

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. FOR AN
ADJUSTMENT TO RIDER AMRP RATES
TO RECOVER COSTS INCURRED IN 2019.

CASE NO. 19-1769-GA-RDR

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. FOR TARIFF
APPROVAL.

CASE NO. 19-1770-GA-ATA

FINDING AND ORDER

Entered in the Journal on April 22, 2020

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission approves the application filed by Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. regarding the adjustment of the company's accelerated main replacement program rider.

II. DISCUSSION

{¶ 2} Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke or Company) is a natural gas company as defined in R.C. 4905.03 and a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 3} R.C. 4929.11 provides that the Commission may allow any automatic adjustment mechanism or device in a natural gas company's rate schedules that allows a natural gas company's rates or charges for a regulated service or goods to fluctuate automatically in accordance with changes in a specified cost or costs.

{¶ 4} On May 30, 2002, the Commission approved a stipulation, which included a provision establishing Duke's accelerated main replacement program (AMRP) rider (Rider AMRP). *In re The Cincinnati Gas & Elec. Co.*, Case No. 01-1228-GA-AIR, et al. (*CG&E Rate Case*), Opinion and Order (May 30, 2002). The purpose of Rider AMRP was to recover the expenditures associated with Duke's ten-year plan to replace all 12-inch and smaller cast iron and bare steel gas mains in its distribution system. In accordance with the stipulation approved in the *CG&E Rate Case*, the rider was to be adjusted annually to account for any

over- or under-recovery, and Duke was to file applications annually supporting adjustments to the Rider AMRP rates.

{¶ 5} On May 28, 2008, the Commission approved a stipulation, which, inter alia, provided that the AMRP would be substantially completed by the end of 2019 and that the riser replacement program (RRP) would be completed by the end of 2012. In addition, the stipulation further defined the process for adjustments to Rider AMRP. *In re Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR, et al., Opinion and Order (May 28, 2008).

{¶ 6} Subsequently, the Commission approved a stipulation in which the parties in the case agreed, in part, that the incremental increase to the AMRP for residential customers would be capped at \$1.00 annually on a cumulative basis through 2016. *In re Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR, et al. (2012 *Duke Rate Case*), Opinion and Order (Nov. 13, 2013).

{¶ 7} By Finding and Order issued April 24, 2019, in *In re Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.*, Case Nos. 18-1452-GA-RDR and 18-1453-GA-ATA, the Commission established Duke's current AMRP rates and permitted the Company to recover AMRP costs incurred in 2018, as follows: residential customers - \$3.08 per month; general service and firm transportation customers - \$28.96 per month; and interruptible transportation customers - \$0.11 per thousand cubic feet (Mcf).

On November 18, 2019, Duke filed a prefiling notice in the above-captioned cases, requesting approval to recover the AMRP costs incurred for 2019. Subsequently, on February 26, 2020, Duke filed its application in the instant cases for the recovery period of January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019. Duke proposes that the total Rider AMRP rates for the Company's revenue requirement of \$26,093,935.70 be as follows:

Rate Class	Current Rates	Proposed Rates	Proposed Decrease
Residential	\$3.08 per month	\$2.96 per month	\$0.12 per month
General Service and Firm Transportation	\$28.96 per month	\$27.99 per month	\$0.97 per month
Interruptible Transportation	\$0.11 per Mcf	\$0.11 per Mcf	\$0.00 per Mcf

{¶ 8} In support of its application, Duke submitted schedules with its application and the testimony of Douglas J. Heitkamp, an employee of Duke Energy Business Services, LLC, an affiliate service company of Duke. The schedules and the supporting testimony detail costs associated with the AMRP. Schedules 1 and 2 provide the annualized revenue requirement for Duke’s revised Rider AMRP rates. The information on these schedules is supported by Schedules 3 through 14.

{¶ 9} Mr. Heitkamp, Lead Analyst, Rates and Regulatory Strategy for Duke and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., explains, in his testimony, the supporting schedules filed by Duke in these proceedings for both the AMRP and the RRP. In addition, Mr. Heitkamp states his belief that Duke’s request for revised Rider AMRP rates is fair and reasonable. He testifies that the costs of service are properly allocated to customer classes. Further, Mr. Heitkamp opines that the proposed Rider AMRP rates are within the established rate caps and that the rate design was properly performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the stipulation in the *2012 Duke Rate Case*.

{¶ 10} On November 27, 2019, the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel (OCC) filed a motion to intervene in these proceedings. No party opposed the motion to intervene. The Commission finds that the motion complies with the requirements set forth in R.C 4903.221 and Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-11, and should, therefore, be granted.

{¶ 11} On March 6, 2020, the attorney examiner issued an Entry stating, in part, that Staff and intervenors may file comments on Duke's application by March 20, 2020. Additionally, the attorney examiner set a deadline of March 27, 2020, for Duke to file a statement informing the Commission whether the issues raised in the comments have been resolved.

{¶ 12} On March 20, 2020, Staff filed comments on Duke's application. No other comments were filed.

{¶ 13} In its comments, Staff observes that Duke completed the AMRP in 2015 and that, as of 2016, it no longer has any bare steel or cast iron mains in its system. However, Staff notes that Duke will continue to incur costs related to the AMRP, such as depreciation and property tax expenses, and continue to reduce Rider AMRP by the annual operation and maintenance savings. Staff notes that, as a result, the Company will continue to file annual applications until the AMRP costs are included in its base rates in its next base rate case.

{¶ 14} Staff states that it has reviewed Duke's schedules and concludes that Duke has properly incorporated the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA) in the calculation of the revenue requirement. Staff states that, as part of the 2018 Rider AMRP filing, Staff discussed with Duke the amortization of Excess Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (EDIT). Staff represents that it supports the Company's proposal that amortization of EDIT begins upon the resolution of the Company's pending TCJA-related case, Case No. 18-1830-GA-UNC, for its natural gas operations. In its comments, Staff states that it has reviewed Duke's schedules and confirmed the balances of EDIT included in the application reflect the unamortized balances as of December 31, 2017.

{¶ 15} Duke proposes a revenue requirement of \$25,856,759.87 for the AMRP and \$237,175.83 for the RRP, for a total Rider AMRP revenue requirement of \$26,093,935.70. Staff

recommends that the Commission approve Duke's application as filed and authorize Duke to implement the proposed Rider AMRP rates.

{¶ 16} On March 27, 2020, Duke filed a statement indicating that there are no outstanding issues needed to be resolved in these proceedings. Duke states that it agrees with Staff's recommendation and specifically agrees that EDIT will be amortized in accordance with the outcome of Case No. 18-1830-GA-UNC.

{¶ 17} The Commission finds that Duke's application to adjust its Rider AMRP rates does not appear to be unjust or unreasonable, and thus, it is unnecessary to hold a hearing in these matters. Accordingly, the application should be approved, and Duke should be authorized to implement the proposed rates for Rider AMRP, consistent with this Finding and Order.

III. ORDER

{¶ 18} It is, therefore,

{¶ 19} ORDERED, That OCC's motion to intervene be granted. It is, further,

{¶ 20} ORDERED, That Duke's application to adjust its rates for Rider AMRP be approved. It is, further,

{¶ 21} ORDERED, That a copy of this Finding and Order be served upon each party of record.

COMMISSIONERS:

Approving:

Sam Randazzo, Chairman
M. Beth Trombold
Lawrence K. Friedeman
Daniel R. Conway
Dennis P. Deters

LLA/hac

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/22/2020 3:53:08 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-1769-GA-RDR, 19-1770-GA-ATA

Summary: Finding & Order approving the application filed by Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. regarding the adjustment of the company's accelerated main replacement program rider electronically filed by Heather A Chilcote on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio