

In the Matter of the Operations of)
Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.) Case No. 20-649-GA-UNC
During the Declared State of Emergency)
and Related Matters.)

On March 9, 2020, Governor DeWine declared a state of emergency to protect the health and safety of Ohioans from the dangerous effects of the coronavirus pandemic. These are extraordinary times, with severe health risks to Ohio families, closing businesses, lost jobs and wages, and more in Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio's ("Vectren") service area and throughout our great State. At this time of Governor DeWine's strong leadership rallying Ohioans to fight back against the virus, the PUCO's initiative in declaring an emergency to shield Ohioans from utility disconnections, door-to-door energy sales and more, is vitally important.

As part of the PUCO’s continued efforts, on March 12, 2020, it opened a docket and ordered the utilities to “ensure that utility service to customers is maintained during the state of emergency.”¹ The PUCO directed all utility companies to review their service disconnection and reconnection policies, practices, and tariffs and promptly seek approval to suspend requirements that may “impose a service continuity hardship on

¹ *In re the Proper Procedures and Process for the Commission's Operations and Proceedings During the Declared State of Emergency and Related Matters*, Case No. 20-591-AU-UNC, Entry (March 12, 2020); *see also* Entry (March 13, 2020).

residential and non-residential customers” or “create unnecessary COVID-19 risks associated with social contact.”²

Vectren filed a motion on March 24, 2020, seeking approval of its plan and associated relief, throughout the state of emergency.

The Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel (“OCC”) moves to intervene to protect the interests of 300,000 residential customers who will be impacted by Vectren’s plan.³ The PUCO should grant OCC’s motion to intervene for the reasons set forth in the attached memorandum in support.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973)
Ohio Consumers’ Counsel

/s/ Angela D. O’Brien
Angela D. O’Brien (0097579)
Counsel of Record
Amy Botschner O’Brien (0074423)
Assistant Consumers’ Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel
65 East State Street, 7th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
Telephone [O’Brien]: (614) 466-9531
Telephone [Botschner O’Brien]: (614) 466-9575
angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov
amy.botschner.obrien@occ.ohio.gov
(willing to accept service by e-mail)

² March 12 Entry at ¶7(b); March 13 Entry at ¶6(b).

³ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

In the Matter of the Operations of)
Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.) Case No. 20-649-GA-UNC
During the Declared State of Emergency)
and Related Matters.)

In the coming months and potentially years, many Ohioans will struggle to pay their utility bills. Those Ohioans with financial challenges will include customers who have historically paid their bills in full and on time but who may be unable to continue doing so following the economic fallout of the coronavirus pandemic. Ohio businesses and families will face financial burdens that were unforeseeable just a few months ago.

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") has statutory authority to represent the interests of Vectren's residential utility customers under R.C. Chapter 4911. R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a

⁵ See Vectren Motion, at Appendix A.

Public Utilities Commission (“PUCO”) proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Vectren’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers are unrepresented in a proceeding that will examine Vectren’s measures to address the coronavirus and Vectren’s plans to charge residential customers for a share of the costs of its plan. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings;
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC’s interest is representing the residential customers of Vectren where the Utility has a proposal seeking waivers from tariff obligations and the PUCO’s rules, including the waiver of re-connection and late-payment fees. A crucial part of the PUCO’s review in this proceeding will be to determine how the costs of these emergency measures should be funded and who should pay for each element of the emergency plan. This interest is different from that of any other party, and especially different from that of Vectren whose advocacy includes the financial interest of its shareholders. Additionally, the PUCO specifically requested input from “interested persons” to provide the PUCO with suggestions that it should consider

to avoid the imposition of a service continuity hardship on customers or the creation of unnecessary risks associated with social contact.⁶ As a representative of the residential customers of Vectren that are affected by the COVID-19 virus and state of emergency, the OCC is an “interested person.”

Second, OCC’s advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that customers should remain connected during this emergency and that they should not later be billed unjust and unreasonable charges as a result of Vectren’s emergency plan. OCC’s position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities’ rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC’s intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC’s intervention will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a “real and substantial interest” according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest where the PUCO will determine how to best protect Vectren’s

⁶ March 12 Entry at ¶10.

customers during this emergency and how much customers will later pay for Vectren's emergency plan.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider "The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Further, the Supreme Court of Ohio ("Court") confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.⁷

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Court for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

⁷ See *Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973)
Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Angela D. O'Brien

Angela D. O'Brien (0097579)

Counsel of Record

Amy Botschner O'Brien (0074423)

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, 7th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213

Telephone [O'Brien]: (614) 466-9531

Telephone [Botschner O'Brien] (614) 466-9575

angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov

amy.botschner.obrien@occ.ohio.gov

(willing to accept service by e-mail)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 13th day of April 2020.

/s/ Angela D. O'Brien

Angela D. O'Brien

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

The PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the following parties:

SERVICE LIST

john.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com

kennedy@whitt-sturtevant.com

fykes@whitt-sturtevant.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/13/2020 4:41:30 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-0649-GA-UNC

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by The Office of The Ohio Consumers' Counsel
electronically filed by Mrs. Tracy J Greene on behalf of O'Brien, Angela D