BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of the	:
Ohio Development Services Agency for	:
an Order Approving Adjustments to the	:
Universal Service Fund Riders of	:
Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution	:
Utilities.	:

Case No. 19-1270-EL-USF

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT STIPULATION

BY

MEGAN MEADOWS

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGENCY

December 3, 2019

TESTIMONY OF MEGAN MEADOWS On Behalf of The Ohio Development Services Agency

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	А.	My name is Megan Meadows. My business address is Ohio Development Services
3		Agency ("Development"), 77 South High Street, 26th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43216-
4		1001.
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	А.	I am employed by Development as Deputy Chief of the Office of Community Assistance
7		("OCA"), an office within Development's Division of Community Services.
8	Q.	Are you the same Megan Meadows who filed direct testimony in support of the
9		Universal Service Fund ("USF") rider adjustment application in this proceeding on
10		October 31, 2019 and the amended application on November 26, 2019?
11	A.	Yes, I am. That testimony sets forth my educational background and employment
12		experience, as well as my duties and responsibilities in my current position as OCA's
13		Deputy Chief.
14	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?
15	A.	Yes, I testified in prior USF rider adjustment proceedings, Case Nos. 16-1223-EL-USF,
16		17-1377-EL-USF, and 18-976-EL-USF.
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
18	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation
19		("Joint Stipulation") filed contemporaneously with this testimony in support. The Joint
20		Stipulation, which seeks approval of Development's amended application ("Amended

14489129v1

1		Application"), was filed December 3, 2019, and was entered into by Development, The
2		Dayton Power & Light Company, Ohio Power Company, Duke Energy Ohio, the
3		Industrial Energy Users – Ohio, and Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy ("OPAE");
4		however, OPAE does not join in Paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Stipulation. Staff and the
5		Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel have not joined the stipulation, but do not oppose
6		it. The Signatory Parties recommend that the Commission issue an Opinion and Order
7		approving the Amended Application filed November 26, 2019. This testimony
8		demonstrates that: (1) the Joint Stipulation is a product of serious bargaining among
9		capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) the Joint Stipulation does not violate any important
10		regulatory principle or practice; and (3) the Joint Stipulation, as a whole, will benefit
11		customers and the public interest.
10	0	During the materian provisions of the Joint Stipulation
12	Q.	Please summarize the major provisions of the Joint Stipulation.
12	Q. A.	The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider
13		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider
13 14		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the electric distribution utilities
13 14 15		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the electric distribution utilities ("EDUs") in 2020. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the NOI process first
13 14 15 16		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the electric distribution utilities ("EDUs") in 2020. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the NOI process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires Development to file its NOI by May
13 14 15 16 17	Α.	The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the electric distribution utilities ("EDUs") in 2020. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the NOI process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires Development to file its NOI by May 31, 2020, and its application no later than October 31, 2020.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	 The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the electric distribution utilities ("EDUs") in 2020. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the NOI process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires Development to file its NOI by May 31, 2020, and its application no later than October 31, 2020. Does the Joint Stipulation represent a product of serious bargaining among capable,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the electric distribution utilities ("EDUs") in 2020. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the NOI process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires Development to file its NOI by May 31, 2020, and its application no later than October 31, 2020. Does the Joint Stipulation represent a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties?

14489129v1

2

1		opportunity to participate in a prehearing conference, and to enter into settlement
2		discussions on the proposed Joint Stipulation. Many of the parties to this USF
3		proceeding are signatories to prior stipulations. Therefore, the Joint Stipulation
4		represents a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties.
5	Q.	Does the Joint Stipulation benefit consumers and the public interest?
6	A.	Yes, it does. The Joint Stipulation ensures adequate funding for the low-income
7		customer assistance programs and the consumer education programs administered by
8		Development. Moreover, the Joint Stipulation benefits consumers and the public interest
9		because the USF rider rates represent the minimal rates necessary to collect the EDUs'
10		USF rider revenue requirements.
10 11	Q.	USF rider revenue requirements. Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principles and
	Q.	
11	Q. A.	Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principles and
11 12	-	Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principles and practices?
11 12 13	-	Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principles and practices? No. The USF rider revenue requirement and rider rate were determined in accordance
11 12 13 14	A.	Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principles and practices? No. The USF rider revenue requirement and rider rate were determined in accordance with the NOI methodology approved by Opinion and Order issued September 11, 2019.
11 12 13 14 15	А. Q.	Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principles and practices? No. The USF rider revenue requirement and rider rate were determined in accordance with the NOI methodology approved by Opinion and Order issued September 11, 2019. Should the Commission approve the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation?

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing *Testimony in Support of Joint Stipulation* has been served upon the following parties by first class mail, postage prepaid, and/or electronic mail this 3rd day December 2019.

Jane Stinson

Dane Stinson

Steven T. Nourse Christen M. Blend AEP Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, Ohio 43215 stnouse@aep.com cmblend@aep.com

Elizabeth H. Watts Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com

Randal V. Griffen Judi L. Sobecki Michael Schuler The Dayton Power & Light Company MacGregor Park 1065 Woodman Avenue Dayton, Ohio 45432 Randall.Griffen@dplinc.com Judi.Sobecki@dplinc.com michael.schuler@aes.com

Amy Botschner-O'Brien Ohio Consumers' Counsel 65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Amy.botschner.obrien@occ.ohio.gov

Matthew Pritchard McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, Suite 910 Columbus, Ohio 43215 mpritchard@mwncmh.com 14489129v1 John H. Jones Section Chief, Public Utilities Section Thomas W. McNamee Assistant Attorney General 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 John.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov Thomas.McNamee@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Emily V. Danford Christine E. Watchorn Robert M. Endris FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 Edanford@firstenergycorp.com cwatchorn@firstenergycorp.com rendris@firstenergycorp.com

Sara M. Benoit Ulmer & Berne LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1100 Columbus, Ohio 43215 sbenoit@ulmer.com

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy PO Box 1793 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793 cmooney@ohiopartners.org This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/3/2019 7:59:53 AM

in

Case No(s). 19-1270-EL-USF

Summary: Testimony of Megan Meadows in Support of Joint Stipulation electronically filed by Teresa Orahood on behalf of Dane Stinson