### BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

)

))))

In the Matter of the Application of **REPUBLIC WIND, LLC** for a Certificate to Build and Operate an Electric Transmission Line, Seneca County, Ohio

Case No. 19-1066-EL-BTX

## SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF

Dalton S. Carr Development Manager Apex Clean Energy

on behalf of

**Republic Wind, LLC** 

January 15, 2021

#### 1 Q-1. Please state your name.

- 2 A-1. My name is Dalton S. Carr.
- 3 Q-2. On whose behalf are you offering testimony?
- 4 A-2. I am testifying on behalf of the Applicant, Republic Wind, LLC.
- 5 Q-3. Did you previously file testimony on behalf of the Applicant this case?
- 6 A-3. Yes, I filed testimony on December 29, 2020.

#### 7 Q-4. What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?

A-4. The purpose of my testimony is to address the circumstances surrounding the Joint
Stipulation filed on January 8, 2021 ("Joint Stipulation") and support its adoption by the
Ohio Power Siting Board ("OPSB" or "Board"). This testimony is a supplement to my
previously filed testimony.

- 12 Q-5. Have you reviewed the Joint Stipulation?
- 13 A-5. Yes.

#### 14 Q-6. Why do you believe the Board should approve the Joint Stipulation?

A-6. The Stipulation meets the criteria for Board approval of stipulations. The Staff and the
Applicant are the only parties in this case, and the Applicant has agreed to the Staff's
initial recommended conditions, with a single minor change. Specifically, one sentence
in Condition No. 15 was modified to better align with the operational characteristics of a
transmission line facility.

The Stipulation presented in this case represents the product of serious discussions 20 between the parties. Because there was general agreement with the Staff Report, it was 21 necessary to have only one meeting with the Staff, its attorneys and the Applicant and its 22 attorneys. However, there were additional agreements reached via e-mails and telephone 23 calls between the parties. Additionally, the Stipulation represents a reasonable 24 compromise that balances competing positions; therefore, it does not necessarily reflect 25 the position that either one of the parties would have taken if these issues had been fully 26 litigated. 27

# Q-7. Does the Stipulation include additional conditions that were not contained in the Staff Report?

3 A-7. No.

# 4 Q-8. In your opinion, does the Joint Stipulation benefit the public interest?

A-8. The Stipulation provides for clarity with respect to one of the conditions in the Staff
Report, and, therefore serves the public interest. In addition, avoidance of a more lengthy
contested hearing also serves the public interest. Finally, the parties believe that all of the
provisions in the Stipulation are in harmony with regulatory principles and practice,
including consistency with the Board's conditions in previous transmission line
proceedings.

Testimony provided at the local public hearing, held on January 7, 2021, further 11 highlights the public benefit of the project more generally. Witness Gary Baldosser 12 testified that he supports the transmission project not only because it will support the 13 generation facility, but because it also represents investment in rural America.<sup>1</sup> He also 14 testified that "our community has embraced this investment . . . by partnering with 15 private industry and leasing their personal resources, their land, to make all of our 16 communities better."<sup>2</sup> There was no testimony against the transmission line project at the 17 local public hearing. 18

19 Q-9. Do you have any further comments?

A-9. It is my understanding that although a stipulation is not binding upon the Board, there is
 court precedent that the terms of a stipulation such as is presented here, should be
 accorded substantial weight especially when it is unopposed, signed by all the parties and
 resolves all the issues in the proceeding. It is for all these reasons that I urge the Board to
 approve the Stipulation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transcript, p. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id., p. 15.

# 1 Q-10. Does this conclude your testimony?

A-10. Yes, it does. I reserve the right to modify the testimony if the Joint Stipulation filed in
this case on January 8, 2021 is subsequently modified.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the foregoing Supplemental Testimony of Dalton Carr was served

upon the following parties of record via regular or electronic mail this  $15^{\text{th}}$  day of January 2021.

Peri O.R

Devin D. Parram

jodi.bair@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/15/2021 4:00:38 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-1066-EL-BTX

Summary: Text Supplement Testimony of Dalton S. Carr on behalf of Republic Wind, LLC electronically filed by Teresa Orahood on behalf of Devin D. Parram