


From: [Ohio Power Siting Board](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: comment 16-1871 [ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0Yr6Wp:ref]
Date: Monday, August 31, 2020 12:03:15 PM

To the members of the Ohio Power Siting Board,

There is something untoward about your decision of May 21, 2020 regarding Icebreaker. This statement is based on the following.

1. The inclusion of the feathering poison pill was an eleventh hour add that was distributed to the members only a few hours before the May 21st meeting, thus seriously compromising a critical review of this consequential opinion. This was a gross deviation from the Board's standard practice of giving adequate time for members to assess new provisions that materially change previous agreements to reach a settlement. (See the joint motion filed May 15, 2019.)
2. Evidence on the record does not support the decision to include the feathering provision. It goes against the testimony of experts under oath. It contradicts the staff report which characterizes Icebreaker as a low risk project and thus fulfills the statutory requirement that it meet the minimum standards for impact mitigation.
3. The revised stipulation contradicts Ohio law and violates public policy.
4. Where did this stipulation come from and why did it happen?

The only recourse for the Siting Board is to grant Icebreaker's application for a rehearing at the Board's next scheduled meeting.

Patrick J. O'Connor 

ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0Yr6Wp:ref

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/31/2020 12:31:29 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-1871-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment of Patrick J. O'Connor, via website, electronically filed by
Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing