



DELIVERED VIA EMAIL

April 1, 2020

Ms. Sharon Schroder
Director Regulatory Operations
The Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, OH 45432

Re: Notification of CBP Auction Results

Dear Ms. Schroder:

Pursuant to the requirements of paragraph 16 of the March 11, 2020 Finding and Order of the Public Utility Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission"), please find attached a redacted version of the post-auction letter addressed to you (and cc'd to others) that the Auction Manager, CRA International, submitted on March 9, 2020 following the conclusion of The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L") Competitive Bidding Process Auction to procure supply for Standard Service Offer customers of DP&L.

Redactions have been updated pursuant to paragraph 16 of the Finding and Order cited above. Other than these changes, the attached redacted letter is no different than the letter sent to you on March 9th.

Sincerely yours,

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Lee", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Robert Lee
Vice President

cc:

Sam Randazzo, Chairman, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Tammy Turkenton, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Ray Strom, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Tim Benedict, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Attachment



DELIVERED BY EMAIL

CRA No. D21573

March 9, 2020

Ms. Sharon Schroder
Director Regulatory Operations
The Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, OH 45432

Re: Notification of CBP Auction Results

Dear Ms. Schroder:

This is to inform you that we have confirmed the results of the Competitive Bidding Process Auction ("Auction") to procure supply for Standard Service Offer (SSO) customers of The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L" or "Company"). The Auction began on Monday, March 9, 2020 and concluded the same day.

There are three tables attached to this letter.

- Table 1 summarizes the results of the Auction.
- Table 2 shows the number of tranches won for each winning bidder.
- Table 3 provides the Auction Manager's assessment of the conduct of the Auction.

In accordance with the Bidding Rules, winning bidders will be contacted directly by the Company to execute the Master SSO Supply Agreement no later than three (3) business days following the close of the Auction.

Sincerely yours,

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.



Robert J. Lee
Vice President

cc:

Sam Randazzo, Chairman, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
M. Beth Trombold, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Lawrence Friedeman, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Dennis Deters, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Daniel Conway, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Ray Strom, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Timothy Benedict, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Tamara Turkenton, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Tyler Teuscher, The Dayton Power and Light Company
Jessica Kellie, The Dayton Power and Light Company
Randall Griffin, The Dayton Power and Light Company
Nathan Parke, The Dayton Power and Light Company
Frank Mossburg, Bates White, LLC

Table 1. Summary of SSO Auction Results

		Delivery Period	
		June 1, 2020 to May 31, 2021	June 1, 2020 to May 31, 2022
Number of Registered Bidders	14		
Total initial eligibility of Registered Bidders (# tranches)			
Total initial eligibility divided by tranche target			
Number of bidders that submitted bids in round 1			
Number of tranches bid in round 1	215	80	135
Number of tranches bid in round 1 divided by tranche target	4.3	5.3	3.9
Number of tranches to procure in auction (tranche target)	50	15	35
Number of tranches procured in auction	50	15	35
Number of rounds in the auction	15		
Number of winning bidders	6	4	6
Starting price range (\$/MWh)		\$60 to \$80 per MWh	\$60 to \$80 per MWh
Starting price (\$/MWh)			
Clearing price (\$/MWh)		\$36.96	\$40.56

Table 2. Winning Bidders and Tranches Won

Winning Bidder	Number of Winning Tranches		
	Total	Delivery Period	
		June 1, 2020 to May 31, 2021	June 1, 2020 to May 31, 2022
AEP Energy Partners Inc.	4	1	3
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.	2	1	1
Exelon Generation Company, LLC	25	11	14
Hartree Partners, LP	5	0	5
NextEra Energy Marketing, LLC	10	0	10
TransAlta Energy Marketing (U.S.), Inc.	4	2	2
TOTAL	50	15	35

Table 3. Auction Manager's Assessment of the Conduct of the Auction

	Question	
1	Were the competitive bidding rules violated?	No
2	Does the Auction Manager believe the auction was open, fair, transparent, and competitive?	Yes
3	Did bidders have sufficient information to prepare for the auction?	Yes. Bidders received information from the competitive bidding process documents, the Information Website, questions-and-answers posted to the Information Website, and bidder information sessions.
4	Was the information generally provided to bidders in accordance with the published timetable? Was the timetable updated appropriately as needed?	Yes
5	Were there any issues and questions left unresolved prior to the auction that created material uncertainty for bidders?	We do not believe that there were any unresolved issues or questions that created material uncertainty for bidders.
6	Were there any procedural problems or errors with the auction, including the electronic bidding process, the back-up bidding process, and communications between bidders and the Auction Manager?	No
7	Were protocols for communication between bidders and the Auction Manager adhered to?	Yes
8	Were there any hardware or software problems or errors, either with the auction system or with its associated communications systems?	No
9	Were there any unanticipated delays during the auction?	No
10	Did unanticipated delays appear to adversely affect bidding in the auction?	No
11	Were appropriate data back-up procedures planned and carried out?	Yes
12	Were any security breaches observed with the auction process?	No

	Question	
13	Were protocols followed for communications among The Dayton Power and Light Company., the Auction Manager, the PUCO, and the PUCO's consultant during the auction?	Yes
14	Were the protocols followed for decisions regarding changes in auction parameters (e.g., volume adjustments and price decrements)?	Yes
15	Were the calculations (e.g., for price decrements or bidder eligibility) produced by the auction software double-checked or reproduced off-line by the Auction Manager?	Yes
16	Was there evidence of confusion or misunderstanding on the part of bidders that delayed or impaired the auction?	No
17	Were the communications between the Auction Manager and bidders timely and effective?	Yes
18	Was there evidence that bidders felt unduly rushed during the process?	No
19	Was there any evidence of collusion or improper coordination among bidders?	No
20	Was there any evidence of anti-competitive behavior in the auction?	No
21	Was information made public appropriately? Was confidential and sensitive information treated appropriately?	Yes
22	Were there factors exogenous to the auction (e.g., changes in market environment) that materially affected the auction in unanticipated ways?	There was uncertainty associated with the 2022/23 PJM BRA. The contract term was adjusted from 36 months to 24 months to mitigate any potential bidder concerns.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/1/2020 9:26:18 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-0957-EL-UNC

Summary: Notification of CBP Auction Results - Updated Redacted Version electronically filed by Mr. Timothy W Benedict on behalf of PUCO Staff