

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF
STEVEN JESKE,

COMPLAINANT,

v.

CASE NO. 20-10-EL-CSS

THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY,

RESPONDENT.

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on May 6, 2020

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission dismisses this case, with prejudice, as the parties have indicated they have reached a mutual settlement.

II. DISCUSSION

{¶ 2} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice relating to any service furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory.

{¶ 3} The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L or Respondent) is a public utility, as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

{¶ 4} On January 3, 2020, Steven Jeske (Complainant) initiated a complaint against DP&L, alleging DP&L had overcharged him approximately 3512 kWh over a four-month period for electric service.¹ Mr. Jeske further asserted the overcharges were due to a faulty meter that was subsequently replaced in November of 2019. While Mr. Jeske acknowledged

¹ The complaint service letter was sent to DP&L on January 6, 2020.

the Respondent provided him a credit of \$159.33, he argued this credit was insufficient and requested that DP&L provide him an additional \$140.67, for a total credit of \$300.00, which he deemed to be a more appropriate amount.

{¶ 5} Thereafter, on January 27, 2020, DP&L filed an answer to the complaint, denying a number of the allegations and asserting several affirmative defenses.

{¶ 6} By Entry issued February 10, 2020, the attorney examiner scheduled a settlement conference to be held on March 25, 2020, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission. By Entry issued March 10, 2020, the attorney examiner directed the settlement conference to be conducted telephonically.

{¶ 7} The settlement conference occurred on March 25, 2020, as scheduled.

{¶ 8} On April 20, 2020, the parties filed a joint notice of settlement and motion for dismissal, stating that they had resolved all issues and claims arising in connection with the matters set forth in this proceeding.

{¶ 9} Upon review, and given the claims that the parties have resolved all issues pertaining to this matter, the Commission finds that the parties' joint motion to dismiss is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, this case should be dismissed, with prejudice, and closed of record.

III. ORDER

{¶ 10} It is, therefore,

{¶ 11} ORDERED, That the parties' joint motion to dismiss be granted and this case be dismissed, with prejudice, and closed of record. It is, further,

{¶ 12} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon the parties and all interested persons of record.

COMMISSIONERS:

Approving:

Sam Randazzo, Chairman

M. Beth Trombold

Lawrence K. Friedeman

Daniel R. Conway

Dennis P. Deters

MJA/kck

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/6/2020 3:39:57 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-0010-EL-CSS

Summary: Entry dismissing this case, with prejudice, as the parties have indicated they have reached a mutual settlement. electronically filed by Ms. Mary E Fischer on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio