BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of
:

Angelina Solar I, LLC : Case No. 18-1579-EL-BGN for a Certificate of :

for a Certificate of :
Environmental Compatibility :
and Public Need. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Patricia A. Schabo and David Hicks,

Administrative Law Judges, conducted via WebEx video

conference, called at 10:04 a.m. on Thursday, October

29, 2020.

- - -

VOLUME V

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

532 1 APPEARANCES: 2 Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Mr. Michael J. Settineri 3 Ms. Gretchen L. Petrucci, and Mr. Clifford W. Lauchlan 4 52 East Gav Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 5 On behalf of Angelina Solar I, LLC. 6 Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General 7 John H. Jones, Section Chief By Ms. Jodi J. Bair 8 Senior Assistant Attorney General, Public Utilities Section 9 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 10 On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio Power 11 Siting Board. 12 Van Kley & Walker, LLC By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley 13 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1 Columbus, Ohio 43235 14 On behalf of the Concerned Citizens of 15 Preble County, LLC. 16 Preble County Prosecutor's Office By Ms. Kathyrn M. West 17 Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 101 East Main Street 18 Eaton, Ohio 45320 19 On behalf of the Preble County Engineer, Preble County Planning Commission, Preble 20 County Soil and Water Conservation District, the Board of Trustees of Israel 21 Township, the Board of Trustees of Dixon Township, and the Preble County 22 Commissioners. 2.3 24 25

```
533
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 1
 2
            Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
            By Ms. Amy M. Milam
            280 North High Street, 6th Floor
 3
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
 5
                 Federation.
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		534
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	WITNESSES	PAGE
4	Noah Waterhouse	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	543
6	Douglas Herling Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	548
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	557 569
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	575 577
9	David Hessler	F O 4
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	584 586
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	600 603
	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	604
12	Further Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	605
13	Matthew Robinson Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	608
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	610 618
15	-	
16	Matt Marquis Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	624 627
17	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	632
18	Mark Bonifas Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	637
19	-	057
20	Andrew Conway Direct Examination by Ms. Bair	641
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	642
22		
23		
24		
25		

				535
1		INDEX TO EXHIBIT	TS .	
2				
3	COM	PANY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	22	Second Supplemental Direct Testimony of Douglas Herling	547	581
5 6	23	Supplemental Testimony of David Hessler	585	607
7	24	Supplemental Testimony of Matthew Robinson	609	623
8 9	25	Supplemental Testimony of Noah Waterhouse	543	546
10	26	Supplemental Direct Testimony of Matt Marquis	625	635
11	27	Second Supplemental Direct	637	639
12	21	Testimony of Mark Bonifas	037	033
13 14	28	March 11, 2020, Letter to Campbell Brandly Farms, LLC	548	581
15	CCP	C EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
16 17	7	Inverter Sound Test Report	563	607
18	9	Guidance on Post-Construction Storm Water Controls for Solar		635
19		Panel Arrays		
20				
21	JOII	NT EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
21	2	Amended and Restated Joint Stipulation and Recommendation	548 n	581
23				
	STA	FF EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
2425	13	Supplemental Direct Testimony of Andrew Conway	641	652

Thursday Morning Session,

October 29, 2020.

2.1

ALJ SCHABO: The Ohio Power Siting Board has assigned for hearing at this time and place, Case No. 18-1579-EL-BGN, captioned In the Matter of the Application of Angelina Solar I, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need.

Good morning, everyone. My name is
Patricia Schabo and with me is David Hicks. We are
the Administrative Law Judges assigned by the Board
to hear this case.

We'll begin by taking appearances, starting with the Applicant.

MR. SETTINERI: Good morning, Your

Honors. On behalf of Angelina Solar I, LLC, Michael

Settineri, Gretchen L. Petrucci, Clifford Lauchlan,

with the law firm of Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease,

52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ SCHABO: And for the Concerned Citizens of Preble County, et al.

MR. VAN KLEY: This is Jack Van Kley. I represent the Concerned Citizens of Preble County and their members. My law firm's name is Van Kley &

```
537
     Walker, LLC. Our address is 132 Northwoods
 1
 2
     Boulevard, Suite C-1, Columbus, Ohio 43235.
 3
                 ALJ SCHABO: And, Ms. West, you have
     quite a few so I'll let you identify them.
 4
 5
                 MS. WEST: Yes. Kathyrn West on behalf
 6
     of the Preble County Prosecutor's Office, Martin P.
 7
     Votel, the Prosecuting Attorney, at 101 East Main
     Street, Eaton, Ohio 45320, representing Israel
 8
 9
     Township Trustees, Dixon Township Trustees, the
10
     Preble County Planning Commission, the Preble Soil
11
     and Water Conservation District, Preble County
12
     Engineer, and the Preble County Commissioners.
13
     you.
14
                 ALJ SCHABO:
                              Thank you.
15
                 Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.
16
                 MS. MILAM: Good morning, Your Honor.
17
     This is Amy Milam on behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau
18
     Federation, 280 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio
     43215.
19
20
                 ALJ SCHABO:
                              Thank you.
2.1
                 And for the Ohio Power Board -- Power
22
     Siting Board Staff.
23
                            Thank you, Your Honor. On
                 MS. BAIR:
24
     behalf of the Ohio Power Board -- Ohio Power Siting
```

Board Staff, Jodi Bair, Assistant Attorney General,

30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

2.1

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.

Is counsel present for the Eaton

Community School District? He is not. I was just checking that for the record, and I would just note that Mr. Boggs is not present as was anticipated by representations he had made during a technical test session last week.

So today's adjudicatory hearing is taking place pursuant to the parameters set forth in Entries issued on September 14 and September 25, 2020, by which the record in this matter was reopened for the purpose of considering an Amended and Restated Joint Stipulation filed on July 30, 2020.

Additionally, due to the COVID-19
emergency that remains in effect pursuant to
Executive Order 2020-10D, it should be "01" actually,
issued by the Governor of the State of Ohio, and
consistent with Amended Substitute House Bill 197,
this hearing is being held through WebEx which
enables the parties to participate by video
conference while also affording public access to the
hearing by telephone or video via the internet.

hearing, I would note that it appears we may have two members of the public present with us today. I'd like to welcome you and thank you for your interest in this proceeding. And I will just note that members of the public can view and listen to our proceeding but they are not able to speak or be heard.

2.1

Before we get started with the first witness, I would like to address some preliminary issues.

First, if counsel or witnesses experience technical difficulties, please let us know immediately. You can contact our event host by the WebEx chat function or by phone at (614)466-6843.

Second, please be aware that chats are recorded and should not be considered private. Chats are not part of the official record of the case and should be strictly used for technical or process questions only.

For those of you who may be watching and listening as an attendee or a witness, please be aware that, again, while you are able to observe the hearing, your microphones will be muted until it is your time to testify.

In many respects, this virtual hearing

will proceed very similarly to an in-person hearing before the Board. However, due to the remote nature of the hearing, there are some general ground rules and reminders.

2.1

First, to avoid unnecessary background noise, I ask that counsel keep their microphones on mute unless they are speaking or need to be prepared to speak quickly as in the case when raising objections during cross-examination.

With respect to video, counsel should leave their camera on at all times except during breaks. If you have co-counsel, you may also turn off your video if you need to step away from the hearing when your co-counsel is taking the lead.

Just remember to turn your cameras back on and your microphones back on.

Let's see here.

Always an important reminder, please be mindful of the court reporter. Speak clearly and at a reasonable speed so she can accurately transcribe the hearing.

Everyone should do their best to avoid speaking over each other by taking proactive steps like intentionally allowing for a pause at the end of questions and generally slowing down to allow for any

connectivity lags or objections from counsel.

2.1

2.2

During their testimony, witnesses should have access only to the filings in this case docket and the documents that have been identified as potential exhibits, i.e. those documents that have already been exchanged amongst the parties and shared with the Bench. Witnesses should not access or seek information from any other documents while testifying, nor should they communicate through any means with anyone privately during their testimony.

Just to confirm quickly that we do not anticipate any confidential information being testified to? I am seeing head shakes.

MR. SETTINERI: That is correct, Your Honor, from the Company.

ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Excellent. So we will not need a closed record or a confidential session.

It is anticipated that this will be a single-day hearing consistent with the witness schedule agreed upon by the parties and the Bench.

We will take a lunch break, probably an hour, the timing of which will be based on the flow of testimony or the normal course of business.

Exhibits admitted into evidence for each

day, hopefully just today, should be e-mailed to the court reporter. That e-mail address is kspencer, s-p-e-n-c-e-r, @aando.com. That's a-a-n-d dot com.

I can e-mail that to counsel if it would be helpful.

2.1

Thank you.

Anyone have questions about these rules?
No? You guys are pros. Wonderful.

Are there any preliminary matters that the parties wish to address?

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, this is Mike Settineri on behalf of the Company. I'd just note that the original witness order that was discussed, we are going to -- we would like to have Noah Waterhouse go first. My understanding is no parties have cross so if we would be able to have him -- put him on first, we can allow him to leave the hearing. ALJ SCHABO: Yes. Yeah, we can do that.

All right. Speaking of which,
Mr. Settineri, let's proceed with our first witness.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.

At this time the Company will call Noah Waterhouse to the stand, please.

MS. CHILCOTE: Mr. Waterhouse, you've been moved to a panelist position and you've been unmuted, your camera is on, and you're ready to go.

543 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Waterhouse, if you would 1 2 raise your right hand for me. 3 (Witness sworn.) ALJ SCHABO: I could not hear you. Could 4 5 you check your equipment really quick. 6 THE WITNESS: Can you hear me now? 7 ALJ SCHABO: I can. Wonderful. Let's 8 just redo that. 9 (Witness sworn.) 10 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you very much. 11 Proceed, Mr. Settineri. 12 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 At this time we would like to mark an exhibit, 14 Company Exhibit 25, the Supplemental Testimony of Noah Waterhouse. 15 16 ALJ SCHABO: So marked. 17 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 18 19 NOAH WATERHOUSE 20 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 2.1 examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 By Mr. Settineri: 24 Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. Ο. 25 A. Good morning.

- Q. Could you please state your name and business address for the record, please.
- A. Noah Waterhouse. 10025 Valley View Road, Suite 140, Eden Prairie, Minnesota.
 - Q. All right. And do you have before you what's been marked as Company Exhibit 25?
 - A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And could you please identify that for the record, please.
- A. That is my Supplemental Testimony.
- Q. Okay. Was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 13 A. It was.
- Q. Do you have any revisions to that testimony today?
- 16 A. I do not.
- Q. All right. And if I asked you the questions in that testimony today, would your answers be the same as written?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. SETTINERI: All right. Thank you,

 Mr. Waterhouse. Your Honors, the witness is

 available for cross-examination.
- 24 ALJ SCHABO: Is there any
- 25 | cross-examination for Mr. Waterhouse?

Proceedings

MR. VAN KLEY: No, Your Honor.

2.1

MS. BAIR: None from Staff.

ALJ SCHABO: Ms. Milam or Ms. West?

MS. MILAM: None, Your Honor.

MS. WEST: No, Your Honor.

ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Mr. Waterhouse, I do not have any questions for you specifically.

I do want to go back to the marking of the exhibit, Mr. Settineri. Can you help me out -MR. SETTINERI: Uh-huh.

ALJ SCHABO: -- is this Mr. Robinson's -- I'm sorry, Mr. Waterhouse's Second Supplemental? Did he not have a Supplemental Testimony during the first round of our hearings? I have it -- shoot.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, you are correct,
Your Honor. It should have been marked as the Second
Supplemental Testimony.

ALJ SCHABO: Okay.

Supplemental Testimony in this proceeding. Oh, I'm sorry, no. I will check the docket if I may.

MR. SETTINERI: He did provide

Yes, that is correct, Your Honor, Second Supplemental Testimony. So this should be marked as the Second Supplemental Testimony of Noah Waterhouse, Company Exhibit 25.

1 ALJ SCHABO: All right. Thank you. 2 With that correction, again there's no 3 cross-examination for Mr. Waterhouse. I do not have any questions for Mr. Waterhouse. How would you like 4 5 to proceed? 6 MR. SETTINERI: I would like to go ahead 7 then at this point in time and the witness may be excused, with your permission, and we would move for 8 9 the admission of Company Exhibit 25 into the record. 10 ALJ SCHABO: Any objections? 11 MR. VAN KLEY: No. 12 MS. BAIR: No objection from the Staff. 13 ALJ SCHABO: Hearing none, Company 14 Exhibit 25, Second Supplemental Testimony of Noah 15 Waterhouse will be admitted to the record. 16 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 17 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Waterhouse, thank you 18 for being with us today. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. 20 MR. SETTINERI: And thank you, Your 2.1 Honor, for catching that detail for the record. 22 appreciate it. 23 ALJ SCHABO: You're welcome. 24 MR. SETTINERI: All right. If we may 25 proceed, Your Honor?

547 1 ALJ SCHABO: You may. 2 MR. SETTINERI: At this time the Company will call Douglas Herling to the stand, please. 3 MS. CHILCOTE: Mr. Herling, you've been 4 5 moved to the panelist position and you are ready to 6 speak. 7 ALJ SCHABO: Good morning, Mr. Herling. 8 I cannot hear you. It appears you're unmuted. 9 THE WITNESS: Can you hear me now? ALJ SCHABO: I can. If you can raise 10 11 your right hand for me. 12 (Witness sworn.) 13 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you very much. 14 Mr. Settineri. 15 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 At this time we would like to mark some exhibits. ALJ SCHABO: All right. 17 18 MR. SETTINERI: We will start first with 19 Company Exhibit 22, the Second Supplemental Direct 20 Testimony of Douglas Herling. 2.1 ALJ SCHABO: So marked. 2.2 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MR. SETTINERI: We will mark as Joint 23 24 Exhibit 2, the Amended and Restated Joint Stipulation and Recommendation filed in this proceeding. 25

Proceedings 548 ALJ SCHABO: So marked. 1 2 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 3 MR. SETTINERI: And we would mark as Company Exhibit 28, correspondence dated March 11, 4 5 2020, to Campbell Brandly Farms, LLC. This exhibit 6 was previously provided to all parties and the Bench. 7 ALJ SCHABO: Yes. Sorry if my paper 8 rustling is loud. Yes. So marked. 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 DOUGLAS HERLING 13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 14 examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Settineri: 16 17 Good morning, Mr. Herling. Q. 18 A. Good morning. 19 Could you please state your name and Ο. 20 business address for the record, please. 2.1 Α. Douglas Herling. 1105 Navasota Street, 22 Austin, Texas 78702.

- Q. And could you please identify that for the record, please.
- A. This is my Second Supplemental Direct Testimony in this case.
- Q. Okay. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And do you have any revisions to that testimony today?
- A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. If you could please slowly walk through those revisions for the court reporter and the parties, please.
 - A. Okav. Will do.
 - In Answer 4, on page 2, line 2, "Mathew" should have two t's. That would be Matthew

 Robinson's name. "Mathew" should have two t's.

Page 3, Answer 6, line 13, in the third
word we should have an additional "e" on "oblige."

On page 4, Answer 9, line 20, following the comma after the second word in that sentence "entrances" please insert "right-of-ways/easements" comma. So now the end of that sentence should read: "roads, entrances, right-of-ways/easements, inverters and setbacks."

Moving to page 7, Answer 14. This edit will carry onto page 8 as well but the first -- the first edit is line 22. Following where it says "That plan contains" please delete from -- please delete the following portion of the sentence: "detailed analysis of the Project area's existing conditions, the anticipated visual impact of the Project, and."

That portion of the sentence is being removed from "detailed" to "and."

2.1

On page 8, line 1, please delete, following "plan," starting with "to," through the end of that line, "areas" comma. So it should now read: "landscape plan as well as visual examples of the landscaping" and then continues on.

Then the next edit is in line 3. From the start of the line, please delete the words "In short, those potential" and replace that with the word "potential."

On line 4, following "scenario," starting with the comma, please delete from the comma through line 5 on the following line through the words "intended landscaping." So to pull that together starting on line 3 through line 5, that should now read: "Potential visual impacts have been addressed by determining specific locations of concern and

```
applying an appropriate landscaping scenario."
```

2.1

On line 23, Answer 16, the last word on that line -- sorry. Last word on line 23, "and" should be deleted and replaced by the word "to."

On page 9, Answer 17, on line 4, please delete "On March 11, 2020" and replace that with "Starting in February 2020."

Same answer, line 12, please delete

"March 11" and add an "s" to "mailing." So it should

now read: "The mailings included...."

And on line 15, we're adding a sentence following "March 19, 2020." This sentence reads: "A copy of one of those letters has been marked as Company Exhibit 28."

On page 10, Answer 19, line 7, please delete the words "clarity and."

On page 11, Answer 22, on line 14, this edit is going to remove language from line 14 to line 20, beginning with "Among." "Among other items" through on line 20, "local agencies are aware of those measures." We're removing all of that -- all the text between those two points on lines 14 through 20.

ALJ SCHABO: So just a minute. So,

Mr. Herling, will it then go from "Panel Arrays' to

those two local agencies" and then just pick up again on "The same condition..."?

THE WITNESS: Exactly.

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

Those are all the changes I have. Are there any questions?

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Herling.
As he indicated, hopefully everyone, you were all
able to get those revisions; if not, please say so.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Herling, do you also have before you what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 2?
- 14 A. Yes, I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

- Q. Okay. Could you please identify that for the record.
- A. Joint Exhibit 2 is the Amended and
 Restated Joint Stipulation and Recommendation in this
 case.
- Q. Okay. And were you involved in the negotiations of that Amended Stipulation?
 - A. Yes, I was.
 - Q. Okay. And could you also identify for the record what's been marked as Exhibit 28, please.
- 25 A. Exhibit 28 is a letter to Campbell

Brandly Farms, LLC, March 11, 2020, regarding drainage and tile mapping.

- Q. And when did you send that letter?
- A. The letter was originally sent in February of 2020 and subsequently e-mailed to Campbell Brandly Farms' counsel on March 11 -- or in March of 2020.
- Q. Okay. And the letter is dated March 11, 2020. Why is it dated March 11, 2020 versus
 February 2020?
- A. Because this is the second of two -- of two identical letters. This one transmitted via e-mail and prepared on March 11.
 - Q. Okay. And did you hear back from Campbell Brandly Farms, LLC in regards to this letter?
- A. We did not.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection. Objection,
Your Honor. At this point the witness is going past
his written prefiled testimony and is going into
additional testimony that was not in his written
testimony and, as a result of which, we are being
surprised by this testimony and have not had the
opportunity to prepare cross-examination for this
testimony.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri, I'll let you respond to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. Yes, Your The correspondence was provided to all parties in advance of this hearing and it has been -his testimony has been revised to indicate that the letter was marked. A copy of one of those letters has been marked as Company Exhibit 28 and, for the record, we're identifying the letter and also indicating whether he received a response, and I think that's certainly information the Board should hear and I think it's certainly permissible, as in many cases, to provide additional direct testimony in addition to the prefiled testimony through changes or revisions. And if we need to revise the testimony to say exactly what we just said, we'd be glad to, but I think the most efficient way is to do this. Thank you.

MR. VAN KLEY: Well, Your Honor, the witness's allegation that he never received a response to the letter is totally beyond the scope of the written testimony that was filed. In fact, the exhibit, Company Exhibit 28, wasn't even mentioned in his prefiled testimony. We have had no opportunity -- I've had no opportunity to consult with my client

```
as to whether a response actually was provided and, if it was not provided, why it was not provided; so this is -- this is trial by ambush and it should not be permitted.
```

2.1

ALJ SCHABO: Okay. So I tend to agree somewhat with Mr. Van Kley. We did just insert, into Mr. Herling's testimony, the identification of Exhibit 28 or the reference, I'm sorry, to Exhibit 28. That being said, I'm going to overrule this specific objection. Mr. Herling can indicate whether or not he is aware of whether or not the Company received a response. And if the questioning goes much farther than that, I will entertain a new objection from Mr. Van Kley.

MR. SETTINERI: To clarify, Your Honor, I know we had a question and answer and so I believe that question was answered.

ALJ SCHABO: I did not hear the answer so if we could -- we'll give him one asked and answered because I didn't hear the response.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. So I'll just re-ask the question, Your Honor; would you like me to do that?

24 ALJ SCHABO: That's fine. Thank you very 25 much.

MR. SETTINERI: Be glad to.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Herling, in regard to the letter to Campbell Brandly Farms that you'd indicated letters were sent two times, to your knowledge was a response received from Campbell Brandly Farms?
- A. A response was not received to my knowledge.
 - Q. Thank you.

Mr. Herling, you also made a revision in your testimony as to what was contained in the preliminary layout attached to your testimony and you had added the words "right-of-ways" and "easements" and so, for the record, are right-of-ways and easements shown on that layout map?

- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And are any of those right-of-ways or easements shown, agricultural or conservation easements?
- A. No, they are not.
- Q. And, for the record, are there any agricultural or conservation easements in the Project Area?
- A. No, there are not.
- Q. Okay. If I were to ask you the questions

in your written testimony today, would your answers be the same as you revised and clarified today on direct?

A. Yes, they would.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Herling. Your Honors, the witness is available
for cross-examination.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley.

9

CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 By Mr. Van Kley:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Mr. Herling, since we have Company
 Exhibit 28 open anyway, let me ask you a question or
 two about that document.
 - A. Sure. I'm there.
- Q. Did you provide Campbell Brandly Farms with a deadline for responding to this letter?
- A. We did not provide -- sorry, I thought I heard someone saying something.

We did not provide a deadline. Given the concern from this -- from Campbell Brandly Farms and others regarding tile, we assumed we would get a response rather quickly.

- Q. And why did you make that assumption?
- A. Due to feedback we received via public

comment and otherwise.

- Q. Uh-huh. Has anybody from Campbell
 Brandly Farms informed you that the farm would not
 respond to your letter which is identified as Company
 Exhibit 28?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. So, so far as you know, Campbell Brandly Farms could respond in the future; isn't that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So why -- why have you made the point of testifying then that Campbell Brandly Farms has not yet responded to your letter?
 - MR. SETTINERI: Object. Seeks to disclose attorney-client privilege and work product, and I direct the witness not to answer that question.
 - MR. VAN KLEY: Well, I'm asking for his understanding as to why he is providing this testimony. I'd like to --
- 20 MR. SETTINERI: Objection. Objection.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I'd like to get this into
 context because I'm confused about why this letter is
 even relevant to this proceeding or why his statement
 that there's no response is relevant to this
 proceeding.

MR. SETTINERI: My objection stands and I will also add an objection to the form of the question.

ALJ SCHABO: The objection is sustained.

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, is that

6 because of the form of the question or because of the
7 substance?

ALJ SCHABO: I would -- I would say substance. I believe you're delving very close to attorney-client, if not jumping straight into it, so the objection is sustained.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Herling, have you started building this solar farm yet?
 - A. No, we have not.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Have you started digging into the Project

 Area in a manner in which you could encounter

 drainage tiles?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
 We haven't started construction.
 - Q. Uh-huh. Well, have you done any digging in the Project Area that has the potential for damaging tiles at this point?
- A. No digging that could potentially damage tile, no.
- Q. Let's go to page 4 of your prefiled

testimony that has been marked as Company Exhibit 22.

- A. I'm there.
- Q. Let's start with your answer to Question 9, which asks you to describe the preliminary site plan identified as Attachment DH2. Do you see that answer?
 - A. I do.
- Q. That preliminary site plan is attached to your prefiled testimony identified as Company Exhibit 22, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

- Q. Let's go to exhibit -- or Attachment DH2
 of your testimony and I would like to refer you to
 the page that's the 58th PDF page in Company
 Exhibit 22.
 - A. Can you give me a sheet number? It should be in the bottom right of the PDF.
- Q. I don't see a sheet -- oh, yes, I do see a sheet number. C311.
- 20 A. Okay. I'm there.
- Q. All right. I would like to direct you to the top of that sheet where there's a diagram with the words "See Sheet C.308" on the top of it. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And to the right of that sheet do you see the words, it appears to be EX -- "EX. Structure to Be Removed"?
 - A. Yes, I do see that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. What does that stand for?
- A. That is -- what that is indicating is that those are structures that will be removed from the Project Area or planned to be.
- Q. And according to this diagram, how many structures are planned to be removed?
- A. We're showing two farm buildings, several sheds, and a home. A residence.
 - Q. Let's go back to page 4 of your testimony identified as Company Exhibit 22.
 - A. I'm there.
 - Q. Directing your attention to line 20, you state there that the preliminary site plan includes the anticipated location of inverters; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Is there a potential that more than one type of inverter will be used in this project?
- A. It's -- sorry. There's the potential
 that, when the Project is constructed, it's likely to
 use one type of inverter, yes, but we are considering

two different kinds of inverters, that one or the other could be used on the project.

2.1

- Q. Which type of inverters are you currently considering?
 - A. What are known as central inverters.
 - O. All right. What's the other kind?
- A. What are known as string inverters but I would like to make a clarification that some string inverters are grouped into what is essentially a central inverter and operate the same way as a central inverter and will be considered in this design as a central inverter.
- Q. Does the preliminary site plan note the locations for string inverters?
 - A. It does not because this is a site plan utilizing central inverters.
 - Q. Are the potential locations for all central inverters noted on the preliminary site plan?
 - A. Yes, they are.
 - Q. For the record, what is a string inverter?
- A. A string inverter is a smaller kind of inverter that handles typically a string of solar modules so it's a lower capacity machine that is mounted on the racking. It's smaller and quieter and

```
does not have a ground footprint.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

2.1

- Q. Mr. Herling, I'd like to direct your attention to -- let me just back up a little bit.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, at this time we would like to mark CCPC Exhibit 7, which is entitled "Inspection Report, Acoustic Environmental Test."
- 8 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. Mr. Herling, do you have a copy of CCPC
 Exhibit 7 in front of you?
 - A. I have one here, yes.
 - Q. And can you identify this document?
 - A. Pardon while I reach for it.
 - Yes. This is a document entitled "Sound Power Kodiak 2.0 SC UP Noise Measurement."
- Q. This is a copy of a report that you obtained; is that correct?
- A. Yes, that we obtained -- or that I obtained from the manufacturer.
 - Q. And what was the purpose for your obtaining this report?
- A. Our purpose for obtaining this report was
 for -- I don't want to confuse terminology but for
 producing -- we procured it for our acoustic engineer

to perform sound modeling.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. You obtained this report and you provided a copy of it to Mr. Hessler; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Directing your attention to the second page of this report. This report is dated June 25, 2019, correct?

MR. SETTINERI: Object. He hasn't laid a foundation that the witness is familiar with the document. The witness has identified receiving it but you haven't laid a foundation that he has reviewed the document.

ALJ SCHABO: Go ahead and lay that foundation, Mr. Van Kley.

- Q. Mr. Herling, have you seen page 2 of this document before today?
- A. I don't think I've ever done more than quickly leaf through it to make sure there was everything there when I received the PDF initially.
- Q. Have you taken note of the date for this document?
 - A. No, but I can see that in the title.
- Q. Let's go to page 7 of your testimony
 marked as Company Exhibit 12.
- 25 A. Okay. I'm there.

- Q. And let's go to Answer 12 on the top of that page which refers to a Phase 1 cultural resources survey program. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I see that.
 - Q. Has that program been developed?
- A. Yes, it has.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

15

16

17

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. Has that program been incorporated into the Application in this case that is marked as Company Exhibit 1?
- MR. SETTINERI: Just object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I'm not asking for a legal conclusion. I'm just asking whether this program has been added to the Application.
 - MR. SETTINERI: Object to the form of the question as well then. You can ask if there's been a supplement filed to the Application.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I can ask that question too. We'll start there.
 - Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Herling, has there been a supplement filed to the Application in this case that has contained the Phase 1 cultural resources survey program mentioned in Answer 12 of your testimony?
- A. No, there has not. To my understanding

it was not a requirement to have that as part of our Application or as a supplement.

- Q. Uh-huh. Let's go to Answer 14 of your testimony which starts on the bottom of page 7 and here we have a reference to a preliminary landscape plan. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.

- Q. Has that plan been incorporated into any supplemental application in this case?
- A. No, that has not, but it was described in our Application and, to my knowledge, is not required to be part of our Application.
- Q. At the time that the plan was described in your Application, did the preliminary landscape plan that's been completed at this point in time actually exist?
- MR. SETTINERI: Just object to the form of the question in terms of at the time it was described in the Application versus maybe when the Application was filed.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I'll start over.
- 22 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Q. When was the preliminary landscape plan finished?
- 25 A. The preliminary landscape plan was

finished, I don't have an exact date for you but I believe in September of this year. Early October.

- Q. Let's go to page 9 of your testimony.

 I'd like to refer you to Answer 18 which refers to a preliminary vegetation management plan.
 - A. I'm there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. When was that plan completed?
- A. Again, I don't have an exact date for you there but that was completed -- that was completed this summer, I believe in August.
- Q. Do you know when the preparation of this plan was started?
 - A. We've been working on this -- sorry, did
 I hear someone say something?

We've been working on this plan through the year. These plans take a lot of time. To my knowledge it was not required to be part of the Application in any way.

- Q. Was the plan started after the conclusion of the initial hearing held in this case?
- A. Yes, it was, but the plan itself was described in the Application and what it would contain and how it would function.
- Q. And the preliminary landscape plan that's referenced in Answer 14 of your testimony, was that

- started after the conclusion of the initial hearing in this case?
 - A. Yes, that is correct. As part of the continued development of the Project, it was -- it was begun late last year.
 - Q. And how about the preliminary site plan, was that started after the initial hearing concluded in this case?
- A. No. We have a form of conceptual design that occurs very early in the process of preparing the Application and this is an expanded scope of work on that.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further questions at this time.
- ALJ SCHABO: Any other parties have questions for Mr. Herling?
- MS. BAIR: Staff has no questions.
- 18 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri, would you
- 19 | like redirect? You're muted.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

- 20 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, I do have some 21 questions, Your Honor. Thank you.
- ALJ SCHABO: Are you ready to dive in or would you like a minute?
- MR. SETTINERI: If I may have -- well,
 I'm ready to dive in. We can go ahead. Thank you.

ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Proceed when you're 1 2 ready.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.

4

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Settineri:

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Mr. Herling, you had some questions, if Q. you recall, on string inverters and central inverters, correct? Do you remember those questions?
 - Α. I do.
- 11 Q. Okay. You mentioned they have different 12 capacities. What is the general capacity for a 13 string inverter?
- 14 It can vary a bit but typically on the 15 order of 100 to a couple hundred kilowatts.
- Ο. And how does that then compare to a 17 central inverter capacity?
- 18 A. Central inverter is usually 1 megawatt or 19 larger. It can range -- can range up to 4 or 5 20 megawatts usually.
- 2.1 Ο. Okay. And just in terms of dimensions, 22 can you compare a string inverter to a central 23 inverter?
- 24 A. Sure. A central inverter is more the size of a -- depending on the inverter, usually about 25

- the size of a shipping container or half shipping container. Whereas, a string inverter, those can vary as well but they're mounted on racking. Without being too specific, 2 by 3 feet maybe. Sometimes smaller than that.
- Okay. And have you had -- do you attend Ο. trade shows in the industry, Mr. Herling?
 - Prior to COVID, yes. Α.
- Ο. And how long have you been involved in the solar industry?
- I've been involved with Open Road for Α. approximately 3 years and, prior to that, several 13 years at Pioneer Green Energy.
 - Okay. And have you had an opportunity to Ο. observe sound from string inverters, whether in the field or at trade shows?
- 17 Α. Yes, I have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

- Okay. And have you also had an Q. opportunity to observe sound from central inverters in the field?
- Α. Yes, I have.
- 22 Okay. Would you be able to provide just Q. 23 a general comparison between the sound you would 24 expect from a string inverter versus a central inverter based on your experience? 25

- A. Sure. Based on my experience, a string inverter is very quiet. Being up close to one, you really can't hear very much, and from a distance, nothing at all.
- Q. Okay. For the preliminary layout that is attached to your testimony, just for the record, that shows locations for central inverters, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is there a -- and there is -- in the Condition 3 is there a setback in place for central inverters?
- A. There is. There's a 500-foot setback from central inverters to a residence.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. Minimum setback.
- Q. Is there a setback in Condition 3 that would also then apply to a string inverter?
- A. Yes. A string -- the setback in Condition 3 that would apply to a string inverter would be our 150-foot setback from the Project fence to any habitable residence; that would, of course, apply to the string inverter as well.
- Q. And based on your experience, where would you expect a string inverter to be located in a facility in regards to the fence line?

- A. Sure. Again, they'd be mounted on the racking close to themselves in almost all cases and that would be typically on the interior of the Project and that's usually to reduce line losses and ensure that we have the shortest collection paths along access roads.
- Q. You also had some questions on attachment -- the preliminary layout attached to your testimony. Is that layout -- would you consider that layout a part of the continued development of the Project?
 - A. I would.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And when was that preliminary layout completed?
- A. This version here was completed 8/13/2020.
 - Q. Okay. And the details shown on that layout, was that available prior to the last hearing that was held in this matter?
 - A. Could you ask that again, Mike?
 - Q. The -- I guess let me clarify. I want to clarify for the record. Why wasn't -- why weren't these layout drawings prepared prior to the last hearing in this record?
- A. Sure. We were seeking to permit the
 Project as the maximum extent of the Project. The

- location of infrastructure relative to county right-of-way -- or road right-of-ways, parcel boundaries, neighboring residences, so we were taking the location of all of the infrastructure into account with our potential solar array area.
- Q. Turning to what was marked as CCPC Exhibit 7, please, Mr. Herling.
 - A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. You were asked about a date on that document. You said it was in the title. Let's just, for the record, clear that up. Exactly what were you looking to when you said it was in the title?
- A. Yes, to clear that up, I was looking at the bottom of the front page there. The reference to 2019 at the end of the file name.
- Q. You have to be more specific for the record, Mr. Herling.
 - A. Sorry about that. On the bottom right of the title page it says "SE4xxx-UP-910:LE2019." It indicated the year but not the month.
 - Q. Okay. And when did you receive this report from the manufacturer?
 - A. We received this report in July of 2020.
- Q. Okay. And did you -- who specifically received the report?

- A. I received the report.
- Q. And who specifically requested the report from the manufacturer?
 - A. I requested it.
- Q. Okay. And what led you to make that request to the manufacturer?
- A. We were made aware of this, of the existence of this report by Mr. Hessler through another case, and I -- and I reached out to the manufacturer to get a copy.
- Q. Okay. And approximately when did he make you aware that this report existed?
- A. I believe in probably late -- probably

 June of 2020. That was -- it took a while to get it.
- MR. SETTINERI: One moment, Your Honor,
- 16 please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 17 ALJ SCHABO: Yes.
- 18 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Herling.
- Thank you, Your Honor. We have no
- 20 further questions for Mr. Herling.
- 21 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley, do you have
- 22 any recross?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, Your Honor.
- 24 ALJ SCHABO: Please proceed.
- 25 | -

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 By Mr. Van Kley:
- 3 Q. Mr. Herling, while you still have CCPC
- 4 | Exhibit 7 open, let's talk about that for a little
- 5 bit.

1

- 6 A. Okay. I have it here.
- 7 Q. When did you request a copy of this
- 8 report from the manufacturer?
 - A. Late June of 2020.
- 10 Q. And when did you receive it?
- 11 A. Early July of 2020.
- 12 Q. Tell me how early.
- 13 A. July -- I believe July 2nd.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 ALJ SCHABO: Let's take a quick break.
- 16 We're off the record for just a moment.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- 18 (Recess taken.)
- 19 ALJ SCHABO: All right. We just took a
- 20 break off the record to address COVID problems.
- 21 Ms. West, representing the several -- a couple county
- 22 entities and Dixon and Israel Townships, is having
- 23 | some WebEx issues. She dropped off video but she was
- 24 | able to hear and see us. She is going to continue to
- 25 | participate in Mr. Herling's testimony by audio only.

She has represented, and I will ask her to do so on the record, that she has no questions for Mr. Herling. Ms. West?

2.1

MS. WEST: You're correct, Your Honor. I have no questions for Mr. Herling. Thank you.

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you. So we'll finish up with Mr. Herling and we'll then take an early lunch break and try to get Ms. West's equipment up and running again.

So, with that, Ms. Burke, would you be so kind as to read back the previous question and answer.

(Record read.)

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Herling, with regard to the observations you made about the string inverters that you saw, let me ask you a few questions about that. On how many occasions have you observed these string inverters at other projects?
- A. Several occasions. I visit a lot of solar projects in my work so at least -- at least six projects.
- Q. And how close to the string inverters were you during those observations?

- A. From the public right-of-way, typically I would say between 30 to 50 feet if there were any on the outside but typically they're located on the inside of the project so it would have been a farther distance than that for some.
- Q. Did you take any sound measurements of those string inverters?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you take any sound measurements of the ambient sound during those visits?
- A. No, I did not. Just my observations for both.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I have no more questions.
- 14 ALJ SCHABO: Not much there but,
- 15 Mr. Settineri, if you have any.
- MR. SETTINERI: Mr. Herling -- yes, I would like to ask just a couple quick questions.
- 18
- 19 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 By Mr. Settineri:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Mr. Herling, you were asked about your observations of string inverters. Have you also -you've attended trade shows -- let me rephrase that,
 Your Honor.
- 25 Have you attended trade shows?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And those are trade shows related to the solar industry?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And have you had the opportunity to listen to string inverters at those trade shows?
- A. Yes. Typically there would be inside and outside booths to the trade show where they have these set up and powered up so you can see them in action.
- Q. And what were your observations in regards to sound from listening to string inverters at trade shows?

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection, Your Honor.

This goes beyond the scope of my cross-examination.

He should have asked these questions in his prior turn with the witness.

ALJ SCHABO: I'll sustain that.

Q. You were asked questions about string inverters and your observations in the field. How do those observations in the field compare to your observations made at trade shows?

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection. This is a roundabout way to get back to the same testimony that he should have requested previously.

MR. SETTINERI: I believe it's within the scope of your recross and it's just rephrased.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: It's not. I asked him whether he had made any measurements of the sound when he did his observations in the field and that's all I asked about.

ALJ SCHABO: Sustained. Next question.
MR. SETTINERI: Sure.

Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Herling, you were asked about the distance you were from inverters in the field by Mr. Van Kley. Have you had an opportunity to observe inverters, powered up, closer than what you described from your field observations?

MR. VAN KLEY: I'm going to object again.

I think this is just another way to solicit the same testimony he's been trying to get in that goes beyond the scope of my cross-examination.

MR. SETTINERI: You did ask about distances, how far he was, and so I'm simply --

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri, this is a -you've -- you already got this information during
your first redirect.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Well, then at this point, I have no further questions.

580 1 ALJ SCHABO: All right. Mr. Van Kley. 2 MR. VAN KLEY: No, nothing more from me. 3 ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Mr. Herling, thank 4 you very much. Pleasure to see you again. 5 We will take a break -- oh, I'm sorry, 6 Mr. Settineri, I stomped on your toes. I apologize. 7 MR. SETTINERI: No worries. That's always my fear of missing to move in exhibits. So at 8 9 this time, Your Honor, we would move for the 10 admission of Company Exhibit 22, the Second 11 Supplemental Direct Testimony of Douglas Herling, and 12 I would note for the record that there are a number 13 of attachments to that testimony and those are part 14 of that Company Exhibit 22 and so move. We would also move for the admission of 15 16 Joint Exhibit 2 into the record, the Amended and 17 Restated Joint Stipulation and Recommendation. 18 And we'd also move for the admission of 19 Company Exhibit 28 into the record, please. 20 We would also actually also like to admit 2.1 into the record -- no, that's it. Thank you very 2.2 much. 23 ALJ SCHABO: Any objections to the 24 admission of Joint Exhibit 2? 25 MR. VAN KLEY: No.

581 MS. BAIR: No. 1 2 MS. WEST: No. 3 ALJ SCHABO: Joint Exhibit 2 will be admitted. 4 5 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 6 ALJ SCHABO: Any objections to Company 7 Exhibit 22? MR. VAN KLEY: No. 8 9 MS. BAIR: None. 10 MS. WEST: No. 11 ALJ SCHABO: Company Exhibit 22 will be 12 admitted. 13 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 14 ALJ SCHABO: Any objections to Company Exhibit 28? 15 16 MR. VAN KLEY: No. MS. BAIR: No. 17 18 MS. WEST: No. ALJ SCHABO: No objections, Company 19 20 Exhibit 28 will be admitted into the record. 2.1 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 22 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley, did you want to take any action on CCPC Exhibit 7? 23 24 MR. VAN KLEY: We will defer and request 25 to admit that document until we question Mr. Hessler

```
582
     about it.
 1
                 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.
 2
 3
                 All right. Now we will go on an early
     lunch. It is 11:28, so why don't we reconvene at
 4
     12:30. All right. Thank you, everyone, for your
 5
     patience and we'll see you in about an hour.
 6
 7
                 (At 11:29 a.m. a lunch recess was taken
 8
     until 12:30 p.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

583 1 Thursday Afternoon Session, 2 October 29, 2020. 3 ALJ HICKS: Let's go back on the record. 4 5 Before we proceed with the Company's next 6 witness, I just wanted to make a note. Before we 7 went to lunch, we had noted that Ms. West was having some camera issues and was on just via audio only, so 8 9 I just wanted to note now for the record that she 10 appears to be back on, full capabilities, audio, 11 video, and hopefully should be good to go, going 12 forward. 13 So, with that, I would turn it over to 14 you, Mr. Settineri, to call your next witness. 15 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 At this time the Company would like to call David 17 Hessler to the stand, please. 18 ALJ HICKS: I don't see Mr. Hessler. 19 MS. CHILCOTE: I'm sorry. Give me one 20 second. 2.1 Mr. Hessler, you have been promoted. You 22 look like you're unmuted and you're ready to go.

Mr. Hessler, if you can please raise your right hand.

ALJ HICKS: There we go. I can see you.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.

23

24

584 (Witness sworn.) 1 2 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 3 Mr. Settineri, please proceed. MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 6 DAVID HESSLER 7 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 8 examined and testified as follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 By Mr. Settineri: 11 Good afternoon, Mr. Hessler. Q. 12 Α. Good afternoon. 13 Q. Could you please state your name and business address for the record, please. 14 15 Α. My name is David Hessler, and my office address is 5096 North Silver Cloud Drive in Saint 16 17 George, Utah. 18 Thank you. Q. MR. SETTINERI: And, Your Honor, at this 19 20 time I would like to mark an exhibit. 2.1 ALJ HICKS: Go ahead. 22 MR. SETTINERI: I would like to mark as 23 Company Exhibit 23, the Supplemental Testimony of 24 David Hessler. 25 ALJ HICKS: So marked.

585 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 1 2 (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Hessler, do you Q. have before you what's been marked as Company Exhibit 3 23? 4 5 Α. I do. And could you please identify that for 6 Q. the record, please. 7 It's my Supplemental Testimony. 8 Α. 9 Ο. Okay. And was that prepared by you or at 10 your direction? 11 Α. It was. 12 Q. And do you have any revisions to that 13 testimony today? 14 No, I don't. Α. 15 Q. Okay. If I asked you the questions in that testimony today, would your answers be the same 16 17 as written? 18 Α. Yes. 19 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 20 Your Honor, the witness is available for 2.1 cross-examination. 22 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley.

23

24

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, thank you.

586 1 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 By Mr. Van Kley: 5 Q. All right. Mr. Hessler, let's start on 6 page 2 of your Supplemental Testimony marked as 7 Company Exhibit 23. 8 Good afternoon, Jack. I see you got your Α. 9 halo function working again. 10 Q. Yup. 11 A. Page 2. Okay. 12 Page 2. Let's look at Answer 6. Q. 13 Α. Yup. 14 I'm looking at the second sentence of Ο. Answer 6 which states that "the Amended Joint 15 16 Stipulation now includes a minimum setback of 17 '500 feet between any central inverter and any residence on a non-participating parcel.'" Do you 18 see that? 19 20 Α. I see that. 2.1 Ο. This condition in the Stipulation does 22 not apply to string inverters, does it? It only refers to central inverters. 23 Α. 24 Ο. Let's go to Answer 8 on the same page of

25

your testimony.

A. Okay.

- Q. And we're also going to open up another exhibit which was previously marked in this hearing as CCPC Exhibit 7 which is a report on Acoustic Environmental Test for the SMA inverter. Do you have a copy of that in front of you?
 - A. I sure do.
- Q. Now, let's go back to your testimony on page 2, Answer 8, and lines 14 and 15 refer to a sound test report from the manufacturer of the SMA SC4600-UP, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And is CCPC Exhibit 7 a copy of the report that you referenced in Answer 8, lines 14 and 15 of your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And directing your attention to the bottom of the second page, this report is dated June 25, 2019, correct?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. Now, what information in this
 report, if any, did you use to prepare your testimony
 in Answer 8?
- A. I used the fundamental result of this
 test which is shown in section 4.2 of the report. As

we talked about the other day, these pages aren't numbered but it's toward the end.

- Q. All right. Let's give everybody a moment to get to the correct page. Would -- that would be the 18th page, I believe, of the PDF that contains your testimony and --
- A. It's got a graph on it as we can see here.
 - Q. Yeah, that's the page I'm looking at.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. It looks like on the PDF of your testimony and its attachments, it looks like it's PDF page 18 of 28 for those who are looking at a PDF copy of Mr. Hessler's testimony. It is labeled on the top as "4.2 Measurement at 4600 kVA, 1350 V DC UON Modulation 100% fan load"; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, 100 percent fan load, yes.
- Q. Uh-huh. All right. Tell me how you used the information on this page of CCPC Exhibit 7 to prepare your testimony in Answer 8.
- A. Yeah, what this chart shows is the honey deal information that's needed for noise modeling.

 It's the one-third octave band sound power level spectrum and so we were able to use that directly as an input to the new noise model that now includes all

the central inverters. So they took this third octave spectrum, converted it to full octaves because that's what the modeling -- that's how all modeling is done, not in third octaves, and then, in addition, we added in the additional sound from a medium voltage transformer that would be associated with these inverters, with each inverter in its field installation.

2.1

- Q. Let's go to Exhibit DH -- I'm sorry, Exhibit DMH-S1 in Company Exhibit 23.
- A. Okay. And I believe that is on the seventh page.

MR. VAN KLEY: I just -- I just noticed something that I need to correct the record on. When I was referring to the 18th page of 28 pages for the page that we just discussed in CCPC Exhibit 7, I was not referring to the PDF of Mr. Hessler's testimony. I was referring to the PDF of CCPC Exhibit 7. I just wanted to make sure the record wasn't muddled by that misreference there.

- Q. So let's go back then to Company
 Exhibit 23 and we're now on the seventh page of the
 PDF of your testimony, correct?
 - A. I believe so. I've got a hard copy here.
 - Q. Just for the record, the page number --

the page is labeled Plot 1, Exhibit DMH-S1; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And would you just generally describe the purpose of this Plot 1?
- A. Yes. Back when we originally looked at the Project and in the original Application, we focused primarily on the substation because information, meaningful information on the inverters wasn't available at that time, and in the intervening time it's become available so now we're able to model specifically what's expected to happen and so this plot shows the entire Project according to the preliminary layout as it stands today; that includes not only the substation but also all of the central inverters. So this plot shows the expected sound contours relative to all of the nearest participating and non-participating residences and to the boundaries of the leased parcels that make up the Project Area.

ALJ HICKS: Mr. Van Kley, I believe you're muted.

- Q. The yellow lines on Plot 1 in the legend are labeled as "Project Area"; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah. It's the boundary of the leased

parcels, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Directing your attention to the lower half of Plot 1, just below Campbell Road, do you see two small rectangles that are surrounded with yellow lines?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And there's one home inside of each rectangle?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, is the area inside of those two rectangles part of the Project Area or not part of the Project Area?
 - A. The one that's further south has a participating residence inside of it so I think that parcel, that rectangle there could be considered participating.

The one further north, to the northwest of that one is, I believe, a non-participating land parcel within a larger parcel that's participating.

- Q. So that rectangle is not part of the Project Area, correct?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. How did you obtain a copy of CCPC Exhibit 7, the sound test report?
- A. Well, with relevance to this Project, it

was obtained by Mr. Herling and forwarded to me.

- Q. You had received a copy of this report from another source prior to the time that Mr. Herling gave you a copy of it?
- A. Yeah, that's correct. I was made aware of this report in conjunction with another project where that project was going to use the particular type of inverter, and I asked them to ask the manufacturer if they had any sound data, and they obtained this report which was used in that other project. At a later time, I mentioned that this report existed to the people on this project and then they asked for their own copy of it and got one.
- Q. And when did you receive a copy of the report for the other project?
- A. I think that was in late 2019. And the work on that project, the modeling work didn't occur until around May of 2020.
- Q. Before you prepared your sound report that is included in the original Application in the Angelina case, did you have any sound test reports for inverters?
- 23 A. No.

2.1

2.2

MR. SETTINERI: Just object. Object.

Object to the form of the question as to what

testimony because he's filed three sets of testimony in this case. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

MR. VAN KLEY: I didn't say anything about testimony. I asked him about whether he had any reports before he submitted his sound report for the original Application.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. I'll just object as to who he submitted it to, but thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

ALJ HICKS: The witness can go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- A. No, back at the time the original study was done, I was not aware of any detailed reports on inverter noise. There was a study done, some years ago in Massachusetts, where some measurements were taken of several different types of inverters. There was some information in that. I think some other manufacturers had been queried at that point and had come back with rather vague information that wasn't particularly useful so we had no reliable basis for doing any modeling of inverters at that time.
- Q. So you had received some sound reports from manufacturers of inverters at that time?

 MR. SETTINERI: Just object.

1 | Mischaracterizes the testimony.

2.1

2.2

MR. VAN KLEY: I think he said that he had received some sound test reports or he had received some sound reports but he didn't find them to be useable.

MR. SETTINERI: I don't think he used the word "report."

MR. VAN KLEY: Well, I'll re-ask the question just to make sure we're clear here on what we're asking about.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Hessler, why don't you describe to us what kind of information you had --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- about the inverter sound from the manufacturers.
- A. Yeah. At that time it was generally a one-page summation with a few near field sound pressure levels expressed as the overall A-weighted level without any frequency content or anything really reliable in there.
- Q. What was the sound pressure level for central inverters that was expressed in those reports?
- A. Well, I don't recall the exact numbers

- but it seems to me they were generally in the 65 to 80 dBA range, close to the units.
- Q. And what is the sound pressure level close to the unit that is contained in CCPC Exhibit 7?
- MR. SETTINERI: I just object to clarify
 if you're talking sound power or sound pressure.
- 8 MR. VAN KLEY: Sound pressure. Thank 9 you.
- A. In this test report there are some sound pressure level measurements that were taken very, very close to the surface of the unit, about 200 millimeters. They range from 66 up to looks like the highest one is maybe 83, but the average is more in the 70s, I would say.
 - Q. Where are you finding that information in CCPC Exhibit 7?
 - A. Well, for example, two pages before the page for the chart that we were talking about earlier. What page of the PDF did you say that one was, 18?
 - Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Okay. So I guess this would be page 16.
- MR. SETTINERI: For the record,
- 25 Mr. Hessler, if you could make clear so the record is

clear when you identify pages, use something on the document to identify the page versus the PDF, please.

- A. This -- this page that I'm looking at, at the top of it it says "Cuboid measurement surface with 26 finite measurement surfaces." My only grievance with this report is they didn't number the pages.
- Q. Have you seen any sound test reports for string inverters?
 - A. Yes, I have.

2.1

- Q. How many have you seen?
- A. Only one. That was done by this same company, SMA, for one of the string inverter models that they produce.
- Q. Have you performed any modeling for string inverters that utilized that sound test report?
- A. No. It was put to me that the current plan is to use central inverters and that's what we modeled.
- Q. What about for other solar farms, have you utilized that report on string inverters to perform any modeling for those other farms?
- A. Yes, I have. That's another excellent report that gives the one-third octave band sound

power level of those units.

2.1

- Q. And what was the -- for how many other solar farms did you perform that modeling on string inverters?
- A. Just the one. It's somewhat unusual -well, at least in my experience it's somewhat unusual
 to use string inverters; normally it's central
 inverters.
- Q. What was the results of your modeling in that other farm for the sound from the string inverters?
- A. I don't recall the exact numbers but I remember the result predicted extremely low levels at all the nearest houses.
- Q. Well, you testified earlier this week that the results were about 30 dBA at other residences, didn't you?
- MR. SETTINERI: Objection. From another proceeding, another case. We haven't presented any deposition transcript or anything. That's testimony from another case. I also object also to the form of the question.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I'm just refreshing his memory.
- 25 ALJ HICKS: Is there any way you can

- rephrase the question, Mr. Van Kley, to get to your point?
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Hessler, isn't it true that for the other solar farm you found that the estimated predicted sound level at non-participating neighboring residences was approximately 30 dBA for those string inverters?
- A. I think that's a fair estimate of what the results were there.
- Q. Let's go to Answer 11 on your testimony that's been marked as Company Exhibit 23.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. In Answer 11, on page 4 of Company
 Exhibit 23, you stated the estimated distance between
 central inverters and the nearest homes, correct?
- A. That's correct. What I'm saying is that the -- with the current layout, the nearest non-participant to any central inverter is about 720 feet; way beyond the minimum stipulation setback.
- Q. Uh-huh. How -- what is the distance between the nearest non-participating neighbor's property line and the central inverters?
- A. At that nearest house that's 720 feet away, its property line is perhaps 100 feet closer to the inverter. I'm very roughly estimating.

- Q. In that other project where you modeled the sound expected to come from the string inverters, what was the shortest distance between a string inverter and a non-participating resident -- residence?
- A. I don't have any figure for that. It was a substantial distance, though, because in that project the string inverters were not distributed throughout the panel arrays as they sometimes are but were consolidated 10 at a time into kind of a prefab skid with one transformer serving all 10 units, so in effect they acted as if they were central inverters and were located within the panel arrays as if they were central inverters.
- Q. Let's go to Answer 12 on page 4 of
 Company Exhibit 23. Here, in Answer 12, you discuss
 Condition 3 of the Amended Joint Stipulation,
 correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And according to your answer, Condition 3 states that "The Applicant shall promptly retrofit any inverter as necessary to effectively mitigate any off-site noise issue identified during operation of the facility," correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.

Can you tell me whether the Amended Joint 1 Q. 2 Stipulation states the sound level at which an 3 inverter would have to be retrofitted in order to mitigate any off-site noise issue? 4 5 No, no level is discussed. 6 MR. VAN KLEY: I have no more questions 7 at this time. ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 8 9 Any of the other parties have any cross 10 at this time? 11 MS. BAIR: No questions, Your Honor. 12 MS. WEST: No questions. 13 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 14 MS. MILAM: None, Your Honor. 15 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 16 Mr. Settineri, redirect? 17 MR. SETTINERI: Yes. Just a few 18 questions, thank you, Your Honor, if I may? 19 ALJ HICKS: Please proceed. 20 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22 By Mr. Settineri: Good afternoon again, Mr. Hessler. 23 Q. 24 A. Good afternoon. 25 Q. Mr. Van Kley just asked you questions

about your Answer 12 in your testimony, specifically about off-site noise issues. How would you expect an off-site noise issue to be raised?

- A. Presumably through a complaint.
- Q. And in your experience is that typically how off-site noise issues are raised?
 - A. Yeah. Almost always, yeah.

2.1

- Q. We had some discussion in a lot of questions about string inverters, so just if you -- based on your experience in the industry and your knowledge, how does sound from a string inverter compare to sound from a central inverter?
- A. Well, it's significantly lower in overall magnitude. And in the test report that I saw, it did not have any tonal content to it. It was just a broadband roaming sound that was very soft, on the order of the level of a normal conversation.
- Q. Okay. And what's the significance of not having, I think you said tonal tones?
- A. Yeah. Well, tonal noises tend to be much more readily perceptible and can often lead to complaints; whereas, a sound level without any character to it at the same level might go unnoticed. So it's an adverse character that you don't want to have one.

- Q. Do you have a copy of the Joint Stipulation in front of you, Joint Exhibit 2, and I would like to direct your attention to Condition 3 when you're there.
 - A. Yeah, I got that.

2.1

- Q. Is there a setback, in that Condition, between the facility fence and any residence on a non-participating parcel?
- A. Yes, there is. There's a 150-foot setback there and there's also a 25-foot setback between the fence and any -- let me see here. Well, let's just leave it at 150-foot setback between the facility fence and any residence.
- Q. But there are additional setbacks in that paragraph; is that right?
- A. Yes. I think the equipment has to be 25 feet inside the fence so effectively 175 feet, I guess.
- Q. Given that setback and based on your experience, if the Project utilized string inverters, would you expect the sound from the inverters to create any noise issues?
- A. No, not at all. Even if the string
 inverter were put on the very end of the row on the
 outside edge of the Project, at 150 or 175 feet, the

level would be down in the very low 30s based on the SMA test report for that particular 150-kilowatt string inverter that I've seen.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Hessler. No further questions at this time.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

Mr. Van Kley, any recross?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

ALJ HICKS: Please go ahead.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

- Q. With respect to your statement that you believed the solar equipment had to be a certain number of feet inside of the fence, where did you obtain that understanding?
- A. Well, there's three different setback conditions within Condition 3 and the first one refers to 25 feet but I was too hasty reading it but, yeah, I guess the answer is any equipment would not go right up touching the fence. There's usually an access road or something built around the perimeter so that the arrays are sometimes well inside the fence, and 25 feet is probably a reasonable estimate for that.

604 Are you aware of any requirements in the Q. Application that there be 25 feet of space between the fence and the nearest equipment inside the fence? No, I'm not aware of any formal limitation on that. I'm just speaking from the way these things are typically laid out. You said something about the sound level Q. of a string inverter as being equivalent to the dBA of a normal conversation; do you recall that? Α. Yes. And what is the dBA level of a normal Ο. conversation? Α. About 65 dBA typically. MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. I have no more questions. ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may? ALJ HICKS: Sure. MR. SETTINERI: I just have one quick follow-up. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Settineri: Mr. Hessler, regarding the question on 25 the sound level for a conversation and the sound from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

that string inverter, when you say 65 dBA -- let me rephrase that. First of all, is that 65 dBA sound pressure or sound power?

- A. You're getting real good with these noise issues, Mike. It's sound pressure; near field sound pressure. The report that I saw showed that the near field sound pressure of that string inverter was less than 65 dBA.
- Q. Okay. And do you know the distance as to what that measurement -- that measurement was recorded?
- A. Well, it probably was at 1 meter or even closer to it than that.
- MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. No further questions.
- ALJ HICKS: Mr. Van Kley, anything in follow-up on your end?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah.
- 19
- 20 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 By Mr. Van Kley:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Q. Just to make sure the record is clear and that I understand. When you measured the sound levels for purposes of putting them on Plot 1 attached to your testimony, you came up with some

Proceedings

606 levels such as 40, 45, 35, et cetera. Those were in 1 2 dBA, right? 3 Α. Correct. Are those the sound pressure levels? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Yes. 6 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Very good. Thank 7 you. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri, I don't 10 believe there's much there. 11 MR. SETTINERI: No. The only thing I 12 would say unless the Bench would like to know the 13 difference between sound power output and sound pressure levels, that's all, for the record. I would 14 15 leave it to the Bench to ask that question if they 16 would like to. 17 ALJ HICKS: Sorry, I got muted there. I 18 have no questions on my end on that issue. I don't 19 believe Judge Schabo does either. 20 ALJ SCHABO: No. 2.1 ALJ HICKS: So, with that, I would just 22 ask if there are questions from any other parties at this time. I'm seeing head nods of a "no." So at 23

this time, Mr. Settineri, would you like to move any

24

25

of the exhibits?

```
607
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes. At this time we
 1
 2
     will move for the admission of Company Exhibit 23.
     We will also move for the admission of CCPC Exhibit
 3
     7.
 4
 5
                 ALJ HICKS: Any objections to the
 6
     admission of Company Exhibit 23 from any of the
 7
     parties?
 8
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No.
 9
                 ALJ HICKS: Company Exhibit 23 will be
10
     admitted.
11
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
12
                 ALJ HICKS: Any objections to the
13
     admission of CCPC 7? Exhibit 7, excuse me.
14
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No.
15
                 ALJ HICKS: Hearing none, CCPC Exhibit 7
16
     will also be admitted.
17
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
18
                 ALJ HICKS: I don't think anyone needs a
19
    break at this point. Does everyone want to continue
20
     trucking along?
2.1
                 MR. VAN KLEY: I'd say let's truck along.
22
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Hessler, for
23
     your time.
24
                 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri, your next
25
     witness.
```

Proceedings

608 1 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 At this time the Company will call Matthew Robinson 3 to the stand, please. 4 MS. CHILCOTE: Mr. Robinson, you have 5 been promoted and are now unmuted. 6 THE WITNESS: Hello. 7 ALJ SCHABO: Hello. Could you raise your 8 right hand for me. 9 (Witness sworn.) 10 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you. 11 Mr. Settineri. 12 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 14 MATTHEW ROBINSON 15 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 16 examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 By Mr. Settineri: 19 Good afternoon, Mr. Robinson. Ο. 20 A. Good afternoon. 2.1 Q. Good to see you today. 22 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time, 23 before we start, I'd like to mark an exhibit, Company

Exhibit 24, the Supplemental Testimony of Matthew

24

25

Robinson.

609 ALJ SCHABO: So marked. 1 2 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Robinson, can you 4 Ο. 5 please state your name and business address for the 6 record, please. 7 Α. Matthew Robinson. 217 Montgomery Street, Syracuse, New York. 8 And do you have before you what's been 9 Ο. 10 marked as Company Exhibit 24? 11 I do. Α. 12 Ο. All right. And could you please identify that for the record. 13 14 That is my Supplemental Testimony. 15 Q. And was that prepared by you or at your 16 direction? 17 Α. It was. 18 Okay. And do you have any revisions or Q. 19 changes to that testimony at this time? 20 Α. I do not. 2.1 Q. Okay. If I asked you the questions in 22 that testimony today, would your answers be the same? 23 Α. They would be. 24 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Robinson. 25 Your Honor, the witness is available for

1 cross-examination.

2 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

4

CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 By Mr. Van Kley:

3

5

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Mr. Robinson, let's start on page 2 of your testimony marked as Company Exhibit 24.
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. Let's start with your answer to Question
 11 8.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. In the first sentence of your Answer 8,

 you state that "Providing additional setback distance
 enhances the overall goals of the Landscape

 Mitigation Plan." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then in the second sentence you state that "The setbacks do this by allowing for greater options and flexibility when determining specific vegetation material and placement within the proposed modules." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to your landscaping plan
 that is attached to your testimony.

A. I have it.

2.1

- Q. And that's marked as Attachment 1, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So because Answer 8 refers to proposed modules, why don't you explain, utilizing for illustration your landscape plan, what do you mean by planting modules.
- A. The design approach that we take, that EDR takes when performing a mitigation plan like this for a solar farm, we, EDR, starts by looking at the different areas of potential views from potential users to that area, this could be travelers along the roadways, it could be recreational users, it could be residential users as well, and then from that we look to try to cater the modules or the planting of vegetation specific to those needs along the perimeter of the project.

So for us, in order for us to really be able to create a preliminary design, get out some information to the public and provide an understanding of what it will entail to do this type of planting at this stage before we're doing construction documents, creating these modules allows us to place them around the site and understand what

we're looking at and where we might need to pay more attention or what different modules may need to be used.

- Q. All right. So if you go to page 6 of Attachment 1, you will find four modules set forth in section 4.0, starting on that page, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. So let's go to the next page which is page 7 of Attachment 1 and there you have a description of Module 4, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And Module 4 is intended to be used for adjacent resources such as residences, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. What is the width of the distance between the facility fence and the property line that is necessary in order to include the plants that you have provided for Module 4 in your landscaping plan?
- A. It can vary depending on the site exactly. What we've put forth is a 25-foot buffer of plants and a 15-foot buffer in between the module or the planting and the fence, so that would be a total of 40 feet for Module 4.
- Q. Would you go to -- I don't see a page number on here but you continue in section 4 of

- Attachment 1 to a page that is labeled "Module 4 Adjacent Resource (Residence)."
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. That appears to be page 11, right?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. Great. All right. So is there anything on this page that reveals the width of the area that needs to be available in order to include the plants provided by Module 4?
 - A. No, there is not on that page.
- Q. Let's go to Answer 11 on page 3 of your testimony marked as Company Exhibit 24. Tell me when you find that.
- ALJ SCHABO: I'm sorry, Mr. Van Kley, can you repeat that page reference?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Page 3, Answer 11.
- 17 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.
- 18 A. That's why. I was looking for page 11.
- 19 I was like uh-oh. I am there now.
- Q. All right. And also do you have a copy
- 21 in front of you of Joint Exhibit 2, the Amended
- 22 | Stipulation?
- A. I do as well, yes.
- Q. All right. So go to Condition 11 of that
- 25 Joint Stipulation.

A. Yup.

2.1

- Q. All right. If you look at line 18 in Answer 11 of your testimony, you will see that there's a reference there to replacing any failed plantings to ensure that at least 90 percent of the vegetation survives for five years, correct?
 - A. That is what it says, correct.
- Q. Okay. And then if you go back to

 Condition 11 on the Joint Stipulation, you will see
 that it requires that "the Applicant shall replace
 any failed plantings so that, after five years, at
 least 90 percent of the vegetation has survived,"

 correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, based on your Answer 11 and Condition 11, what is your understanding as to whether the Joint Stipulation, as amended, requires any specific amount of vegetation to be maintained after the facility has passed its five-year anniversary?
- A. My understanding of the way that the Condition is written is that it's a two-prong approach. In the beginning of the sentence, looking at my testimony on line -- page 3, line 16, at the end of that line it starts with "and it further

ensures that the Applicant will maintain the vegetative screen for the life of the Project," so therefore it is the understanding of me that the Company will maintain the desired screening that is proposed in the landscape plan for the life of the Project.

- Q. Do you see any language in Condition 11 that provides you with that understanding?
- A. Yes. I believe the same language is in there as well.
 - Q. Okay. Where is it in Condition 11?
- A. About in the middle, it starts on line 13 down. It says "The Applicant shall maintain vegetative screening for the life of the facility...."
- Q. Okay. Do you see anything in that language that states that the Applicant shall maintain vegetative screening for the life of the facility in an amount of at least 90 percent of the vegetation that was planted?
- 21 A. I do not for the life of the facility, 22 no. I see up to five years.
- Q. Do you see anything in Condition 11 of the Joint Stipulation that explains how the 90 percent figure for vegetation will be measured,

such as by number of plants or by amount of space covered by the vegetation?

A. I do not see that currently in the Stipulation.

2.1

- Q. Do you see anything in the Stipulation that reveals where the 90 percent surviving vegetation has to be located throughout the facility?
- A. I do not see anything specific to that in there. And I can't speak for the Company but it's my understanding that it would be for the whole facility.
- Q. So if -- let's say that 5 percent of the vegetation dies but all of that vegetation was in front of one non-participating residence, is it your understanding that Condition 11 would not, or that it would, require replacement of that dead vegetation?
- A. The way I understand the Stipulation, the first prong of those -- of the two-prong approach does state that vegetative screening will be maintained, so I do believe that that covers a greater loss in a specific area.
- Q. Do you see anything in the Stipulation that specifically states that if there is a loss of vegetation in front of a person's home but there is a loss of less than 10 percent throughout the facility,

- that the dead vegetation in front of that one person's home would still have to be replaced?
- A. Again, it is my understanding of this writing that that first portion of it, that says where the Applicant shall maintain vegetative screening, that is covering that portion of it and that's my understanding.
- Q. In lines 19 through 21 on page 3 of your testimony, marked as Company Exhibit 24, you discuss lighting, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And you refer back to Condition 11 of the Joint Stipulation in that discussion, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Can you tell me what the meaning of the term "side shields" is as used in your testimony and in Condition 11?
- A. The term "side shields" in Condition 11 and in my testimony are referring to an additional appendage of a light fixture that will block light from trespassing and control the area of, I'm not sure exactly how to say it but the area that's being lit. Some certain fixtures do not require side shields because they can be built in. Some fixtures do require an additional piece.

- Q. Do side shields prevent light from being cast directly in front of the light?
- A. I don't -- I'm not positive of that answer. My understanding of lighting that I've looked at is that a side shield could be a 360-degree shield depending on the fixture again and the goal for what the area you're trying to light is.

8 MR. VAN KLEY: I have no additional 9 questions.

10 ALJ SCHABO: Any questions from the other 11 parties?

MS. BAIR: None from Staff.

13 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri, do you have any redirect?

MR. SETTINERI: There we go. Yes, I do,
Your Honor. Thank you.

17

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

19 | By Mr. Settineri:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Good afternoon again, Mr. Robinson.
- 21 A. Hello.
- Q. If you could do me a favor, please, and turn to Module 4. You were asked some questions about that module.
- 25 A. Yup.

- Q. And there were some questions about the width of the module. There is a plant list on that page, Attachment -- well, Attachment 1, page 11. Do you see that plant list?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Do you see the mature size of the various plantings?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Will those mature sizes -- do you expect those mature sizes to exist in the module when fully planted?
- A. Not to the full height and full width of what these are stating. These state a very mature tree that would be known as a specimen tree that had a perfect life and lived an exact healthy time and grew to a full extent. I expect that, because of the way we are planting these more in a hedgerow and not as a single specimen tree, they will grow together and limit their actual width and height to kind of form a clump and form a hedgerow.
- Q. All right. And do the modules represent vegetative screening?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And can a module provide
 sufficient screening if certain parts of the module

die?

2.1

- A. Absolutely, yes.
- Q. And why is that?
- A. Our modules, depending on the design or which one we're using, we do include a lot of overlapping material that is meant to be very minimal maintenance over the years so it will clump together and kind of naturally form into a healthy, living, vegetative buffer. The idea is that if one plant does tend to have a branch that breaks off or has some type of dieback, it's overlapped and built in with the rest of the system so it fills in and looks very natural that way.
- Q. You mentioned -- there were some questions about the Condition language. Do you have Joint Exhibit 2 in front of you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I want to obviously go to
 Condition 11, and I want to direct your attention to
 the sentence that reads: "The Applicant shall
 maintain vegetative screening for the life of the
 facility and the Applicant shall replace any failed
 plantings so that, after five years, at least 90
 percent of the vegetation has survived." Now, you
 identified two prongs. What was the first prong you

identified in the Condition?

2.1

- A. The first prong really talks about the maintaining of vegetative screening for the life of the facility.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The way I understand that would be towards the design.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: Would that first prong address the issue of a module not providing sufficient screening?
 - A. Yes, I believe it would.
 - Q. And why is that?
- A. Because it's talking about the goals for maintaining that vegetative screening so if that vegetative screening that is placed in that area is not living up to what it was stated that module would do, that sentence, to me, covers that that would have to be looked at and updated with additional plants or, you know, remediated in some way.
- Q. Okay. And then continuing looking at the sentence, the sentence says "and the Applicant shall replace any failed plantings so that, after five years, at least 90 percent of the vegetation has survived." Is that the second prong that you referenced in your testimony in response to

Mr. Van Kley's question?

2.1

- A. Yes, that's kind of the second-prong approach that provides a more specific numbers-type approach.
- Q. Okay. And at what point would the measurement occur as to the 90 percent?
- A. I work with a few different ways of this. I'm not sure the way the Company has decided at this point to do this. My understanding is that normally the installer would, over those five years, be doing periodic reviews of the plantings and be replacing those so that at that five-year period you have 90 percent of the life. They could choose to let everything die that does and replace everything at that five-year period but my experience is that most companies do replace it throughout that five years so at that five-year period you have 90 percent vegetation.
- Q. Okay. And what is -- is there a benefit to having 90 percent of the vegetation survive at the five-year mark?
- A. Oh, certainly for the public. It ensures that vegetation is going to be there and be healthy. Really the five-year mark is a pretty conservative mark in the world of landscape installing and design,

```
623
 1
     where we say a lot of times three to five years, once
 2
     that plant is installed -- I mean installed and --
     what's the word I'm looking for, sorry -- established
 3
     into the area, at that three- to five-year period
 4
 5
     you're not going to see a dieback or a dieoff from
 6
     that plant.
                 MR. SETTINERI: Okay. All right.
 7
 8
     further questions at this time. Thank you.
 9
                 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley, do you have
10
     recross?
11
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No, I do not.
12
                 ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Mr. Settineri, would
13
     you like to act on your exhibit?
14
                 MR. SETTINERI: I would, Your Honor.
15
     Thank you. At this time we would move for the
16
     admission of Company Exhibit 24, the Supplemental
17
     Testimony of Matthew Robinson, which, as the
18
     testimony notes, includes various attachments that
19
     are part of his testimony.
20
                 ALJ SCHABO: Any objections?
2.1
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No.
22
                 ALJ SCHABO: Hearing none, Company
23
     Exhibit 24, including exhibits, will be admitted.
24
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
25
                 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you, Mr. Robinson.
```

Proceedings 624 1 Mr. Settineri, your next witness. 2 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 At this time the Company would like to call Mr. Matt Marquis to the stand. 4 5 ALJ SCHABO: All right. MS. CHILCOTE: Mr. Marquis, you've been 6 7 promoted to a panelist and you're unmuted. 8 you. 9 THE WITNESS: Great. 10 ALJ HICKS: Hello, Mr. Marquis. 11 THE WITNESS: Hello. 12 ALJ HICKS: Would you please raise your 13 right hand. 14 (Witness sworn.) ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 15 16 Mr. Settineri, please proceed with 17 direct. 18 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 20 MATT MARQUIS 2.1 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was

22 examined and testified as follows:

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 By Mr. Settineri:

25 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Marquis.

- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Could you please state your name and business address for the record, please.
- A. Yes. My name is Matt Marquis. I work for Hull & Associates, LLC, at 6397 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016.
- 7 MR. SETTINERI: And, Your Honor, at this 8 time we would like to mark an exhibit.
- 9 ALJ HICKS: Go ahead.
- MR. SETTINERI: We would like to mark as
 Company Exhibit 26, the Supplemental Direct Testimony
 of Matt Marquis.
- 13 ALJ HICKS: So marked.
- 14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- 15 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.
- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Marquis, do you have before you what's been marked as Company Exhibit
- 18 | 26?

1

2

3

4

5

- 19 A. I do.
- Q. And can you please identify that for the record, please.
- 22 A. This is my Supplemental Direct Testimony.
- Q. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And do you have any revisions to that testimony today, sir?
- A. I do. I have one revision. If you go to page 3. This is Answer 9 on page 3, row 10. I'd like to delete the words "reviewed, approved" and replace with a single word "designed." So row 10 will now read "required that they are designed and maintained in accordance with Ohio EPA."
 - Q. Thank you, Mr. Marquis.

Do you have any other revisions at this time to your testimony?

- A. I do not.
- Q. If I asked you the questions in your testimony today, would your answers be the same as you have revised?
- 16 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Marquis.

Your Honor, the witness is available for cross-examination.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley, you can proceed with cross-examination.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time I would like to mark an exhibit of my own, which we would like to mark as CCPC Exhibit 9, a

document that is entitled "Guidance on
Post-Construction Storm Water Controls for Solar
Panel Arrays."

ALJ HICKS: Okay. It is so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

6

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

4

5

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Mr. Marquis, do you have a copy of CCPC Exhibit 9 in front of you?
- 11 A. I do.
- Q. Would you go to page 2 of your testimony
 marked as Company Exhibit 26.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And I'd like to refer you to Answer 8 which provides us with a quote from Condition 30 of the Amended Joint Stipulation. Do you see that?
 - A. I see that, yes.
 - Q. All right. Now, if you look about two-thirds of the way down in that language, do you see a reference to an Ohio EPA document entitled "Guidance on Post-Construction Storm Water Controls for Solar Panel Arrays" dated October 2019?
- A. Yes. I believe it starts at the end of row 21. I see that.

- Q. Okay. And is that the document that has been now marked as CCPC Exhibit 9?
 - A. It is.

2.1

- Q. Now, looking at line 21 in Answer 8 on page 2 of your testimony marked as Company Exhibit 26, it states there that -- on line 20 and 21, that the Applicant will provide confirmation that it incorporated guidance from CCPC Exhibit 9, correct?

 MR. SETTINERI: Just object. Just object to the extent you're paraphrasing just to make sure it's clear in the record. Thank you.
- A. Okay. I see, starting on line 20, where it says "The Applicant will also provide confirmation that it incorporated guidance from the Ohio EPA's document" and then continues. I see that.
- Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that all of the contents of CCPC Exhibit 9 must be incorporated?
 - A. Sorry, is that the end of your question?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. That's not my understanding. My understanding is that the Applicant will provide confirmation that it incorporated guidance, not necessarily all guidance written within the four corners of the document. It will really depend on

which guidance applies.

2.1

- Q. So is there any way for us to tell today what provisions of CCPC Exhibit 9 must be incorporated within the Applicant's plan?
- A. Well, I don't like the words "must be incorporated" in your question but there are certainly portions of the guidance that are included in the Application and -- and we could certainly walk through a few of those components. Would you like for me to provide an example?
- Q. Well, if it's in the Application, I don't think we need to go over what's in the Application since it's already in the record.

Let me ask you this: Is it your statement then, that unless a requirement is included in the Application, then -- well, let me ask the question another way.

Are you saying that only the portions of CCPC Exhibit 9 that are already incorporated into the Application are required, by Condition 30, to be followed?

A. No, that's not my understanding. My understanding -- the way I view this, this document noted as CCPC Exhibit 9, is that it's essentially additional tools in the toolbox for a design

engineer, who is preparing the final design and the final design stormwater calculations, to use in the course of their design. There are certainly components that overlap between what's in the Application and what's in the guidance and there are other components of this guidance that may not apply at all to this Project depending on what that final design looks like.

- Q. Let's go to the first page of CCPC
 Exhibit 9. I would like to direct your attention to
 the paragraph that is entitled "Background."
 - A. I see it.

2.1

Q. The first sentence of this paragraph reads as follows: Although the area under and beneath -- let me start over.

"Although the area under and between ground-mounted solar panel arrays may be covered in vegetation (normally considered pervious), the elevated panels alter the volume, velocity and discharge pattern of storm water runoff and associated pollutants and therefore do require post-construction storm water management under OHC00005 (Part III.G.2.e, pages 19 to 27)." Do you see that sentence?

Q. Do you agree with the statements in this sentence?

2.1

A. I -- I agree with a portion of this sentence. I think, at best, it's -- it's, I think, a little poorly worded and maybe doesn't provide all of the information that they're trying to get across.

So in the middle of the sentence where it talks about "the elevated panels alter the volume, velocity and discharge pattern of storm water runoff," I would certainly agree with that. Rainfall that's localized to a specific panel is certainly going to be altered versus rainfall that would fall directly, you know, through that, where that panel is sitting, down to the ground surface, but that's more of a localized effect. I wouldn't expect the volume, velocity and discharge pattern to be altered on the global project area from one single solar panel component.

And I disagree with the conclusion at the end of this sentence after the words "do require," that essentially that this document is stating that post-construction stormwater management is required under part III.G.2.e of the permit, and there's nothing to qualify that conclusion in this sentence or in this background section, you know, whether this

is a single panel in the center of a large field or whether it's a thousand panels. It's -- I disagree with that conclusion without looking at a project-specific analysis.

- Q. For the record, the reference to OHC00005 is a reference to Ohio EPA's General Permit for stormwater associated with construction activity, correct?
- A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further questions at this time.
- 12 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Mr. Settineri, any re -- first, I'm

 sorry, let me back up and make sure there are no

 other parties that have cross which I believe is the

 case but I will doublecheck that. Okay. Seeing nods

 of "no." Sorry I jumped the gun there,
- 18 Mr. Settineri. Go ahead and proceed if you have any redirect.
- MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.

 21 Just a couple quick questions.
- 22
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 By Mr. Settineri:
- 25 Q. Mr. Marquis, you need the final project

engineering design in order to do pre- and postconstruction stormwater calculations; is --

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. -- that right?
- A. Sorry. That's correct.
- Q. And then you were asked some questions about the guidance document, CCPC Exhibit 9. After the Background section, I want to direct your attention to the next paragraph, "Post-Construction Storm Water Management Options."
 - A. I see that.
- Q. Can you describe some of the options that are presented in that paragraph?
- A. Yeah. So this second paragraph, titled "Post-Construction Storm Water Management Options," talks about, again, what I referred to earlier as tools in the toolbox for engineers to consider. It kind of looks at two different scales.

So starting with the second sentence, it talks about, you know, in some cases, runoff from typical impervious surfaces such as roofs, roads, buildings and even, you know, to some extent from the solar panels can be managed through post-construction practices and those post -- those common post-construction practices are listed in tables 4a

and 4b of the Construction General Permit. And I should add that those practices are structural practices. They can include things like sediment basins and things like that.

But for many facilities, as you continue with the third sentence in that paragraph, for many facilities the stormwater runoff from the panels can be managed through, you know, management of the ground surface beneath the panels and that's what we see in a lot of very large-scale utility projects where you can restore that soil profile, you know, eliminate the compaction from construction equipment during installation of the panels and you can maintain, you know, the dense, healthy vegetation and manage the runoff as sheet flow, then you've essentially accomplished what -- or mitigated for the effect of the panels, you know, that are elevated over the ground surface.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Marquis.

20 I have no further questions.

21 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

Mr. Van Kley, anything on your end after

23 that?

MR. VAN KLEY: No.

25 ALJ HICKS: I believe no other questions

```
from anyone else? Seeing nods of "no." Mr. Marquis,
thank you for your time today.
```

Mr. Settineri, would you like to move any of your exhibits?

MR. SETTINERI: I would, Your Honor. At this time we will move for the admission of Company Exhibit 26, the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Mr. Matt Marquis, and we'll also move for the admission of CCPC Exhibit 9.

ALJ HICKS: Okay. Any objections to the admission of Company Exhibit 26?

MR. VAN KLEY: No.

13 ALJ HICKS: It will be admitted.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

15 ALJ HICKS: Any objections to CCPC

16 Exhibit 9?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

20

MR. VAN KLEY: No.

18 ALJ HICKS: Exhibit -- CCPC Exhibit 9

19 | will also be admitted.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

21 ALJ HICKS: Looking at our roster now,

22 Mr. Settineri, I believe I may say his name wrong but

23 Mr. Bonifas is listed.

MR. SETTINERI: I believe he is the last

25 | witness today or at least I should say from the

```
636
 1
     Company's perspective.
 2
                 ALJ HICKS: Correct.
 3
                 MR. SETTINERI: And I'm ready --
                 ALJ HICKS: My understanding -- I'm
 4
 5
     sorry. Go ahead.
                 MR. SETTINERI: He does have a correction
 6
 7
     to his testimony so I thought we'd just put him on
     real fast if no one has any questions.
 8
 9
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Then please proceed
10
     with that.
11
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. At this time,
12
     Your Honor, we will call Mr. Mark Bonifas to the
13
     stand.
14
                 MS. CHILCOTE: Mr. Bonifas, you have been
15
     unmuted. You should be able to turn your camera on
16
     and speak now.
17
                 ALJ HICKS: Good afternoon, Mr. Bonifas.
18
     I don't think we can hear you.
19
                 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
20
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. Could you please
2.1
     raise your right hand.
2.2
                 (Witness sworn.)
```

24

25

proceed.

ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri, please

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.

Proceedings

637 At this time, Your Honor, we would like to mark as 1 2 Company Exhibit 27, the Second Supplemental Direct Testimony of Mark Bonifas. 3 ALJ HICKS: Just to clarify, it's 27, 4 5 correct? 6 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. 7 ALJ HICKS: It is so marked. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 8 9 10 MARK BONIFAS 11 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Settineri: 15 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bonifas. 16 A. Good afternoon. 17 Do you have before you what's been marked Q. 18 as Company Exhibit 27? I do. 19 Α. 20 Q. Okay. And just for the record, if you 2.1 could state your name and address, please. 22 Α. Mark Bonifas. 6397 Emerald Parkway, Suite 200, Dublin, Ohio 43016. 23 24 Okay. And turning back to Company Ο. 25 Exhibit 27, your Second Supplemental Direct

- Testimony. Could you identify that for the record, please.
 - A. That is my Second Supplemental Testimony.
 - Q. Okay. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
 - A. It was.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. And do you have any revisions to that testimony today?
- A. I do. On Question -- Answer 6, line 5, after the comma, I want to insert the words "any changes to." So that line would read: "days prior to the preconstruction conference, any changes to the Road Use and Maintenance Agreement..."
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Bonifas. Do you have any other revisions?
- 16 A. I do not.
- Q. If I asked you the questions in your testimony today, would your answers be the same as you have revised today?
- 20 A. They would.
- 21 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Bonifas.
- Your Honor, the witness is available for cross-examination.
- 24 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.
- Mr. Van Kley, any cross-examination?

```
1
     You're muted, I believe.
2
                 MR. VAN KLEY:
                                The answer is still no.
 3
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Thank you for the
     confirmation.
 4
 5
                 I believe no questions from other parties
 6
     for cross-examination but we'll verify that as well,
7
     seeing the "no" head shaking. Based on that,
    Mr. Settineri.
8
9
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
     With no questions then, we would like to move for the
11
     admission of Company Exhibit 27 into the record,
12
     which also includes various attachments that are
13
     identified in his testimony that we would move in
14
     with that Company Exhibit 27.
```

ALJ HICKS: Okay. Any objections to the admission of Company Exhibit 27?

MR. VAN KLEY: None.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ALJ HICKS: Okay. Company Exhibit 27, along with the attachments therein, will be admitted.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time that concludes our presentation, subject to the right to file rebuttal testimony to the extent necessary.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

ALJ SCHABO: All right. Thank you,

640 Mr. Bonifas. Bonifas. Bonifas. 1 2 All right. I believe we have one witness 3 from Staff? MS. BAIR: Yes, Your Honor. Staff would 4 5 like to call Andrew Conway to the stand. ALJ SCHABO: Ooh, ooh, ooh. Wait, wait, 6 7 wait. Can we take a five-minute break? MS. BAIR: Sure. 8 9 ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Let's go off the 10 record for five minutes, take a quick break, and when we come back, Mr. Conway can come on board. Thank 11 12 you. 13 (Recess taken.) 14 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record. 15 Ms. Bair, sorry for having interrupted 16 you. Thank you for the break. You may proceed with 17 your witness. 18 MS. BAIR: Thank you. Staff calls Andrew 19 Conway as a witness. 20 ALJ SCHABO: Hi. Mr. Conway, if you'd 2.1 raise your right hand. 2.2 (Witness sworn.)

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.

Ms. Bair.

23

24

25

	643
1	ANDREW CONWAY
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	By Ms. Bair:
6	Q. Could you please state your name and your
7	business address for the record.
8	A. Andrew Conway. 180 East Broad Street,
9	Columbus, Ohio 43215.
10	Q. By whom are you employed and what is your
11	position?
12	A. I'm employed with the Public Utilities
13	Commission of Ohio, and I am an Engineering
14	Specialist.
15	MS. BAIR: Your Honor, I'd like to mark
16	as Staff Exhibit 13, the Supplemental Direct
17	Testimony of Andrew Conway that was filed on October
18	13th, 2020.
19	ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
20	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
21	MS. BAIR: Thank you.
22	Q. (By Ms. Bair) Mr. Conway, do you have
23	what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 13 in front of

you?

A. Yes.

24

25

- Q. Could you please identify that document?
- A. This is my Supplemental Direct Testimony from October 13th, 2020.
- Q. Was this testimony prepared by you or under your direction?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. At this time do you have any changes, corrections, or additions to make to that prefiled testimony?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

- Q. If I were to ask you those questions
 today contained in the prefiled testimony, would your
 answers be the same?
- 14 A. Yes, they would.

MS. BAIR: Thank you.

Your Honor, I'd like to make this witness available for cross-examination.

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you, Ms. Bair.

Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

21

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 23 | By Mr. Van Kley:
- Q. Mr. Conway, could you pull out a copy of the Amended Joint Stipulation that's been marked as

Joint Exhibit No. 2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. I have the -- I have it attached to Doug
 Herling's testimony so I have that one.
 - Q. All right. That will work too. Let's go to Condition 11.
 - A. I'm there.
 - Q. Great. I would like to ask you some questions about the requirement in Condition 11 that the Applicant shall replace any failed plantings so that, after five years, at least 90 percent of the vegetation has survived. Are you familiar with that requirement?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Did you participate in the negotiations that led to Joint Exhibit 2?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And do you have an understanding as to the meaning of the terms in Joint Exhibit 2?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. So with respect to the 90 percent requirement that I just asked you about, can you tell me whether it is your understanding that the Joint Stipulation requires that 90 -- that at least 90 percent of the vegetation survive throughout the entire life of the facility?

A. The requirement is that the -- is in two parts. The first is the Applicant shall maintain vegetative screening for the life of the facility, so that's the key part, and then the second is that the Applicant shall replace any failed plantings so that, after five years, at least 90 percent of the vegetation has survived. So that's . . .

2.1

- Q. All right. So going back to my question then, what is your understanding with respect to the percentage of vegetation that has to survive after the fifth anniversary of the facility and continuing to the end of the life of the facility?
- A. It's -- after the year 5, 90 percent -- the plantings will be checked and 90 percent of the plantings have to survive after the fifth year.
- Q. Okay. And do 90 percent -- does the condition require that 90 percent of the plantings survive until the end of the facility?

ALJ HICKS: I think Mike Settineri has an issue with his microphone. If we can just hold on just for a moment.

MR. SETTINERI: How about now? Okay. I actually had an objection two questions ago. I'm going to object to a couple things. First, there's a lack of foundation that this witness can speculate as

to how this condition will be applied. He can certainly testify as to his understanding. There's also been no foundation laid that the condition requires 90 percent of the vegetation to survive beyond five years. So lack of foundation.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Well, with regard to the last objection, that's exactly the question I'm asking him to answer. I haven't made any assumptions. I'm asking for an answer to the question as to his understanding, so that's my response to that objection.

With regard to laying a foundation for this witness's understanding, I laid that foundation by asking him whether he participated in the negotiations of the Stipulation and whether he believes he has an understanding as to the meaning of the terms.

ALJ SCHABO: Well, here we run into remote-hearing complications. The questions have been answered. I appreciate the clarification of the record, Mr. Settineri. I'm not going to strike any of the answers. If there's an objection to the current pending question, please raise it again and I'll have Carolyn reread the question, otherwise let's just proceed with the questioning. And I'll

keep my eye on your window to see if you start talking and I can't hear you. So is there an objection to a pending question?

MS. BAIR: Can we hear the pending question? I don't recall what it is.

ALJ SCHABO: Carolyn, do you mind reading that back, please?

(Record read.)

2.1

2.2

MR. SETTINERI: I'll just object to the form of the question to the extent it's not asking for his understanding.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley, would you like to rephrase the question?

MR. VAN KLEY: Sure.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Conway, based on your knowledge concerning -- your knowledge and understanding of the meaning of Condition 11, is it your understanding that at least 90 percent -- is it your understanding that Condition 11 requires at least 90 percent of the vegetation to be maintained until the end of the life of the facility?

A. It's -- it's my understanding that the -that the Applicant shall maintain vegetative
screening for the life of the facility and the
Applicant shall replace any failed plantings so that,

after five years, at least 90 percent of the vegetation has survived. So that's -- there's -- the first part is that it shall be -- the vegetative screening shall be maintained for the life of the facility.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Your Honor, he still hasn't answered my question. Could we -- could I ask that you direct him to answer the question.

MS. BAIR: Could we please -- could we please have the question reread? I found it confusing and long.

(Record read.)

2.1

MS. BAIR: Your Honor, I believe he answered it.

MR. VAN KLEY: No, he absolutely has not. He's been asked that question now several times and he hasn't answered the question.

MS. BAIR: Well, he's attempted to answer it. Maybe you can make the question clearer.

MR. VAN KLEY: I don't know how you can answer -- ask the question any clearer but I'll try.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Conway, is it your understanding that Condition 11 requires at least 90 percent of the vegetation to be maintained for the entire life of the facility?

A. No, that's -- the requirement is that the Applicant shall maintain vegetative screening, not 90 percent. You're mischaracterizing it. The 90 percent applies -- the Applicant shall replace any failed plantings so that, after five years, 90 percent of the plantings shall survive.

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, could we have that answer read back?

(Record read.)

2.1

- Q. All right. Mr. Conway, first I thought you were answering the question "no," but the last sentence of your answer seems to say the opposite. Are you meaning to say that 90 percent of the plantings must survive between year 5 and the end of the life of the facility?
- A. No. The plantings are checked after the fifth year, so they need to, at that time, at least 90 percent have to have survived is my understanding.
- Q. What is your understanding as to the method that has to be used to determine whether 90 percent of the vegetation has survived?

MR. SETTINERI: I'll object as there's
been no foundation laid that a method has been
established.

25 ALJ SCHABO: Carolyn, I'm sorry, could

you reread the question.

2.1

2.2

(Record read.)

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Conway, you can answer that question as to whether or not you have an understanding as to whether there is a method.

- A. I don't have an understanding of that method -- if a method has been established.
- Q. Let's go to Condition 30 of the Joint Amended Stipulation. Or the Amended Joint Stipulation, I mean.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Do you see, in that Condition 30, a reference to an Ohio EPA guidance document entitled "Guidance on Post-Construction Storm Water Controls for Solar Panel Arrays"?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. All right. And if you look at the sentence in which that title is provided in Condition 30, do you see that it says that the Applicant will also provide confirmation that it incorporated guidance from that document?
 - A. Yes, I see that phrase.
- Q. What understanding do you have as to whether or not this Condition requires that all provisions of that guidance document be incorporated?

A. Give me one second. I'm going to reach for that guidance, the CCPC Exhibit 9. Give me one second.

MR. SETTINERI: I'm going to object at this time to the lack of foundation that the witness is familiar with the guidance and can testify as to the guidance. There's been no foundation laid as to his familiarity with that, as well as no foundation laid that he was involved in the negotiations of Condition 30.

ALJ SCHABO: Overruled.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah.

ALJ SCHABO: Just so the record is clear,
I'm overruling that objection because he testified
that he was involved in the negotiations of the Joint
Amended Stipulation.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Conway, do you have in front of you what's been marked as CCPC Exhibit No. 9?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And is that a copy of the guidance from Ohio EPA that we've been discussing?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Now that you have that document in front of you, can you tell me your understanding as to

whether Condition 30 requires all of the provisions of that guidance document to be incorporated as stated in the sentence that we've been looking at?

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Again, I'll object. Lack of foundation laid that this witness has the expertise to testify on that document.

MR. VAN KLEY: I think, in reality, we're testifying about the meaning of Condition 30, not the meaning of CCPC Exhibit No. 9, because I'm asking him about whether the statement in Condition 30 requires that all of the provisions of that guidance document be incorporated. I'm asking the interpretation of Condition 30, not an interpretation of CCPC Exhibit No. 9.

MR. SETTINERI: You're asking him if it incorporates all guidance and you provided him with a document of the guidance so obviously you're expecting him to review that document and provide an interpretation of stormwater guidance that's listed in that document, and you haven't laid the foundation that he has the expertise or is an appropriate Staff Witness to testify on that.

MR. VAN KLEY: Well --

ALJ SCHABO: The objection is overruled.

Mr. Conway, answer the question to the

best of your ability, please. If you need the question reread, we can have that done.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you reread the question, please.

(Record read.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2.1

22

A. It's a guidance document, and I think you're adding the word "all" there. We expect them to incorporate guidance from it, and if there's any concerns or guidance that cannot be implemented, we would expect a reason why not.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I have no further questions.

ALJ SCHABO: Any cross-examination questions?

MR. SETTINERI: Not from the Company,

Your Honor.

17 ALJ SCHABO: Ms. Bair, do you have any redirect?

MS. BAIR: I do not have any redirect. I would like to move Staff Exhibit 13 into evidence.

ALJ SCHABO: Any objections?

MR. VAN KLEY: No.

ALJ SCHABO: Hearing none, Exhibit 13 will be admitted.

25 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you, Mr. Conway.

THE WITNESS: Thanks.

2.1

2.2

ALJ SCHABO: We are at the end of the witness list. Let's go off the record for just a moment.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record.

All right. Thank you, everyone. We have concluded the presentation of witnesses. We went off the record for a moment to discuss any matters that needed to be raised. None were identified.

I would like to state for the record that a briefing schedule has been agreed upon. Under that briefing schedule, the initial briefs are due

December the 11th, 2020, with reply briefs being due on January 4th, 2021. The parties have -- I don't know if they've agreed but they have acknowledged that the Bench anticipates that those will be substitution briefs from the original round. Cutting and pasting is encouraged. Please do not reference "as incorporated herein" or "incorporate as referenced herein."

And, with that, I'd like to thank everybody for their time today. We are off the record and adjourned.

(Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 2:50 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, October 29, 2020, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio. My commission expires July 17, 2023.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/18/2020 1:07:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1579-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Angelina Solar I, LLC hearing held on 10/29/20 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn