THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILM STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT DELIVERED IN THE REGULAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING. CAMERA OPERATOR CHURCH ALL COMPANIES PROCESSED — 4-87.

File

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

DOCKETING DIVISION PUBLICUTILITIES COMMISSION OF ORIC

In the Matter of the Complaint of)
The Suburban Fuel Gas, Inc.,)
Complainant.)
v.) Case No. 86-1747-GA-CSS
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.,)
Respondent)
Relat ve to various alleged)
violations of the Ohio Revised Code)

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING
OF THE
OFFICE OF THE CONSUMERS COUNSEL

WILLIAM A. SPRATLEY CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Evelyn R. Robinson Trial Attorney Margaret Ann Samuels Associate Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Consumers' Counsel 137 East State Street Columbus, Onio 43266-0550 (614) 466-8574

Date: September 3, 1987

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FI STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DO MANT DELIVERED BY THE REGULAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHIC CAMERA OPERATOR CARE PLANTS OF THE PROCESSED STATE OF TH

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPPOTOGRAPH OPERATING ON THIS FILM STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT DELIVERED IN THE REGITAR COURSE OF BISINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING.

CAMERA OPERATOR Chocy Milliam Datt. PROCESSED 7- 7-87

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complain, of)
The Suburban Fuel Gas, Inc.,
Complai ant)

V. Case No. 86-1747-GA-CSS
Respendent,)
Relative to various alleged)
Violations of the Ohi Revised Code.)

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING
OF THE
OFFICE OF THE CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Consumers' Counsel (OCC), having entered an appearance in this proceeding on behalf of residential customers of both The Suburban Fuel Gas, Inc. (Suburban) and Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. (CGOH), and, pursuant to Section 4903.10, Ohio Rev. Code, applies for rehearing with respect to the matters set forth below, the matters having been determined by the Commission in its Opinion and Order entered in its Journal on August 4. 1987. OCC asser's that the Commission errec as follows:

- 1. The Commission erred in finding that it is appropriate for CGOH .o offer CTAPA acrangements to existing and new customers as such arrangement are reasonable to maintain exsiting load and to attract new load.
- The Commission erred in finding that Suburban did not meet its burden of proving that the CTAPA arrangements ar unreasonable.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILE BOOK STRIP IS AN ACCUPATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE BOOK MINIT THELIVERED BY THE REGILAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PROTOGRAPHING CAMERA OPERATION HOLD THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILE BOOK AND THE PROCESSED TO THE PROCESSED

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILM STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT DELIVERED IN THE RECITAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING. CAMERA OPERATOR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING.

These particular errors are more fully explained in the attached Memorandum.

Respectfully submitted.

WILLIAM A. SPRATLEY CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Evelyn R. Robinson
Trial Attorney
Margaret Ann Samuels
Associate Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Consumers' Counsel 137 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550 (614) 466-8574 HIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FI STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE IX MINIT DELIVERED IN THE REGULAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHICAMERA OPERATORY FIRST HEALTH AND COMPERATORY FIRST HAVE PROCESSED FOR PHOTOGRAPHICAMERA OPERATORY FIRST HAVE A COMPETED FOR CESSED FOR PHOTOGRAPHICAMERA OPERATORY FIRST HAVE MICROPHOTOGRAPHICAMERA OPERATORY FIRST HAVE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FIRST CONTRACT OF A CASE FILE IX

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS IS STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE IS MINIT DELIVERED BY THE REGILLAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPH COMPERA OF BRATTORY STREET, THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH PROCESSED STREET, THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS IS STREET, THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILM STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT DELIVERED IN THE RECULAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING. CAMERA OPERATOR CASE OF A CASE OF A

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Suburban Fuel Gas, Inc. (Suburban) filed a complaint against Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. (CGOH) on August 29, 1986. A hearing was held on May 7, 1987. The Commission issued its Opinion and Order on August 4, 1987. That Opinion and Order was in error in two respects.

I.

First, the Commission erred in finding that it is appropriate for Columbia to offer its Competitive Transportation and Agency Purchase Agreements to existing and new customers as such arrangements are reasonable arrangements to maintain existing load and to attract new load. Opinion and Order at 19. The Commission noted that the CTAPA arrangements allow CGOH to serve load that it otherwise would not serve (Id.).

OCC submits that, even in the competitive environment of the gas industry, the CTAPA arrangements are unlawfully discriminatory. As the record clearly indicates, the CTAPA arrangements are not offered to all general service customers who are similarly situated, i.e., those to whom alternate sources of energy are available. Instead, the record shows that CGOH has offered the CTAPA arrangement on a selective basis. This selective offering of more favorable rates than

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILM STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT DELIVERED IN THE REGULAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING. CAMERA OPERATOR CHURCH MICROPHOTOGRAPHING.

specified under CGOH's filed tariffs constitutes unlawful discrimination.

Moreover, CGOH's CTAPA arrangements are in direct contravention of the purpose of Order No. 436 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). The purpose of Order No. 436 is to permit non-discriminatory access to the gas pipeline and to ensure that the benefits of competitively priced gas are available to all gas consumers without discrimination. This purpose was adopted pursuant to the mandate of the U.S. Court of Appeals in Maryland People's Counsel v. FERC, 761 F.24 768, 761 F.2d 780, and 768 F.2d 450 (all D.C. Cir. 1985).

In addition, the CTAPA arrangements are contrary to the principles enunicated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in Consolidated Gas Transmission Corp. et al., 36 FERC 61,273; rehearing 39 FERC 61,112 (1987). In that case, FERC rejected a special discounted transportation rate proposed by Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation as being unduly discriminatory against existing customers who would not be eligible to apply for the same service and discounted transportation rate. 36 FERC at 61,668; 39 FERC at 61,419. The CTAPA program as operated by CGOH is similarly discriminatory toward residential customers who have no choice

Regulation of Natural Gas Pipelines After Partial Wellhead Decontrol. <u>FERC Stats. and Regs.</u>, Regulations Preambles 1982-1935 ¶30.665 (1985).

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILM STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT DELIVERED IN THE REGITAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING. CAMERA OPERATOR TO THE PROPERTY OF TH

but to pay the higher costs of CGOH's tariff and GCR, including the excise tax component.

For these reasons, OCC believes that the CTAPA arrangements are inherently, unlawfully discriminatory and should cease.

II.

Finally, the Commission erred by finding that Suburban has not met its burden of proving that the CTAPA arrangements are not reasonable. Given that OCC believes that the record evidence clearly shows that the CTAPA arrangement are discriminatory. OCC believes that the record evidence, therefore, shows that such arrangements are unreasonable.

III.

For the foregoing reasons, OCC requests that the Commission grant this Application for Rehearing and modify its Opinion and Order.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM A. SPRATLEY CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Evelyn R. Robinson
Trial Attorney
Margaret Ann Samuels
Associate Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Consumers' Counsel 137 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550 (614) 466-8574

~6~

STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE IS STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE IS MENT DELIVERED IN THE REGILAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPH CANERA OPERATOR FILE IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE IS AN ACCURATE AND ACCURATE A

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE MICROPHOTOGRAPH APPEARING ON THIS FILM STRIP IS AN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE REPRODUCTION OF A CASE FILE DOCUMENT DELIVERED IN THE REGULAR COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR PHOTOGRAPHING. CAMERA OPERATOR CASE MILE AND DATE PROCESSED 7-4-87

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of this Application for Rehearing of the Office of the Consumers' Counsel have been served by first class mail, postage prepaid, or hand delivered to the following parties of record this 3rd day of September, 1987.

> Margaret Ann Samuels Associate Consumers Counsel

PARTIES OF RECORD

Kenneth W. Christman. Esq. Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. P.O. Box 117 Columbus, Ohio 43216-0117

David L. Pemberton, Esq. Muldoon, Pemberton & Ferris 2733 West Dublin-Granville Rd. Worthington, Ohio 43085-2710

Robert S. Tongren, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Chief, Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573 This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/10/2020 12:38:42 PM

in

Case No(s). 86-1747-GA-CSS

Summary: Application Application for rehearing of the OCC filed by E. Robinson. electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing