

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF
STEVEN JESKE,**

COMPLAINANT,

v.

CASE NO. 20-10-EL-CSS

**THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT
COMPANY,**

RESPONDENT.

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on March 10, 2020

{¶ 1} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice relating to any service furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory.

{¶ 2} The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L or Respondent) is a public utility, as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

{¶ 3} On January 3, 2020, Steven Jeske (Complainant) initiated a complaint against DP&L, alleging DP&L had overcharged him approximately 3512 kWh over a four-month period for electric service.¹ Mr. Jeske further asserts the overcharges were due to a faulty meter that was subsequently replaced in November of 2019. While Mr. Jeske does acknowledge the Respondent provided him a credit of \$159.33, he contends this credit is insufficient and requests that DP&L provide him an additional \$140.67, for a total credit of \$300.00, which he deems to be a more appropriate amount.

{¶ 4} Thereafter, on January 27, 2020, DP&L filed an answer to the complaint, denying a number of the allegations and asserting several affirmative defenses.

¹ The complaint service letter was sent to DP&L on January 6, 2020.

{¶ 5} By Entry issued February 10, 2020, the attorney examiner scheduled a settlement conference to be held on March 25, 2020, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission.

{¶ 6} On March 9, 2020, Complainant filed correspondence requesting that the settlement conference be conducted telephonically. In support of his request, Complainant explains that he has just started a new and demanding project and is unsure whether he would be able to take the day off of work to attend the settlement conference.

{¶ 7} At this time, the attorney examiner finds that the settlement conference should be conducted telephonically. An attorney examiner from the Commission's Legal Department will contact the parties at the time of the scheduled conference. However, the attorney examiner also notes that, if this case proceeds to an evidentiary hearing, the hearing will take place at the offices of the Commission in Columbus, Ohio, consistent with Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-27.

{¶ 8} It is, therefore,

{¶ 9} ORDERED, That the settlement conference be conducted telephonically in accordance with Paragraph 7. It is, further,

{¶ 10} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon the parties and all interested persons of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/ Megan J. Addison

By: Megan J. Addison
Attorney Examiner

JRJ/mef

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/10/2020 1:25:05 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-0010-EL-CSS

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry ordering the settlement conference be held telephonically. electronically filed by Ms. Mary E Fischer on behalf of Megan J. Addison, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio