

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REVIEW OF THE
DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER
CONTAINED IN THE TARIFF OF OHIO
POWER COMPANY.

CASE NO. 20-169-EL-RDR

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on February 13, 2020

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission directs Staff to issue a request for proposal for audit services to assist the Commission with the review of the accounting accuracy, prudence, and compliance of Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio with its Commission-approved distribution investment rider.

II. DISCUSSION

{¶ 2} Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio (AEP Ohio) is an electric distribution utility as defined in R.C. 4928.01(A)(6) and a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 3} R.C. 4928.141 provides that an EDU shall provide consumers within its certified territory a standard service offer (SSO) of all competitive retail electric services necessary to maintain essential electric services to customers, including a firm supply of electric generation services. The SSO may be either a market rate offer in accordance with R.C. 4928.142 or an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with R.C. 4928.143.

{¶ 4} On August 8, 2012, the Commission issued its Opinion and Order in Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., which approved, with certain modifications, AEP Ohio's application for an ESP, effective with the first billing cycle of September 2012 through May 31, 2015. *In re Columbus Southern Power Co. and Ohio Power Co.*, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al. (ESP 2 Case), Opinion and Order (Aug. 8, 2012). Among other provisions of the ESP, the Commission modified and approved AEP Ohio's proposed distribution investment rider (DIR) to allow for the recovery of capital costs for distribution infrastructure investments in

order to facilitate improved service reliability. Additionally, the Commission required that the DIR be reviewed annually for accounting accuracy and prudence. *ESP 2 Case* at 46-47.

{¶ 5} In Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., the Commission modified and approved an ESP for AEP Ohio, including modification and approval of continuation of the DIR, for the period of June 1, 2015, through May 31, 2018. *In re Ohio Power Co.*, Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Feb. 25, 2015) at 45-47, Second Entry on Rehearing (May 28, 2015) at 23-24, Fourth Entry on Rehearing (Nov. 3, 2016) at 50-51.

{¶ 6} In Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO, et al., the Commission modified and approved AEP Ohio's ESP, pursuant to a stipulation and recommendation, for the period June 1, 2018, through May 31, 2024, including the continuation of the DIR subject to certain conditions, including specific DIR annual revenue caps through December 31, 2021, unless such caps are revised by the Commission in a base rate case. *In re Ohio Power Co.*, Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Apr. 25, 2018) at ¶ 46.

{¶ 7} To assist the Commission with the annual audit of AEP Ohio's DIR costs, the Commission directs Staff to issue the request for proposal (RFP) for audit services attached to this Entry.

{¶ 8} All proposals submitted pursuant to the RFP are due by March 13, 2020. In order to demonstrate the ability to perform the services required in the RFP, the proposal must show, in detail, the auditor's understanding of the project and the work required. Each proposal must address, with specificity, how the auditor will handle all of the issues in the RFP. The auditor must demonstrate that it will be able to perform the required services, showing its clear understanding of the tasks to be completed, the experience and qualifications of the personnel who will perform the work, and the anticipated breakdown of costs and timing. The selection criteria to be used by the Commission to determine the selection of the auditor shall be the technical and management capabilities of each firm, as well as the overall cost of each bid.

{¶ 9} AEP Ohio shall directly contract with the auditor chosen by the Commission and bear the costs of the audit services solicited in the RFP.

{¶ 10} The Commission shall select and solely direct the work of the auditor. Staff will review and approve payment invoices submitted by the auditor.

{¶ 11} The auditor shall perform its audit and investigation as an independent contractor. Any conclusions, results, or recommendations formulated by the auditor may be examined by any participant to this proceeding. Further, it shall be understood that the Commission and/or Staff shall not be liable for any acts committed by the auditor or its agents in the preparation and presentation of the report.

{¶ 12} The auditor will execute its duties pursuant to the Commission's statutory authority to investigate and acquire records, contracts, reports, and other documentation under R.C. 4903.02, 4903.03, 4905.06, 4905.15, and 4905.16. The auditor is subject to the Commission's statutory duty under R.C. 4901.16, which provides:

Except in his report to the public utilities commission or when called on to testify in any court or proceeding of the public utilities commission, no employee or agent referred to in Section 4905.13 of the Revised Code shall divulge any information acquired by him in respect to the transaction, property, or business of any public utility, while acting or claiming to act as such employee or agent. Whoever violates this section shall be disqualified from acting as agent, or acting in any other capacity under the appointment or employment of the commission.

{¶ 13} Once disclosure is permitted by R.C. 4901.16, the following process applies to the release of any document or information marked as confidential. Three days' prior notice of intent to disclose shall be provided to the party claiming confidentiality. Three days after such notice, Staff or the auditor may disclose or otherwise make use of such documents or information for any lawful purpose, unless the Commission receives a request for a

protective order pertaining to such documents or information within the three-day notice period. The three-day notice period will be computed according to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-07.

{¶ 14} Upon request of the auditor or of Staff, AEP Ohio shall provide any and all documents or information requested. AEP Ohio may conspicuously mark such documents or information “confidential” if AEP Ohio believes the document should be deemed as such. In no event, however, shall AEP Ohio refuse or delay in providing such documents or information.

III. ORDER

{¶ 15} It is, therefore,

{¶ 16} ORDERED, That Staff issue the RFP attached to this Entry and that March 13, 2020, be set as the due date for proposals in response to the RFP. It is, further,

{¶ 17} ORDERED, That, in accordance with Paragraph 9, AEP Ohio bear the cost of the audit services of the contractor chosen by the Commission. It is, further,

{¶ 18} ORDERED, That AEP Ohio and the contractor shall observe the requirements set forth herein. It is, further,

{¶ 19} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

COMMISSIONERS:

Approving:

Sam Randazzo, Chairman
M. Beth Trombold
Lawrence K. Friedeman
Daniel R. Conway

GNS/hac

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NO. RA20-CA-1

**A COMPLIANCE AUDIT
OF THE DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER OF
OHIO POWER COMPANY**

Issued by:

**THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio
43215-3793**

PROPOSAL DUE: March 13, 2020

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. PURPOSE.....	1
III. SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION.....	2
IV. TIMELINE.....	4
V. DEADLINES AND DELIVERABLES.....	5
VI. MINIMUM CONTENTS OF PROPOSAL.....	7
VII. REVIEW CRITERIA.....	9
VIII. OTHER PROPOSAL CRITERIA.....	10
IX. QUESTIONS.....	14

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 8, 2012, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission or PUCO) issued an Opinion and Order in *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan*, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., which modified and approved an electric security plan (ESP) for Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio (AEP Ohio or Company), effective with the first billing cycle in September 2012 through May 31, 2015.

On February 25, 2015, the Commission modified and approved a subsequent application for an ESP filed by AEP Ohio for the period of June 1, 2015 through May 31, 2018. *In re Ohio Power Co.*, Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order (Feb. 25, 2015).

On April 25, 2018, the Commission modified and approved a subsequent extension application for an ESP filed by AEP Ohio for the period of June 1, 2018 through May 31, 2024. *In re Ohio Power Co.*, Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO, et. al., Opinion and Order (Apr. 25, 2018).

In these aforementioned Opinion and Orders, the Commission approved a Distribution Investment Rider (DIR). Through the DIR, AEP Ohio may recover property taxes, Commercial Activity Tax, and associated income taxes and earn a return on and of plant in-service associated with distribution net investment associated with Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Plant Accounts 360-374. The net capital additions to be included in the DIR reflect gross plant in-service after August 31, 2010, as adjusted for accumulated depreciation. Capital additions recovered through other riders authorized by the Commission to recover distribution capital additions will be identified and excluded from the DIR. The maximum annual revenue authorized to be collected through the DIR was also established.

II. PURPOSE

In accordance with the Opinion and Orders in Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 13-2385-EL-SSO, and 16-1852-EL-SSO, the Commission is seeking proposals to review the accounting accuracy, prudence, and compliance of AEP Ohio with its approved DIR with regards to in-service net capital additions since the last DIR compliance audit. This review is to include the DIR quarterly filings for 2019. The final 2019 quarterly DIR filing will be submitted on or about May 19, 2020. Capital additions recovered through other riders, as authorized by the Commission, will be identified and excluded from the DIR. The auditor's review shall also include an identification, quantification, and explanation of any significant net plant increase within individual accounts.

The auditor shall be familiar with and comply with all:

- GAAP.
- FERC Uniform System of Accounts.

- Various accounting and tax changes or decisions issued in 2015 - 2019 (e.g. “Repair Tax”).
- The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017.

III. SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION

The auditor’s investigation shall determine if AEP Ohio has implemented its DIR in compliance with the Opinion and Orders issued in Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., and 16-1852-EL-SSO, et al.

A. GENERAL PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

The auditor selected shall:

- Review Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 13-2385-EL-SSO, and 16-1852-EL-SSO.
- Read all applicable testimony and associated work papers.
- Review Plant-in-Service related provisions contained within the Orders in Case Nos. 11-351-EL-AIR and 11-352-EL-AIR.
- Obtain and review all additions, retirements, transfers, and adjustments to current date value of plant in service that have occurred for the actual year ended December 31, 2019.
- Verification with FERC Form 1 for year 2019.
- Obtain and review all appropriate documentation relating to the Company’s compliance with its PUCO-approved DIR.
- Obtain and review all appropriate documentation related to compliance with the Annual Compliance Audit Reports and the Commission’s Finding and Orders in Case No. 14-255-EL-RDR, 15-66-EL-RDR, and 16-21-EL-RDR.
- Obtain and review all appropriate documentation related to the Annual Compliance Audits in Case No. 17-38-EL-RDR and 18-230-EL-RDR. The review should encompass not only the audit report and work papers but also the areas recommended for further focus in the Reply Comments of the Staff of the PUCO.
- Obtain and review all appropriate documentation related to the Annual Compliance Audit in Case No. 19-65-EL-RDR. The review should encompass not only the audit report and work papers but also the areas recommended for further focus in the Reply Comments of the Staff of the PUCO.
- Verification of the used and usefulness of incremental plant in-service including but not limited to analysis of the useful life of plant that has been replaced and rationale for replacement (e.g., defective equipment, probability of asset failure, equipment upgrade, etc.).
- Determine if replacement of infrastructure before the end of its useful life was justified and/or prudent.

- Review all changes in capitalization policy and assess impact on the DIR, previously authorized recovery as part of base rates, and impact on operation and maintenance expenses.
- Assess the Company's utilization of tax changes and provisions and verification of their appropriate treatment within the DIR. Estimate foregone tax reduction opportunities and evaluate impact on the DIR. Confirm implementation of any opportunities.
- Assess the Company's continued implementation of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, as approved by the Commission on October 3, 2018 in Case No. 18-1451-EL-ATA.
- Evaluate the Company's method for comparing and prioritizing DIR programs and projects with respect to improving or maintaining reliability. Include an examination of cost effectiveness of potential projects, i.e. quantification of the magnitude of potential reliability benefits relative to the cost of each project.
- Review capital spend for each DIR program, assessing whether spending in any given program exceeded what is needed to meet and maintain current reliability standards.

B. ROLE OF THE AUDITOR

Any auditor who is chosen by the Commission to perform an audit expressly agrees to perform his or her audit as an independent contractor. Any conclusions, results, or recommendations formulated by the auditor may be examined by any participant to the proceeding for which the audit report was generated. Further, it shall be understood that the Commission and/or its Staff shall not be liable for any acts committed by the auditor or its agents in the preparation and presentation of the audit reports. If a rate case is filed during the course of the audit, the auditor will coordinate with Staff in order to foster efficiency with matters such as records reviews.

C. PUCO STAFF SUPERVISION

The PUCO Staff will oversee the project. Staff personnel shall be informed of all correspondence between the auditor selected and the Company and shall be given at least three working days' notice of all meetings and interviews with the Company to allow Staff the opportunity to attend. The auditor shall meet with PUCO Staff no less than once a week through the duration of the audit, unless otherwise agreed to by Staff. These meetings may occur via telephone. If a rate case is filed during the course of the audit, the auditor will be expected to coordinate with Staff in order to foster efficiency with matters such as records reviews.

D. COST OF AUDIT AND QUOTATION OF CHARGES

The proposed cost of the audit shall include all expenses associated with conducting the audit and presenting the findings and recommendations in the audit report. A detailed presentation of costs shall be provided, broken down by phase/task, in conformance with this Request for Proposal (RFP). The proposed costs shall be considered firm prices for performing the work described in the proposal.

E. COST OF PRESENTING EXPERT TESTIMONY

The proposed cost of the audit shall include actual costs associated with serving as an expert witness before the Commission during the applicable hearing, including time and materials. These expenses will be billed separately from the cost of the audit. Expenses associated with the presentation of testimony will include the following:

- Actual transportation expense (i.e., airfare, etc.)
- Actual living expenses (hotels, meals, local transportation)
- Actual preparation time, up to 8 hours per witness
- Actual hours spent in travel
- Actual hours spent presenting testimony

IV. TIMELINE

The timeline presented below is intended to provide the auditor an understanding of the timeframe during which the audit is to be conducted. Although precise dates are used below, the actual dates for awarding the audit and for conducting audit and hearing activities may vary somewhat when they are set through a subsequent Commission entry.

Audit proposals due	March 13, 2020
Award audit (Commission Order)	March 25, 2020
Audit Conducted	March 25, 2020 through September 1, 2020
Draft audit report presented to Staff	August 14, 2020
Final audit report filed with Commission	September 1, 2020

V. DEADLINES AND DELIVERABLES

A. AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS

The Company shall provide any and all documents or information requested by the auditor selected and the Commission Staff. The Company may conspicuously mark such documents or information as being “confidential.” In no event, however, shall the Company refuse or delay to provide such documents or information.

The Staff or the auditor selected shall not publicly disclose any document marked “confidential” by the Company, except upon three days’ prior notice of intent to disclose served upon the Company’s counsel. Three days after such notice, the Staff or auditor may disclose or otherwise make use of such documents or information for any purpose, unless the Company moves the Commission for a protective order pertaining to such documents or information within the three-day notice period.

The three-day notice period will be computed according to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-07. Service shall be complete upon mailing or delivery in person.

B. FISCAL REPORTS

The auditor will submit invoices when work is 50 percent complete and when work is 100 percent complete unless a more frequent interval is agreed to by Staff. The invoices shall include details regarding the dates and activities covered by each invoice, and shall be sufficiently detailed to allow Staff to identify the work completed, the time spent in each billable activity by the personnel involved, and the corresponding charges in relation to the activity schedule originally set forth in the auditor’s proposal. All invoices are to be sent to the Company and copies are to be sent to the PUCO Staff.

After approval of the invoice by the Commission Staff, the Company will be authorized to make payment.

The Company shall be ordered by the Commission to enter into a contract, which shall incorporate by reference all provisions of this proposal, with the auditor chosen by the Commission to perform the audit. Auditors shall submit a copy of this contract agreement between the auditor and Company to the Staff member assigned to the audit.

C. INTERIM REPORTING

At the midpoint of the audit activities, the auditor selected will provide a progress report to Staff. This report will briefly describe progress made on required audit activities, as well as initial/tentative findings and conclusions on issues investigated

to date. Unless otherwise requested by the PUCO Staff, this interim report may be made verbally.

D. DRAFT REPORT

Two copies of a draft of the final audit report shall be sent to the Commission Staff at least ten days prior to the due date of the final audit report. The report may be sent electronically if agreed to, in advance, by Staff.

E. FINAL REPORT

One copy of the final audit report plus one unbound reproducible original shall be delivered to the Commission by the morning of the date specified in Section IV. The final report should include an executive summary of recommendations in addition to a detailed section supporting all conclusions provided for in the executive summary. The final docketed report should contain an overview of the investigation, recommended adjustments, if any, and an attestation by the auditor that the financial information contained in the audit report is from a reliable source. Along with the docketed audit report, the auditor should deliver to Staff one hard copy of the audit report and a flash drive containing a complete version of the final audit report. If it is necessary to prepare a redacted report, due to confidentiality concerns, two copies of a non-redacted report shall also be provided to both the Commission and the Company. The non-redacted report shall clearly be labeled “confidential.”

F. WORKING PAPERS

A complete set of working papers is an integral part of the audit requirements. With the final audit report, the auditor selected shall deliver to the Commission Staff one flash drive containing a complete set of working papers that contain documents used and procedures followed to develop the conclusions set forth in the audit report. Working papers should include the Company’s name, case number, description (items in folder), and source documents. Plant work papers should include a copy of the work order, location, age, and value of the property. Plant information should include an explanation of the adjustment, if applicable, and a picture of the inspected project. Voluminous documents may be included only as references in the working papers, upon Staff’s agreement. Confidential documents should be clearly marked and provided in a separate section of the working papers.

The auditor selected shall maintain working papers and document all supporting information, including, without limitation, meetings, interviews, or any pertinent information. The auditor selected shall utilize Staff’s data request procedures, utilizing a Microsoft Outlook Public Folder or similar system accessible to and approved by Staff, for issuing information requests and recording responses. All text documents shall be word searchable. All data and formulas should be created and maintained in fully accessible Excel sheets.

G. PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

At any time, upon request of the Commission or its Staff, the auditor selected shall immediately produce any document or information obtained or produced within the scope of the audit.

H. TESTIMONY

The auditor shall present expert testimony during the course of any hearing at which the audit report is considered. The individual providing testimony will be one or more persons who conducted or directed the audit activities being considered at any hearing.

VI. MINIMUM CONTENTS OF PROPOSAL

Each proposal shall contain page numbers and a Table of Contents. In a separate section, reflected in the Table of Contents, the following information shall be provided:

- A. Name, mailing address, and telephone number of individual to contact if further information is desired.
- B. An indication of how the bidder plans to incorporate the Staff's participation in the proposed work plan.
- C. The name(s) of all subcontractors to be used in the performance of the proposed work, identification of the specific items to be performed/provided by the subcontractor, and the cost of the proposed subcontractor's work; or if no subcontractors are to be used, the entry "Subcontractor – none." (All such subcontractors indicated in the proposal will be acknowledged as accepted by the Commission upon selection of the proposal for contract awarded unless the auditor is previously notified of the contrary. No addition, deletion, or substitution of subcontractors will be permitted during the course of the contract unless approved in advance by the Commission Staff in writing.) If subcontractors are proposed, all information required in this section shall be supplied for each subcontractor proposed. Such information shall be supplied in a format parallel to the overall format specified for the contractor.
- D. The following required Equal Employment Opportunity data must be provided for the auditor and each subcontractor:
 - 1. The total number of employees;
 - 2. The percentage of the total which are women;
 - 3. The percentage of the total which are Black, Hispanic, Asian, or American Indian (please specify);

4. The total number of employees located in Ohio offices;
 5. The percentage of the Ohio total which are women;
 6. The percentage of the Ohio total which are Black, Hispanic, Asian, or American Indian (please specify);
 7. The number of individuals to be assigned to the project;
 8. The percentage of the total assigned which are women;
 9. The percentage of the total assigned which are Black, Hispanic, Asian, or American Indian (please specify).
- E. A listing of contracts the auditor and each subcontractor has with the State of Ohio and:
1. Name of the state agency(s) for each contract;
 2. The cost of each contract;
 3. The duration of each current contract.
- F. A listing of the auditor's and each subcontractor's clients which may have a financial interest in the Company or its affiliates. Auditors maintaining any present or ongoing contracts or agreements with the Company or its affiliates may, at the discretion of the PUCO, be disqualified by reason of possible conflict of interest. In the proposal, such contracts should be described in sufficient detail that the PUCO can determine whether a conflict of interest exists. A response indicating that this information will be provided on request or that such contracts are too numerous to enumerate will be cause for disqualification of the auditor.
- G. A listing of all the auditor's and each subcontractor's offices, facilities, and equipment to be used in performance under this contract and their locations including a specification of offices, facilities, and equipment located in Ohio. If none, indicate "None."
- H. A statement of financial responsibility including certification that the auditor, joint partners if the auditor is a conglomerate operation, and any subcontractors have no outstanding liens or claims against them.
- I. Contact persons that the Commission or its Staff may call to receive an assessment of the auditor's, and each subcontractor's, previous performance. References should be provided for the company or companies proposing and for the individuals

designated as principals for the project. The information required for each reference is as follows:

- Name of individual to contact for reference
 - Company/Facility which employed the individual
 - Telephone number
 - Whether reference is for the company or a principal
 - Project or work for which reference is given
- J. A description of the proposed scope of work to be performed including a work plan, expected deliverable products and task timing. In a separately numbered section, the auditor will provide a detailed cost breakdown by phase/task of the work plan including the class of personnel performing each phase/task of the work, the hourly rate charged for each class, the number of hours charged for each class, an equivalent breakdown of all subcontracted work, any direct or indirect cost items which the auditor plans to charge, and the total cost.
- K. Identification, by name, of the lead personnel to be employed, the extent of their involvement in the project, and a description of how the proposed personnel's experience matches project requirements. Contract terms will not permit substitution of lead personnel without prior written approval of the Commission. Identification of lead personnel in the cost proposal will not constitute satisfactory compliance with this requirement.
- L. A description of the qualifications, experience, and proven results achieved by all professional lead or significant personnel to be employed on the project, with a summary of work performed on projects similar to the one contemplated by this RFP including specific references. The Commission reserves the right to request samples of prior relevant work from any auditor prior to making its final consultant selection.
- M. Identification by name and title and the hourly rate of pay and all other related costs of the individual or individuals who will present expert testimony before the Commission during the appropriate hearing.

VII. REVIEW CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated on a basis which includes the following criteria:

A. COMPLIANCE WITH MINIMUM CONTENTS REQUIREMENTS

Lack of satisfactory response to the Minimum Contents Requirements will be grounds for elimination of any proposal from further consideration.

B. COST

The total proposed contract price is specified in the proposal. Auditors are encouraged to provide as competitive a bid as is practicable.

C. UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT

Whether the entity bidding on the project has grasped intent of the project; is knowledgeable of the technical aspects required; indicates understanding of potential problems; demonstrates understanding of regulatory issues, trends, and perspectives; and the work plan indicates appropriate phasing. Whether the method of handling the project is indicated, the proposal reflects thorough understanding of project requirements, the methods appear realistic under stated time constraints, and innovative methodologies appear appropriate to the project. The proposal is responsive to the RFP.

D. EXPERIENCE OF PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO PROJECT AND RELATED ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE

Relevant experience in field, qualified to undertake assignment. References of previous clients/projects provided.

E. TIMELINES

Demonstrated ability to meet stated deadline; realistic timelines provided; demonstrated proven results of lead personnel.

VIII. OTHER PROPOSAL CRITERIA

A. RELEVANCE

The auditor shall include only relevant information and pertinent exhibits in the proposal. Duplication of materials provided in the RFP, exhaustive resumes, inclusion of standard company promotional materials, etc. will not garner additional points in the evaluation process and may detract from the clarity and conciseness of the proposal.

B. PROPRIETARY DATA IN PROPOSAL

Submissions to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio become public documents available to open inspection. Proprietary data in a proposal will also assume this stature. Therefore, discretionary action is recommended for any proprietary data to be submitted in proposals.

C. DUE DATE AND SUBMITTAL ADDRESS

Any proposal submitted hereunder must be received at the following address no later than 5:00 P.M. on March 13, 2020. The proposal should be sent in a sealed container, clearly marked and addressed to:

Doris McCarter
Response to RFP No. RA20-CA-1
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
3rd Floor, 180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

An electronic copy may also be sent to doris.mccarter@puco.ohio.gov and devin.mackey@puco.ohio.gov. Such electronic copy shall not fulfill the requirement for submitting paper responses.

Note: By responding to this request for proposal, the proposer expressly accepts and is bound by all the terms thereof including all attachments, exhibits, and schedules.

D. COPIES

Three copies of the proposal are to be submitted to the Commission.

E. CONTRACTOR REQUIREMENTS AND MINORITY PARTICIPATION

The PUCO, in awarding the contract, will give preference to Ohio contractors. Ohio contractors include not only established domestic companies actively doing business in Ohio but also encompass multi-state companies with headquarters outside of Ohio but with substantial commitments of offices, divisions, and facilities within the state. The Commission will give preference to proposals that demonstrate compliance with minority and women EEO criteria.

F. LATE PROPOSALS

A proposal is late if received at any time after the due date set for receipt of the proposals. A late proposal will be considered along with other proposals only if it is received before the evaluation of proposals has, in the sole opinion of the Commission, substantially progressed, and then only if one of the three following conditions exists:

1. Mail delay – The lateness is due solely to a delay in the mail when the response has been sent by registered or certified mail for which an official dated postmark on the original receipt has been obtained.
2. Commission error – If it is received by a reasonable means at the Commission in sufficient time to be delivered at the office designated for the opening and would have been received at such office except the delay due to mishandling at the Commission. Only an appropriate date or time stamp showing the time of the receipt will be accepted as evidence of timely receipt of the proposal.
3. Exceptions – Any other late proposal will not be considered, unless it is the only proposal received or in the sole judgment of the Commission it offers some important technical or scientific advantage that is of benefit to the Commission.

G. MODIFICATION OR WITHDRAWAL OF PROPOSAL

Any proposal may be modified or withdrawn upon written request of the auditor if such request is received by the Commission at the above address by the date set for receipt of original proposals.

H. MODIFICATION OR WITHDRAWAL OF THIS RFP

This Request for Proposal may be modified or withdrawn at any time prior to the time set for receipt of proposals and thereafter as long as no proposal has been opened. Upon any such modification or withdrawal, all bidders will be notified and any person or firm who has expressly requested such notice in writing will also be notified of such changes at the discretion of the Commission.

I. RIGHT TO REJECT ANY AND ALL PROPOSALS

The Commission reserves the right, without limitation or discussion with those submitting proposals, to reject any and all proposals.

J. PENALTY FOR DIVULGING INFORMATION

The auditor selected shall abide by all provisions of R.C. 4901.16, which states; “Except in his report to the public utilities commission or when called on to testify in any court or proceeding of the public utilities commission, no employee or agent referred to in section 4905.13 of the Revised Code shall divulge any information acquired by him in respect to the transaction, property, or business of any public utility, while acting or claiming to act as such employee or agent. Whoever violates this section shall be disqualified from acting as agent, or acting in any other capacity under the appointment or employment of the commission.”

The auditor shall not divulge any information regarding its audit activities to the media or to any other entity, except in its report and testimony before the Commission, before, during, and/or after the audit. All comments or concerns that the auditor wants to address shall be directed to the PUCO Media Office.

K. RFP WEBSITE

All firms wishing to remain on the Commission’s bidder list must subscribe to the PUCO RFP list by clicking on the “RFP – Requests for Proposals” link at:

<http://www.puco.ohio.gov/PUCO/Docketing>

Pending RFPs and further information will be posted at the above website.

L. STATUTORY SCOPE OF AUDIT

Any auditor selected by the Commission to perform an audit shall execute its duties pursuant to the Public Utilities Commission’s statutory authority to investigate and acquire records, contracts, reports and other documentation under R.C. 4903.02, 4903.03, 4905.06, 4905.15, and 4905.16.

M. AUDITOR SELECTION

The Commission reserves the right to determine that the described audit will not be conducted or will be conducted by the Commission Staff, depending on the Commission’s needs and circumstances at the time of the selection.

IX. QUESTIONS

Technical questions regarding this RFP should be directed to Doris McCarter at 614-995-0137. Direct administrative questions to either Devin Mackey at 614-466-2871 or Doris McCarter. Questions may also be sent to their e-mail addresses as provided in Section VIII.C.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/13/2020 3:13:46 PM

in

Case No(s). 20-0169-EL-RDR

Summary: Entry that the Commission directs Staff to issue a request for proposal for audit services to assist the Commission with the review of the accounting accuracy, prudence, and compliance of Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio with its Commission-approved distribution investment rider. electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing