THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF HAMILTON COUNTY,

COMPLAINANT,

CASE NO. 18-114-EL-CSS

v.

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.,

RESPONDENT.

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on November 22, 2019

- {¶ 1} Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke or the Company) is an electric services company as defined in R.C. 4928.01(A)(9), and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- {¶ 2} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory. Pursuant to R.C. 4928.16, the Commission has jurisdiction under R.C. 4905.26, upon complaint of any person, regarding the provision by an electric services company subject to certification under R.C. 4928.08 of any service for which it is subject to certification.
- {¶ 3} On January 18, 2018, Hamilton County filed a complaint against Duke. Hamilton County states, in 2009, the Commission approved a stipulation that, among other things, authorized Duke to establish a backup delivery point rider (Rider BDP). Hamilton County's complaint concerns Duke's recent application of Rider BDP to Hamilton County, specifically, Paul Brown Stadium. Hamilton County maintains that Duke recently began assessing Rider BDP charges to Paul Brown Stadium. According to Hamilton County, this is unreasonable, as Rider BDP should only apply to new backup

18-114-EL-CSS -2-

delivery points, and the county should not be charged for preexisting feeders, such as those at Paul Brown Stadium.

- $\{\P 4\}$ On February 7, 2018, Duke filed its answer to the complaint, denying all allegations.
- {¶ 5} Since that time, parties have continued to have ongoing discussions. Additionally, on December 19, 2018, the Commission approved Duke's current electric security plan, which included revisions to Rider BDP. *In re Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 17-1263-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at ¶ 145 (Dec. 19, 2018).
- {¶ 6} By Entry dated October 1, 2019, the attorney examiner directed the parties to file a status update by October 31, 2019, indicating whether the issues in this case are resolved or whether this matter should be set for hearing.
- {¶ 7} On October 31, 2019, Hamilton County and Duke filed their respective status updates. Both parties indicate that they are interested in resolving this matter and have had ongoing discussions about a resolution. Hamilton County states that the parties will continue discussions to resolve this case; however, Hamilton County requests that the attorney examiner set a procedural schedule including discovery deadlines and a hearing date. Accordingly, the following procedural schedule shall apply:
 - (a) Discovery requests, except for notices of deposition, should be served by January 10, 2020. All discovery requests should be conducted in accordance with Ohio Adm.Codes 4901-1-16 and 4901-1-24.
 - (b) Any party intending to present direct, expert testimony should comply with Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-29(A)(1)(h), which requires that all such testimony be filed and served upon all

18-114-EL-CSS -3-

parties no later than seven days prior to the commencement of the hearing.

- (c) An evidentiary hearing shall commence on February 11, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room 11-D, 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
- $\{\P 8\}$ As is the case in all Commission complaint proceedings, the complainant has the burden of proving the allegations of the complaint. *Grossman v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 5 Ohio St.2d 189, 214 N.E.2d 666 (1966).
 - $\{\P 9\}$ It is, therefore,
- $\{\P$ **10** $\}$ ORDERED, That the evidentiary hearing commence on February 11, 2020, in accordance with Paragraph 7. It is, further,
 - **{¶ 11}** ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/Lauren L. Augostini

By: Lauren L. Augostini Attorney Examiner

JRJ/hac

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/22/2019 3:19:25 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-0114-EL-CSS

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry scheduling evidentiary hearing electronically filed by Heather A Chilcote on behalf of Lauren L. Augostini, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio