

From: [Puco ContactOPSB](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: FW: public comment 17-2295-EL-BGN
Date: Friday, September 27, 2019 11:00:20 AM

Subject: Shadow flicker concerns and apex report

To Samuel Randazzo

It seems that Apex has submitted a new shadow flicker report to the OPSB. This report shows that there will be less houses affected by shadow flicker. The report states that up to ten of the proposed fifty turbines will only be a total of 492 feet tall thus producing less shadow flicker. I feel that this report is inaccurate and misleading. Apex is trying to make the report look favorable to themselves saying the negative side effect of shadow flicker isn't as bad as it can be. The chart they have submitted in the report shows the shadow flicker for all the turbines being at 492 feet. I personally find this unacceptable since most of the proposed turbines will be tall than is and makes their report misleading. How can this company be allowed to perform and submitted questionable reports that are altered to make themselves look good be allowed. Apex needs to be held accountable for their questionable report(s). Also Apex needs produce detailed, truthful unbiased reports and detailed project reports to the public and OPSB. Also in the revised reports there are still non participating house that will still have more than 30 hours a year of shadow flicker. The OPSB states that non participating residents cannot have more than 30 hours a year of shadow flicker. Knowing this information, the revised report still puts non participating residents in harm. This CANNOT be allowed to happen. These turbines and this company should not be allowed in this area!

Sincerely

Melissa Clapp

From: [Puco ContactOPSB](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: public comment 17-2295 and 18-0488
Date: Friday, September 27, 2019 12:58:42 PM
Attachments: [SCOMMISH19092613460.pdf](#)

Please file the attached letter as a public comment in 17-2295 and 18-0488

From: [Puco ContactOPSB](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: public comment 17-2295 and 18-0488
Date: Friday, September 27, 2019 10:23:48 AM

From: noreply@das.ohio.gov <noreply@das.ohio.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 2:07 PM
To: Contact <contact@governor.ohio.gov>
Subject: Contact Form Filled

Issue: Environment

First Name: Ed

Last Name: Clark

Street: 3671 S. State Route 231

City: Tiffin

State: Ohio

Zip Code: 44883

County: Seneca

Phone Number: 4196180031

Email: ethog3@gmail.com

Subject: Wind Project Seneca County

Message: You are here: Home / News / Local News / More than 60 Residents and Property Owners in Northern Chautauqua County Sue Wind Turbine Company More than 60 Residents and Property Owners in Northern Chautauqua County Sue Wind Turbine Company
SEPTEMBER 25, 2019 BY WRFALEAVE A COMMENT MAYVILLE – A group of 65 residents and property owners in Northern Chautauqua County have filed a lawsuit in State Supreme Court against the developers of a wind farm, claiming to have suffered from various damages and injuries as it relates to the placement of wind turbines in close proximity to their homes. The lawsuit, which was filed on Tuesday by the law firm Westfall Law PLLC, lists over a hundred individuals from 65 different residences in the Fredonia, Cassadaga and Forestville areas who are suing the developers of the Arkwright Summit Wind Farm. The project is located in the areas of Arkwright and Pomfret, and includes approximately 47 turbines that stand some 420 feet tall and were erected in 2018. READ THE FULL CIVIL COMPLAINT The plaintiffs claim that developers built the project too close to their homes and as a result, have created a number of hazards and adverse health effects, including include


sleep disturbance, annoyance, headaches, dizziness, vertigo, nausea, motion sickness, bodily sensations, fatigue, stress, depression, memory deficits, inability to concentrate, anxiety and an overall reduced quality of life. The complaint says that these effects are largely due to the shadow flicker and loud noise that comes from the turbines when they are in motion. The complaint also says that those who've attempted to sell their homes and move away from the Arkwright wind farm are often unable to do so because the value of land near turbines plummets. The group is suing the various parties involved with the development and construction of the project on nine causes of action that include negligence, creating a private nuisance and trespass of real property. They are demanding judgment against the Defendants on each of the causes of action in an amount to be determined by this Court.

From: [Ohio Power Siting Board](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: public comment 17-2295 [ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0MbM6P:ref]
Date: Friday, September 20, 2019 9:22:17 AM

Subject: Incorrect/ missing information on written statement given at OPSB Public Meeting

I couldn't remember the address at the farm correctly, it is 8503 E DR 38, Republic , OH 44867, Adams Twp., where my brother Calvin Rohrbacher lives. My sister Kathleen/Kathy (Mark) Kesler lives at 3720 E DR 38, Tiffin, OH 44883, Clinton Twp. My brother Mark (Deb) Rohrbacher lives at 1675 N ST 18, Republic, OH 44867, Scipio Twp. We all have agreed to wind turbines being a good source of revenue from our farm,, therefore we are for sorry for any inconvenience my earlier statement caused when numbers were not coming out correctly for me. Thank you for the time and effort you put into your work.

Brenda J. Grieve

Tiffin, Ohio 

ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0MbM6P:ref

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/27/2019 2:47:37 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-2295-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing