BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ross County Solar, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need.

Case No. 20-1380-EL-BGN

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RYAN RUPPRECHT

 Q.1. Please state your name, title and business address.
 A.1. My name is Ryan Rupprecht. I am a Senior Project Manager, Practice Lead for the Renewable Energy Group in the Northeast/Mid-Atlantic and Midwest regions, and a Practice Lead for the Eastern Region Siting and Licensing Group for Cardno, Inc. ("Cardno"). My business address is 121 Continental Drive, Suite 308, Newark, Delaware 19713.

7 Q.2. What are your duties as a Senior Project Manager and Practice Lead?

8 A.2. I work for Cardno's Science and Environment Division, focusing on permitting and 9 compliance for various energy projects in the Northeast/Mid-Atlantic and Midwest. I am 10 responsible for developing, managing, and performing consulting work involving 11 environmental permitting, terrestrial and aquatic ecological resource studies, wetland and 12 stream delineations, and surface water quality assessments. As a Senior Project Manager, 13 I manage and participate in environmental permitting projects, overseeing technical experts 14 in biology/ecology, wetland sciences, cultural resources, and rare, threatened & endangered ("RTE") species habitat assessments. As a Practice Lead, I coordinate and 15 16 market Cardno's services for permitting, compliance, and siting and licensing. My duties 17 also include overall quality assurance for projects, keeping current with relevant laws,

regulations, rules, policies, and guidelines, and adapting our practices to trends and changes
 in the environmental consulting field.

3 I served as Cardno's Deputy Project Manager and Quality Assurance/Quality Control 4 reviewer for the Ross County Solar Project ("Project"). I was responsible for coordinating 5 field efforts for the wetland delineations and habitat assessments, reviewing the Ecological Assessment ("EA"), and providing coordination between National Grid Renewables and 6 7 Environmental Design & Research, Landscape Architecture, Engineering & 8 Environmental Services, D.P.C., for the Project Application. I was responsible for the 9 quality control of Cardno's work for the Project. I also support several other renewable 10 energy (both solar and wind) projects in the Midwestern and Eastern states, and manage 11 Clean Water Act compliance projects for industrial clients in the Northeast.

12

Q.3. What is your educational and professional background?

I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in biological oceanography from Millersville 13 A.3. 14 University in 2000. I have over 17 years of professional environmental experience which 15 encompasses environmental permitting, ecological and water resources studies, and project 16 management. My areas of expertise include renewable energy, siting and licensing, water 17 resources, fisheries, habitat and wildlife valuation/identification, and soil/sediment 18 evaluation. I have designed, implemented, and/or managed numerous sampling and 19 monitoring programs, including field resource surveys (e.g., wetlands, RTE species 20 habitat), benthic sampling studies, fish sampling studies, sediment characterization studies, 21 aquatic remote sensing/geophysical surveys, and cultural resource studies. Prior to 22 working at Cardno for the past 14 years, I worked for the URS Corporation for over two 23 years in the Water Resources group, where I was primarily involved in permitting and

compliance for the power/energy industry under the Clean Water Act, Sections 316(a) and
 (b), Section 401, and Section 402. I also worked for the U.S. Environmental Protection
 Agency onboard the ocean survey vessel *Peter W. Anderson*, conducting geophysical
 surveys of the ocean floor, channel dredging monitoring, reef monitoring, water quality
 and fishery surveys, as well as criminal investigations.

6 **Q.4**.

On whose behalf are you offering testimony?

A.4. I am testifying on behalf of the Applicant, Ross County Solar, LLC ("Applicant"),
in support of its Application filed in Case No. 20-1380-EL-BGN.

9

Q.5. What is the purpose of your testimony?

10 **A.5.** The purpose of my testimony is to describe studies my firm undertook on behalf of 11 the Applicant, to summarize the results of those studies, and to summarize the permits that 12 the Applicant expects to obtain prior to initiating construction in or near surface waters. I 13 will also provide my overall assessment of the potential environmental impacts of the 14 Project.

Q.6. Please describe the studies that you and your firm undertook on behalf of the Applicant.

A.6. Cardno developed an EA on behalf of the Applicant, which was attached to the Application as Exhibit S. The EA evaluated and summarized potential land use impacts, based on a desktop assessment and on-site field studies of ecological resources. The purpose of the EA was to provide a stream and wetland delineation map and characterize ecological communities; and screen for potential occurrences of RTE species within the area that the Project infrastructure (including solar panels, access roads, collections, lines, inverters, etc.) will occupy ("Project Area").

3

1

Q.7. What was your role in the studies conducted for the Application?

A.7. My role was to provide senior-level management of the studies including planning,
scheduling, organizing, and overseeing the field and desktop investigations, to perform
review and quality assurance on the study products (e.g., reports, figures, tables, and
written analysis), and to provide communications with the Applicant regarding the studies'
progress, results, and Project implications.

7 8

Q.8. What were Cardno's results from the assessment of endangered species in the Project Area?

9 **A.8**. Cardno's assessment did not identify any state- or federally-listed rare, threatened 10 or endangered ("RTE") plant or animal species in the Project Area. Based on a review of 11 publicly available data, the Project Area identified in the Application and the surrounding 12 area within a ¹/₄-mile buffer are not expected to provide significant or permanent habitat for any listed or other RTE species. During Cardno's Spring 2020 field surveys, no RTE 13 14 species were identified. Cardno's studies found no RTE species and limited potential for 15 RTE species habitat within the Project Area (1,440 acres). The Project was designed to 16 minimize impacts to potential RTE species habitat, so the current disturbance area of 17 approximately 925 acres avoids most of the potential RTE species habitat within the greater 18 Project Area. The planned area of disturbance has very limited potential RTE habitat as it 19 is primarily comprised of active agricultural fields. As an additional protective measure, 20 the Applicant is implementing avoidance measures. Such measures include minimizing 21 habitat fragmentation, siting infrastructure in uplands rather than wetlands, and minimizing 22 perennial stream crossings. The Applicant plans to adhere to time-of-year ("TOY") 23 restrictions for construction activities, such as limiting the tree clearing (approximately 1)

1 acre spread across the 1,440 acres Project Area) between October and March in order to 2 assure no potential impacts to migrating listed bats. If clearing efforts cannot be completed 3 between October-March for any reason, the Applicant will consult with the U.S. Fish and 4 Wildlife Service ("USFWS") and/or Ohio Department of Natural Resources ("ODNR") 5 prior to clearing activities. The Applicant will also adhere to TOY restrictions for 6 construction within potential nesting habitat for the upland sandpiper and/or northern 7 harrier. While potential nesting habitat for both species is limited within the Project Area, 8 site preparation and/or mowing to reduce vegetation height within pastureland (which can 9 sometimes be of suitable condition for these nesting birds) outside of those species nesting 10 dates would preclude any vegetated areas as suitable nesting habitat, and adequately 11 discourage nesting of those species during construction activities. The Applicant also may 12 consult with the USFWS and/or ODNR to identify an alternative course of action, if 13 necessary.

Based on current Project designs (which include the measures I reference), significant impacts to these areas are not anticipated as the area of disturbance is primarily active agricultural fields, and therefore, no post-construction wildlife monitoring is proposed at this time.

18 Q.9. Did you make any findings or observations relating to any aquatic resources?

A.9. Yes. A total of thirty-eight wetlands and sixty streams were identified during field surveys. A total of 6.80 acres of wetlands were identified within the Project Area. Twentythree wetlands (approximately 5.34 acres) were identified as palustrine emergent, and fifteen wetlands (approximately 1.44 acres) were identified as palustrine forested. Based on the preliminary facility layout provided by the Applicant, less than 0.04 acres of wetland will be temporarily impacted during construction, and less than 0.02 acres of wetland will
be permanently impacted during operation. The majority of the wetlands were classified
as Category 1 and Category 2 and scored as low quality and good quality wetlands, utilizing
the Ohio Rapid Assessment Methodology, which was developed to determine the
ecological quality and level of function of a particular wetland in order to meet the
requirements under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.

7 Sixty waterbodies (streams, and ditches) were delineated within the Project Area, totaling 8 47,863 linear feet of waterway. The waterbodies observed were mostly National 9 Hydrography Dataset stream features (i.e., unnamed tributaries of Scioto River). Impacts 10 to these features are associated with a proposed access road crossing of less than 0.021 11 acres, and an underground collection line crossing. Due to the modification and 12 disturbance present in the surrounding land cover (predominantly agriculture), the waterbodies identified in the Project Area are unlikely to support significant aquatic 13 14 communities.

15 During the field surveys, the Cardno team also surveyed for the presence or absence of 16 freshwater mussels within the field-delineated streams. None of the delineated waterbodies 17 were identified as potentially providing a habitat for RTE mussel species. Additionally, 18 the streams and ditches present in the Project Area typically exhibit maintained stream 19 banks that are unlikely to provide suitable habitat for rare fish and freshwater mussels. 20 Mussels prefer streams with forested buffer areas and well-developed banks that provide 21 locations for the mussels to adhere to. No mussel populations were observed in the streams 22 identified by Cardno.

23 Q.10. Did you make any findings or observations related to birds or other wildlife?

6

1 A.10. Yes. We found that the Project would not significantly impact birds or other 2 wildlife, or bird/wildlife habitat. The Project has been intentionally sited to avoid and/or 3 minimize impacts to wildlife by locating the majority of infrastructure within active 4 agricultural land, which only provides habitat to a limited number of wildlife species. The 5 few birds and mammals that may forage within these fields would likely avoid the areas 6 that are being disturbed by construction. On a landscape scale, there is abundant 7 availability of similar agricultural fields within the Project Area and surrounding area that 8 can be used as similar habitat. After construction the Project Area will be stabilized with 9 permanent vegetation that will provide potential forage habitat for area birds and wildlife. 10 In addition, the Project Area and ¹/₄-mile buffer are not known to provide permanent habitat 11 for sensitive bird or bat species. Due to this lack of adequate habitat in the immediate 12 Project Area, it is likely many birds and other wildlife will opt for higher quality habitat nearby, such as wildlife areas or state parks for roosting, foraging, and breeding. 13

Q.11. What is your overall assessment of the potential environmental impacts of the Project?

A.11. Overall, the Project will have very limited environmental impacts. The Project is proposed to be primarily built on land that has already been disturbed seasonally/annually for agriculture with limited identified habitat of significant value to RTE species and other wildlife. The Project's most significant impact will come from the conversion of land used for agriculture to land used for the solar panel arrays and associated infrastructure for the life of the Project. The Applicant has designed the Project to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands, waterbodies, woodlots, and aquatic and terrestrial wildlife species.

23 Q.12. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

7

A.12. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Ohio Power Siting Board's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced in the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served upon the persons below via electronic mail this 14th day of April 2021.

> <u>/s/ Anna Sanyal</u> Anna Sanyal

Thomas Lindgren @ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Chelsea Fletcher chelsea.fletcher@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for Staff of the Ohio Power Siting Board

Chad A. Endsley <u>cendsley@ofbf.org</u>

Leah F. Curtis lcurtis@ofbf.org

Amy M. Milam amilam@ofbf.org

Counsel for Ohio Farm Bureau Federation

Jeffrey C. Marks jeffreymarks@rosscountyohio.gov

Counsel for Boards of Trustees of Buckskin and Paint Townships This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/14/2021 2:30:03 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-1380-EL-BGN

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of Ryan Rupprecht electronically filed by Ms. Anna Sanyal on behalf of Ross County Solar, LLC