

**From:** [Puco ContactOPSB](#)  
**To:** [Puco Docketing](#)  
**Subject:** public comment for 20-1288, 20-1814, and 21-0041  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 5, 2021 1:47:29 PM

---

**Subject:** To OPSB

I have stated in a conversation to Matt about the innergex representative lying to me about my neighbors signing up trying to get me to sign. Another man wrote to you by hand stating the same thing. Monday I picked up my taxes. I asked a man while I was there what he thought about the solar development in our area , as we conversed he said his dad signed a contract in the Dodson development.. I asked him if his dad had an attorney look at the contract, he said no.He told me his dad had an accident. Split his head open and didn't have insurance and thought he would sign for income. He said he was going to look at the contract to see what his dad had signed. Later that day I had an appointment with our commissioners and related this story to them and asked them if they thought this is fair to treat people this way, answer NO. I have written and submitted information as to the poor notification processes being used in our county and artful means being used to exploit working people and working poor. This is immoral and unethical, The poor have no money for legal council the state has not provided any legal council. You can see by the number of comments filed in the New Market project fair due process is questionable and potentially did not happen. This is exploitation. Commissioner David Daniels made the correlation of the same unfair methods being used in eastern Ohio when fracking was taking place.I am appealing to the members of the siting board to step in to stop this exploitation of the working and the working poor and to.Make a recommendation to give free access to legal counsel. I will add the Cornell law definition of Due Process in my humble opinion. I do not think these definitions are being met. Dave Gingerich

Due process under the [Fourteenth Amendment](#) can be broken down into two categories: procedural due process and substantive due process. Procedural due process, based on principles of "fundamental fairness," addresses which legal procedures are required to be followed in state proceedings. Relevant issues, as discussed in detail below, include notice, opportunity for hearing, confrontation and cross-examination, discovery, basis of decision, and availability of counsel. Substantive due process, although also based on principles of "fundamental fairness," is used to evaluate whether a law can be applied by states at all, regardless of the procedure followed. Substantive due process has generally dealt with specific subject areas, such as liberty of contract or privacy, and over time has alternately emphasized the importance of economic and noneconomic matters. In theory, the issues of procedural and substantive due process are closely related. In reality, substantive due process has had greater political import, as significant portions of a state legislature's substantive jurisdiction can be restricted by its application.

Dave Gingerich

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**5/5/2021 2:20:54 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 20-1288-EL-BGN, 20-1814-EL-BGN, 21-0041-EL-BGN**

Summary: Public Comment Public Comment of Dave Gingerich, via website, electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing