

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY TO ESTABLISH A STANDARD
SERVICE OFFER IN THE FORM OF AN
ELECTRIC SECURITY PLAN.

CASE NO. 08-1094-EL-SSO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF REVISED
TARIFFS.

CASE NO. 08-1095-EL-ATA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF CERTAIN
ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY.

CASE NO. 08-1096-EL-AAM

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY FOR WAIVER OF CERTAIN
COMMISSION RULES.

CASE NO. 08-1097-EL-UNC

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on July 20, 2021

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} In this Entry, the attorney examiner finds that memoranda contra DP&L's motion for an extension of time to file memoranda contra the applications for rehearing should be filed by July 21, 2021.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

{¶ 2} The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L or the Company) is a public utility as defined under R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 3} R.C. 4928.141 provides that an electric distribution utility (EDU) shall provide consumers within its certified territory a standard service offer (SSO) of all competitive retail electric services necessary to maintain essential electric services to customers, including a

firm supply of electric generation services. The SSO may be either a market rate offer (MRO) in accordance with R.C. 4928.142 or an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with R.C. 4928.143.

{¶ 4} R.C. 4928.143(C)(2)(b) provides that if a utility terminates an application for an ESP or if the Commission disapproves an application, the Commission shall issue such order as is necessary to continue the provisions, terms, and conditions of the utility's most recent SSO, along with any expected increases or decreases in fuel costs from those contained in that offer, until a subsequent SSO is authorized.

{¶ 5} By Opinion and Order issued in this case on June 24, 2009, the Commission adopted the stipulation and recommendation of the parties (ESP I Stipulation) to establish DP&L's first ESP (ESP I). Included among the terms, conditions, and charges in ESP I was a rate stabilization charge (RSC). Thereafter, on December 19, 2012, the Commission extended ESP I, including the RSC, until a subsequent SSO could be authorized. Entry (Dec. 19, 2012) at 3-5.

{¶ 6} On September 4, 2013, the Commission modified and approved DP&L's application for a second ESP (ESP II). *In re The Dayton Power and Light Co.*, Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO, et al. (*ESP II Case*), Opinion and Order (Sept. 4, 2013). On June 20, 2016, the Supreme Court of Ohio issued an opinion reversing the decision of the Commission approving ESP II and disposing of all pending appeals. *In re Application of Dayton Power & Light Co.*, 147 Ohio St.3d 166, 2016-Ohio-3490, 62 N.E.3d 179. Thereafter, on August 26, 2016, in the *ESP II Case*, the Commission modified ESP II as directed by the Court and then granted DP&L's application to withdraw ESP II, thereby terminating it. *ESP II Case*, Finding and Order (Aug. 26, 2016). In light of DP&L's withdrawal of ESP II, the Commission, pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(C)(2)(b), granted DP&L's application in this case to implement the provisions, terms and conditions of ESP I, its most recent SSO, until a subsequent SSO could be authorized. Finding and Order (Aug. 26, 2016), Third Entry on Rehearing (Dec. 14, 2016).

{¶ 7} The provisions, terms and conditions of ESP I remained in effect until the Commission modified and approved an amended stipulation establishing DP&L's third electric security plan (ESP III), effective November 1, 2017. *In re Dayton Power and Light Co.*, Case No. 16-395-EL-SSO (*ESP III Case*), Opinion and Order (Oct. 20, 2017) at ¶ 131. The Supreme Court of Ohio then dismissed as moot the appeals of the August 26, 2016 Finding and Order which reinstated ESP I, including the RSC. *In re Application of Dayton Power & Light Co.*, 154 Ohio St.3d 237, 2018-Ohio-4009, 113 N.E.3d 507, *reconsideration denied*, 154 Ohio St.3d 1446, 2018-Ohio-4962, 113 N.E.3d 545.

{¶ 8} Subsequently, Interstate Gas Supply (IGS) withdrew from the amended stipulation in the *ESP III Case*, necessitating an additional evidentiary hearing in that proceeding. *ESP III Case*, Entry (Nov. 15, 2018). Following the additional evidentiary hearing, the Commission issued a Supplemental Opinion and Order in the *ESP III Case*. In the Supplemental Opinion and Order, the Commission further modified and approved the amended stipulation filed in the *ESP III Case*, eliminating DP&L's distribution modernization rider (DMR), in light of the Supreme Court of Ohio's decision in *In re Application of Ohio Edison Co.*, 157 Ohio St.3d 73, 2019-Ohio-2401, 131 N.E.3d 906, *reconsideration denied*, 156 Ohio St.3d, 2019-Ohio-3331, 129 N.E.3d 454, and *reconsideration denied*, 156 Ohio St.3d 1487, 2019-Ohio-3331, 129 N.E.3d 458. *ESP III Case*, Supplemental Opinion and Order (Nov. 21, 2019) at ¶¶ 1, 102-110, 134.

{¶ 9} On November 26, 2019, DP&L filed a notice of withdrawal of its application and amended application filed in the *ESP III Case*, pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(C)(2)(a). DP&L also filed on November 26, 2019, proposed tariffs in this proceeding to implement the provisions, terms and conditions of ESP I, its most recent ESP prior to ESP III. On December 4, 2019, comments were filed by Ohio Energy Group, Ohio Hospital Association, Industrial Energy Users-Ohio (IEU-Ohio) and the Retail Energy Supply Association (RESA). Joint comments were filed on December 4, 2019 by City of Dayton and Honda of America Mfg., Inc. (Dayton/Honda). Further, Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC), Ohio Manufacturers' Association (OMA) and The Kroger Co. (Kroger) (collectively, Consumer Groups) filed a

motion on December 4, 2019, seeking rejection of DP&L's proposed tariff filing. DP&L filed a memorandum contra the Consumer Groups' motion on December 10, 2019. Consumer Groups filed a reply on December 17, 2019.

{¶ 10} The Commission accepted the withdrawal of ESP III in the *ESP III Case* on December 18, 2019. *ESP III Case*, Finding and Order (Dec. 18, 2019). On December 18, 2019, in this proceeding, the Commission also approved DP&L's proposed tariffs, implementing the provisions terms and conditions of ESP I, subject to the modifications directed by the Commission. Second Finding and Order (Dec. 18, 2019). Subsequently, on January 17, 2019, applications for rehearing were filed by IEU-Ohio, IGS, OCC, and Dayton/Honda, and a joint application for rehearing was filed by OMA and Kroger.

{¶ 11} On February 14, 2020, the Commission issued a Fourth Entry on Rehearing, in which it denied the application for rehearing filed by IGS and granted the remaining applications for rehearing for the purpose of further consideration in the matters raised in the applications for rehearing.

{¶ 12} On June 16, 2021, the Commission issued a Fifth Entry on Rehearing, granting, in part, and denying, in part, the application for rehearing submitted by OCC.

{¶ 13} On July 16, 2021, OCC and DP&L each filed an application for rehearing regarding the Fifth Entry on Rehearing. On July 19, 2021, the Company filed a motion for extension of time to file memoranda contra the applications for rehearing. DP&L requested an expedited ruling on the motion.

{¶ 14} In order to facilitate the timely consideration of the motion for an extension, the attorney examiner finds that memoranda contra the motion for an extension should be filed by July 21, 2021.

III. ORDER

{¶ 15} It is, therefore,

{¶ 16} ORDERED, That memoranda contra DP&L's motion for an extension of time to file memoranda contra the applications for rehearing be filed by July 21, 2021. It is, further,

{¶ 17} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/Gregory A. Price

By: Gregory A. Price
Attorney Examiner

MJA/hac

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/20/2021 12:27:03 PM

in

Case No(s). 08-1094-EL-SSO, 08-1095-EL-ATA, 08-1096-EL-AAM, 08-1097-EL-UNC

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry ordering that memoranda contra DP&L's motion for an extension of time to file memoranda contra the applications for rehearing be filed by July 21, 2021 electronically filed by Heather A. Chilcote on behalf of Gregory A. Price, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio