From:	Victoria Copley
То:	Puco Docketing
Subject:	Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2020 1:20:54 PM

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a "poison pill" and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an "all of the above" energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo's request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio's future.

Sincerely, Victoria Copley 123 Apple Drive Wadsworth, OH 44281

From:	William Katzin
То:	Puco Docketing
Subject:	Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2020 3:01:29 PM

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a "poison pill" and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an "all of the above" energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo's request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio's future.

Sincerely, William Katzin 2477 Guilford Rd Cleveland, OH 44118

From:	William Ondrey Gruber
То:	Puco Docketing
Subject:	Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2020 2:42:32 PM

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a "poison pill" and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an "all of the above" energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo's request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio's future.

Sincerely, William Ondrey Gruber 2714 Leighton Road Shaker Heights, OH 44120

From:	Eric Hancsak
То:	Puco Docketing
Subject:	Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2020 9:05:10 PM

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a "poison pill" and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an "all of the above" energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo's request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio's future.

Sincerely, Eric Hancsak 60 Division St Hudson, OH 44236

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/13/2020 11:38:00 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-1871-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment of Victoria Copley, William Katzin, William Ondrey Gruber and Eric Hancsak, via website, electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing