## BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the :

Application of :

Ross County Solar, LLC : Case No. 20-1380-EL-BGN for a Cortificate of

for a Certificate of : Environmental Compatibility : and Public Need. :

- - -

## PROCEEDINGS

before Jay Agranoff and Jesse Davis, Administrative Law Judges, Ohio Power Siting Board, conducted via Webex video conference, called at 10:05 a.m. on Thursday, June 10, 2021.

- - -

VOLUME I

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP
            By Mr. Michael J. Settineri
 3
            and Ms. Anna Sanyal
            52 East Gay Street
 4
            P.O. Box 1008
            Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
 5
                 On behalf of Ross County Solar, LLC.
 6
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            John H. Jones, Section Chief
 7
            By Mr. Thomas Lindgren
            and Ms. Chelsea Fletcher
 8
            Assistant Attorneys General,
 9
            Public Utilities Section
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
10
                 On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio Power
11
                 Siting Board.
12
            Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
            By Ms. Amy M. Milam
13
            280 North High Street, 6th Floor
14
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
15
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
                 Federation.
16
            Mr. Jeffrey C. Marks
17
            Prosecuting Attorney
            Ross County, Ohio
18
            33 West Main Street, Suite 200
            Chillicothe, Ohio 45601
19
                 On behalf of Paint Township.
20
            Farthing & Stewart LLP
21
            By Mr. John H. Farthing
            229 North Court Street
22
            Circleville, Ohio 43113
23
                 On behalf of Buckskin Township.
2.4
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESSES	PAGE
4	William Risse	1.0
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri Examination by ALJ Agranoff Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	16 24 36
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settimeri	30
7	Mark Bonifas Direct Examination by Ms. Sanyal	39
8	Andrew Lines	
O	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	42
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Marks Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	46 69
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. Marks Examination by ALJ Davis	75 77
11	Briantification by Tibo Bavib	, ,
4.0	Brent Finley	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri Examination by ALJ Davis	82 83
13		
14	Matthew Robinson Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	86
17	Examination by ALJ Agranoff	89
15		
16	Ryan Rupprecht Direct Examination by Ms. Sanyal	100
10	Examination by ALJ Agranoff	102
17	Redirect Examination by Ms. Sanyal	107
18	Isaac Old	110
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri Examination by ALJ Agranoff	112 115
	Examination by ALJ Davis	117
20	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	118
21	Matt Marquis Direct Examination by Ms. Sanyal	120
22		
23	Mark Bellamy Direct Examination by Ms. Fletcher	125 129
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Settineri	129
2.5		
25		

				4
1		INDEX (Continue	d)	
2				
3	COMPA	ANY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	1	Application	9	38
5	1C	Confidential Portions of	9	38
6		<pre>the Application ****Sealed****</pre>		
7	2	Responses to Staff Data Requests	10	38
8	2	-	1.0	2.0
9	3	Proof of service of the Application	10	38
10	4	Proofs of public notices and proofs of publication	11	38
11		-		
12	5	Memorandum of Understanding between the Ohio State Historic Preservation Office	11	38
13		and Ross County Solar, LLC		
14	6	Direct Testimony of William Risse	11	38
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	7	Direct Testimony of Eddie Duncan	11	119
17	8	Direct Testimony of	12	41
	· ·	Mark J. Bonifas		
18	9	Direct Testimony of	12	124
19	4.0	Matt Marquis	1.0	<b>5</b> 0
20	10	Direct Testimony of Andrew Lines	12	79
21	11	Direct Testimony of	12	99
22	Т.Т	Matthew Robinson	12	<i>J J</i>
23	12	Direct Testimony of Ryan Rupprecht	12	111
24	13	Direct Testimony of	12	
25	1 10	August Christensen		

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

				5
1		INDEX (Continued	(b	
2				
3	COMPA	ANY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	14	Direct Testimony of Brent Finley	13	85
5	15	Supplemental Testimony of William Risse	13	38
7	16	Supplemental Testimony of August Christensen	13	
8	17	Supplemental Testimony of	13	99
9		Matthew Robinson		
10	18	Supplemental Testimony of Ryan Rupprecht	13	111
12	19	Direct Testimony of Isaac Old	14	119
13 14	20	Redline of Conditions from the Staff Report to the Stipulation Conditions	14	38
15				
16	STAFI	F EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
17	1	Prefiled Testimony of Mark Bellamy	126	132
18	2	Staff Report of Investigation	n 128	132
19				
20	TO TAIL		T.D.J.J.J.J.	3.034.7.7.7.7.0
21	JOIN'.	I EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMI'I'I'ED
22	1	Joint Stipulation and Recommendation	14	38
23				
24				
25				

Thursday Morning Session,

June 10, 2021.

\_

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: The Ohio Power Siting
Board has scheduled for hearing at this time and
place, Case No. 20-1380-EL-BGN, which is captioned In
the Matter of the Application of Ross County Solar,
LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility
and Public Need.

My name is Jay Agranoff, with me is Jesse Davis, and we are the Administrative Law Judges in the legal department of the Ohio Power Siting Board who have been assigned to hear this case.

Before we actually take the appearances,
I do know that we have a motion which will directly
affect the appearances and that was a motion that was
filed on June 2nd of 2021. It was filed by
Mr. Jeffrey Marks and it was a motion to withdraw as
counsel for Buckskin Township in this particular
proceeding. I'm going to assume there's no
objections to the motion that was filed. Hearing no
objections, that motion shall be granted at this
point in time.

And now taking that particular ruling into account, we will take the appearances.

On behalf of the Applicant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

2.1

22

MR. SETTINERI: Yes. Good morning, Your Honors. On behalf of Ross County Solar, LLC, Michael Settineri and Anna Sanyal with the law firm of Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, 52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau.

MS. MILAM: Good morning, Your Honor.

Amy Milam on behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau Federation,

11 280 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

On behalf of Paint Township.

MR. MARKS: Good morning, Your Honors.

15 Hopefully this microphone works a little better than

16 | the one I had in my office. Sorry I'm so far away on

17 | the camera. My name is Jeffrey Marks. I'm

18 representing Paint Township. I'm the Ross County

19 Prosecuting Attorney. Our address is 33 West Main

20 Street, Suite 200, Chillicothe, Ohio 45601.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

On behalf of Buckskin Township.

MR. FARTHING: Yes, Your Honor. This is

24 John Farthing. My firm is Farthing & Stewart. We're

25 | located at 229 North Court Street, Circleville, Ohio.

And as you indicated before, Mr. Marks' motion was granted, and we are now counsel for Buckskin Township.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

On behalf of the Board Staff.

MR. LINDGREN: Thank you, Your Honor. On behalf of the Board Staff, Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost, by Thomas Lindgren and Chelsea Fletcher. The address is 30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

Before we jump into the testimony for today, I think we probably should do a little house cleaning just to make sure everybody is on the same page. If either Mr. Settineri or Ms. Sanyal, if you want to just delineate for the record the order of witnesses for today.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. We have the order for witnesses today is: William Risse, Mark Bonifas, Andrew Lines, Brent Finley, Matthew Robinson, Ryan Rupprecht, Isaac Old who will be adopting the Direct Testimony of Eddie Duncan, Matt Marquis, and then we have a date certain for Mr. August Christensen who will be tomorrow.

ALJ AGRANOFF: That is what my notes

```
reflected so that is good.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Then if you'd like to go ahead and pre-mark all of the various exhibits that we're going to utilize for today.

MR. SETTINERI: Sure. It's a list, so would you like me just to mark -- do you want me to mark each one at a time as we go through, "so marked"?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Yeah, why don't we just go ahead and go through the list. I think there's 20?

MR. SETTINERI: Yup. We'll knock it out now.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

MR. SETTINERI: All right. Your Honor, so at this time we would like to mark as Company Exhibit 1, the Application filed in this proceeding.

ALJ AGRANOFF: It will be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SETTINERI: We would like to mark as Company Exhibit 1C, these are the confidential portions of the Application that have been filed.

ALJ AGRANOFF: They shall be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company Exhibit 2, responses to various Staff data requests.

```
10
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.
 1
 2
                 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as --
 3
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Can we just have, for the
     purposes of clarifying the record, the date of the
 4
 5
     responses?
 6
                 MR. SETTINERI: Sure. We have responses
 7
     of December 24, 2020.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. That's --
 8
 9
                 MR. SETTINERI: A few more.
10
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.
11
                 MR. SETTINERI: We have a February 16,
12
     2021 response.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.
13
14
                 MR. SETTINERI: And we have a March 3,
     2021 response. Those three responses are all
15
16
     included in Company Exhibit 2.
17
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. And they shall all
18
    be so marked.
19
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
20
                 MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Next, we would
2.1
     mark as Company Exhibit 3, various proofs of service
22
     of the Application. I should say the proof of
23
     service of the Application.
24
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: That shall be so marked.
25
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
```

1 MR. SETTINERI: We have Company 2 Exhibit 4. These are various proofs of public notices and proofs of publication. 3 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 4 5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 MR. SETTINERI: Company Exhibit 5, we 7 would mark as Company Exhibit 5, a Memorandum of Understanding between the Ohio State Historic 8 9 Preservation Office and Ross County Solar, LLC. 10 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 11 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 12 MR. SETTINERI: Company Exhibit 6, the 13 Direct Testimony of William Risse, filed April 14, 2021. 14 15 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 16 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 17 MR. SETTINERI: Next, Company Exhibit 7, 18 the Direct Testimony of Eddie Duncan which Isaac Old 19 will be adopting in this proceeding, filed April 14, 20 2021. 2.1 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 2.2 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 23 MR. SETTINERI: Company Exhibit 8, the 24 Direct Testimony of Mark Bonifas. 25 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.

12 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 1 2 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company 3 Exhibit 9, the Direct Testimony of Matt Marquis. ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 4 5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company 7 Exhibit 10, the Direct Testimony of Andrew Lines. ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 8 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company 11 Exhibit 11, the Direct Testimony of Matthew Robinson. 12 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 13 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 14 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company 15 Exhibit 12, the Direct Testimony of Ryan Rupprecht. 16 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 17 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 18 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company 19 Exhibit 13, the Direct Testimony of August 20 Christensen. 2.1 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked. 2.2 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MR. SETTINERI: And we would mark as 23 24 Company Exhibit 14, the Direct Testimony of Brent 25 Finley.

```
ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.
 1
 2
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 3
                 MR. SETTINERI: Next, we would mark as
     Company Exhibit 15, the Supplemental Testimony of
 4
 5
     William Risse filed on May 21, 2021.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.
 6
 7
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 8
                 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company
 9
     Exhibit 16, the Supplemental Testimony of August
10
     Christensen.
11
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.
12
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
13
                 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company
14
     Exhibit 17, the Supplemental Testimony of Matthew
15
     Robinson filed May 21, 2021.
16
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.
17
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
18
                 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company
19
     Exhibit 18, the Supplemental Testimony of Ryan
20
     Rupprecht.
2.1
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.
2.2
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
23
                 MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company
24
     Exhibit 19, the Direct Testimony of Isaac Old.
25
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.
```

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SETTINERI: We would mark as Company Exhibit 20, a redline which I'll describe for the record is a redline of the conditions from the Staff Report to the Stipulation conditions.

ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.

2.1

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SETTINERI: Lastly, we would mark as Joint Exhibit 1, the Joint Stipulation filed in this proceeding on May 18, 2021.

ALJ AGRANOFF: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. And we are ready to proceed when you are ready, Your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Just in case we did not specifically state for the record while we were going through the delineation of witnesses. In light of the fact that there is the one witness,

Mr. Christensen, who is unavailable for today and will be called tomorrow, we will therefore be continuing today's proceeding tomorrow as well.

We'll begin at 10:00 for the limited purpose of having Mr. Christensen testify.

And I don't know whether we want to

discuss this now or wait until tomorrow, but I did also want to have some conversation with respect to briefs in this matter in light of the fact it is an opposed Stipulation. I will throw out the opportunity for the filing of briefs to the extent that the parties so desire. So if we could think about that and talk about it again tomorrow, but I did at least want to tee that up as an item that will need to ultimately be discussed.

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Yeah. I think, Your Honor, when we are complete with the witnesses, we appreciate that, and we can definitely talk about it at that time.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Is there anything else before we proceed with the calling of the first witness? If not, either Mr. Settineri or Ms. Sanyal, if you care to call Mr. Risse.

MR. SETTINERI: Yeah, that will be me, Your Honor, and at this time we'll call Mr. William Risse to the stand.

MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Risse, you have been promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Good morning. Can you hear me?

25 ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes, we can, and we can

16 1 see you as well, so this is all good. Good morning. 2 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor. ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Risse, if you could 3 please raise your right hand. 4 5 (Witness sworn.) ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 6 7 Please proceed, Mr. Settineri 8 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 We've already marked all of the necessary exhibits, 10 so, Mr. Risse, let's just go forward. 11 12 WILLIAM RISSE 13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 14 examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Settineri: 17 Q. If you could please state your name and 18 business address for the record, please. My name is William Risse. My business 19 Α. 20 address is 8400 Normandale Lake Boulevard, Suite 2.1 1200, Bloomington, Minnesota 55437. 22 Okay. Mr. Risse, at this time I'd like Q. 23 you to identify a number of exhibits. So I will 24 start first, if you could identify for the record 25 what's been marked as Company Exhibit 1, please.

- A. Company Exhibit 1 is the Ross County Solar Application.
  - Q. Okay. Were you involved in the preparation of that Application?
    - A. I was, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And was that Application prepared by you or at your direction?
  - A. It was, yes.
- Q. Okay. Could you please identify then Company Exhibit 1C for the record, please.
- 11 A. Yes. Those are confidential portions of 12 the Application.
- Q. Okay. Next, Mr. Risse, if you could identify Company Exhibit 2 for the record, please.
- 15 A. Those are responses to Staff data 16 requests.
- Q. Okay. And were those responses prepared by you or at your direction?
  - A. They were, yes.
- Q. Next, if you could identify Company
  Exhibit 3 for the record, please.
- A. Company Exhibit 3 is proof of service of the accepted and complete Application.
- Q. Thank you.
- Could you also please identify Company

Exhibit 4, please.

1

4

5

6

10

11

12

17

- A. Company Exhibit 4 is various proofs of public notice for the Application.
  - Q. Okay. Could you please identify for the record what's been marked as Company Exhibit 5, please.
- A. Yes. Company Exhibit 5 is the Memorandum of Understanding between the Ohio Historic Preservation Office and Ross County Solar.
  - Q. Okay. And were you involved in the drafting and execution of that Memorandum of Understanding?
- 13 A. I was, yes.
- Q. Okay. Next, Mr. Risse, if you could identify Company Exhibit 6, please.
- 16 A. That is my Direct Testimony.
  - Q. Okay. Was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 19 A. It was, yes.
- Q. Okay. Next, Mr. Risse, if you could identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 15, please.
- A. That is the supplement to my testimony.
- Q. Okay. And was that Supplemental
  Testimony prepared by you or at your direction?

A. It was, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. Next, I'd like you to identify Company Exhibit 20 for the record, please.
- A. Yes. That is redlines of the Stipulation conditions in comparison to the initial Staff Report proposed conditions.
- 7 Q. Okay. Thank you.

And lastly, if you could identify what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 1, please.

- A. Yes. That's the Joint Stipulation.
- 11 Q. Okay. And were you involved in the 12 negotiation of that Joint Stipulation?
- 13 A. I was, yes.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Risse, I'd like to turn back to
Company Exhibit 6, your Direct Testimony. Do you
have that before you?

- A. I do, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, again that was prepared by you or at your direction; is that right?
  - A. It was, yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony at this time?
- A. Yes. I do have a few revisions to the testimony this morning.

- Q. Okay. If you could slowly and carefully go through those for the court reporter, I'd appreciate it.
- A. Yes. So the first change is on page 3,
  Answer 5. I'd like to remove the last sentence of
  Answer 5, beginning with "Finally" and ending with
  "Report."
- Q. And could you read that one more time for me, Mr. Risse, just to make sure I have it correct.
- A. Yes. Answer 5, page 3, I'd like to remove the last sentence, "Finally, I will be responding to the recommendations made by the Staff in the Staff Report." I'd like to remove that sentence.
  - Q. What lines were those?
- A. That is line 8.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: And line 9 as well,
- 19 | correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 21 Q. All right. Please proceed.
- A. Yeah. The next change I'd like to make
  is on page 14, Answer 19, beginning on line 17, I'd
  like to remove the last two sentences of that answer.
  So beginning with "Currently, Condition 14" and

extending all the way to line 20 and ending with "below."

- Q. Okay. So just to be clear for the record, it's the last two sentences of your answer in 19 that are being deleted, correct?
  - A. Correct, yes.

- Q. Okay. All right. Please proceed.
- A. Yes. The third change that I'd like to make is on page 21 which is Answer 30. So page 21, on line 5, I'd like to remove on line 5, "is developing" and replace "is developing" with "developed and provided". So again, on line 5, page 21, I'd like to remove "is developing" and replace that language with "developed and provided".

MR. SETTINERI: And, Your Honor, given that the Stipulation has been filed in this proceeding, at this time we would withdraw

Question 32 and Answer 32. We would --

ALJ AGRANOFF: What page are we on?

MR. SETTINERI: Sorry. Page 23.

Starting at line 19, Question 32, the entirety of Answer 32, extending to the bottom of page 32.

And then we'd also withdraw Question 33 starting at line 32, page 32. We would withdraw the entirety of Answer 33. We'd also withdraw

```
22
     Question 34 and Answer 34. Again, that's because we
 1
 2
     have a Stipulation in this proceeding.
 3
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So if you could please
     repeat for me, beginning, you said, on page 23,
 4
     line 19.
 5
 6
                 MR. SETTINERI: Question 32.
 7
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: 32.
                 MR. SETTINERI: We'll withdraw that
 8
9
     Question and Answer in its entirety.
10
                 Starting at the bottom of page 32,
11
     line 32, we would withdraw the Question and the
12
     entirety of Answer 33 at the top of page 33.
13
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: So basically you're
     removing all of Question 32 and 33.
14
15
                 MR. SETTINERI: And 34.
16
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: And 34.
17
                 MR. SETTINERI: And Answer 34.
                                                 And
18
     again, that's because we have a Stipulation in this
19
     case.
20
                 May I proceed, Your Honor?
2.1
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Just give me a minute.
2.2
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yup, no problem.
23
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Proceed, please.
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: Okay.
25
            Q.
                (By Mr. Settineri) Do you have any other
```

- revisions to your Direct Testimony at this time,

  Mr. Risse?
  - A. I do not, no.
  - Q. Okay. If I asked you the questions in your Direct Testimony as we have revised today, would your answers as you have revised today be the same?
    - A. Yes, they would.
  - Q. Okay. I do -- okay. Turning to then Company Exhibit 15, your Supplemental Testimony.
    - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

23

24

- Q. Again, that was prepared by you or at your direction, correct?
  - A. It was, yes.
- Q. Do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony at this time?
- 16 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. And one additional question for
  you, Mr. Risse. Since we have now withdrawn Question
  and Answer 34 from your Direct Testimony which is
  marked as Company Exhibit 6, what do you recommend
  the Ohio Power Siting Board do in regard to the
  Application and the Stipulation?
  - A. I've reviewed the statutory requirements set forth in 4906.10(A) which must guide the Board's decision on the Application. I believe that the

information in the Application, the Stipulations, 1 2 along with the testimony and exhibits in this 3 proceeding, provide a firm basis for the Board to determine that all of the statutory criteria have 4 5 been met and that a certificate can be issued. 6 MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you. 7 Your Honor, at this time we would offer Mr. Risse up for cross-examination. 8 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: One moment. 10 Thank you. 11 Are there any parties who have questions 12 of Mr. Risse? 13 I take the silence as a no. 14 Mr. Risse, just so that the record is 15 clear, I do have some questions for you. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 18 EXAMINATION 19 By ALJ Agranoff: 20 Ο. If you would be so kind as to take a look 2.1 at your Supplemental Testimony. 2.2 Α. Okay. 23 And in particular if you'd take a look at Q. 24 page 2 and then going on to page 3. 25 Α. Okay.

- Q. And there's a discussion there about the use of borings and boring locations.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you see that?
  - A. Yup.

2.1

- Q. Have any of those boring tests been performed as of this time or will that be all subsequent to the Board issuing a decision?
- A. We have completed a preliminary geotechnical evaluation that included test borings throughout the site. And for clarity, we noted a -- just a typo error in that -- in that filing that we filed that indicated an incorrect location, but, in review of that, looking at the latitude and longitude of those boring locations, we determined that the data provided was accurate and it was a basic typing error. So we just wanted to clarify that.

We have completed test borings out there. And it is standard for us to actually complete a more robust evaluation of the site that accounts for additional locations prior to construction and we would provide those additional boring logs to the Board at that time after those are completed.

Q. Okay. But there has been preliminary boring work done to this point.

- A. Correct. Preliminary boring has been completed and generally determined that the site was suitable for development of solar.
- Q. Okay. And then if you could take a look at Question 8 which is on page 3 of your Supplemental Testimony.
  - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. I know there's a discussion there about subsequent changes that may take place.
  - A. Could you repeat that again? Sorry.
- Q. There's a discussion there about subsequent changes that may take place after the submission of final engineering drawings.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Is there a process contemplated for the timing of Staff giving feedback, the Board Staff providing feedback, with respect to any of those subsequent changes?
- A. In regards to changes, as negotiated in the Stipulation, we would need to provide those changes ahead of implementing those changes on the ground. In terms of exact timing of that, that would be something that we would coordinate with Staff to ensure that they have adequate time to review.
  - Q. And would it be anticipated then or

expected that you would not go forward until such feedback was provided by Staff?

- A. I would agree that we would want to have Staff review and confirm that they have reviewed those changes prior to proceeding with those changes.
- Q. Okay. And if you could please turn to page 4 of your Supplemental Testimony.
  - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. And with respect to Condition 11, I know that there's a discussion there about the emergency plan. Do you see that?
  - A. I do, yes.
- Q. Okay. And with respect to the emergency plan, I believe there's a fire protection, safety, and medical emergency plan that has been referred to within the Application?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is there any objection from the Company to have that plan filed on the docket upon its development and finalization?
- A. In review of our standard fire protection, safety, and medical emergency plan, this is something that we strive to complete for every project. We would be willing to post that to the docket.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then if you could please turn to page -- it's the same page, if you could please continue to stay on page 4 and take a look at Question 15 and your response.

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. With respect to the road use and maintenance agreement and the townships that are referenced there, are those townships on line 19 that you reference, Buckskin and Paint Township?
- A. Yes, the townships referenced would be in reference to Buckskin and Paint Township.
- Q. And then if you go to page 5 of your -- I'm sorry -- yeah, page 5 of your supplemental direct.
- A. Okay.
  - Q. And you discuss a bond amount that would need to be agreed upon with the Ross County Engineer for the repair and damages caused by construction.
    - A. Okay.
  - Q. Is that bond to cover not only the county's roads but the townships' roads as well?
- A. Yes, the bond that would be established would cover county and township roads.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then staying on page 7, the question and response to Question 16, there's reference to the quarterly complaint summary report.

- A. Can you clarify the page number?
- Q. Yes. Page 7, the same page that we were -- I'm sorry. Page 5, line 6.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Question and Answer 16.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. The reference to the quarterly complaint summary report.
- 12 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Do you know whether or not the Company intends to docket the quarterly complaint summary report?
- 16 A. Give me one moment.

As stated in the Condition, we did not anticipate filing the complaint resolution -- or, the summary report to the docket. We anticipated providing that to OPSB Staff as negotiated in the Stipulation.

- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 23 And now if you could please turn to 24 page 7 of your Supplemental --
- 25 A. Okay.

- Q. -- Direct Testimony. And specifically I'm looking at lines 6 through 8 and you have a discussion there about "the Applicant must replace the failed plantings within a screening module, if necessary, to ensure the screening module remains effective at that location." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, I do.

2.1

- Q. Okay. I'm trying to understand how that language comports with the other discussions with respect to Condition 14 relative to 90 percent of the screening needing to be maintained within five years, the first five years of the plan.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. How do those two statements with respect to the requirement or commitment to replace the failed plantings within a screening module fall within the 90 percent, five-year criteria?
- A. I can elaborate on that a bit. I think the purpose of kind of the second point you reference there in regards to ensuring that the landscape screening continues to be effective is really looking beyond that five-year point. As the vegetation continues to establish and, I guess, to put it simply, gets larger and more robust, that screening becomes more effective whether 90 percent of

vegetation remains in place or not.

2.1

And this just ensures that beyond that five-year point, once that vegetation has really taken hold and the screening is effectively being implemented, that there isn't any major failure in the goal of that module.

So just ensuring that if there were any major failings in the plantings beyond year five, which isn't really anticipated, we would anticipate that we would replace those areas, as necessary, to ensure that screening continues to be implemented properly.

- Q. And is that a subjective criteria or are there some objective standards that are going to be utilized for the purposes of making that assessment?
- A. In terms of subjectiveness of that -- excuse me.

So in order to -- we've kind of established three different vegetation modules for the project and each of those modules kind of has a different design goal. So Module 1, for example, is a very light screening, using low-growing vegetation to kind of help beautify the project. And then Module 3 is a much more robust screening module which is laid out in our Landscape Mitigation Plan.

And we would coordinate with our consultant and on-site contractors to ensure that that plan is reviewed and that the goal listed in that vegetation management plan continues to be implemented throughout the life of the project.

2.1

2.2

- Q. But it doesn't necessarily mean that you'd be continuing to utilize a 90 percent criteria for the purposes of this subsequent application.
- A. Correct. In terms of the 90 percent value, in talking with consultants who work in the industry, work on vegetation management and landscape screening such as this, 90 percent survival is a very high rate of survival for vegetation and should ensure that, carrying forward beyond year five, that that vegetation will continue to accomplish what it was set out to do even if that 90 percent goal is not met beyond year five.
- Q. But how does one know whether or not the remedy that's being applied is going to satisfy the goal of ensuring the screening module remains effective at that location?
  - A. Give me one moment.

Yeah, as indicated in our vegetation management plan, we will have a regular monitoring of that vegetation coverage and landscape modules to

ensure that the goal of those modules continues to be implemented throughout the life of the project.

2.1

- Q. Separate and apart from the 90 percent, five-year criteria?
- A. We will ensure that there's 90 percent coverage for the first five years and, following that, we will ensure that the three -- or four, excuse me, four module types for this project are maintained and that the goal of those modules continues to be implemented throughout the life of the project.
- Q. Okay. I guess what I'm just trying to understand is how does one define or know what the objective is with respect to satisfying the criteria of ensuring that the screening module remains effective? How do you know that that's been satisfied or how do you know how to satisfy it?
- A. In terms of ensuring that that vegetation remains effective, as I noted we have a robust description of those planting modules, and I would refer to Mr. Matthew Robinson, from EDR, to elaborate on the effectiveness of those modules, but we will ensure that kind of the module goals, for example Module 2 which is kind of for a moderate level of screening, we would ensure there's coordination and

review of the vegetation -- or the Landscape

Mitigation Plan to ensure that plantings continue to
be maintained at a level that will reach the goal for
each module.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And what is the area for which that analysis is done? When we talk about the four modules, do you look at, is it a defined geographic area for which you do that analysis?
- A. We have a vegetation -- a Landscape
  Mitigation Plan which indicates the location of each
  screening module. I can't remember the page off the
  top of my head, but a map is included within the
  Landscape Mitigation Plan that indicates where each
  module will be implemented. And for those reviewing
  the module types, they'll be able to reference that
  map to ensure that each landscape module is being
  implemented as noted in that plan.
- Q. And just so that the record is clear, I think you discuss the existence of four different modules. I think you had said the first one was the light screening module, the second was the moderate-level screening, the third was the robust module, and what was the fourth?
- A. Yeah, so the fourth module is very similar to Module 3. Both are used for kind of the

```
heaviest screening in close proximity to, for
 1
 2
     example, adjacent residences to the project.
    Module 4 is just kind of another option that can be
 3
     utilized to prevent any sort of shading to panels, so
 4
 5
     a little bit lower-growing vegetation versus
 6
    Module 3.
 7
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you for those
     clarifications.
 8
 9
                 Based on my questions -- actually, let me
10
     ask first, Judge Davis, do you have any questions of
11
     this witness?
12
                 ALJ DAVIS: I do not. Thank you.
13
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Based on my limited
14
     questions, does counsel have any follow-up questions
15
     that they would care to ask?
16
                 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I may, just
17
     to clear up the record on a couple points. May I
18
     have a few moments to consult and then come back on
19
     the record?
20
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure.
2.1
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Why don't we take -- you
22
     need five or ten minutes?
23
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: Five.
```

ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's take a five-minute

36 1 break. Thank you. 2 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 3 (Recess taken.) ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the 4 record at this time. 5 Mr. Settineri, did you have any follow-up 6 7 questions based on my limited questioning? MR. SETTINERI: Yeah, just a couple 8 questions for the record, Your Honor, if I may. 9 10 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 By Mr. Settineri: 13 Q. Mr. Risse, will the Company have a complaint resolution procedure for the project? 14 15 Α. Yes, we will. 16 Will that procedure be mailed to adjacent Ο. property owners and to property owners in the general 17 18 area? 19 Α. Yes, it will. 20 Q. Okay. And can those property owners 2.1 avail themselves of that complaint resolution 22 procedure? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And so if there was an issue with Ο.

screening, such as, you know, a tree is dying in a

certain area or bushes dying, could a property owner utilize the complaint resolution procedure to address any screening issues? Α. Yes, they could. MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions, Your Honor. ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you. With that, Mr. Risse, you are excused. Mr. Settineri, do you care to make a motion at this time with respect to the testimonies? MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. And if I may, just for the record, before Mr. Risse leaves the video, did you -- did we want to check to see if anyone has any recross based on the redirect? ALJ AGRANOFF: Are there any additional recross questions? Okay. MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to make sure the record was clear on that. ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Your Honor, at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Exhibit 1, Company Exhibit 1C, Company Exhibit 2,

this time, Ross County Solar would move for the

admission of the following exhibits: Company

```
38
     Company Exhibit 3, Company Exhibit 4, Company
 1
 2
     Exhibit 5, Company Exhibit 6, Company Exhibit 15,
     Company Exhibit 20, and we would move for the
 3
     admission of Joint Exhibit 1.
 4
 5
                 I would note as part of this motion that
 6
     Company Exhibit 1 is the Application in this
 7
     proceeding, Company Exhibit 1C is the confidential
     section of the Application. There is no 1B or 1A.
 8
 9
     It's just Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 1C.
10
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: And all of the
11
     aforementioned exhibits that you just delineated are
12
    being sponsored or are under the purview of
13
    Mr. Risse?
14
                 MR. SETTINERI: That is correct, Your
15
     Honor.
16
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections to the
17
     admission of the aforementioned exhibits?
18
                 There being none, the aforementioned
19
     exhibits shall be admitted as part of the record at
20
     this time.
2.1
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
2.2
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: And at this point in time,
23
     are you ready to call Mr. Bonifas?
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. And my
25
     colleague, Ms. Sanyal, will be calling Mr. Bonifas to
```

```
39
     the stand.
 1
 2
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.
 3
                 Mr. Schmidt, if you could please promote
    Mr. Bonifas.
 4
 5
                 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Bonifas, you've been
 6
     promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.
 7
                 THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?
 8
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes, we can; and we can
9
     see you. Hello there.
10
                 THE WITNESS: Hi.
11
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you can please raise
12
     your right hand for me, sir.
13
                 (Witness sworn.)
14
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.
15
                 Ms. Sanyal.
16
                 MS. SANYAL: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
18
                          MARK BONIFAS
19
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
20
     examined and testified as follows:
2.1
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
     By Ms. Sanyal:
23
                 Good morning, Mr. Bonifas.
            Q.
24
            A. Good morning.
25
            Q.
                Could you please state your name and your
```

- 1 business address for the record.
- 2 A. Mark Bonifas. I'm with Hull &
- 3 | Associates. The address is 6397 Emerald Parkway,
- 4 | Suite 200, Dublin, Ohio.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- And do you have a copy of what has been previously marked as Company Exhibit 8?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. Okay. Could you please identify for the 10 record what that is?
- 11 A. That is -- that is my Direct Testimony.
- Q. Okay. And was Company Exhibit 8 prepared by you or at your direction?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And do you have any revisions or changes to your testimony today?
- 17 A. I do not.
- Q. And if I asked you the same questions as are in your testimony, would your answers remain the same?
- 21 A. Yes, they would.
- MS. SANYAL: Okay. Thank you,
- 23 Mr. Bonifas.
- Your Honor, Mr. Bonifas is now available
- 25 | for cross-examination.

41 1 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 2 Judge Davis. 3 ALJ DAVIS: I have no questions. ALJ AGRANOFF: Do any of the parties have 4 5 any questions of Mr. Bonifas? 6 All right. If not, thank you, 7 Mr. Bonifas, for your attendance today. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: Ms. Sanyal. 10 MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, may I move for 11 Company Exhibit 8 to be admitted into the record? 12 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections to the 13 admission of the aforementioned exhibit? 14 There being none, Company Exhibit 8 shall be admitted at this time. 15 16 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 17 MS. SANYAL: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 19 MS. SANYAL: And we will be calling 20 Mr. Andrew Lines next, and Mr. Settineri will be 2.1 handling that witness. 2.2 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. 23 MR. SETTINERI: Yes. Thank you, Your 24 Honor. At this time if we're ready to proceed?

ALJ AGRANOFF: I think we are.

Q. Can you please state your name and

business address for the record, please.

- A. Andrew Lines. I work at 200 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2600, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- Q. And do you have before you what's been marked as Company Exhibit 10?
- A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. And could you please identify that for the record, please.
  - A. This is my Direct Testimony.
- Q. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 12 A. It was.
  - Q. All right. And do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony at this time?
  - A. I do.
- Q. And what are those revisions?
- A. Under Answer No. 6, which is page 3,
  second line, "I have personally visited the proposed
  site."

And then I would also add at the end of this paragraph that I did research the area for additional listings and sales, and found another two homes that are relatively adjacent to the project of which listing prices I have reviewed.

Q. And maybe a good way to approach this for

the record, just to be clear then, your specific revision, your first revision on page 3, line 8, are you striking the word "not", Mr. Lines?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And so, it would read: "I have personally visited the proposed site."
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. You also mentioned that you had reviewed,
  I believe, two other sales in the area; is that
  correct?
- 11 A. Listings, that's correct.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. And so, Your
Honor, what I would suggest here, instead of doing
specific written revisions to the testimony, I will
just simply ask a few questions for the record just
to make sure it's clear.

- Q. So if you could just describe those listings for the record, Mr. Lines, please, to supplement your testimony.
  - A. Absolutely.

One listing is at 6215 Rapid Forge Road, Greenfield, Ohio, which was listed for sale for \$235,000. My understanding is that this sale -- listing was only on the market for a couple of days and is now contingent or perhaps under contract.

The second is 69 Moxley Road in Bainbridge, Ohio, and this home is listed for sale, as of June 1st, for \$199,500. And my understanding is that it's still a listing.

- Q. As to the two properties, 6215 Rapid Forge Road, is it adjacent to the project area?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2.1

22

- Q. And is 69 Moxley Road adjacent to the project area?
- A. It's mostly adjacent. I think there are a couple of private property owners in between the actual project site and that property.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. But it's relatively close.
  - Q. Okay. And being aware of those listings, does that change your conclusions in your testimony?
- A. No, it does not.
- 18 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. SETTINERI: I was on mute. Sorry,
  20 Your Honor.
  - Q. If I asked you the questions in your testimony as written, would your answers be the same as revised and discussed today?
- A. They would be the same.
- Q. And to make sure I asked this question, I

46

may have already, was this testimony prepared by you or at your direction?

A. It was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

22

MR. SETTINERI: All right. At this time, Your Honor, the witness is available for cross-examination, and we would also at this time move, subject to cross-examination, for the admission of Company Exhibit 10.

9 ALJ DAVIS: Does any party have questions 10 for this witness?

MR. MARKS: I do, Your Honor. If you're able to hear me.

13 ALJ DAVIS: Mr. Farthing?

MR. MARKS: Jeff Marks.

ALJ DAVIS: I'm sorry, Mr. Marks.

MR. MARKS: No problem, Your Honor.

ALJ DAVIS: Okay. You may proceed.

MR. MARKS: Thank you very much.

19

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION

21 By Mr. Marks:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Lines.

A. Good morning.

Q. My name is Jeffrey Marks. I'm the

25 Prosecuting Attorney for Ross County, Ohio. I

represent Paint Township with respect to this particular matter. I just had a couple of questions I wanted to go over based upon the Direct Testimony that you submitted as well as the modifications, if that's okay.

A. Absolutely.

2.1

- Q. You indicated with respect to Exhibit 10, on page 1, you had listed a series of states to which you are a certified appraiser, as well as the District of Columbia, I believe, but Minnesota was not contained on that list. Is Minnesota a state that doesn't require licensure?
- A. I do not have a Minnesota practicing license, but my partner in my group, I believe, does hold a Certified General Appraiser license in the state of Minnesota, and we work together on a number of cases, but I do not hold one.
  - Q. Thank you.

Moving on to page 2, you had provided an extensive list of the different valuations, roughly from lines 3 to 18 in your testimony, covering all kinds of different sort of property types, location types, purposes for -- different purposes for these valuations to be done, and at the end you listed "as well as solar farms." And I guess my question based

upon this is: In your 16 years of appraising, approximately what percentage of your work would you say would be doing evaluations -- valuations with respect to solar farm properties and projects?

- A. For the last four years, I'd say close to 30 to 40 percent of my time has been devoted towards doing impact studies of renewable energy projects, both solar and wind.
- Q. That's certainly an issue that's -- or a project type that has taken hold recently and is moving forward quite rapidly through many states, correct?
- A. That is the trend of development, correct.
- Q. Moving down towards line 20 on page 2, you had indicated that you have previously provided testimony to this Board with respect to two different Ohio projects, the first being Big Plain Solar and the second being Yellowbud Solar, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Where is the Big Plain project located?
- A. I don't remember off the top of my head.
- 23 I believe it was on the western boundary.
  - Q. Towards the state of Indiana?
- 25 A. That's correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Q. Are you able to recall, is that facility or is that project in more of a rural or urban area?

2.1

- A. My recollection was it was rural.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall the size of that particular project?
- A. I do not, but I know it was utility scale.
  - Q. Which would put it over the 50?
- A. It would be over -- it would be over 50, correct.
- Q. With the Yellowbud project that you had also offered testimony on, that one is also located here in Ross County, correct? Or a majority of it is located here in Ross County, Ohio?
- A. It's relatively close to this proposed project, correct.
- Q. And I understand Yellowbud Solar and Ross County Solar are both part of the same parent sort of company that you're testifying on behalf of today?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And I had a chance to review the testimony that you provided in the Yellowbud hearing, and it was, for all intents and purposes, very similar to the testimony provided today, correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. You know, just for purposes of getting some of this out of the way, on page 3 you indicated you were testifying on behalf of Ross County Solar, and you have been compensated by them in order to conduct the survey and prepare this testimony?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You had indicated on line 4 that the purpose of this testimony is to evaluate the impact of the project on property values surrounding the project area, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. You indicated that you're familiar with the Ross County Solar project and the reasons you provided were: Having reviewed the Application, conducting online research, and reviewing sales of listings of adjoining properties to this particular project. Are you able to recall how many properties are involved that are adjacent to this particular project that Ross County Solar is applying for?
- A. I wouldn't be able to give you an exact number. In touring the site and looking at aerial depictions and other exhibits, you know, I would say there's probably a couple dozen homes that are relatively immediately adjacent, but the total number of properties, I don't know.

Q. When you were talking about doing online
-- I guess you've now indicated you've been to the
property where the site is to be done. Is it safe to
assume this visit has taken place between the
submission of your testimony in April and today's
date?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. And what was the purpose for visiting the property after having submitted your testimony?
- A. Just to get a better evaluation in my own mind's eye as to how the panels would be situated, which properties might have a direct view, and to make sure I understand what the lay of the land looks like. That's important for a real estate appraiser.
  - Q. I agree with you.

When you were conducting your online research with the imagery and the aerials, what resources did you use to do that properly?

- A. Google Maps is a really fantastic tool, and Google Earth, and then various websites that entertain real estate listings and sales information, as well as demographic resources.
- Q. And when you talk about the -- when you're referring to the websites you would use, what websites would you use to check for the sales and

listings of the adjoining properties in order to conduct your analysis?

2.1

2.2

- A. Certainly Realtor.com has information, and other brokerage sites that pull information from the Multiple Listing Service.
- Q. I'm trying to think of some other ones like Zillow and -- well, you said Relator.com. Those kind of websites?
- A. There are a bunch of websites out there that host that MLS information. I wouldn't say that I'm particular to one or the other.
- Q. Okay. You specifically mentioned the property at 6267 Rapid Forge Road in your Direct Testimony. I believe that you since added a couple other properties; one being adjacent, one being mostly adjacent.

With respect to the 6267 Rapid Forge
Road, you had noted it was placed on the market
March 20th and placed under contract on April 10th,
but had not sold at the time of your testimony. Did
you have an opportunity to check on the sale of that
home?

A. My understanding is that it did sell, but when we called the Ross County Auditor there wasn't a record of it yet, so I don't know what the final sale

price was or how long it took finally to close.

2.1

2.2

Q. If I -- this morning I had a chance to pull up that particular property on Zillow.com and it had indicated that, on May 27th of 2021, it had sold for \$225,000.

MR. SETTINERI: Object, Your Honor.

There's been no foundation. The witness testified he was not aware of the sale, and there's been no foundation laid that he's familiar with anything about Zillow with that site, with that property. He just can't read testimony into the record.

MR. MARKS: Your Honor, my argument to that would be that Mr. Lines has appeared this morning and apparently has gone to a couple of websites similar to Zillow and added to his testimony about two other properties that are now recently on the market. I don't see how my offering that information to him that I observed this morning on the internet is any different than him looking on the internet to provide modifications to his Direct Testimony.

MR. SETTINERI: The witness has no way of verifying, Your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Lines, do you have the ability to confirm whether or not the information

that was provided by Mr. Marks is accurate?

2.1

THE WITNESS: I would have to conduct the research and check Zillow.com, I suppose. I know that I spoke with the County Auditor and they didn't have a record of it yet; and I know that when I looked on the Ross County website that it was not posted as a sale transaction as of yet.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I'd also add that the record of sales would be with the Auditor's site. Zillow is a website where owners of property can input information so the veracity of that information as to a sale, there's no way to verify it. So as Mr. Lines indicated, you know, Zillow, he'd have to conduct the research, and if we're talking about sale prices, that's the Auditor's site.

MR. MARKS: Well, Your Honor, I guess
Mr. Lines has argued -- or offered testimony that he
relies on sites such as Realtor.com and Zillow.com to
prepare his testimony. I mean, it took me about two
minutes this morning to look it up online and see
that it's there. Obviously the formal record is
going to be with the Auditor's Office when that would
get filed but it's not been prepared at least as far
as the Auditor's website goes. I looked there as
well and it's not on that particular website yet.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, again, my concern though is, having done it myself as an owner of property, I can input a price. We don't know if that number is the accurate sales number until we see it on the Auditor's site. That's my concern.

2.1

MR. MARKS: Then what reliance can the Court have on his testimony about these other two properties when he's gone to similar websites to see what's currently under contract, possibly contingent, things of that nature?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Lines, if we were to take a five-minute break, would you be able to at least confirm the information that was asked of you by Mr. Marks, that that information is at least accurately represented as reflected on that website?

THE WITNESS: I can. You know, if he says it sold for 225,000, then, okay, you know, he can say that. I don't have, personally, a record of it, and obviously there's no formal record on the public data site. But just to keep this moving, I'm happy to say, okay, it sold at 225. I don't know what other questions he has for me on that.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Do you want to at least have the opportunity --

MR. SETTINERI: Yes.

ALJ AGRANOFF: -- to verify that the website that he said he got that information from does reflect that number?

THE WITNESS: Sure.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Why don't we take a ten-minute break and you check to make sure that you're able to access that information, okay? All right. We'll reconvene at 11:35. Thank you.

(Recess taken.)

10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the record.

Mr. Lines, were you able to do some checking with respect to the question that was asked of you by Mr. Marks?

THE WITNESS: Yes. So I was able to verify that the Zillow website does note that the property sold for 225,000.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Marks, are you ready to continue with your questioning?

MR. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

Q. (By Mr. Marks) Moving on, Mr. Lines. You had further -- further down in your testimony you'd gone through your experience with respect to

different utility-scale solar projects and community-sized solar projects that you had been a part of or had done valuations for in numerous different states. And with respect to the utility-scale solar projects, you seem to focus more on the North Star Solar project; is that correct?

- A. That's an important one and there were a number of transactions around that development. As far as existing and operating large-scale utility projects, that one has been around longer than a lot of the other ones and so there's more data for me to study.
- Q. About how long has that project been around? I guess I --
- 15 A. It was completed -- it was completed in 2016.
  - Q. Okay. So there's about a five-year breadth of information that's able to be drawn from that particular project?
    - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. In your testimony you refer to that project at least one time and I think a couple different times as, quote-unquote, our project. Is that one you were closely involved with?
- 25 A. I don't know if I called it "our

project."

2.1

2.2

Q. On page 8, line 20, you had indicated "our results." I apologize. I misspoke when I said "our project."

When you indicate "our results," that would be for an evaluation that you conducted on that particular project?

- A. Correct. A paired sales analysis as well as an appreciation rate study.
  - Q. I apologize for misspeaking there.

What kind of a -- obviously that facility is potentially the most similar to the Ross County Solar project here with respect to the potential megawatts and the acreage that's involved. Where was that Minnesota facility at, as far as rural, urban? And when I say "Minnesota," I'm talking about the North Star project.

- A. Sure. It's located about 45 minutes north of the Twin Cities and it's an area that I would call rural with a lot of row crop and agricultural land uses.
  - Q. Thank you.

Moving on to page 4, when you're mentioning the community-sized solar farms you've been involved with in the eight states you've

conducted studies of those projects, when you say "community-sized," what do you mean as far as -- I'm assuming that's the size of the project?

A. It refers to the size of the project.

Generally speaking, community solar projects tend to serve energy to the immediate residents within the community, and utility scale often is transported in a larger area.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

Then on page 4, you kind of get into a broader discussion of how these studies are conducted with respect to target groups and control groups.

And if I'm correct, the two properties that you had just mentioned this morning, the 6215 Rapid Forge Road, you said that would be considered an adjacent property so that would be in what would be called the target group?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Okay. How does that work out then?
- A. Well, while I did look at some of the listings for houses within the area, that's to get a sense of what the homes are, and my focus is on developing information and studying data for homes that are immediately adjacent to projects which are completed and in operation. The homes that I

mentioned this morning do not have solar panels immediately across the street as of today.

- Q. I understand that. And I think you went into some detail with that when you were talking about these analyses, it's post-construction, once the panels are up, here's what impact those panels might have on property values, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. When you were discussing the target and the control groups for these studies, the control group you had indicated is removed from the solar facility. What falls into the gamut of what constitutes "removed"?
- A. Generally speaking, distance. Every project and every area is a little bit different with regards to how far out you need to go in order to collect as many control data points as they're really necessary to make for, you know, a robust study, so it depends on certain situations. In some situations the control sale might just be a couple blocks away in terms of urban language; in other studies, you might need to go out a mile or two.
- Q. Is there any sort of differentiation of the control group? Is line of sight one of the considerations in all or some of the sales?

A. Correct. The control sales that we use shouldn't have a direct line of sight to a project.

2.1

- Q. And I'm assuming this would include elevation changes, you know, one property being 50 feet higher than where the solar project is going to be located, things of that nature?
- A. It depends. Certain properties that are, you know, somewhat adjacent or generally around an existing solar farm might have other screenings that, you know, restrict or block some of those views but, yeah, certainly I think that is one factor to consider.
- Q. On page 6, lines 13 and 16 -- through 16, when you were talking about the control area sales are not adjoining, no view of the solar farm, and because of this you made a statement that neither the announcement nor the completion of the solar farm could have impacted the sale of these properties. Do you see that statement?
  - A. I do see that statement, that's correct.
- Q. And, you know, I might be overthinking this, unfortunately semantics in the criminal world plays a whole lot on what somebody says and how they say it, but when you say that neither of those things could have impacted the sale of these properties, you

can't know that specifically that, you know, the announcement of the facility, the construction of the facility, if it did or didn't impact, without actually talking to these home purchasers, correct?

2.1

A. I don't a hundred percent agree with you. So the point of this study is to utilize data points and understand them in a scientific way, so we're looking at facts. Just as, I suppose, you would do in your criminal investigations, you look at facts and you try to understand the story that joins the facts together.

So in this we're looking for transactions that have been completed and when you're specifically talking about control sales, you know, the thing that we're looking at, the difference between the target and control is generally proximity. So you're right, there's a little bit of an assumption there that the control data points, you know, did not have that impact, right, were not impacted by the fact there's one in the area.

That being said, I will say that, you know, utility-scale projects can bring a great amount of tax revenue to a district, so even if you're a house that might be located, you know, a mile and a half away, you might still benefit because your

school receives now, say, a million dollars more per year in annual tax revenue and that can be a game changer for certain districts. And sometimes we see that, you know, common thread for areas that have high home values, generally have really good school systems, good school systems are usually well funded, so in that respect I guess I could see your point of view.

2.1

Q. And I don't think anybody would disagree that more funding for a school district is going to improve the community in some way, shape, or form. There's likely to be some kind of a tangible benefit to that project.

I guess I need to understand, in the testimony you had said that, you know, the solar farm likely didn't impact those control group sales. When I hear a definite statement like that, that it didn't impact anything, I'm always leery of those kinds of statements and I just wanted to get a little clarification on that, so I appreciate it.

With respect to the studies that you conducted and this is kind of based on the testimony on page 7, you had concluded that no measurable and consistent negative impact had occurred to adjacent property which could be attributed to the proximity

of commercial-scale facilities, and based upon these past evaluations you went ahead and followed up with local realtors, assessors, brokers, to kind of get some verification of that, correct?

- A. Correct. And, you know, for assessors of communities who look at transactions within their communities regularly, they're a great data source to not only confirm that transactions happened but also to let us know whether anybody has approached them to reduce their assessment by the virtue of being now adjacent to a solar farm. And I think what's really remarkable is that I'd say we've probably interviewed 60 to 70 different assessors and not one has noted that they have seen any data that would support that conclusion.
- Q. Since this follow-up is done with brokers and assessors post-construction, is it safe to say you haven't contacted any Ross County assessors or brokers or agents up to this point in time?
  - A. That's not true.
  - Q. Okay.

2.1

A. We did speak with the Ross County

Assessor, who -- Auditor, who indicated that he

doesn't have any data but he also doesn't have a

district that contains any solar, so he recognizes

the fact that any transactions up to this point aren't necessarily influenced by the project.

2.1

- Q. With the best of the information you got at hand with these valuations, you indicated on line 17, page 7, that there are no existing projects in Ohio over 100 megawatts. I know that phase one of Hardin, I don't know if that's a gray area for your valuations since it's partly done and it's over 100 megawatts or how that plays out, but the Hardin and the Hillcrest are the two that, at least from Ohio's standpoint, gives you some information, maybe not a whole lot, with being at the early stages, correct?
- A. That's correct. We haven't identified any data around Hardin.

Hillcrest, there does seem to be some data points in Mount Orab. You know, I've looked at some of the data cursorily and, you know, we've noted relative listing prices related to some of those sales, but we haven't done a big deep dive to really analyze those properties. You know, a lot of sales data, if any, is extremely recent.

Q. I think you indicated earlier that these valuations are -- obviously you start them early on but they don't really take hold and carry as much

weight until you have the construction completed, correct?

2.1

- A. That's correct. You know, it also depends on the area, the length of time that residents in a particular area might typically stay in their home. You know, I need the actual transactions in order to do my study, so if it's in an area where people don't move a whole lot, there are going to be fewer data points for me to review.
- Q. Now, to the best of your knowledge, the Hillcrest facility, is it still being constructed as of today, the one that started in January of 2020?
  - A. I think it's in operation as of 2021.
- Q. Okay. And you had discussed that there were approximately three home sales related to that particular project. When you say "approximately three," what do you -- I guess it's a small number that might be easy to verify. Why is there an approximate there?
- A. That's a good question. I mean, I think we found three home sales. I think it's a little bit of appraiser-ese, if I can be poetic.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to reach out to any of the homeowners from those sales to see if there was -- or the buyers from those sales to see

what role, if any, the project played?

A. I have not.

2.1

- Q. Finally, on page 8, line 15, you offer your conclusion and kind of your formal opinion that, based upon your experience with other commercial solar projects, your familiarity with this project, and the results of the valuation study, you don't anticipate there to be any -- this project to be the cause of any decrease in property values; and you're relying again on that North Star project, correct?
- A. Relying on all of the research and data that my company and I have gone through and amassed over the last three and four years, which totals probably 40 different solar projects coast to coast and in Hawaii, no, I don't think the project will negatively influence surrounding property values.
- Q. Would you say it's a fair statement to make that the public would certainly have an interest in the respective property values that they have?
- A. I can tell you, as somebody who works coast to coast, that all Americans are very concerned about their property values in a lot of different situations and, you know, I would assume that everybody gets to take up the public process in the way that it's established where they live, and so

Ohio is this, and I would assume that people would be interested.

MR. MARKS: Those are all the questions I have, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

5 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any questions from other 6 counsel?

7 Okay. I'll take silence as a no.

At this time, any redirect?

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may have just a few minutes to consult with the witness. We might have a few questions to clear up the record.

12 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. How long do you 13 think you need? Five? Ten?

MR. SETTINERI: Five. No more than five.

15 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Come back at about

16 12:02.

8

9

10

11

MR. SETTINERI: Works perfect. Thank

18 you.

19 (Recess taken.)

20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the

21 record.

22 Mr. Settineri

MR. SETTINERI: Yes. Thank you, Your

24 Honor.

25 | - - -

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Settineri:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. Mr. Lines, do you recall questions from Mr. Marks regarding sales data generally?
  - A. With regards to the source?
- Q. That's correct. And my question for you is: In regards to your paired sales analysis, how do you obtain the sales data and what sources do you use?
  - A. Sure.

So where we have access to use the Multiple Listing Service, that's initially where we're looking for information.

Sometimes we also use additional websites which are able to collect some of that data as well as inputs from homeowners and brokers. We consider these to be leads, not confirmed data. We always confirm all of our sales using an auditor, assessor, or county deed information in order to verify and make sure that we can use those sales within our studies.

- Q. And you're familiar with Zillow; is that true?
- 24 A. Unfortunately I am.
- Q. Okay. How does -- or I should say how

are sale prices, where does that input come from for Zillow?

A. My understanding is that brokers can input listing and sale prices as well as property pictures and those kind of things.

also ferret information from public sources. I don't know that they have a direct connection to every public assessor's website but there are other databases which can conglomerate a lot of that information and so Zillow pays money to access that and get the information but not all of the information is accurate and so that is why we always make sure we go through that secondary procedure of verifying the information with public record documents.

- Q. Can a real estate agent, who has listed the property, enter the sales price on Zillow?
  - A. Yes, they could.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall questions from Mr. Marks regarding the Zillow sales price listed for 6267 Rapid Forge Road?
  - A. I do.

2.

2.1

2.2

Q. Okay. And assuming that Zillow-listed sales price is accurate and you were able to confirm

it on the auditor's site, would that change your opinion in your testimony?

2.1

A. No. That home, even with that listing price and that sale price, still reflected a reasonable sale-price-to-listing-price ratio. Homes don't always sell for what they're exactly listed for.

And then also looking at the public data information, I think I noted that the property had previously sold in 2005 for \$135,000, and that would reflect a fairly reasonable annual appreciation, between then and now, of over 3-1/2 percent. And for a rural community, I don't think anybody would be ashamed with that appreciation rate.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Which property were you referring to just now when you said that property?

THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6267 Rapid Forge.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) And you answered some questions about the paired sales analysis. For the record, can you generally explain what the paired sales analysis is?
  - A. Sure.

So this, as my testimony notes, comes from an Appraisal Institute textbook prepared by

Dr. Randy Bell who is still alive and still performs appraisals as well as this.

2.1

This is one technique in extracting information from target and control groups. So a target group is supposed to be either one sale or many sales that are immediately adjacent to a supposed deleterious use, and in this particular study and the studies we're doing for solar we're recognizing the properties that are immediately adjacent to a solar array and then we're comparing them to properties that are removed from having been influenced from that same proposed use.

And so, in that respect, we're often taking one sale or a bunch of sales that we could find, depending on how many are immediately around it, and what we'll do is we'll go through, you know, a tax identification plat map and we'll literally count every single property that's adjacent to an existing solar array, and go through public records and see when and if they sold and did they sell during the time period when that solar property had already been erected and was operating.

And we will use those facts and compare them to a group of properties, a control group. And we make sure that the control group brackets relative

general statistics for the target properties, so they're roughly the same size, they roughly have the same amount of acreage.

2.1

2.2

And we'll use a little bit of a trending to make sure that we're all thinking about them on the same date. Not all the homes sell at the same — at the same date, so we'll use appraisal techniques to get those back to a specific point in time, and then compare the prices per unit and in this case it's usually price per square foot.

If the target price of a home is substantially lower than the control group and we see that over and over again, then we might be able to conclude that there is an influence from the adjacent solar array. But what we are finding when we do these in multiple studies is that the difference between the target and control groups is usually only a couple percentage points and, as it happens, especially with the North Star study that we did, that was positive in favor of the homes that were immediately adjacent to the solar array.

And that's paired sales analysis.

Q. Mr. Lines, is the paired sales analysis the only analysis used to form your opinion in this proceeding?

A. No, it is not. We also utilize annual rates of appreciation where we might take a home that's immediately adjacent to a solar facility and track the annual rate of appreciation on a straight-line basis for multiple sales data over the last 20 years and so we'll note how the rate of appreciation might have changed once the solar property came online.

2.1

And we will compare that with a national index, we'll use Standard & Poor's housing price index which provides that same rate of appreciation data for a ZIP code and so we'll compare that home with all the homes within the ZIP code to see whether or not that rate of appreciation is consistent or if it's not consistent.

And for a number of homes that we're able to track multiple sales to do an annual appreciation rate study, we've also found that those numbers are consistent or better with national averages which would indicate that there isn't a negative influence from the adjacent solar farm.

MR. SETTINERI: All right. Thank you, Mr. Lines.

No further questions, Your Honor. Thank you.

1 ALJ DAVIS: Does any other counsel have

2 recross?

MR. MARKS: I just have a couple questions based upon that, Your Honor.

ALJ DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Marks.

2.1

# RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Marks:

- Q. Mr. Lines, what is a reasonable ratio at this point in time, based upon the current market here in Ross County, with respect to listing and sale prices?
- A. Again, I would say anything within, you know, 3 to 5 percent is probably pretty reasonable. I know it depends where you might have some properties that get into a price war and so you'll see some of those sales occur that are higher than the list price.
- Q. And this \$225,000 sale for the 6267 Rapid Forge Road, if that turns out to be verified by the Auditor's website, then really the only local data point we would have at this time would be the sale of a home for 15,000 less than list price; would that be accurate?
- A. No, it wouldn't be accurate.

So you have 6215, which is located five doors down, which is listed for sale for \$235,000.

2.1

But, to me, what's more important is the price per square foot. So at \$225,000, 6267 sold for \$135 a square foot. And the home that's located five homes south, which they are fairly comparable homes, is listed for \$125 a square foot. So, using that data, we could also state that 6267 sold perhaps above what other properties are selling for on a per-square-foot basis.

- Q. But I guess my question, to put it simpler, would be, the other property you just mentioned five doors down, the 6215, is just currently at a list price. It may be under contract but nobody really knows what that contract price is at this point in time. So the only property with a sale price, if verified, would be the 67 -- 6267 Rapid Forge Road.
- A. Meaning that you're asking me just to compare that particular property with its list price?
- Q. I guess I'm not asking you to compare.

  I'm just saying the only property we've got right now with respect to this Ross County Solar project that has a sale price, if verified, is the 6267 Rapid Forge Road.

A. Correct. And it's the price of a home next to vacant farmland. There's no solar farm there yet.

Q. Correct.

MR. MARKS: I don't have anything further, Your Honors. Thank you.

7 ALJ DAVIS: Is there any re-redirect 8 based on that?

9 MR. SETTINERI: No, Your Honor. Thank 10 you.

11 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

Mr. Lines, I just have one question for you.

14

## 15 EXAMINATION

16 By ALJ Davis:

1

2

3

4

5

6

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. If you could go to Question 12 on page 8 of your testimony. And this is mostly just for clarification of the record. I know you've spoken to this a little bit. I just wanted to see if you could elaborate some on the specific choice to use the North Star project in Minnesota. I know you indicated earlier in your testimony, Question 10, that there simply are no constructed, existing, in operation, over 100-megawatt facilities in Ohio; so I

understand the general premise as to why an outside or an external-to-Ohio facility would have been chosen as a comparison, but could I just ask if you could clarify why that one in particular.

#### A. Sure.

2.1

And again, it's only one of, you know, a couple of dozen that we've studied but that one is in the midwest; it's about a thousand acres; it's spread out; the homes that sold that were near it or around it, some of them have solar panels in four directions, some of them have three directions around them, some only one. So it's a really good example of an area which had no solar before, and now has this very large project, and so we're able to track some of the sales data for that particular area in a midwest, agricultural environment.

And while there might be some subtle differences between Minnesota and Ohio, certainly the football teams, but, you know, generally speaking, fairly similar in terms of relative demographics and also prices per square foot of the homes. Fairly similar to what you're finding in Ross County.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much,
Mr. Lines. That's the only question I had.

Does anyone have -- is there any question

```
based on that, following that, from counsel?
 1
 2
                 MR. SETTINERI: No, Your Honor.
 3
                 ALJ DAVIS: Okay. Thank you.
                 So then we are finished with this
 4
 5
     witness. Mr. Settineri, did you need to proceed?
 6
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. At this
 7
     time we would re-up our motion to admit Company
     Exhibit 10 into the record, please.
 8
 9
                 ALJ DAVIS: Are there any objections?
10
                 MR. MARKS:
                            No.
11
                 ALJ DAVIS: It is admitted. Hearing no
12
     objections, it's admitted.
13
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
14
                 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.
15
                 And with that, our next witness, I
16
    believe, is Mr. Finley -- or no. Yes.
17
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Before we get to
18
    Mr. Finley, it looks like we have Mr. Finley,
19
    Mr. Robinson, Mr. Rupprecht, Mr. Old, and then
20
    Mr. Marquis; so that's five more witnesses. It's
2.1
     12:15 now. What's the consensus in terms of, do we
     just keep plowing through? Does anybody care to
22
23
     entertain taking a break for lunch at some juncture?
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I know Mister
25
     -- I think for efficiency purposes if we could -- I
```

don't know if you have a lot of questions for Mr. Finley, but if we could do Mr. Finley, then we would be fine with taking a quick break for lunch and come back and wrap everybody else up. I'd propose that. I'm open either way.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: We have a proposal on the table. Is there any --

MR. FARTHING: This is John Farthing. For my purposes, we could continue right on through, but, you know, if people need lunch, I can certainly understand that.

MR. SETTINERI: I'll say also I'm also fine with continuing. I'll leave it to the Bench. If the Bench would want to have a break for lunch, that's what I would propose; otherwise, we can keep going.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I know I do have questions of Mr. Robinson and some questions for Mr. Old, as well as maybe one question for Mr. Rupprecht. So maybe we do Mr. Finley and then take a lunch break and then jump into the remaining witnesses if that would be acceptable.

MR. SETTINERI: It would.

MR. FARTHING: It's your call, Judge, and that's fine with me. Thank you.

1 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. And then just 2 before we get to Mr. Finley, I do want the record to reflect, I know that Mr. Settineri, you had, in the 3 context of Mr. Risse, moved for the admission of a 4 5 number of exhibits. I just want the record to 6 reflect that with respect to Exhibit 1C, that that 7 was identified as a confidential exhibit and, therefore, will not be part of the public record and 8 9 it will be kept under seal. 10 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 ALJ AGRANOFF: Just so the record is 12 clear relative to that particular exhibit. 13 And with that, if you're ready to call 14 Mr. Finley; or Ms. Sanyal, either. 15 MR. SETTINERI: Mr. Settineri will do 16 that, Your Honor. 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. 18 MR. SETTINERI: At this time the Company 19 will call Mr. Brent Finley to the stand, please. 20 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Finley, you've been 2.1 promoted. If you can enable your audio and video. 2.2 ALJ AGRANOFF: Judge Davis. 23 ALJ DAVIS: Mr. Finley? 24 Yeah. Can you hear me? THE WITNESS: 25 ALJ DAVIS: Yes, we can hear and see you. Thank you very much. Good afternoon. If you may raise your right hand for me.

(Witness sworn.)

ALJ DAVIS: Mr. Settineri, you may proceed.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.

\_ \_ -

#### BRENT FINLEY

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

#### 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 By Mr. Settineri:

3

6

7

8

9

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Finley.
- 14 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Thank you for your patience today. I'm going to just refresh everyone's memory to note that we had marked as Company Exhibit 14, the Direct Testimony of Brent Finley. Do you have a copy of that before you, Mr. Finley?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you please identify that for the record, please?
- A. The title is "Before the Ohio Power Siting Board."
- Q. All right. And is that your Direct

- Testimony, prefiled in the case?
- 2 A. I'm sorry. Yes, it's the Direct
- 3 | Testimony of Brent Finley, yes.
- Q. Okay. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony at this time?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. And if I asked you the questions in that testimony today, would your answers be the same?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 MR. SETTINERI: All right. Thank you,
- 14 Mr. Finley.
- Your Honors, the witness is available for cross-examination.
- 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Judge Davis.
- 18 ALJ DAVIS: Is there any cross from
- 19 | counsel?
- 20
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 By ALJ Davis:
- Q. Mr. Finley, I just have one question for
- 24 you. I apologize. I'm attempting to pull up your
- 25 | testimony and it's showing me the famous Windows

swirling circle, so give me one moment here and I will just pull it up on the docket.

2.1

So just a clarification question for the sake of the record. From page 5 to page 6 of your testimony, Question 10, the question I have is that you mentioned in this answer, in Answer 10 to Question 10, the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure from US EPA, and the last sentence of the answer is "modern photovoltaic units typically pass the TCLP test." And I just wanted to ask, for the sake of clarity from you, when you say "modern units," does that more or less essentially encompass broadly the representative models that the Applicant has included here?

A. Yes, it would. Yup.

ALJ DAVIS: Okay. Thank you very much.

That's the only question I had.

Are there any questions from counsel?

MR. SETTINERI: No follow-up, Your Honor.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

With that said, I believe we are -- you are through, Mr. Finley.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

MR. SETTINERI: All right. Your Honor,

85 at this time we would move for the admission of 1 2 Company Exhibit 14, the Direct Testimony of Brent 3 Finley. ALJ DAVIS: Are there any objections? 4 5 Hearing none, it is admitted. 6 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 7 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you. 8 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 9 ALJ DAVIS: With Mr. Finley dismissed and 10 with our remaining witnesses, I believe we will break 11 for lunch. Judge Agranoff, do we want to do 12 30 minutes, 45 minutes, 60 minutes? 13 ALJ AGRANOFF: Why don't we come back at 1:15 if that's okay with everybody. 14 15 MR. SETTINERI: That's fine, Your Honor. 16 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. 17 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: We'll see everybody back at 1:15. 19 20 (At 12:25 p.m. a lunch recess was taken 2.1 until 1:15 p.m.) 2.2 23 24

86 1 Thursday Afternoon Session, June 10, 2021. 2 3 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the 4 5 record at this time. And I don't know whether it's Mr. Settineri or Ms. Sanyal in terms of calling 6 Mr. Robinson. 7 8 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. It will be Mr. Settineri. At this time the Company 9 10 calls Mr. Matthew Robinson to the stand. 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Robinson, you've been 12 promoted. If you can enable your audio and video. 13 THE WITNESS: Hello. ALJ AGRANOFF: Hello, Mr. Robinson. 14 Ιf 15 you could please raise your right hand. 16 (Witness sworn.) 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 18 19 MATTHEW ROBINSON 20 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 2.1 examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 By Mr. Settineri:

Well, good afternoon, Mr. Robinson.

24

25

Ο.

A. Good afternoon.

- Q. Would you please state your name and business address for the record.
- A. My name is Matthew Robinson. My business address is 217 Montgomery Street, Suite 1000, Syracuse, New York 13201.
  - Q. Okay. By whom are you employed?
- A. I'm employed by Environmental Design & Research or EDR.
- Q. Okay. And were you involved in the preparation of the Application in this proceeding?
- 11 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

- Q. Okay. Now, just for everyone, previously
  we had marked two exhibits, we had marked as Company
  Exhibit 11, the Direct Testimony of Matthew Robinson,
  and we had marked as Company Exhibit 17, the
  Supplemental Testimony of Matthew Robinson.
  - Mr. Robinson, do you have those exhibits before you?
- 19 A. I do, yes.
- Q. All right. Could you identify Company
  Exhibit 11 for the record, please.
  - A. That is my Direct Testimony.
- Q. Okay. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 25 A. Yes, it was.

- Q. All right. And do you have any changes or revisions to that Direct Testimony at this time?
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. And if I asked you the questions in your Direct Testimony for Company Exhibit 11, if I asked you those questions today, would your answers be the same as written?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Then if you could turn to Company Exhibit 17. Could you please identify that for the record, please.
  - A. That is my Supplemental Testimony.
- Q. Okay. Was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 15 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony at this time?
- 18 A. No, I do not.
- Q. And if I asked you the questions in that
  Supplemental Testimony, would your answers be the
  same today?
- 22 A. Yes, they would be.
- MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 Your Honor, at this time we would submit
- 25 Mr. Robinson for cross-examination, and also move,

subject to cross-examination, for the admission of Company Exhibits 11 and 17.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

Are there any questions of counsel with respect to Mr. Robinson's testimonies? I take silence as a no.

2.1

2.2

# EXAMINATION

By ALJ Agranoff:

- Q. Mr. Robinson, I do have some questions with respect to your testimonies. If you could please turn first to your Supplemental Testimony which has been marked I believe as Applicant Exhibit 14. And specifically I know that on page 1, in response to Question 5, you reference a Landscape Mitigation Plan. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And with respect to the Landscape Mitigation Plan, and I believe your testimony also discusses a Lighting Plan, does the Applicant have any objection or does it have the intent to file those plans in the docket in this proceeding?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. To which question would you say you believe so? That they plan to object or --

- A. Sorry. I would believe they intend to.
- Q. Intend to file.
- A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Now, if you could please turn to page 2 of your Supplemental Testimony. Let me know when you're there.
  - A. I'm there.
  - Q. Okay. And specifically lines 6 and 7.
  - A. Yup.
- Q. You indicate that the Applicant will replace any failed plantings during the first five years after construction to ensure that at least 90 percent of the vegetation has survived as of the five-year point. Do you see that?
  - A. Yup.
- Q. Okay. My first question is with respect to remedying a situation where there is less than 90 percent of the vegetation that has survived within the first five years of the project. To the extent, let's just say hypothetically speaking, that 80 percent of the vegetation has survived, how does the Company intend to remedy that scenario? Would they only then replant the vegetation to bring it back to the 90 percent level or would they attempt to then bring it back to some other percentage?

A. The understanding that I have with the Company and what we have written before is that a landscape architect will go out each year and perform an evaluation of the landscape plan to see if it's working. This, in the first five years, really is counting plants to get to that 90 percent number. We know exactly what was put in the ground and it's easy to understand if that plant has been established or if it's died off. And it's my understanding that they, within each of those years, will be replaced as they die off.

2.1

Now, if something has -- if the landscape architect who is out there has the understanding that two plants were next to each other, one of them has died and the other one is growing very fiercely and very vigorously and it's really performing as both of those plants, then if that was above the 90 percent, we could make -- the landscape architect could make a decision that that plant is performing as well and we do not need to replace past that 90 percent number.

Another way to look at it is, in the beginning when the plants are smaller, the modules are designed for the plants to go over each other and they are also using material that is designed to spread and colonize. So other material may be coming

in there by the four-year period where it's actually worse number-wise to simply go in and replace another plant that may have died off because you might be disturbing something that's next to it. So that's where that 10 percent comes in and because it's very specifically done on the number of plants.

- Q. Okay. So let me see whether I understand correctly what you're saying. I think you said on an annual basis an evaluation is going to be made within the first five years?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And it's going to be done on a per-plant basis.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And then you said something about one plant could die, but if another one is growing significantly, that could somehow counter the loss of the other plant?
    - A. Potentially, yes.
- Q. So then is it not just a per-plant basis
  but per plant and then also growth as well that's
  being taken into --
  - A. That -- the growth is really after five years. You were asking specifically about if something could happen where you would only replace

to the 90 percent level of plant material, and I was trying to explain that if -- that everything will be replaced to that 90 percent level but there is leeway in that 10 percent in case another plant is growing well and you don't want to replace the one that was directly next to it because you may disturb that plant's roots and that plant's growing habitat.

- Q. So potentially you could have a situation where, because you're not doing a replacement as a result of the growth of the adjacent plant, that you may not necessarily be hitting that 90 percent number if you took that out?
- A. No. In the first five years -- in the first five years it will hit that 90 percent number.
- Q. Okay. Regardless of growth of the adjacent plant.
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So where does the growth of the adjacent plant get factored into this?
  - A. Above 90 percent.
- Q. Above 90. Okay. So if there wasn't significant growth with the adjacent plant, you didn't have that issue, would you strive then to have greater than 90 percent --
- 25 A. That would most likely occur --

Q. -- in the first five years?

2.1

- A. That would most likely occur, yes.
- Q. Do you know what that hypothetical goal is?
- A. No. I mean, 90 percent is a fairly high goal in the industry as is, so knowing what would be above that or how it would exactly work, year to year, is a little harder to understand at this time.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about the replacement of the vegetation. Would it be the same type of vegetation that was previously used?
- A. That would depend on why the plant did not survive. We are looking at natives that will be -- that are -- what am I trying to say, sorry -- acclimated to that environment and so they should grow well, there are no known pests that would create some type of die-off due to insect or anything like that, so most likely it would be the same species and the same plant.

But if, for some reason, it was decided that that area would be better with a different style from the master list that we have, then that plant may go in because the survivability may be higher.

Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about the original vegetation selection. Does the Stipulation

contemplate what type of vegetation will be utilized initially? And specifically I'm looking at it from the standpoint of deciduous vegetation versus evergreen and whether one is being currently contemplated over the other.

2.1

- A. Yeah. Regarding shrubs, trees, deciduous and evergreen, the material that would be replaced would match what was originally installed. So that install is guiding the replacement. It may be just a different type, but it would not be the instance where an evergreen tree would be replaced with a deciduous shrub.
- Q. Okay. But let's go back to the original, from the beginning of the project, when the vegetation screening is first put in place. Does the Stipulation contemplate a specific type of vegetation either being selected initially or a particular type of vegetation being excluded specifically?
- A. That is based off of the landscape plan and there are specific plants that we have called out that are appropriate for this area and those are what go into the modules and so that is what is set to be put into the ground. So it's based off of those modules and, yes, there are specific evergreen and deciduous plants that are placed into those modules.

I believe the stip talks about implementing the landscape mitigation planting modules in the first line of the Stipulation on 14, so by implementing the landscape mitigation planting modules that is saying what we have put forth in that landscape plan is what will be implemented at the installation time.

2.1

- Q. And that is specific to a particular module where you may have evergreens used in one, and deciduous in another, for example, depending on the module?
- A. Yes. There's mostly a mix between them but, yes, that is specific to the module.
- Q. Okay. And with respect to -- let's go back to within the first five years after construction, at least 90 percent of vegetation being maintained. With respect to that particular requirement, is that on a module basis or is that on a project-area-entirety basis?
  - A. I believe it's on an entirety basis.
- Q. Okay. So to the extent that a particular module conceivably could fall below the 90 percent threshold but the project area as a whole is still at the 90 percent or higher, that then doesn't create a need for any kind of remedy pursuant --

```
A. It does, because the plan states as well that the Applicant shall -- let me see here, where is it -- that the plan shall be followed. So if something was -- if there was -- if all 10 percent happened in one spot, then the module is not being followed, so the plan is not being implemented correctly, so that area would have to be updated.
```

- Q. Okay. And that's pursuant to the language on line 12 of your testimony about "To ensure screening modules are functioning as designed...."?
  - A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

- A. Yes, that's where I read it.
- 15 Q. Okay.
  - A. That's to ensure that that 10 percent doesn't occur in one location.
- 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: I appreciate the 19 clarification.
- Based on my limited questions, do any of the parties have any follow-up?
- MR. SETTINERI: No follow-up, Your Honor.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: I'll assume everybody 25 else's silence signifies there are no questions. And

1 | I appreciate, Mr. Robinson, for your time.

THE WITNESS: I did, in the very

beginning, say my ZIP code wrong. If I need to

4 | correct that, I can.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ALJ AGRANOFF: This would be as good of a time as any.

THE WITNESS: I stated that it was 13201.

The ZIP code for my business address, EDR, is 13202.

Sorry.

10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Not a problem.

Mr. Settineri, would you care to move for the admission?

MR. SETTINERI: Yes. We would resubmit again our motion to admit into the record, Company Exhibit 11, the Direct Testimony of Matthew Robinson, as well as Company Exhibit 17, the Supplemental Testimony of Matthew Robinson, Your Honors.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objection to the admission of those two exhibits?

There being none, Exhibits 11 and 14 -MR. SETTINERI: Sorry, Your Honor. It
should be Company Exhibit 17. I think you had
mentioned 14 during your questioning as well. The
Supplemental Testimony of Matthew Robinson has been
marked as Company Exhibit 17.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Sorry about that. 1 2 didn't mean to create confusion. 3 Exhibits 11 and 17, on behalf of the Applicant, shall be admitted as part of the record at 4 5 this time. (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 6 7 ALJ AGRANOFF: I believe my list shows that Mr. Rupprecht is the next witness. 8 9 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. My 10 colleague, Ms. Sanyal, will be presenting 11 Mr. Rupprecht. Thank you. 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Rupprecht, you've been 13 promoted. If you can enable your audio and video. 14 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 15 ALJ AGRANOFF: Good afternoon, sir. If 16 you could please raise your right hand. 17 (Witness sworn.) 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 19 Ms. Sanyal. 20 MS. SANYAL: Thank you, Your Honor. 2.1 22 23 24 25

100 1 RYAN RUPPRECHT 2 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 3 examined and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 By Ms. Sanyal: 6 Good afternoon, Mr. Rupprecht. Q. 7 Α. Good afternoon. MS. SANYAL: Just to refresh everyone's 8 9 memory, we will be referring to Company Exhibits 12 10 and 18. 11 Mr. Rupprecht, do you have copies of Ο. 12 Company Exhibits 12 and 18 in front of you? 13 Α. T do. Okay. So let's do Exhibit 12 first. 14 Ο. 15 Will you please -- actually, before we do that. 16 Would you state your full name, spell out your last 17 name, and give us your business address for the 18 record. 19 Α. Sure. My name is Ryan Rupprecht, 20 R-u-p-p-r-e-c-h-t. My business address is 121 2.1 Continental Drive, Suite 308, Newark, Delaware 19713. 2.2 Thank you, Mr. Rupprecht. Q. Will you please identify Company 23 24 Exhibit 12 for the record.

That's my Direct Testimony.

25

Α.

- Q. And was your testimony prepared by you or at your direction?
  - A. It was.
- Q. Okay. And do you have any revisions to your testimony today?
- A. I do not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. If I asked you the same questions as are in your testimony, would your answers remain the same?
- 10 A. They would.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 12 And then let's turn to Company Exhibit
- 13 | 18. Would you please identify that for the record
- 14 A. That's my Supplemental Testimony.
- Q. And was Company Exhibit 18 prepared by
- 16 | you or at your direction?
- 17 A. It was.
- Q. Okay. And any changes or revisions today to Exhibit 18?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. And again, if I asked you the questions
  that are contained in Exhibit 18, would your answers
  remain the same?
- A. They would.
- Q. Thank you.

MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, Mr. Rupprecht is now available for cross-examination.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

Does any of the parties' counsel have cross-examination for Mr. Rupprecht?

I'll take silence as a no.

2.1

## EXAMINATION

By ALJ Agranoff:

- Q. Mr. Rupprecht, I do have just a couple of questions with respect to your Supplemental Direct Testimony which has been marked as Applicant Exhibit 18. If you could please turn to page 2 of that testimony.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And I know you discuss, on line 8 of that testimony, about Condition 18 and the potential encountering of any threatened or endangered species prior to construction. Do you see that?
  - A. I do.
- Q. What about in the event of encountering those endangered or threatened species during construction?
- A. So there will be an environmental monitor on site during construction, and if that monitor sees

an endangered species during the activity, they will stop construction and consult whatever plan is in place for avoidance. Depending on the species, that avoidance may, you know, change, and it also depends on what species and their behavior as to what that avoidance would be.

- Q. Okay. And is there a particular specified time limit for that stoppage?
- A. I believe there is not. Again, it depends on the species and the occurrence that occurred. You know, for an example, if a bird that was an RTE species simply stopped over and vacated the site after a reasonable period of time to observe that that species is no longer in the vicinity, I believe construction could resume.
- Q. Okay. And then if you could please look, and I'm focused on the last sentence of your answer to Question 7 as well as your answer -- the last sentence of your answer to Question 8. In both cases there's reference to coordination with the ODNR and the USFWS to allow for a different course of action. Do you see those two sentences?
  - A. I do.

2.1

Q. My question is with respect to both of those sentences. Is there contemplation for the

inclusion of the Board Staff as part of those determinations regarding a different course of action?

2.1

- A. I just want to make sure I understand your question. Instead of just being limited to ODNR and U.S. Fish and Wildlife, you're asking if the Staff would also potentially be added to that list as an agency?
- Q. Yes, sir. Whether that was possibly inherently intended to be incorporated as part of that process without actually saying it.
- A. Yes. So essentially the Stipulation, you know, is from the Staff, so it's -- it's interpreted that, you know, that is their requirement and that this is meant to say if there would have been additional time-of-year restrictions imposed by ODNR or U.S. Fish and Wildlife that those would also be carried out as they would be commenting agencies to the Staff information. So yes, the answer is yes, Staff is inherently included in that group.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then if you could please turn to page 3 of your Supplemental Testimony.

- A. Okay.
- Q. And specifically I'm looking at line 13

of your response to Question 10.

A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. There it indicates that the environmental specialist is authorized to halt construction activities for up to 48 hours. My question is, what happens if the problem is still in existence subsequent to the 48-hour time frame?
- A. Sure. So I don't think that this is to say that after 48 hours, no matter what the conditions are, that construction would automatically resume. It's basically saying that the environmental specialist can halt construction up to 48 hours so there's adequate time to reach out to appropriate agencies and/or appropriate folks to understand what might be the remedy or what might need to occur for construction to resume.

It wasn't meant to say that it would be limited to 48 hours no matter what the situation was and that construction could resume after that. It was basically to say that that person has the authorization to stop construction so that there's adequate time to reach an appropriate answer to say, hey, this occurred and we need to find a course of action to be able to move construction forward.

Q. Taking into account, though, that it may

take more than 48 hours.

2.1

- A. That is correct, but at least it gave a time frame to start, you know, that reach-out.
- Q. Okay. And my next question, there may not be an answer in the context of your testimonies but what I'm just curious about is, what if, to the extent in the process of those deliberations during the 48-hour time frame, there's a dispute between Staff, the Company, ODNR, and USFWS relative to a resolution to the identified problem, is there something contemplated, a process contemplated, as to how to rectify those disputes?
- A. I would find it hard that there would be a situation that there wasn't a set procedure or law in place that would need to be followed. Essentially when it relates to RTE species, which primarily this is, there's laws in place that protect those species that would not allow harassment or impact to those species, so, you know, that's pretty clear and would halt construction or any other activities to avoid that from occurring. So I can't imagine a situation where there would not be some level of agreement between the groups on a course of action forward because it would be hard to find that we won't have to follow some particular law or procedure that's

1 | already in place.

2

3

4

5

6

ALJ AGRANOFF: Based on the scope of my questions, is there any follow-up from counsel?

MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, may I have maybe two minutes to go off the record and briefly consult with my witness?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Sure. Why don't you take five minutes.

9 MS. SANYAL: Thank you.

10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

11 (Recess taken.)

MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, we are ready to proceed when you are.

14 ALJ AGRANOFF: I am.

MS. SANYAL: Okay. Are we back on the

16 record?

17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the

18 record.

MS. SANYAL: Thank you, Your Honor.

20

21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

22 By Ms. Sanyal:

Q. Mr. Rupprecht, I just have a few questions for you. Do you have a copy of the Stipulation in front of you?

A. I do.

2.1

- Q. So my first question is going to be about Conditions 19, 20, and 21. Could you just explain for us why coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and ODNR is important for the time-of-year restrictions?
- A. Yeah. So the time-of-year restrictions are set up to essentially avoid when known species, what are considered RTE species, rare, threatened, endangered, are known to be potentially present in the vicinity. So by avoiding those time periods, we are unlikely to affect those species from our activities.

During that time period there may or may not be those species present, but they're likely to be -- you know, they're potentially present during that time period, and by avoiding that time period there's, you know, the unlikely effect of affecting that species.

- Q. And I don't know if we quite heard it. Why is the coordination with those two particular agencies important?
- A. Yes. Because they are the ones that regulate the RTE species. U.S. Fish and Wildlife for the endangered species laws, and ODNR for the

state-listed species, so they're the two agencies that have authority over those time-of-year restrictions.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Rupprecht.

And then just reviewing Conditions 19 through 21, does the Stipulation mention that the Board Staff should be involved in that time-of-year restriction coordination?

- A. The Stipulation does not.
- Q. Okay. But do you think it is reasonable to include Staff in notifying them of those results?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MS. SANYAL: Okay. Your Honor, those are all the questions I have.

15 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

MS. SANYAL: Oh, actually. I'm sorry.

My co-counsel just reminded me I do have another

question. I apologize. May I ask that question?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Certainly.

MS. SANYAL: Thank you.

- Q. (By Ms. Sanyal) I just wanted to clarify one more thing. If you could look at Condition 22, please, Mr. Rupprecht.
  - A. Yup.
- Q. And then I just wanted to clarify for the

record: When will the environmental specialist be on site during construction activities?

A. During construction within or around sensitive areas.

MS. SANYAL: And I believe those are all the questions I have.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Maybe I misunderstood, but I thought, Ms. Sanyal, your question was when the environmental specialist will be present. Is that what your question was?

MS. SANYAL: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

ALJ AGRANOFF: I thought the answer was not in response to when but it was a where.

THE WITNESS: To clarify, it would be when construction is occurring in and around regulated or sensitive areas.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. All righty.

Any other further clarifying questions from Counsel?

MS. SANYAL: I do not have any more.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Any other counsel, based on my questions or Ms. Sanyal's follow-up? I take the silence as a no.

Mr. Rupprecht, thank you for your testimony today.

111 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 ALJ AGRANOFF: Ms. Sanyal, if you care 3 to --MS. SANYAL: I do. 4 5 ALJ AGRANOFF: -- move for the admission. 6 MS. SANYAL: Thank you, Your Honor. I 7 would like to move exhibits -- Company Exhibits 12 and 18 into the record. 8 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objection? 10 There being none, Exhibits 12 and 18, on behalf of the Applicant, shall be admitted as part of 11 12 the record at this time. 13 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 14 MS. SANYAL: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 I believe our next witness is Mr. Old, 16 and Mr. Settineri will be handling that witness. 17 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. 18 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Old, you've been 19 If you can enable your audio and video. promoted. 20 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time 2.1 the Company would call Mr. Isaac Old to the stand. THE WITNESS: Can you hear me? 2.2 23 ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes, we can. And we can 24 see you.

THE WITNESS: Good.

112 1 ALJ AGRANOFF: Good afternoon, Mr. Old. 2 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 3 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could please raise 4 your right hand. 5 (Witness sworn.) ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Settineri. 6 7 ISAAC OLD 8 9 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 10 examined and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 By Mr. Settineri: 13 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Old. A. Good afternoon. 14 15 Q. Could you please state your name and 16 business address for the record, please. 17 My name is Isaac Old, spelled I-s-a-a-c Α. O-1-d. My business address is 55 Railroad Row, White 18 River Junction, Vermont 05001. 19 20 Q. Thank you. 2.1 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, previously we had marked as Company Exhibit 7, the Direct Testimony 22

provided a notice on the docket indicating and

of Eddie Duncan. We also marked Company Exhibit 19,

the Direct Testimony of Isaac Old. We had previously

23

24

stating that Mr. Old will be adopting the testimony of Mr. Duncan today.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

- Q. Mr. Old, let's start with Company
- 5 | Exhibit 7. Do you have that before you?
  - A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. All right. And you are adopting the testimony of Mr. Duncan today; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. Now, I would say one exception would be the description of Mr. Duncan's duties and educational and professional background; would that be correct?
  - A. That would be also correct.
- Q. That information is provided in Company
  Exhibit 19 which would be your Direct Testimony; is
  that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. Okay. Turning to Company Exhibit 7. Now that you've adopted that Direct Testimony, was that prepared by you or at your direction?
    - A. It was prepared by Eddie Duncan.
  - Q. And you're adopting that testimony today.
    - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any revisions or

changes to that testimony today?

- A. I do not.
- Q. All right. If I asked you the questions in that testimony, subject to the questions about duties and educational and professional background, would the answers be the same?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. If you could turn to Company Exhibit 19, please.
- 10 A. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. Can you identify that for the record, please?
- A. That is the Direct Testimony of Isaac
  Old.
- Q. And that testimony, was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 17 A. It was.
- Q. Do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony at this time?
- 20 A. I do not.
- Q. All right. If I asked you the questions in that testimony today, would your answers be the same?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Old.

115 Your Honor, at this time we would submit 1 2 Mr. Old for cross-examination, as well as move for the admission of Company Exhibits 7 and 19, subject 3 4 to cross. 5 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. Does counsel for any of the parties have 6 7 cross-examination with respect to either Company Exhibit 7 or Company Exhibit 19? 8 9 Hearing none, I do have a couple of 10 questions with respect to, Mr. Old, your Direct 11 Testimony. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MR. SETTINERI: And, Your Honor, if you 14 could identify that for the record. Is that Company Exhibit 19? 15 16 ALJ AGRANOFF: That would be Company 17 Exhibit 19, correct. 18 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 19 20 EXAMINATION 2.1 By ALJ Agranoff: 22 And specifically if you could please turn Q. 23 to page 3 of your Direct Testimony. 24 Α. All right. 25 Q. And if you could focus on -- the answer

actually begins back on page 2 and then carries onto page 3, and I'm looking at the beginning of page 3, line 1 and line 2, where it talks about providing notice to adjacent landowners regarding upcoming construction activities. Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Does that notice apply to all construction activities or only a certain level of activities?
- A. It's my understanding that would apply to all construction activities.
- Q. And how much advance notice is given with respect to those activities?
  - A. I do not know off the top of my head.
  - Q. Okay. And then on line 2, where you discuss the potential for nighttime construction, what constitutes nighttime construction?
    - A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
      - Q. Well, I assume nighttime --
  - A. Are you referring to the type of construction or the hours?
  - Q. The hours. I'm sorry.
- A. The hours. I would assume that would

  occur -- for construction that's occurring after the

  official start of nighttime so, in this case,

117 1 10:00 p.m. Did you say 10:00 p.m.? 2 Q. 3 Α. Yeah. ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Those are all my 4 5 questions with respect to Exhibit 19. Judge Davis, did you have questions with 6 7 respect to Exhibit 7? 8 ALJ DAVIS: Yes, I do. Thank you. 9 10 EXAMINATION 11 By ALJ Davis: 12 Hello, Mr. Old. Q. 13 Α. Hello. 14 I have just one clarifying question. If Ο. 15 you could locate page 3 of Exhibit 7, Question and 16 Answer 8. 17 Α. I'm there. 18 Okay. Thank you. This is just a Q. 19 clarifying question but that first sentence says that 20 sound propagation modeling was conducted at 205

distinguishment between the participating and the

non-participating and 6 were participating. Just for

sensitive receptors and then it identifies in the

parens that 199 of them were what were called

the sake of the record, could you clarify the

2.1

22

23

24

```
non-participating receptors?
```

A. Yeah. Generally, "participating" means that that resident does not have an agreement with the Applicant.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

That's the only question I had, Judge

7 Agranoff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

Any additional questions based on the questions from the Bench?

MR. SETTINERI: I have one follow-up question for the record, Your Honor, if --

ALJ AGRANOFF: Certainly.

MR. SETTINERI: -- I may.

15 | - - -

## 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

17 | By Mr. Settineri:

Q. Mr. Old, regarding the 199
non-participating receptors, are those receptors -what's the distance -- the scope of the distance for
those receptors from the project boundary, to the
extent you know?

- A. Just give me one second here.
- Q. And to further clarify, would that be within 1 mile of the project area -- project

```
119
 1
     boundary?
 2
                 Yeah. So the distance, of course,
     varies, but they're all within 1 mile of the project
 3
 4
     boundary.
 5
                 MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you.
                 No further questions, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.
 8
                 Mr. Old, you are excused.
                 And Mr. Settineri, if you'd like to move
 9
10
     for the admission.
11
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. We will
12
     again move for the admission of Company Exhibit 7 and
13
     Company Exhibit 19.
14
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections?
15
                 There being none, the aforementioned
16
     exhibits shall be admitted as part of the record at
17
     this time.
18
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
19
                 MR. SETTINERI: And, Your Honor, for our
20
     last witness today, I will turn it over to
2.1
    Ms. Sanyal.
2.2
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. And just so that we
23
     can clarify, that would be the Company's last witness
24
     of the day. We still have Mr. Bellamy after that.
```

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. Sorry,

120 Mr. Bellamy. 1 2 ALJ AGRANOFF: Just so people don't get 3 all excited. MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, may I call 4 5 Mr. Matt Marquis to the stand? 6 ALJ AGRANOFF: You may. 7 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Marquis, you've been If you can enable your audio and video. 8 promoted. 9 ALJ DAVIS: Good afternoon. Welcome. 10 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 11 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you for being here. If 12 I could ask you to raise your right hand. 13 (Witness sworn.) 14 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you very much, 15 Mr. Marquis. 16 17 MATT MARQUIS 18 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 By Ms. Sanyal: 22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Marquis. Do you have 23 a copy of Company Exhibit 9 in front of you that has 24 been previously marked? 25 Α. I do.

- Q. Okay. And will you please identify for the record what Exhibit 9 is?
  - A. That is my Direct Testimony.
  - Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

And I keep forgetting to do this, but will you please spell out your name and your business -- provide us your business address for the record.

- A. Yes. My name is Matt Marquis. My last name is spelled M-a-r-q-u-i-s. I work for Hull & Associates. Our business address is 6397 Emerald Parkway, Suite 200, Dublin, Ohio 43016.
  - Q. Thank you, Mr. Marquis.

Turning back to Exhibit 9. Did you prepare your testimony or was it prepared at your direction?

- A. It was prepared at my direction.
  - Q. Thank you.

And do you have any revisions or changes to your testimony today?

- A. I do not.
- Q. And if I were to ask you the questions in your testimony, would your answers remain the same?
- 24 A. Yes.

MS. SANYAL: Thank you.

Your Honor, Mr. Marquis is now available for cross-examination.

ALJ DAVIS: Are there any questions from counsel for this witness?

From the Bench, Judge Agranoff?

6 ALJ AGRANOFF: I do not have any

7 questions.

5

8

ALJ DAVIS: Hearing no questions --

9 MS. FLETCHER: I believe -- I believe we 10 might have a question. Mr. Lindgren.

MR. LINDGREN: Please go ahead there,

12 Ms. Fletcher.

MS. FLETCHER: Can we actually have a few minutes, Your Honors? I believe one of -- Staff has a question.

ALJ DAVIS: Do you need five, ten minutes?

18 MS. FLETCHER: Just a couple, so maybe

19 five minutes.

20 ALJ DAVIS: Okay. We'll just return at

21 2:15.

MS. FLETCHER: Okay. Thank you.

23 (Recess taken.)

24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the

25 record at this time.

Okay. Ms. Fletcher.

2.1

MS. FLETCHER: We actually do not have a question at this time. We're just going to address it during Mr. Bellamy's testimony if that's all right.

ALJ AGRANOFF: That's fine with us if you don't want to ask it at this point in time.

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Sorry about that.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Any other counsel have follow-up for this witness? If not, Mr. Old, you are free to go.

MS. SANYAL: It's actually Mr. Marquis.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Oh. Mr. Marquis. I'm sorry. My mistake. Sorry about that. Mr. Marquis, you are free to go.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALJ AGRANOFF: That's what happens when you give yourself a break for a couple minutes and the memory doesn't click.

MS. SANYAL: Your Honor, I would like to move for the admission of Company Exhibit 9 at this time.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Any objections?

25 If not, Company Exhibit 9 is admitted as

part of the record at this time. 1

2 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MS. SANYAL: Thank you, Your Honor.

ALJ DAVIS: With that, I believe we have 4

5 wrapped the Company's witnesses for today.

MS. SANYAL: Correct, Your Honor.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.

So Board Staff will be calling their 8

witness at this time? 9

10 MS. FLETCHER: Yes, Your Honor. We'll be

11 calling Mr. Bellamy.

12 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Bellamy, you've been

13 promoted. If you can enable your audio and video.

14 THE WITNESS: Hello? Can you hear me?

15 ALJ DAVIS: We can hear you. We cannot

16 see you. At least I can't.

17 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what I need to

18 do.

3

6

7

19 ALJ DAVIS: I see just an all-black

20 screen.

2.1 THE WITNESS: It might have to do with

22 the rear and front cameras. Let me try -- okay.

23 There we go.

24 ALJ DAVIS: There we are. Good

25 afternoon.

Proceedings

```
125
 1
                 THE WITNESS: Hi.
 2
                 ALJ DAVIS: If you could raise your right
 3
     hand.
                 (Witness sworn.)
 4
 5
                 ALJ DAVIS: Ms. Fletcher, you may
 6
     proceed.
 7
                 MS. FLETCHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
9
                          MARK BELLAMY
10
     being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
11
     examined and testified as follows:
12
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
13
    By Ms. Fletcher:
14
            Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bellamy.
15
            A. Good afternoon.
            Q. Can you please state and spell your name
16
17
     for the record.
18
                 Yes. My name is Mark Bellamy. It's
            Α.
19
     B-e-l-l-a-m-y.
20
            Q. Are you responsible for preparing
2.1
     testimony for this matter?
22
            Α.
                Yes.
23
                Have you reviewed your testimony that was
            Q.
24
     docketed with the Commission on May 19, 2021?
25
            A. Yes, I have.
```

- Q. And is it a true and accurate copy of the testimony you prepared for this matter?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any changes you would like to make to your testimony today?
- 6 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I may have
missed it. Do we have a -- did we mark the exhibit?

Do we know what number that is?

10 ALJ AGRANOFF: We have not done that as 11 of yet.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you.

MS. FLETCHER: Yes. I would like to mark

Mr. Bellamy's prefiled testimony as Staff Exhibit 1.

15 ALJ DAVIS: So marked.

16 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you.

- Q. (By Ms. Fletcher) Mr. Bellamy, if I were to ask you again today the same questions that were asked in your prefiled testimony, would you provide the same answers?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn now to the Stipulation.

  Mr. Bellamy, are you familiar with the Stipulation

  that was signed and docketed by the parties in this

- matter on May 18, 2021?
- 2 A. Yes, I am.

2.1

- Q. Okay. How are you familiar with the Stipulation?
- A. On behalf of Staff, I reviewed the Stipulation and agreed to certain changes before the Stipulation was signed on behalf of Staff.
- Q. Okay. Do you recognize Joint Exhibit 1 as the Stipulation reached between the parties?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recommend that the Board adopt the Stipulation?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there anything else that you would like to add with respect to the Stipulation?
- A. Yes. There's nothing about the Stipulation I would add, but I would like to note something that was brought up by Mr. Old.
- Mr. Old referred to nighttime construction time in reference -- or he had said the nighttime construction would start at 10:00 p.m. But according to Condition 15 of the Stipulation, nighttime construction is at 7:00 p.m. -- I'm sorry, let me bring it up -- 7:00 p.m., or until dusk when sunset occurs after 7:00 p.m. So anything after

- 1 7:00 p.m., or dusk when sunset occurs after
- 2 7:00 p.m., we would consider nighttime, until
- $3 \mid 7:00$  the next morning.
- Q. Okay. Now, finally, let's turn to the Staff Report.
- MS. FLETCHER: Your Honors, I would like to mark the Staff Report as Staff Exhibit 2.

ALJ DAVIS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you.

- Q. Per provision A(1) of the Stipulation, do you understand the Stipulation to adopt the conditions in the Staff Report as modified by the Stipulation?
- 15 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And are you familiar enough with the Staff Report to identify it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How are you familiar with the Staff Report?
- A. Well, I -- I compiled the Staff Report, and I wrote certain sections of the Staff Report, and I'm sponsoring it on behalf of Staff.
- Q. Okay. Is the Staff Report, docketed with the Board on March 22, 2021, a true and accurate copy

of the Staff Report you helped prepare in this matter?

> Α. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

20

22

23

24

25

MS. FLETCHER: Okay. Your Honor, I now ask Staff Exhibit 1 and 2 be admitted into the record, subject to any questions by the Bench or the parties.

ALJ DAVIS: Are there any objections or questions?

10 MR. SETTINERI: I have a couple questions for Mr. Bellamy, if I may, Your Honor. 11

12 ALJ DAVIS: Certainly. Go ahead,

13 Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Just to clarify. 14

15

## 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 By Mr. Settineri:

Mr. Bellamy, you had discussed the timing Q. 19 for nighttime construction. I just want to make sure the record is clear for all of us. If you could turn to Joint Exhibit 1, Condition 15. 2.1

I'm sorry. What is Joint Exhibit --Α. Joint Exhibit 1 is the Stipulation, right?

> That's right. Ο.

A. Okay. I'm sorry. What page?

- Q. Let's just start at -- I just want to piece together the Condition for everybody. So if you could turn to Condition 15. I don't have a page number but it's Condition 15.
  - A. Yes, I'm there.

2.1

- Q. So let's see the best way to do it.

  Let's just walk through the Condition. The first sentence limits general construction activities between the hours of 7 a.m. and 7 p.m., or until dusk when sunset occurs after 7:00 p.m., correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And I'm going to skip the impact pile driving and go to where it discusses there's a sentence: "Construction activities that do not involve noise increases...." Do you see that sentence in Condition 15? I'll read it in its entirety: "Construction activities that do not involve noise increases above ambient levels at sensitive receptors are permitted outside of daylight hours when necessary." Do you see that sentence?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So the daylight hours being referenced there would be -- that would be the hours of between 7 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., or until dusk; is that correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And then the last sentence: "The Applicant shall notify property owners or affected tenants within the meaning of Ohio Adm. Code 4906-3-03(B)(2) of upcoming construction activities including potential for nighttime construction." So nighttime construction, then, would be any construction that would occur after 7:00 p.m., or after sunset occurs; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And let me rephrase that just to make sure it's clear on the record. That nighttime would be 7:00 p.m., or until dusk when sunset occurs after 7:00 p.m., correct?
  - A. Correct.
- MR. SETTINERI: Okay. I just wanted for all of us to walk through how that Condition will work. Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Bellamy. That was helpful to clear up the record.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. SETTINERI: No further questions,
- 22 Your Honor.
- 23 ALJ DAVIS: Thank you.
- 24 Are there any other questions?
- 25 Hearing none, and just for the sake of

the record I'll repeat: Are there any objections to the admittance of Staff Exhibit 1 and 2?

MR. SETTINERI: No.

2.1

ALJ DAVIS: Once more, hearing the cricket chirps, we will admit those into the record at this time, Staff's Exhibit 1 and Staff's Exhibit 2.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ DAVIS: With that, I believe you are good to go, Mr. Bellamy. Thank you for appearing.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

ALJ DAVIS: And with Mr. Bellamy dismissed, I believe we are through our witnesses for today. So we are to reconvene tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. for the testimony of Mr. Christensen for the Company; is that accurate?

MR. SETTINERI: That is correct. Thank you. And we appreciate the accommodation by all of the parties and the Bench to allow him to testify tomorrow morning.

MR. SCHMIDT: If I could just interject real quick before we finish up for today. As soon as we're done, I will reset this Webex event with the new date and time for tomorrow, so you guys will get a notice from Webex. But all the log-in information,

the link, the password, and everything you received in the e-mail yesterday will be the same for tomorrow as it was for today, so you won't need to change anything. You will see an update from Webex in your e-mail shortly after we conclude, but, otherwise, everything else will be the same tomorrow as it was today.

ALJ DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Schmidt. With that said, we are adjourned.

(Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at

11 2:30 p.m.)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

CF	יקי:	T	TЯ	$C \Delta$	TΕ

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, June 10, 2021, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2023.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

6/25/2021 1:43:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-1380-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript June 10th 2021

Volume 1

In the Matter of the Application of Ross County Solar, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn