

From: [Puco ContactOPSB](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: RE: comment for 20-1680-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:31:12 AM

Please include the address with this comment:

We object to the construction of the Yellow Wood Solar Center that is being built in our agricultural community. Invenergy offered us a property value report from the University of Texas that says property values would not be affected by the view of solar fields from our home. How can this be correct when we cannot imagine anyone sitting on our front porch or driving a few feet down the road and all your view is solar panels. We ask you how this cannot diminish the value of properties affected? We were offered a one time payment and a yearly stipend with the promise that trees or shrubs would be planted to obscure our view. For us to receive this money we were expected to sign an easement. This easement would remain with our property if we should sell. We said no to these terms because we felt it was the right thing to do as we are against the solar project. The next gentleman who came to our house asked us "do you have a number in mind?" We are not interested in selling out the the high bidder. We told the gentleman no and he asked if we were going to fight it. What kind of business is this that makes, what we would call, blatant attempts at bribery by calling it a Good Neighbor deal. Per the Invenergy Website contracts for the land began April 2019. We received notification of a public meeting November 2020. The public meeting was not in person due to COVID restrictions.

In researching solar power we have learned that there are negative effects of living nearby. The southern part of our road does not have access to county water and we, and our neighbors rely on well water and we have a great concern with the run off from the solar fields.

The many jobs promised to our are are temporary during construction and are not promised to be local hires. After a project is complete there will be about 4 people left to run the day to day operations. In approving this project you are trading 4 jobs for the many jobs that will disappear in the agricultural field including truckers, seed and fertilize sales, equipment dealers, crop insurers, part time jobs for our youth.. if approved, this is not temporary and will negatively affect the agricultural community for the next 25+ years.

This solar energy produced from this project will have no local energy benefits. We can only see that the Yellow Wood Solar project as having. Negative impact to the landscape of our community. We all chose to live in a rural atmosphere, never expecting in our 38 years of living in our home that we would be faced with living in the center of an industrial solar farm.

Who can be held accountable when property values of our home and those of our neighbors plummet?

Bob and Joyce Griffith
3826 Gladys Road
Lynchburg, Ohio

From: Puco ContactOPSB
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Puco Docketing <docketing@puco.ohio.gov>
Subject: comment for 20-1680-EL-BGN

We object to the construction of the Yellow Wood Solar Center that is being built in our agricultural community. Invenergy offered us a property value report from the University of Texas that says property values would not be affected by the view of solar fields from our home. How can this be

correct when we cannot imagine anyone sitting on our front porch or driving a few feet down the road and all your view is solar panels. We ask you how this cannot diminish the value of properties affected? We were offered a one time payment and a yearly stipend with the promise that trees or shrubs would be planted to obscure our view. For us to receive this money we were expected to sign an easement. This easement would remain with our property if we should sell. We said no to these terms because we felt it was the right thing to do as we are against the solar project. The next gentleman who came to our house asked us "do you have a number in mind?" We are not interested in selling out the the high bidder. We told the gentleman no and he asked if we were going to fight it. What kind of business is this that makes, what we would call, blatant attempts at bribery by calling it a Good Neighbor deal. Per the Invenenergy Website contracts for the land began April 2019. We received notification of a public meeting November 2020. The public meeting was not in person due to COVID restrictions.

In researching solar power we have learned that there are negative effects of living nearby. The southern part of our road does not have access to county water and we, and our neighbors rely on well water and we have a great concern with the run off from the solar fields.

The many jobs promised to our are are temporary during construction and are not promised to be local hires. After a project is complete there will be about 4 people left to run the day to day operations. In approving this project you are trading 4 jobs for the many jobs that will disappear in the agricultural field including truckers, seed and fertilize sales, equipment dealers, crop insurers, part time jobs for our youth.. if approved, this is not temporary and will negatively affect the agricultural community for the next 25+ years.

This solar energy produced from this project will have no local energy benefits. We can only see that the Yellow Wood Solar project as having. Negative impact to the landscape of our community. We all chose to live in a rural atmosphere, never expecting in our 38 years of living in our home that we would be faced with living in the center of an industrial solar farm.

Who can be held accountable when property values of our home and those of our neighbors plummet?

Bob and Joyce Griffith

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/26/2021 10:39:24 AM

in

Case No(s). 20-1680-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment Public Comment of Bob and Joyce Griffith, via website,
electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing