Lake Diein Cond Braining (Oak Openings) to the wetland legated in	Go to Question 10	NO
10	Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) Is the wetland located in Lucas, Fulton, Henry, or Wood Counties and can the wetland be characterized by the following description: the wetland has a sandy substrate with interspersed organic matter, a water table often within several inches of the surface, and often with a dominance of the gramineous vegetation listed in Table 1 (woody species may also be present). The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves can provide assistance in confirming this type of wetland and its quality.	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 11	Go to Question 11
11	Relict Wet Prairies. Is the wetland a relict wet prairie community dominated by some or all of the species in Table 1. Extensive prairies	YES	NO
	were formerly located in the Darby Plains (Madison and Union Counties), Sandusky Plains (Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion Counties), northwest Ohio (e.g. Erie, Huron, Lucas, Wood Counties), and portions of western Ohio Counties (e.g. Darke, Mercer, Miami, Montgomery, Van Wert etc.).	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Complete Quantitative Rating	Complete Quantitative Rating

Complete Quantitative Rating

Table 1. Characteristic plant species.

invasive/exotic spp	fen species	bog species	0ak Opening species	wet prairie species
Lythrum salicaria	Zygadenus elegans var. glaucus	Calla palustris	Carex cryptolepis	Calamagrostis canadensis
Myriophyllum spicatum	Cacalia plantaginea	Carex atlantica var. capillacea	Carex lasiocarpa	Calamogrostis stricta
Najas minor	Carex flava	Carex echinata	Carex stricta	Carex atherodes
Phalaris arundinacea	Carex sterilis	Carex oligosperma	Cladium mariscoides	Carex buxbaumii
Phragmites australis	Carex stricta	Carex trisperma	Calamagrostis stricta	Carex pellita
Potamogeton crispus	Deschampsia caespitosa	Chamaedaphne calyculata	Calamagrostis canadensis	Carex sartwellii
Ranunculus ficaria	Eleocharis rostellata	Decodon verticillatus	Quercus palustris	Gentiana andrewsii
Rhamnus frangula	Eriophorum viridicarinatum	Eriophorum virginicum	-	Helianthus grosseserratus
Typha angustifolia	Gentianopsis spp.	Larix laricina		Liatris spicata
Typha xglauca	Lobelia kalmii	Nemopanthus mucronatus		Lysimachia quadriflora
	Parnassia glauca	Schechzeria palustris		Lythrum alatum
	Potentilla fruticosa	Sphagnum spp.		Pycnanthemum virginianum
	Rhamnus alnifolia	Vaccinium macrocarpon		Silphium terebinthinaceum
	Rhynchospora capillacea	Vaccinium corymbosum		Sorghastrum nutans
	Salix candida	Vaccinium oxycoccos		Spartina pectinata
	Salix myricoides	Woodwardia virginica		Solidago riddellii
	Salix serissima	Xyris difformis		
	Solidago ohioensis			
	Tofieldia glutinosa			
	Triglochin maritimum			
	Triglochin palustre			

End of Narrative Rating. Begin Quantitative Rating on next page.

Site: VV\V	Rater(s): M. Martin Date: 4-20-21
2 2	Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).
max 6 pts. subtotal	Select one size class and assign score. >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts) 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts) 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts) 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts) 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts) 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt) <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)
1 3	Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.
max 14 pts. subtotal	2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check. WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7) MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4) NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1) VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0) 2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average. VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7) LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5) MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3) HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)
24 27	Metric 3. Hydrology.
max 30 pts. subtotal	3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply. High pH groundwater (5) Other groundwater (3) Precipitation (1) Seasonal/Intermittent surface water (3) Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5) 3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score. >0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2)
	None or none apparent (12) Recovered (7) Recovering (3) Recent or no recovery (1) Recovering (3) Recovering (4) Recoveri
11 28	Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.
max 20 pts. Subtotal	4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average. None or none apparent (4) Recovered (3) Recovering (2) Recent or no recovery (1)
	4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score. Excellent (7) Very good (6) Good (5) Moderately good (4) Fair (3) Poor to fair (2) Poor (1)
	4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average. None or none apparent (9) Check all disturbances observed
subtotal this p	Recovered (6) Recovering (3) Recent or no recovery (1) Recent or no re
iast ichisca i Lenius	2001][111

Site:	MM	Ra	ater(s): W	Wartin Date: 4-22-21
Oito.	(, ,		101(0). V	110.11
sı	38 ubtotal first pa	Metric 5. Special Wet	lands.	
max 10 pts.	subtotal	Check all that apply and score as indicate	ed.	
		Bog (10) Fen (10) Old growth forest (10) Mature forested wetland (5) Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetl Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetl Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Relict Wet Prairies (10) Known occurrence state/federa Significant migratory songbird/ Category 1 Wetland. See Que	land-unrestricted hyd land-restricted hydrol Openings) (10) al threatened or enda water fowl habitat or	ogy (5) ngered species (10) usage (10)
8	46			erspersion, microtopography.
max 20 pts.	subtotal	6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.	Vegetation	Community Cover Scale .
		Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.	0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
		Aquatic bed Emergent	1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a
		Shrub		significant part but is of low quality
		7 Forest	2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's
		Mudflats		vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small
		Open water		part and is of high quality
		Other	3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's
		6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersion. Select only one.		vegetation and is of high quality
		High (5)	Narrative De	scription of Vegetation Quality
		Moderately high(4)	low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or
		Moderate (3)		disturbance tolerant native species
		Moderately low (2)	mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation,
		Low (1)		although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to
		None (0) 6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer		moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare
		to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add		threatened or endangered spp
		or deduct points for coverage	high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp
		Extensive >75% cover (-5)		and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually
		Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)		absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always,
		Sparse 5-25% cover (-1) Nearly absent <5% cover (0)		the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp
		Absent (1)	Mudflat and	Open Water Class Quality
		6d. Microtopography.	0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
		Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.	1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
		Vegetated hummucks/tussucks		Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
		Coarse woody debris >15cm (6		High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more
		Standing dead >25cm (10in) db Amphibian breeding pools		aphy Cover Scale
			0	Absent
			1	Present very small amounts or if more common
				of marginal quality
			2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
			3	Present in moderate or greater amounts
			3	and of highest quality

46

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

ORAM Summary Worksheet

MMB

		circle answer or insert score	Result
Narrative Rating	Question 1 Critical Habitat	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 2. Threatened or Endangered Species	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 3. High Quality Natural Wetland	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 4. Significant bird habitat	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 5. Category 1 Wetlands	YES NO	If yes, Category 1.
	Question 6. Bogs	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 7. Fens	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8a. Old Growth Forest	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8b. Mature Forested Wetland	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9b. Lake Erie Wetlands - Restricted	YES (NO)	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9d. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted with native plants	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3
	Question 9e. Lake Erie Wetlands - Unrestricted with invasive plants	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 10. Oak Openings	YES NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 11. Relict Wet Prairies	YES (NO)	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
Quantitative Rating	Metric 1. Size	2	
	Metric 2. Buffers and surrounding land use	\	
	Metric 3. Hydrology	24	
	Metric 4. Habitat	11	
	Metric 5. Special Wetland Communities	0	
	Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	8	
	TOTAL SCORE	46	Category based on score breakpoints

Complete Wetland Categorization Worksheet.

Wetland Categorization Worksheet

Choices	Circle one	^	Evaluation of Categorization Result of ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8a, 9d, 10	YES Wetland is categorized as a Category 3 wetland	NO)	Is quantitative rating score less than the Category 2 scoring threshold (excluding gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been overcategorized by the ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 1, 8b, 9b, 9e, 11	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	NO)	Evaluate the wetland using the 1) narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and 2) the quantitative rating score. If the wetland is determined to be a Category 3 wetland using either of these, it should be categorized as a Category 3 wetland. Detailed biological and/or functional assessments may also be used to determine the wetland's category.
Did you answer "Yes" to Narrative Rating No. 5	YES Wetland is categorized as a Category 1 wetland	(NO)	Is quantitative rating score <i>greater</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (including any gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been under-categorized by the ORAM
Does the quantitative score fall within the scoring range of a Category 1, 2, or 3 wetland?	YES Wetland is assigned to the appropriate category based on the scoring range	NO	If the score of the wetland is located within the scoring range for a particular category, the wetland should be assigned to that category. In all instances however, the narrative criteria described in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) can be used to clarify or change a categorization based on a quantitative score.
Does the quantitative score fall with the "gray zone" for Category 1 or 2 or Category 2 or 3 wetlands?	YES Wetland is assigned to the higher of the two categories or assigned to a category based on detailed assessments and the narrative criteria	(NO)	Rater has the option of assigning the wetland to the higher of the two categories or to assign a category based on the results of a nonrapid wetland assessment method, e.g. functional assessment, biological assessment, etc, and a consideration of the narrative criteria in OAC rule 3745-1-54(C).
Does the wetland otherwise exhibit moderate OR superior hydrologic OR habitat, OR recreational functions AND the wetland was not categorized as a Category 2 wetland (in the case of moderate functions) or a Category 3 wetland (in the case of superior functions) by this method?	YES Wetland was undercategorized by this method. A written justification for recategorization should be provided on Background Information Form	Wetland is assigned to category as determined by the ORAM.	A wetland may be undercategorized using this method, but still exhibit one or more superior functions, e.g. a wetland's biotic communities may be degraded by human activities, but the wetland may still exhibit superior hydrologic functions because of its type, landscape position, size, local or regional significance, etc. In this circumstance, the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C)(2) and (3) are controlling, and the under-categorization should be corrected. A written justification with supporting reasons or information for this determination should be provided.

Final Category			
Choose one	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3

End of Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands.

PROJECT INFORMATION				
PROJECTNAME: South Branch	2-Solar	DATE: 4-26-21		
PROJECT NUMBER: 135392		COUNTY/STATE: Hancock /OH		
OBSERVER NAME: M. Mart.	~	WEATHER: Cloudy, 40°		
STREAMINFORMATION		The state of the s		
H&A STREAMID: γΛγΛ\	NEAREST FLAG #: MMI-17	WATER WIDTH: 8		
STREAM NAME: S. Branch P	ortage River	STREAM WIDTH: 9		
FLOW TYPE: PERENNIAL IN	NTERMITTENT EPHEMERAL	BANKFULL WIDTH:		
PERCEPTIBLE FLOW: ✓ YES ☐ NO	FLOW DIRECTION:	PROBED STREAM DEPTH: 81		
OBSERVED WATER QUALITY:	CHANNEL	SUBSTRATE: 5; /t, cobble sand		
AQUATICHABITAT Ø OVERHANGI	,	∩UD BAR ☐ TREES/SHRUBS		
☐ SAND ☐ BAR				
WILDLIFE OBSERVED	WL TURTLES	☐ INVERTEBRATES ☐ FISH		
☐ FROGS	☐ SALAMANDERS	☐ OTHER:		
OBSERVED USE	☐ SWIMMING	☐ DRAINAGE ☐ IRRIGATION		
☐ FISHING	☐ BOATING	☐ OTHER:		
LEFT BANK HEIGHT:	RIGHT BANKHEIGHT: 5'	BANK SUBSTRATE: 5) / 1		
LEFT BANK SLOPE: 50%	RIGHT BANK SLOPE: 40%	EROSION POTENTIAL: high		
MEANDER: moderate	GRADIENT: moderate	% CANOPY CLOSURE: 55		
ADJACENT COMMUNITY TYPES:	acrow tree buffer	agriculture		
DOMINANTTREES: Machelry				
DOMINANT SHRUBS: Noneyso	chle			
	cono(4			
NOTES	SKETCH	AS NAME OF		



SITE NAME/LOCATION South Branch Solar SITE NUMBER MM1 RIVER BASIN CEDAR-PORTAGE DRAINAGE AREA (mi²) 7.35	
	<u> </u>
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 200 LAT. 41.12538 LONG83.52337 RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	
DATE 04/20/21 SCORER Martin COMMENTS	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instruct	ions
STREAM CHANNEL NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVER MODIFICATIONS:	≣RY
SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes	
(Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B.	HHEI
	/letric Points
BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts]	ubstrate
LILL BEDROCK 16 DTI V% LILL FINE DETRITUS 13 DTSI V/O	lax = 40
GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] MUCK [0 pts]	4
SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts] 20% ARTIFICIAL [3 pts] 0%	4
Total of Percentages of 5.00% (A) Substrate Percentage 100% (B)	A + B
Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: 0 TOTAL NUMBER OF SUBSTRATE TYPES: 4	
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of	ool Dep
evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box): - 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts]	Max = 30
> 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] < 5 cm [5 pts]	
> 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts] NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts]	0
COMMENTS MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters): 30	
	Bankful
	Width Max=30
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]	
	Width Max=30
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]	Max=30
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 This information must also be completed	Max=30
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 L R (Most Predominant per Bank) Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Field	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Moderate 5-10m Narrow <5m AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 L R (Most Predominant per Bank) Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field Narrow <5m None AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) Field Open Pasture, Row Crop Mining or Construction	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY Proportion Proportion Proportion Proportion Proportion RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Narrow <5m Narrow <5m Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Der Bank) Mature Forest, Wetland Department of Pasture, Row Crop Mining or Construction COMMENTS	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing MAVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00 NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream Conservation Tillage Urban or Industrial Open Pasture, Row Crop Mining or Construction COMMENTS Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent)	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Mature Forest, Shrub or Old Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Narrow <5m Narrow <5m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Narrow <5m Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY Wide >10 m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Field Narrow <5m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) COMMENTS Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆ RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Urban or Industrial Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop Narrow <5m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop None Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral) COMMENTS SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box): None 1.0 2.0 3.0	Max=30
AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 4.00	Max=30
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆ RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Urban or Industrial Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop Narrow <5m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop None Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Crop FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral) COMMENTS SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box): None 1.0 2.0 3.0	0

PROJECT INFORMATION				
PROJECT NAME: South Branc	DATE: 4-20-21			
PROJECT NUMBER: 135392	COUNTY/STATE: Hancock/Ohio			
OBSERVER NAME: M. Martis	^	WEATHER: GB Clady		
STREAMINFORMATION				
H&ASTREAMID: MM2	NEAREST FLAG #: MM2-96	WATER WIDTH: 8		
STREAM NAME: Unnamed		STREAM WIDTH: 7		
FLOW TYPE: PERENNIAL I	NTERMITTENT EPHEMERAL	BANKFULL WIDTH: 25'		
PERCEPTIBLE FLOW: YES N	O FLOW DIRECTION:	PROBED STREAM DEPTH: 2"		
OBSERVED WATER QUALITY:	CHANNEL	SUBSTRATE: Silf, Sand		
AQUATICHABITAT OVERHANGE		MUD BAR		
BAR	☐ SAND ☐ SAND/GRAVEL ☐ AQUATIC			
WILDLIFE OBSERVED	OWL TURTLES	☐ INVERTEBRATES ☐ FISH		
FROGS	☐ SALAMANDERS	☐ OTHER:		
OBSERVED USE	☐ SWIMMING	☐ DRAINAGE ☐ IRRIGATION		
☐ FISHING	\square boating	☐ OTHER:		
LEFT BANK HEIGHT: &	RIGHT BANKHEIGHT: 81	BANK SUBSTRATE: Silt losa		
LEFT BANKSLOPE: 40%	RIGHT BANKSLOPE: 50%	EROSION POTENTIAL:		
MEANDER: moderate	GRADIENT: moderate	% CANOPY CLOSURE:		
ADJACENT COMMUNITY TYPES:	49			
DOMINANT TREES: -				
DOMINANT SHRUBS: —				
DOMINANT HERBACEOUS: (eed	Cenery grass, winter	wheat		
NOTES Receives weter from adjacent A drain tiles. Notes A MMMI A MMMMI A MMMMMI A MMMMI A MMMMI A MMMMI A MMMMI A MMMMI A MMMMI A MMMMMI A MMMMMMMI A MMMMMMMMMM				



SITE NAME/LOCATION SOUTH BRANCH SOLAR SITE NUMBER MM2 RIVER BASIN CEDAR-PORTAGE DRAINAGE AREA (mi²)	
SITE NUMBER L RIVER BASIN DRAINAGE AREA (MIF) L	4.04
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 200 LAT. 41.12497 LONG83.51596 RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	
DATE 04/20/21 SCORER MARTIN COMMENTS	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Inst	ructions
STREAM CHANNEL NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING.	COVERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes	
(Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. TYPE PERCENT TYPE PERCENT	HHEI Metric
□ □ BLDR SLABS [16 pts] □ □ SILT [3 pt] 10%	Points
BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts] BEDROCK [16 pt] O% LEAF PACK/WOODY DEBRIS [3 pts] O% FINE DETRITUS [3 pts] O%	Substrate
COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] 10% CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt] 0%	Max = 40
GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts]	4
SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts]	
Total of Percentages of 5.00% (A) Substrate Percentage Check (B)	A + B
SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: 0 TOTAL NUMBER OF SUBSTRATE TYPES: 4	
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of	Pool Dep
evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box): > 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts]	Max = 30
> 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] < 5 cm [5 pts]	
> 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts] NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts]	0
COMMENTS MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters): 25	
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box):	Bankful
> 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] ≤ 1.0 m (<=3' 3") [5 pts]	Width Max=30
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]	
COMMENTS AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 8.00	0
This information must also be completed PIRAPIAN ZONE AND ELOOPELAIN QUALITY SONOTE: Piver Left (L) and Pight (P) as looking downstroams	
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆ RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆ RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY LR (Per Bank) LR (Most Predominant per Bank) LR	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆ RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Moderate 5-10m L R (Most Predominant per Bank) I R (Der Bank) I R (Der Bank) I R (Der Bank) I D (Der Pasture Row Core)	ron
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ANOTE: River Left (L) and River Left (L) a	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Moderate 5-10m L R (Most Predominant per Bank) I R (Der Bank) I R (Der Bank) I R (Der Bank) I D (Der Pasture Row Core)	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Moderate 5-10m Narrow <5m None Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS PLOODPLAIN QUALITY FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Der Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Per Bank) L R (Per Bank) L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Der Bank) Conservation Tillage Moderate 5-10m Per Pasture, Row C (Der Pasture) None Mining or Construction Comments	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Woderate 5-10m Narrow <5m Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ Note: Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ★ N	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Field Narrow <5m None Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box):	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH Conservation Tillage	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) COMMENTS SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) None Check ONLY one box): SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) None Check ONLY one box): SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) Check ONLY one box): None 1.0 Check ONLY one box): SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) Check ONLY one box): None 3.0	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) Check ONLY one box): SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) COMMENTS PLOOPLAIN QUALITY ANOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A Moderate (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY Whost Predominant per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Conservation Tillage Urban or Industrial Open Pasture, Row C Mining or Construction Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermitten Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral) COMMENTS SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box):	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field None COMMENTS FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) COMMENTS SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) None Check ONLY one box): SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) None Check ONLY one box): SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) Check ONLY one box): None 1.0 Check ONLY one box): SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) Check ONLY one box): None 3.0	t)

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):
QHEI PERFORMED? - Yes No QHEI Score (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S) WWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream Distance from Evaluated Stream EWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
USGS Quadrangle Name: ARCADIA NRCS Soil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
County: HANCOCK Township / City: WASHINGTON
MISCELLANEOUS
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Y Date of last precipitation: Quantity: 0.00
Photograph Information: See wetland delineation report photolog
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): N Canopy (% open): 100%
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): N (Note lab sample no. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:
Field Measures: Temp (°C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm)
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) If not, please explain:
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:
Performed? (Y/N): N (If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher collections optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the si ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) Fish Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This must be completed):
Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location
FLOW Ag Committee Ag

PROJECT INFORMATION			
PROJECT NAME: South Branch Solar			DATE: 4-21-21
PROJECT NUMBER: 135392		COUNTY/STATE: Hancock / OH	
OBSERVER NAME: M. Mactin		WEATHER: Sunny 350	
STREAM INFORMATION			
STREAM ID: MM3			WATER WIDTH:
STREAM NAME: S, Branch	Portage River		STREAM WIDTH:
FLOW TYPE: PERENNIAL INTERMITTENT EPHEMERAL			BANKFULL WIDTH:
PERCEPTIBLE FLOW: YES	□ NO		PROBED STREAM DEPTH:
DIRECTION OF FLOW: N NE E S	SE S SW W NW	CHANNEL SU	IBSTRATE: 5: 1t, sond, cobble, gravel
PERCEPTIBLE FLOW: ✓ YES ✓ 1	NO		VATER QUALITY: Clear
AQUATIC HABITAT OVERHANG	ING COBBLE RIF	FLES	UD BAR
☐ SAND	SAND/GRAVEL A BEACH BAR V	AQUATIC /EGETATION	DEEP DOTHER:
WILDLIFE OBSERVED	OWL TURTLES		☐ INVERTABRATES ☐ FISH
☐ FROGS	☐ SALAMAN	NDERS	☐ OTHER:
OBSERVED USE	☐ SWIMMIN	NG	☐ DRAINAGE ☐ IRRIGATION
☐ FISHING	☐ BOATING		OTHER:
LEFT BANK HEIGHT: 5	RIGHT BANK HEIGHT:	3'	BANK SUBSTRATE: Silt loca
LEFT BANK SLOPE: 80%	RIGHT BANK SLOPE:	40%	EROSION POTENTIAL: high
MEANDER: moderate	GRADIENT: Mode	rite	% CANOPY CLOSURE: 5%
ADJACENT COMMUNITY TYPES: Ag + narrow regetated			buffer
DOMINANT TREES: honey loc	just, black of	herry	
DOMINANT SHRUBS: CASPOELL		,	
DOMINANT HERBACEOUS: Aster	3. dardelion, o	715565	
NOTES	SKI	ÉTCH	17 }
Flows through bison south of Study Ar	pasture 1		£ \
	, 1	1	473
South of Study Ar	د۹,		C. T. 3
		As	Ext Ag
			- 53× × × ×
			* 1
			* pasture
			7



SITE NAME/LOCATION SOUTH BRANCH SOLAR	
SITE NUMBER MM3 RIVER BASIN CEDAR-PORTAGE DRAINAGE AREA (mi²)	.17
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 200 LAT. 41.11954 LONG83.51908 RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	
DATE 04/21/21 SCORER MARTIN COMMENTS	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instr	uctions
STREAM CHANNEL NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO REC	OVERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes (Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. TYPE BLDR SLABS [16 pts] BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts] BEDROCK [16 pt] COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts] Table of Deventages of the substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes (Max of 32). A B. FINE DETRITUS (3 pts) D% D% DW	HHEI Metric Points Substrate Max = 40
Total of Percentages of Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock (A) SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: 0 Substrate Percentage 100% TOTAL NUMBER OF SUBSTRATE TYPES: 4	A + B
 Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box): > 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] > 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts] NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts] 	Pool Depth Max = 30
COMMENTS MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters): 15	
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box): > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] ≤ 1.0 m (<=3' 3") [5 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]	Bankfull Width Max=30
COMMENTS AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 5.00	0
This information <u>must</u> also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆	
RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Moderate 5-10m Narrow <5m None COMMENTS RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Ban	qr
L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Mod	-
L R (Per Bank) Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Mod	-

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):	
QHEI PERFORMED? - Yes No QHEI Score (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)	
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S)	1
WWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream	L
CWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream	-
EWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream	L
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION	
USGS Quadrangle Name: ARCADIA NRCS Soil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order	\perp
County: HANCOCK Township / City: WASHINGTON	_
MISCELLANEOUS	
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N):Y Date of last precipitation: Quantity:	
Photograph Information: See wetland delineation report photolog	
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): N Canopy (% open): 95%	
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): N (Note lab sample no. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:	_
Field Measures: Temp (°C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm)	_
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) If not, please explain:	_
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:	=
BIOTIC EVALUATION	
Performed? (Y/N): N (If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher collections optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual)	site
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) N Voucher? (
Comments Regarding Biology:	_
	_
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed):	
Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location	
SKÉTCH	
A ET 3 UMM 3	
1 Exp Value 3	
EA3	
~ ⁽ ()}	
FLOW TO AC THE HE	
- FDX X X	
* 1	
* 1 pasture	
, l *	

PROJECT INFORMATION		
PROJECT NAME: South Bra	DATE: 4-21-21	
PROJECT NUMBER: 135392	COUNTY/STATE: Hancock OH	
OBSERVER NAME: W. Marti	WEATHER: SUNNY 380	
STREAMINFORMATION		
H&ASTREAMID: MMU	NEAREST FLAG #: MM4-2	WATER WIDTH: 20 1
STREAM NAME: 5. Bro	anch Portage River	STREAM WIDTH: 21
FLOW TYPE: PERENNIAL	NTERMITTENT DEPHEMERAL	BANKFULL WIDTH: 23
PERCEPTIBLE FLOW: XYES N	O FLOW DIRECTION: SE	PROBED STREAM DEPTH: Y'
OBSERVED WATER QUALITY:	CHANNELS	SUBSTRATE: grave Sand
AQUATICHABITAT AOVERHANG		UD BAR 🖫 TREES/SHRUBS
□ SAND 与 BAR	SAND/GRAVEL AQUATIC BEACH BAR VEGETATION	DEEP OTHER:
WILDLIFE OBSERVED	OWL TURTLES	☐ INVERTEBRATES ☐ FISH
☐ FROGS	☐ SALAMANDERS	☐ OTHER:
OBSERVED USE	☐ SWIMMING	☑ DRAINAGE ☐ IRRIGATION
☐ FISHING	☐ BOATING	☐ OTHER:
LEFT BANKHEIGHT: 3'	RIGHT BANK HEIGHT: 2'= 15'	BANK SUBSTRATE: S. 14 lan
LEFT BANK SLOPE: 60	RIGHTBANKSLOPE: 70	EROSION POTENTIAL: 5-25/high
MEANDER: mod/high		% CANOPY CLOSURE: 30
ADJACENT COMMUNITY TYPES: ν ,	wood area agri	011016
DOMINANTTREES: DOX POR	, green ash, sycamore	
DOMINANT SHRUBS: poxelde	26	
DOMINANT HERBACEOUS: Sedge		
NOTES	SKETCH	PFO
Adjacent wetlend re	eceives A	
water from drain		We Hand
	11165 12	May
adjacent ag. Fields	MM4 \ J	
	E	
		torest res
		-1



SITE NAME/LOCATION SOUTH BRANCH SOLAR	
SITE NUMBER MM4 RIVER BASIN CEDAR-PORTAGE DRAINAGE AREA (mi²) 1	2.10
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 200 LAT. 41.13043 LONG83.53003 RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	
DATE 04/21/21 SCORER MARTIN COMMENTS	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instr	uctions
STREAM CHANNEL NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING:	OVERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes (Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. TYPE BLDR SLABS [16 pts] BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts] BEDROCK [16 pt] COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts] Total of Percentages of 5 00% ARTIFICIAL [3 pts] Substrate Percentage 1009 (B)	HHEI Metric Points Substrate Max = 40 4
Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: O Substrate Percentage 100% TOTAL NUMBER OF SUBSTRATE TYPES: 4	A + B
 Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box): > 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] > 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts] NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts] 	Pool Depth Max = 30
COMMENTS MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters): 20	
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box): > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] ≤ 1.0 m (<=3' 3") [5 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]	Bankfull Width Max=30
COMMENTS AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 7.00	0
This information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH Conservation Tillage Wide >10m Moderate 5-10m Residential, Park, New Field None Fenced Pasture This information must also be completed RIPARIAN WIDTH RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R (Most	op -
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)	l
COMMENTS_	-
SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box): None 1.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 0.5 1.5 2.5	-

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also	be Completed):
QHEI PERFORMED? - Yes No QHEI Score	(If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S)	
WWH Name:	
CWH Name:EWH Name:	
	NTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
USGS Quadrangle Name: BLOOMDALE	NRCS Soil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
County: HANCOCK Towns	ship / City: WASHINGTON
MISCELLANEOUS	
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Y Date of last precipitation:	Quantity: 0.00
Photograph Information: See wetland delineation report photolog	
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): N Canopy (% open): 70%	√ 6
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): N (Note lab	o sample no. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:
	pH (S.U.) Conductivity (μmhos/cm)
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) Y If not,	please explain:
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:	
DIOTIO FIVALIATION	
BIOTIC EVALUATION	
,	er collections optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site a sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual)
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Y Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders C	Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N
Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Aqua	tic Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N)
Comments Regarding Biology:	
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed):
Include important landmarks and other features of interest fo	r site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location
SMETCH	
SKETCH PFO	
N Ag / Welland	1 A
, mm4	
FLOW T	
Forest	7
	res
	T

PROJECT INFORMATION			
PROJECT NAME: South Branch Solar			DATE: 4-22-21
PROJECT NUMBER: 135392			COUNTY/STATE: Hancack / OH
OBSERVER NAME: M. Mactio			WEATHER: Sonny, 450
STREAM INFORMATION			
STREAM ID: MM5			WATER WIDTH:
STREAM NAME: UNNomed			STREAM WIDTH:
FLOW TYPE: PERENNIAL I	NTERMITTENT 🗆 E	PHEMERAL	BANKFULL WIDTH: 12
PERCEPTIBLE FLOW: YES	□ NO		PROBED STREAM DEPTH:
DIRECTION OF FLOW: N NE E S	SE S SW W NW	CHANNEL SU	BSTRATE: Silt, send, gravel, cobbe
PERCEPTIBLE FLOW: ✓ YES □ N	NO	OBSERVED W	VATER QUALITY: Clesc
AQUATIC HABITAT OVERHANG	ING COBBLE RIFF	LES M	UD BAR
☐ SAND ☐ BAR		QUATIC EGETATION	☐ DEEP ☐ OTHER: HOLES
WILDLIFE OBSERVED WATERFO	OWL TURTLES		☐ INVERTABRATES ☐ FISH
☐ FROGS	☐ SALAMAN	IDERS	☐ OTHER:
OBSERVED USE	☐ SWIMMIN	IG	☐ DRAINAGE ☐ IRRIGATION
☐ FISHING	☐ BOATING		OTHER:
LEFT BANK HEIGHT:	RIGHT BANK HEIGHT:	41	BANK SUBSTRATE: Siltlasm
LEFT BANK SLOPE: 30%	RIGHT BANK SLOPE:	30%	EROSION POTENTIAL:
MEANDER: NONC	GRADIENT: moder	ate	% CANOPY CLOSURE:
ADJACENT COMMUNITY TYPES:	tgriculture		
DOMINANT TREES:	J		
DOMINANT SHRUBS: —			
DOMINANT HERBACEOUS: Q C C S	ses (1005		
NOTES	ses, crops	TCH 🛌	
		V /	,
Receives water	trom		\
	1	N	0
drain tiles in adj	acent '		ourship Rd 218
ag. Fields			
45.			A
9			× '''
		\wedge	a limber
		1-16)
			K



SITE NAME/LOCATION SOUTH BRANCH SOLAR	
SITE NUMBER MM5 RIVER BASIN CEDAR-PORTAGE DRAINAGE AREA (mi²) 0	.77
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 140 LAT. 41.13722 LONG83.51382 RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	
DATE 04/22/21 SCORER MARTIN COMMENTS	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instru	uctions
STREAM CHANNEL NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING.	OVERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes	HHEI
(Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. TYPE PERCENT TYPE PERCENT	Metri
BLDR SLABS [16 pts] 9% SILT [3 pt] 15%	Points
BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts]	Substrat
COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] 10% CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt] 0%	Max = 4
GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] 35% MUCK [0 pts] 0% SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts] 40% ARTIFICIAL [3 pts] 0%	19
Critical (42 mini) [o plo]	
Total of Percentages of 10.00% (A) Substrate Percentage Check (B)	A + B
SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: 4	
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of	Pool Dep
evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box): > 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts]	Max = 3
> 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] < 5 cm [5 pts]	0.5
> 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts] NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts]	25
COMMENTS MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters): 15	
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box):	Bankful
> 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] ≤ 1.0 m (<=3' 3") [5 pts]	Width Max=30
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]	
COMMENTS AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 3.75	25
This information must also be completed	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆ RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY	
L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R	
Wide >10m	
Moderate 5-10m	nn
Narrow <5m Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture, Row Cro	ıμ
None Fenced Pasture Mining or Construction COMMENTS	
	-
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent)	
Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral) COMMENTS	
	-
SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box): None 1.0 2.0 3.0	
0.5 1.5 2.5 >3	
STREAM GRADIENT ESTIMATE	
<u> </u>	00 ft)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/22/2021 12:57:21 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0669-EL-BGN

Summary: Application Appendix O – Wetland and Stream Delineation Report Part 3 of 3 electronically filed by Ms. Megan Zemke on behalf of Borchers, Dylan F