#### **BEFORE**

#### THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke	)	
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval of its 2021	)	Case No. 20-1013-EL-POR
Energy Efficiency and Demand Side	)	
Management Portfolio of Programs and Cost	)	
Recovery Mechanism.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke	)	Case No. 20-1114-EL-ATA
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval of Tariff	)	
Amendments	)	

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

#### JAMES E. ZIOLKOWSKI

#### ON BEHALF OF

**DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.** 

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAG	<u> </u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSED RATE RECOVERY MECHANISM	3
III.	RIDER DSM UPDATES	7
IV.	CONCLUSION	8
Atta	achment:	
JEZ-	-1 Revenue Requirement Forecast	

### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is James E. Ziolkowski, and my business address is 139 East Fourth
3		Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am employed by the Duke Energy Business Services LLC (DEBS) as Director,
6		Rates and Regulatory Planning. DEBS provides various administrative and other
7		services to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) and other
8		affiliated companies of Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy).
9	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL
10		EXPERIENCE.
11	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from the U.S.
12		Naval Academy in 1979 and a Master of Business Administration degree from
13		Miami University in 1988. I am also a licensed Professional Engineer in the state
14		of Ohio.
15		After graduating from the Naval Academy, I attended the Naval Nuclear
16		Power School and other follow-on schools. I served as a nuclear-trained officer on
17		various ships in the U.S. Navy through 1986. From 1988 through 1990, I worked
18		for Mobil Oil Corporation as a Marine Marketing Representative in the New York
19		City area.
20		I joined The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) in 1990 as a
21		Product Applications Engineer, in which capacity I designed and managed some of
22		CG&E's demand side management programs, including Energy Audits and

Interruptible Rates. From 1996 until 1998, I was an Account Engineer and worked
with large customers to resolve various service-related issues, particularly in the
areas of billing, metering, and demand management. In 1998, I joined Cinergy
Services, Inc.'s, Rate Department, where I focused on rate design and tariff
administration. I was significantly involved with the initial unbundling and design
of CG&E's retail electric rates. I was appointed to my current position in January
2014.

A.

# 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR, RATES AND 9 REGULATORY PLANNING.

I am responsible for various rider filings, tariff administration, billing, and revenue reporting issues in Ohio and Kentucky. I also prepare filings to modify charges and terms in retail tariffs of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., (Duke Energy Kentucky) and develop rates for new services. During rate cases, I prepare cost of service studies and help with the design of the new base rates. I assisted in the development of the retail electric tariffs in the Company's Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA, which established the Company's market-based standard service offer. Additionally, I frequently work with customer contact and billing personnel of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky to answer rate-related questions and to apply the retail tariffs to specific situations. Occasionally, I meet with customers and Company representatives to explain rates or provide rate training. I also prepare reports that are required by regulatory authorities.

1	Ų.	HAVE TOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES
2		COMMISSION OF OHIO?
3	A.	Yes, I have testified before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) in
4		many cases. For example, I provided testimony before the Commission in support of
5		Duke Energy Ohio's most recent electric distribution base rate case, Case Number 17-
6		32-EL-AIR. I was also a witness in the Company's Electric Security Plan case, filed
7		under Case Number 17-1263-EL-SSO and a number of energy efficiency cases, filed
8		under Case No. 13-753-EL-RDR, Case No. 14-457-EL-RDR, Case No. 15-534-EL-
9		RDR, Case No. 16-664-EL-RDR, 17-781-EL-RDR, 18-397-EL-RDR, 19-622-EL-
10		RDR, and 20-613-EL-RDR.
11	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
12		PROCEEDING?
13	A.	The purpose of my testimony in this proceeding is to discuss the rate recovery
14		mechanism proposed to be utilized for the portfolio of programs proposed in this
15		application.
	II.	DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSED RATE RECOVERY MECHANISM
16	Q.	WHAT RATE RECOVERY MECHANISM DOES THE COMPANY
17		PROPOSE IN THIS APPLICATION?
18	A.	Duke Energy Ohio proposes to establish a demand-side management (DSM) rider
19		(Rider DSM) for the recovery of implementation of the Company's new energy
20		efficiency (EE) / DSM portfolio of programs for calendar year 2021. The core
21		elements of Rider DSM, as detailed on the tariff sheet attached to the Company's
22		Application in these proceedings, will be similar to those of the existing Rider EE-

PDR, which was approved in Case Nos. 11-4393-EL-RDR, 13-0431-EL-POR, and 16-576-EL-POR. Rider DSM will allow the Company to recover the costs of its programs, as well as a shared savings performance incentive associated with its portfolio of approved programs and lost distribution margins from certain non-residential customers. The Company will continue to recover lost distribution revenues from non-residential customers served under Rate DS, Rate DP, and Rate TS because those customers are not subject to Rider DDR, Distribution Decoupling Rider. Unlike the current Rider EE/PDR that has a performance-based shared savings incentive targeted at addressing state mandates for EE, the shared savings incentive proposed in Rider DSM is a fixed rate of 8%.

#### 11 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF RIDER DSM AND RIDER DSMR?

A. Rider DSM describes the mechanism through which the revenue requirement and its true-up is recovered from residential and non-residential customers. Rider DSMR contains the results of the calculations, *i.e.*, the retail recovery rates. Tariff sheets for these proposed mechanisms are attached to the Company's Application in these proceedings.

# 17 Q. WHAT WILL BE THE TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR THE 18 2021 PROGRAM PORTFOLIO?

A. As depicted on Page 1 of Attachment JEZ-1, the total revenue requirement for the 2021 program portfolio, not including lost distribution revenues, is projected to be \$21,306,807. Although the Company is only seeking approval of its cost recovery mechanism for 2021 in this proceeding, I have also provided the anticipated revenue requirements for 2022 for informational purposes. The relevant data for

- 1 2021 and 2022 is provided in columns labeled as "1" and "2" respectively.
- 2 Q. HOW WILL PROGRAM COSTS BE CALCULATED?
- 3 A. As depicted on Page 2 of Attachment JEZ-1, the revenue requirement for program
- 4 costs will be calculated by beginning with the costs of each category of programs
- 5 (residential and non-residential) and adding a *credit* reflecting the cost and revenues
- 6 associated with offering portfolio EE and DSM resources into the PJM Capacity
- 7 Auctions.
- 8 Q. HOW WILL THE RATE DESIGN OF RIDER DSM DIFFER FROM THE
- 9 **EXISTING RIDER EE/PDR?**
- 10 A. Rider DSM will have a two-step per-kWh rate design. The first step will be for
- usage up to 833,000 kWh, and the second step will be for kWh over 833,000. The
- second step charge will be zero. This rate design is similar to Rider LGR, and it
- provides cost protection for very large customers. The existing Rider EE-PDRR
- contains a per-kWh charge that applies to all kWh on customers' bills.
- 15 Q. WHAT ARE THE ESTIMATED RIDER DSM RATES AND BILL
- 16 IMPACTS RESULTING FROM THIS RATE DESIGN?
- 17 A. The following tables show the calculation of the residential and non-residential
- rates using estimated annual kWh and the monthly DSM charges and various usage
- levels. The annual non-residential kWh number used in the rate calculation includes
- 20 only monthly billed kWh up to 833,000. Because of this rate design, non-residential
- DSM charges are effectively capped at about \$639 for 2021.

	Revenue Requirement	Estimated Billing kWh	<b>Calculated DSM Rate</b>
			per kWh
Res from Portfolio	\$14,523,734	7,524,826,243	\$0.001930
NonRes from Portfolio	\$6,783,072	8,844,751,839	\$0.000767
Total	\$21,306,807		

Monthly Usage	
Residential kWh	Rider DSM Charge
500	\$0.97
1,000	\$1.93
1,500	\$2.90
2,000	\$3.86
Non-Residential kWh	Rider DSM Charge
1,000	\$0.77
2,000	\$1.53
5,000	\$3.83
10,000	\$7.67
50,000	\$38.35
100,000	\$76.69
200,000	\$153.38
500,000	\$383.45
833,000	\$638.83
Greater than 833,000	\$638.83

#### 1 Q. WHAT MAKES A RIDER AN APPROPRIATE AND NECESSARY COST

#### RECOVERY MECHANISM FOR EE AND DSM PROGRAMS?

A. First, rider recovery will allow annual adjustment and reconciliation. Annual reconciliations ensure that customers are paying no more and no less than the Company's approved Rider DSM revenue requirement. Also, as described in Ms. Haemmerle's testimony, this is particularly important for EE and DSM programs because the recently revised EE rules require a portfolio and cost recovery mechanism to be filed annually.

Second, rider recovery will be consistent with what customers have previously experienced. The Company has operated energy efficiency programs for many years. Cost recovery for the programs had been through riders with names

such as Rider DSM, Rider SAW, and Rider EE-PDRR. Thus, a separate Rider DSM will be in line with the Company's Commission-approved past practices.

A.

Third, a rider will permit separate charges for residential and non-residential customers and avoid cross-subsidy from one class to the other or vice versa. If DSM costs were to be included in base rates, the costs could be allocated to the various rate schedules through the cost of service study in the initial rate case filing. Upon approval of the new base rates by the Commission, there would be no opportunity to adjust the costs and allocations of the costs until the Company's subsequent distribution base rate case filing. Base rate recovery would make the implementation of new EE/DSM programs or elimination of such programs out of sync with the actual recovery of costs of operating the programs. Successive base rate cases filings might be many years apart.

Finally, a rider will permit the Company to avoid a disproportionate rate impact to the largest-usage customers. The proposed two-step rate, with the second step set to zero, acts as a cap to non-residential customer bills, particularly large industrials. This type of rate design is not compatible with base rate recovery.

#### III. RIDER DSM UPDATES

#### Q. WHEN AND HOW WILL RIDER DSM BE UPDATED?

First, Duke Energy Ohio proposes to file an updated tariff with an updated Rider DSM as soon as the cost recovery mechanism proposed in this Application is approved. Second, Duke Energy Ohio would begin recovering the associated rate in bills rendered after January 1, 2021. Duke Energy Ohio will submit the performance verification materials required by Rule 4901:1-39-05 for its 2021

programs by May 15, 2022 and file an annual update filing, including an annual 2 true-up of the prior year to reconcile any differences between the rates collected in 3 2021 and the actual revenue requirement based on program implementation. The 4 Rider DSM will then be updated based on the Commission's decision issued in that 5 update filing (which would incorporate any changes based on the outcomes of the performance verification process, pursuant to Rule 4901:1-39-06(B)). 6

#### IV. **CONCLUSION**

- 7 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 8 A. Yes.

1

#### OHIO REVENUE REQUIREMENT (excluding Lost Revenues) WORKPAPER

in\$

 Discount Rate
 7.53%

 Shared Savings (Pre-tax)
 10.28%

 Shared Savings (After tax)
 8.00%

 Tax
 22.16%

Tax	22.16%			
		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	Total
Summary Revenue Requirement		\$14,523,734	\$14,537,774	\$29,061,508
	NonRes from Portfolio	\$6,783,072	\$6,678,754	\$13,461,826
	Total	\$21,306,807	\$21,216,530	\$42,523,334
		_		
	T. 14 11 10 4	1	2	Total
Total Portfolio	Total Avoided Costs	\$56,157,032	\$56,387,774	\$112,544,806
	- Program Costs & Overhead & PJM Credits	\$17,313,713	\$17,186,653	\$34,500,366
	- M&V Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Shared Savings	\$38,843,319	\$39,201,121	\$78,044,440
	x Utility Sharing Rate	10.28%	10.28%	10.28%
	Utility Share	\$3,993,093	\$4,029,875	\$8,022,968
	+ Program Costs & Overhead & PJM Credits	\$17,313,713	\$17,186,653	\$34,500,366
	+ M&V Cost Recovery	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total Revenue Requirement	\$21,306,806	\$21,216,528	\$42,523,334
		_	_	
		1	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
Res EE	Avoided Costs: T&D	\$7,492,687	\$7,300,556	\$14,793,243
	Avoided Costs: Energy	\$13,096,817	\$13,888,815	\$26,985,632
	Avoided Costs: Capacity	\$6,256,130	\$6,135,594	\$12,391,724
	Total Avoided Costs	\$26,845,635	\$27,324,966	\$54,170,602
	<ul> <li>Program Costs &amp; Overhead &amp; PJM Credits</li> </ul>	\$10,741,503	\$10,772,178	\$21,513,680
	- M&V Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Shared Savings	\$16,104,132	\$16,552,787	\$32,656,918
	x Utility Sharing Rate	10.28%	10.28%	10.28%
	Utility Share	\$1,655,505	\$1,701,626	\$3,357,131
	+ Program Cost & Overhead Recovery & PJM Credits	\$10,741,503	\$10,772,178	\$21,513,680
	+ M&V Cost Recovery	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total Revenue Requirement	\$12,397,007	\$12,473,804	\$24,870,811
		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
NonRes EE	Avoided Costs: T&D	\$3,516,707	\$3,399,853	\$6,916,561
	Avoided Costs: Energy	\$5,707,446	\$5,685,182	\$11,392,628
	Avoided Costs: Capacity	\$2,990,491	\$2,863,105	\$5,853,596
	Total Avoided Costs	\$12,214,646	\$11,948,142	\$24,162,788
	- Program Costs & Overhead & PJM Credits	\$3,208,428	\$3,153,781	\$6,362,209
	- M&V Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Shared Savings	\$9,006,217	\$8,794,361	\$17,800,579
	x Utility Sharing Rate	10.28%	10.28%	10.28%
	Utility Share	\$925,839	\$904,060	\$1,829,900
	+ Program Cost & Overhead Recovery & PJM Credits	\$3,208,428	\$3,153,781	\$6,362,209
	+ M&V Cost Recovery	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total Revenue Requirement	\$4,134,267	\$4,057,841	\$8,192,109
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 1,20 1,201	¥ .,,.	<del>+-,,</del>
		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	Total
Res DR	Avoided Costs: T&D	\$5,081,665	\$5,090,463	\$10,172,128
	Avoided Costs: Capacity	\$4,781,987	\$4,744,674	\$9,526,661
	Total Avoided Costs	\$9,863,653	\$9,835,139	\$19,698,792
	Program Costs & Overhead & PJM Credits	\$1,240,240	\$1,173,560	\$2,413,800
	- M&V Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Shared Savings	\$8,623,413	\$8,661,578	\$17,284,991
	x Utility Sharing Rate	10.28%	10.28%	10.28%
	Utility Share	\$886,487	\$890,410	\$1,776,897
	+ Program Cost & Overhead Recovery	\$1,240,240	\$1,173,560	\$2,413,800
	+ M&V Cost Recovery	\$1,240,240	\$1,173,300	\$2,413,800
	Total Revenue Requirement	\$2,126,727	\$2,063,970	\$4,190,697
	Total Revenue Requirement	32,120,727	32,003,370	34,130,037
		1	2	<u>Total</u>
NonRes DR	Avoided Costs: T&D	<u>1</u> \$3,726,429	<u>2</u> \$3,767,735	\$7,494,164
HOLINES DI			\$3,767,735	
	Avoided Costs: Energy Avoided Costs: Capacity	\$0 \$3,506,672	\$0 \$3,511,798	\$0 \$7,018,470
	Total Avoided Costs	\$7,233,101	\$7,279,533	\$14,512,634
	Program Costs & Overhead & PJM Credits	\$2,123,542	\$2,087,134	\$4,210,677
	- M&V Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Shared Savings	\$5,109,559	\$5,192,399	\$10,301,958
		10.28%	10.28%	10.28%
	x Utility Sharing Rate	1 -	4-0-	4
	Utility Share	\$525,263	\$533,779	\$1,059,041
	Utility Share + Program Cost & Overhead Recovery	\$2,123,542	\$2,087,134	\$4,210,677
	Utility Share			

Program	Annual KWH	Gross FR @ Plai	rt, Annualized	Annual KW Gro	oss FR @ Plant,	Annualized	Av	oided Costs NPV			Non-M&V Costs			M&V Costs			Total Program Costs		Shared Savin	gs Pool	AC - Total Cost	Shared Sa	vings 10.	1.28%	Revenue Require	ement with Shared	d Savings	Reve	enue Requirement	.rt
	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	1	2 T	otal	1	2	Total	1	2	Tot
lecovery																														
al																														
nergy Efficiency																														
Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	3,585,549	3,585,549	7,171,098	963	963	1,926	2,082,259	2,151,227 \$	4,233,485	\$ 627,202 \$	624,217 \$	1,251,419 \$	- \$	- \$		\$ 627,202	\$ 624,217 \$	1,251,419	\$ 1,455,057 \$	1,527,010 \$	2,982,067	\$ 149,580 \$	156,977 \$	306,556 \$	\$ 776,782 \$	781,193 \$	1,557,975	\$ 627,202 \$	624,217 \$	
Home Energy Comparison Report	92.415.498	92,646,645	185.062.142	23,716	23.776	47.492	7.413.347	7.637.849 S	15.051.196	S 3.711.135 S	3.701.590 S	7.412.725 S	- s	- s		\$ 3.711.135	\$ 3.701.590 \$	7.412.725	\$ 3,702,211 \$	3.936.259 S	7.638.471	\$ 380,587 \$	404.647 S	785.235 S	5 4.091.723 S	4.106.238 S	8.197.960	S 3.711.135 S	3.701.590 S	
Low Income Neighborhood Program	443,352	443,352	886,704	137	137	274	287,259	296,480 \$	583,739	\$ 447,242 \$	451,531 \$	898,772 \$	- \$	- \$		\$ 447,242	\$ 451,531 \$	898,772	\$ (159,983) \$	(155,051) \$	(315,033)	\$ (16,446) \$	(15,939) \$	(32,385) \$	\$ 430,795 \$	435,592 \$	866,387	\$ 447,242 \$	451,531 \$	
Residential Energy Assessments	2.893.936	2.853.728	5.747.664	264	260	524	1.544.236	1.596.556 S	3.140.792	S 1.252.459 S	1.247.033 S	2,499,492 S	- s	- s		\$ 1.252,459	\$ 1.247.033 \$	2,499,492	\$ 291.777 S	349.522 S	641.299	\$ 29,995 \$	35.931 S	65.926 S	5 1.282.454 S	1.282.964 S	2.565.418	S 1.252,459 S	1.247.033 S	
Smart Saver® Residential	31.564.908	32.049.579	63.614.487	3,736	3.451	7.187	15.049.788	15.156.546 S	30.206.334	s 4.556.393 S	4.582.006 S	9.138.399 S	- s	- \$		\$ 4,556,393	\$ 4.582.006 S	9.138.399	\$ 10.493.395 S	10.574.540 S	21.067.935	\$ 1.078.721 \$	1.087.063 S 2	.165.784 S	\$ 5.635.114 \$	5.669.069 S	11.304.183	S 4.556.393 S	4.582.006 S	
Low Income Weatherization - Pay for Performance	1,446,919	1,446,919	2.893.838	218	218	437	468,746	486.306 S	955.053	S 267.072 S	265.801 S	532.872 S	- s	- \$		S 267.072	S 265.801 S	532.872	S 201.674 S	220,506 \$	422.180	\$ 20,732 \$	22.668 S	43.400 S	S 287.804 S	288,469 S	576.273	S 267.072 S	265.801 S	
PJM Pilot Program - Residential	1							Ś		s (120,000) S	(100.000) S	(220,000) S	- s	- \$		S (120,000)	\$ (100,000) \$	(220.000)	S 120,000 S	100.000 S	220.000	S 12.336 S	10.280 S	22.616 S	S (107,664) S	(89.720) S	(197.384)	S (120,000) S	(100,000) S	
Total	132,350,162	133,025,772	265,375,934	29,035	28,805	57,840	\$ 26,845,634 \$	27,324,964 \$	54,170,599	\$ 10,741,503 \$	10,772,178 \$	21,513,680 \$	- \$	. \$		\$ 10,741,503	\$ 10,772,178 \$	21,513,680	\$ 16,104,132 \$	16,552,787 \$	32,656,918	\$ 1,655,505 \$	1,701,626 \$ 3,	,357,131 \$	\$ 12,397,007 \$ 1	12,473,804 \$	24,870,811	\$ 10,741,503 \$	10,772,178 \$	_
nd Response																														
Power Manager®				48,588	47.576	96.164	9.863.652	9.835.137 S	19.698.789	S 1.240.240 S	1.173.560 S	2.413.800 S	- Ś	- ś		S 1.240.240	\$ 1.173.560 \$	2.413.800	S 8.623.412 S	8.661.576 S	17.284.988	S 886.487 S	890.410 S 1	.776.897 S	\$ 2.126,727 \$	2.063.970 S	4.190.697	S 1.240.240 S	1.173.560 S	
Total	0	0	0	48,588	47,576	96,164	\$ 9,863,652 \$	9,835,137 \$	19,698,789	\$ 1,240,240 \$	1,173,560 \$	2,413,800 \$	- \$	- \$		\$ 1,240,240	\$ 1,173,560 \$	2,413,800	\$ 8,623,412 \$	8,661,576 \$	17,284,988	\$ 886,487 \$	890,410 \$ 1,	,776,897 \$	\$ 2,126,727 \$	2,063,970 \$	4,190,697	\$ 1,240,240 \$	1,173,560 \$	Τ
dential																														
nergy Efficiency																														
Business Energy Saver	19.931.259	18.839.135	38 770 393	3.631	3.432	7.063	12 214 645	11 948 140 \$	24 162 785	S 4908428 S	4 653 781 \$	9.562.209 S				5 4 908 428	S 4653 781 S	9.562.209	\$ 7306216 \$	7 294 359 5	14 600 576	\$ 751.079 \$	749 860 S 1	500 939 \$	S 5 659 507 S	5 403 641 5	11 063 148	5 4 908 428 5	4 653 781 5	
Smart Saver® Non Residential Custom	,,	,,	0	-,		0	,,	. \$		, , ,	. \$					\$	\$ . \$	.,,	\$ . \$		,,	\$		. \$	\$ . \$	. \$	,,	\$ . \$		
Smart Saver® Non Residential Prescriptive			0			0										š .						\$			<					
PJM Pilot Program - NonResidential	1 .					0				c (1 700 000) c	(1 500 000) \$	(2 200 000) \$				\$ (1.700.000)	s (1 500 000) s	(3 200 000)	s 1700 000 s	1 500 000 5	2 200 000	S 174.760 S	154 200 S	278 060 6	\$ (1.525.240) \$ (	(1 245 900) ¢	(2.971.040)	s (1 700 000) s	(1 500 000) S	
Total	19,931,259	18,839,135	38,770,393	3,631	3,432	7,063	\$ 12,214,645 \$	11,948,140 \$	24,162,785	\$ 3,208,428 \$	3,153,781 \$	6,362,209 \$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ 3,208,428	\$ 3,153,781 \$	6,362,209 #	\$ 9,006,216 \$	8,794,359 \$	17,800,576	\$ 925,839 \$	904,060 \$ 1,	,829,899 \$	\$ 4,134,267 \$	4,057,841 \$	8,192,108	\$ 3,208,428 \$	3,153,781 \$	
Response																														
Power Manager® for Business	1 .		0	8 883	8 484	17 367	1 786 955	1 736 917 \$	3 523 872	S 773.132 S	748 270 \$	1.521.402 S				\$ 773.132	S 748 270 S	1.521.402	\$ 1,013,823 \$	988 647 5	2 002 470	\$ 104.221 \$	101 633 \$	205.854 \$	\$ 877.353 \$	849 903 5	1 727 256	S 773.132 S	748 270 S	
PowerShare*				26.065	26.065	52 130	5 446 146	5 542 616 5	10 988 763	S 1.350,410 S	1 338 864 \$	2 689 275 \$				\$ 1,350,410	S 1338.864 S	2 689 275	s 4,095,736 S	4 203 752 \$	8 299 488	\$ 421,042 \$	432 146 S	853 187 \$	\$ 1.771.452 \$	1 771 010 \$	3 542 462	S 1350410 S	1 338 864 \$	
Total	0	0	0	34,948	34,549	69,496	\$ 7,233,101 \$	7,279,533 \$	14,512,634	\$ 2,123,542 \$	2,087,134 \$	4,210,677 \$	- \$	- \$		\$ 2,123,542	\$ 2,087,134 \$	4,210,677	\$ 5,109,559 \$	5,192,399 \$	10,301,958	\$ 525,263 \$	533,779 \$ 1,	,059,041 \$	\$ 2,648,805 \$	2,620,913 \$	5,269,718	\$ 2,123,542 \$	2,087,134 \$	Ξ
	152.281.421	151.864.906	304.146.327	116.202	114.362	230.564	\$ 56.157.032 \$	56.387.774 \$	112.544.806	\$ 17.313.713 \$	17.186.653 \$	34.500.366 S	- \$	· \$		S 17.313.713	\$ 17.186.653 \$	34.500.366	\$ 38.843.319 \$	39.201.121 \$	78.044.440	\$ 3,993,093 \$	4.029.875 \$ 8	.022.968 \$	\$ 21.306.806 \$ 1	21.216.528 \$	42.523.334	S 17.313.713 S	17.186.653 S	ż
	152 201 421	151 964 906	304.146.327	116.202	114.362	230 564	\$ 56.157.032 \$	56 387 774 \$	112 544 906	\$ 17313713 \$	17 186 653 \$	34.500.366 S				¢ 17 212 712	\$ 17.186.653 \$	34.500.366	¢ 20 0/2 210 ¢	20 201 121 6	78.044.440	\$ 3,993,093 \$	4 029 875 \$ 8	1022 968 \$	\$ 21 306 806 \$ 1	21 216 520 \$	42 523 334	\$ 17313713 \$	17 196 652 C	ż

Total costs for PIM EMV are as follows. Costs have been allocated to Residential and NonResidential based on forecasted KW. Year 1 50 Year 2 50

#### **OHIO LOST REVENUE ESTIMATE WORKPAPER**

in Ś

Line Losses 5.6%

Line Losses	L	5.6%	J			
				<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	Total
SUMMARY			Res	<u>=</u> 0	<u>=</u> 0	0
			NonRes	138,820	437,001	575,821
			Total	138,820	437,001	575,821
					,	
Res EE		Vintage		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
		1	Lost Revenues	0	0	0
		2	Lost Revenues	0	0	0
			Lost Revenues	0	0	0
		Vintore		1	2	Tatal
	2021	Vintage 1	WALL at Mater Not CD	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	2021	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR KWH at Meter, Net FR	105,211,483 0	32,866,111 105,702,361	138,077,594 105,702,361
	2022	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR	105,211,483	138,568,472	243,779,955
			RWII at Meter, Net 11	103,211,403	130,300,472	243,773,333
		Vintage		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	Total
		1	Calculated \$/KWH	\$0.000000	\$0.000000	\$0.000000
		2	Calculated \$/KWH	\$0.000000	\$0.000000	\$0.000000
			Calculated \$/KWH	\$0.000000	\$0.000000	\$0.000000
					- 1	
NonRes EE		Vintage		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
		1	Lost Revenues	138,820	305,885	444,705
		2	Lost Revenues	0	131,116	131,116
			Lost Revenues	138,820	437,001	575,821
		Vintage		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	2021	1	KWH at Meter, Net FR	8,720,474	<u>=</u> 19,215,279	27,935,753
	2022	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	8,236,492	8,236,492
		_	KWH at Meter, Net FR	8,720,474	27,451,771	36,172,246
					, , ,	
		Vintage		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
		1	Calculated \$/KWH	\$0.015919	\$0.015919	\$0.015919
		2	Calculated \$/KWH	\$0.015919	\$0.015919	\$0.015919
			Calculated \$/KWH	\$0.015919	\$0.015919	\$0.015919

## OHIO LOST REVENUE ESTIMATE WORKPAPER in \$

Line Losses 5.6%

Res LR\$/KWH 
Non- Res LR\$/KWH 0.015919

SUMMARY			1	2	Total
JUNIMAKT		NonRes EE Lost Revenues	\$138,820	\$437,001	\$575,821
		nomics 22 253 Neventes	<b>\$150,020</b>	Ų 107,001	<b>4575,022</b>
NonRes EE V	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	1	Lost Revenues	\$138,820	\$305,885	\$444,705
	2	Lost Revenues	\$0	\$131,116	\$131,116
		Lost Revenues	\$138,820	\$437,001	\$575,821
V	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	1	KWH at Meter, Net FR	8,720,474	19,215,279	27,935,753
	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0 730 474	8,236,492	8,236,492
		KWH at Meter, Net FR	8,720,474	27,451,771	36,172,246
NonRes EE					
Business Energy Saver					
	/intage		1	2	<u>Total</u>
	1	Lost Revenues	\$138,820	= \$305,885	\$444,705
	2	Lost Revenues	\$0	\$131,116	\$131,116
		Lost Revenues	\$138,820	\$437,001	\$575,821
V	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	Total
2021	1	KWH at Meter, Net FR	8,720,474	19,215,279	27,935,753
2022	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	8,236,492	8,236,492
		KWH at Meter, Net FR	8,720,474	27,451,771	36,172,246
C					
Smart \$aver® Non Reside		stom		a 1	Tata'
V	/intage 1	Last Payanuas	<b>1</b> \$0	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	2	Lost Revenues	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
	2	Lost Revenues  Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0 <b>\$0</b>
		203t Revenues	40	70	70
V	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	Total
2021	1	KWH at Meter, Net FR	- 0	= 0	0
2022	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
		KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
Smart \$aver® Non Reside	ntial Pre	escriptive			
V	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	1	Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2	Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
	C-4				T-4-1
2021	/intage 1	KIMIH at Motor Not ER	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b> 0	<u>Total</u> 0
2022	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
2022	-	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
		ATTI GETTICLES, NECETIA	•		
Power Manager® for Busi	iness				
	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	1	Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2	Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
2021	1	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
2022	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
		KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
Consult Consult Non-Deside		forman larger than Danner			
	ntial Pei /intage	formance Incentive Program	1	2	<u>Total</u>
v	1	Lost Revenues	\$0	<u>2</u> \$0	10tai \$0
	2	Lost Revenues	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
	-	Lost Revenues	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
			70	70	70
V	/intage		1	<u>2</u>	<u>Total</u>
2021	1	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	= 0	0
2022	2	KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0
		KWH at Meter, Net FR	0	0	0

#### Program/Portfolio Cost Effectiveness - 2021

Program	1	UCT	TRC	RIM	РСТ
Residential Programs - EE					
Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		3.32	3.20	1.54	16.35
Home Energy Comparison Report		2.00	2.00	1.15	
Low Income Neighborhood Program		0.64	0.64	0.54	2.21
Power Manager®		7.95	16.85	7.95	
Residential Energy Assessments		1.23	1.24	0.73	52.49
Smart \$aver® Residential		3.30	1.93	1.27	4.77
Low Income Weatherization - Pay for Performance		1.76	8.16	0.93	
Total		3.03	2.53	1.53	6.80
Non-Residential Programs					
Power Manager® for Business		2.31	3.42	2.31	
PowerShare®		2.63	11.80	2.63	
Business Energy Saver		2.49	1.71	1.41	3.42
Total		2.51	2.39	1.69	3.75
Overall Portfolio Total		2.83	2.48	1.58	5.51

<sup>1 -</sup> Expected PJM credits have not been included in cost effectiveness.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

6/3/2020 3:58:23 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-1013-EL-POR, 20-1114-EL-ATA

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of James E. Ziolkowski on Behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. electronically filed by Carys Cochern on behalf of Duke Energy