

1 1. Q. Please state your name and your business address.

2 A. My name is Barbara Bossart. My business address is 180 East Broad
3 Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

4

5 2. Q. By whom are you employed?

6 A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“PUCO” or
7 “Commission”).

8

9 3. Q. What is your current position with the Commission and what are your
10 duties?

11 A. I am Chief of the Reliability and Service Analysis Division (“RSAD”)
12 within the Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department (“SMED”).
13 My current duties include oversight of the development, investigation and
14 enforcement of service reliability and consumer protection policies and
15 rules for gas, water, electric, telephone, and competitive retail gas and
16 electric services. I am also responsible for overseeing the review of
17 competitive retail natural gas and retail electric service applications.

18

19 4. Q. Briefly state your educational background and work experience.

20 A. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree from Marshall University and I have also
21 completed additional classes at Ohio Dominican University toward a
22 Master of Business Administration degree. I have been employed by the

1 PUCO since 1999. For six years I worked as an Investigator in the
2 Investigation and Audits Division of the SMED. As an Investigator my
3 duties included interacting with the consumer to investigate their concerns
4 about utility companies and practices. In May 2005, I was promoted to
5 Utility Specialist 2 in the RSAD of the SMED where I was responsible for
6 analyzing service quality performance as well as for recommending and
7 enforcing service quality and consumer protection policies and rules. In
8 January 2013, I was promoted to Chief of the RSAD.

9
10 5 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

11 A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the Stipulation and
12 Recommendation (“Stipulation”) filed in this case on February 5, 2020 by
13 showing that it meets the Commission’s three-part test for determining a
14 Stipulation’s reasonableness.

15
16 6. Q. Please identify the signatory parties to the Stipulation.

17 A. The Stipulation reflects a unanimous settlement. The Signatory Parties to
18 the Stipulation include the Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel
19 (“OCC”), Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy (“OPAE”), the Staff of the
20 Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Staff”), Retail Energy Supply
21 Association (“RESA”), Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC, Direct
22 Energy Services, LLC (collectively, “Direct”), Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.

1 (“IGS”), Dominion Energy Solutions, Inc. (“DES”), and tThe East Ohio
2 Gas Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio (“Dominion”) (collectively,
3 the “Signatory Parties”).
4

5 7. Q. What is the Commission’s three-part test for determining the
6 reasonableness of a Stipulation?

7 A. A Stipulation before the Commission must: (1) be the product of serious
8 bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) as a package, benefit
9 ratepayers and the public interest; and (3) not violate any important
10 regulatory principle or practice.
11

12 8. Q. Is the Stipulation the product of serious bargaining among capable,
13 knowledgeable parties?

14 A. Yes. The agreement is the product of an open process in which all parties
15 were given an opportunity to participate and were represented by able
16 counsel experienced in matters before the Commission. The Stipulation
17 also represents a compromise of the issues raised by parties with diverse
18 interests. Overall, the Stipulation presents a reasonable result.
19

20 9. Q. Does the Stipulation, as a package, benefit consumers and the public
21 interest?

1 A. Yes. The Stipulation, as a package, benefits consumers and the public
2 interest, and represents a reasonable resolution of the issues in this
3 proceeding. The Monthly Variable Rate Commodity Service (“MVR
4 program”) has been subject to a wide range of posted prices, some of
5 which have been considerably above the competitive retail natural gas
6 offers available to customers and has been the subject of customer
7 confusion and complaints. To address those issues, the Stipulation
8 recommends that the Commission adopt certain changes to the MVR
9 program tariff and the Standard Choice Offer Commodity Service (“SCO”)
10 tariff for residential and nonresidential customers that include eligibility
11 and pricing requirements. Under the Stipulation, the MVR program will be
12 replaced by the Monthly Retail Rate Commodity Service (“MRR
13 program”) tariff. Moreover, there will be certain modifications to both
14 tariffs. The summary below illustrates those modifications that, when
15 considering the Stipulation as a package, are in the public interest. Note
16 that all customers are placed on the Standard Service Offer (“SSO”) for one
17 to two billing cycles when starting new service or transitioning from one
18 type of service to another.

- 19 1. Dominion’s SCO Commodity Service tariff will be the default
20 commodity service for Dominion’ Choice-eligible residential
21 customers, and for Dominion’s Choice-eligible non-residential
22 customers whose annual consumption is less than or equal to 200

1 Mcf once their competitive retail natural gas service or governmental
2 aggregation contract has terminated or when initiating service as
3 new customers.

4 2. Dominion will transfer to SCO service all of the residential
5 customers currently assigned to an MVR Supplier no later than 60
6 days after a Commission order approving the Stipulation without
7 material modification. Dominion's transfer to SCO service of small
8 non-residential customers currently assigned to an MVR Supplier
9 shall commence not later than 120 days after a Commission order
10 approving the Stipulation without material modification.

11 3. Non-residential customers with annual usage between 201 Mcf and
12 500 Mcf will default to the MRR, but those customers will have the
13 option to select a competitive retail natural gas service supplier, join
14 a governmental aggregation (if eligible), or select the SCO.

15 4. In addition to the replacement and eligibility changes noted above,
16 the MRR program includes the following changes:

17 a. New requirements for suppliers who choose to participate in
18 the MRR program.

19 b. New requirements for MRR Suppliers to post a monthly
20 variable rate on the PUCO's Apples to Apples chart, and to
21 provide service at or below the median monthly variable rate
22 of the participating MRR Suppliers (MRR price).

- 1 c. The monthly median MRR price will be determined by the
2 median price of the lowest posted monthly variable rates of
3 each qualifying MRR Supplier.
- 4 d. The MRR price charged to customers will be the lower of the
5 MRR monthly median price or the MRR Supplier's lowest
6 posted monthly variable rate.
- 7 e. Disqualification of participating MRR Suppliers that are
8 unwilling to serve customers at or below the MRR price and a
9 "stay out" period for those that request to leave the program
10 early after having committed to serve customers at that price.

11 5. Funding for an education program designed to help Choice-eligible
12 customers, including those who are participating in the MRR
13 program, compare options for natural gas service and understand
14 how those options can affect the information on their natural gas
15 bills in Dominion's service territory, as well as focus groups and
16 surveys shall be conducted regarding the former MVR program, and
17 the formation of a stakeholder collaborative to develop the above
18 education programs.

19

20 10. Q. Does the Stipulation violate any regulatory principle or practice?

21 A. No. Under R.C. 4929.08(A), the Commission may abrogate or modify an
22 order granting a natural gas company an exemption under R.C. 4909.04

1 only if it meets two conditions: “(1) The commission determines that the
2 findings upon which the order was based are no longer valid and that the
3 abrogation or modification is in the public interest; [and] (2) The
4 abrogation or modification is not made more than eight years after the
5 effective date of the order, unless the affected natural gas company
6 consents.” As I noted previously, the current MVR program is subject to a
7 wide range of posted prices, some of which have been considerably above
8 the competitive retail natural gas offers available to customers. The
9 program also has been the subject of customer confusion and complaints.
10 To address these concerns, the Stipulation proposes changes that include
11 the establishment of the SCO as the default commodity service for
12 residential customers and non-residential customers whose annual
13 consumption is less than or equal to 200 Mcf, additional pricing
14 requirements for the MRR program and a new customer education fund and
15 collaborative. In addition, the parties recognize that Dominion’s agreement
16 to the Stipulation eliminates any questions whether the second criterion has
17 been met.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Testimony of Barbara Bossart was submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio via electronic mail upon the following parties of record this 6th day of February, 2020.

/s/Werner L. Margard III

Werner L. Margard III
Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

Kimberly W. Bojko
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 North High Street, Suite 1300
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Angela O'Brien
65 East State Street, 7th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
Telephone [O'Brien]: (614) 466-9531
angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov

*Attorneys for Office of the Ohio
Consumers' Counsel*

Christopher T. Kennedy
Whitt Sturtevant LLP
The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590
88 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215
kennedy@whitt-sturtevant.com

Andrew J. Campbell
Dominion Energy, Inc.
21 East State Street, Suite 911
Columbus, OH 43215
Andrew.j.campbell@dominionen

*Attorneys for The East Ohio Gas
Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio*

Robert Dove
Kegler Brown Hill and Ritter L.P.A.
65 East State Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215
rdove@keglerbrown.com

Attorney for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Joseph Oliker
Michael Nugent
Bethany Allen
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, Ohio 43016
Joe.Oliker@igs.com
Michael.Nugent@igs.com
Bethany.Allen@igs.com

Frank Darr
6800 Lindbrook Blvd.
Columbus, Ohio 43235
fdarr2019@gmail.com

Attorneys for Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.

Michael J. Settineri
Gretchen L. Petrucci
MacDonald W. Taylor
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street / P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mjsettineri@vorys.com
glpetrucci@vorys.com
mwtaylor@vorys.com

Attorneys for the Retail Energy Supply Association

Madeline Fleisher
Christine M.T. Pirik
Dickinson Wright PLLC
150 East Gay Street, Suite 2400
Columbus, OH 43215
mfleisher@dickinsonwright.com
cpirik@dickinsonwright.com

Attorneys for Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC, Direct Energy Services, LLC, and Dominion Energy Solutions, Inc.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/6/2020 3:07:19 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1419-GA-EXM

Summary: Testimony of Barbara Bossart electronically filed by Mrs. Tonnetta Y Scott on behalf of PUCO