BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the : Application of Northeast :

Ohio Natural Gas Corp. : Case No. 18-1720-GA-AIR

for an Increase in : Gas Distribution Rates. :

In the Matter of the

Application of Northeast : Case No. 18-1721-GA-ATA

Ohio Natural Gas Corp. : for Tariff Approval. :

In the Matter of the : Application of Northeast :

Ohio Natural Gas Corp. : Case No. 18-1722-GA-ALT

for Approval of
Alternative Regulation.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Gregory Price and Ms. Lauren Augostini,
Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A,
Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:01 a.m. on Wednesday,
September 11, 2019.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

	2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	Calfee Halter & Griswold, LLP By Mr. Steven D. Lesser
3	1200 Huntington Center 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215
5	On behalf of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas
6	Corp.
7	Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General Public Utilities Section
8	By John H. Jones, Section Chief, Mr. Werner L. Margard and Mr. Steven Beeler,
9	Assistant Attorneys General 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
10	Columbus, Ohio 43215
11	On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
12 13 14	Bruce J. Weston, Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Christopher Healey Assistant Consumers' Counsel
15	65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215
16	On behalf of the Residential Customers of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp.
17	Ohio Doubrous for Affordable Brown
18	Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy By Ms. Colleen L. Mooney. P.O. Box 12451
19	Columbus, Ohio 43212-2451
20	On behalf of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS		PAGE
4	Daniel J. Duann, Ph.D.		1.1
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Healey Examination by Examiner Price		11 13
6			
7	COMPANY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
8	A - Stipulation and Recommendation	6	9
9	B - Schedule A-1	7	9
10	C - Application	7	9
11	D - Schedules A, B, C, D	7	9
12	E - Schedules E, F, G, H, I	7	9
13	F - Schedule E-2	7	9
14	G - Schedule E-2.1	7	9
15	H - Schedule E-3	8	9
16	I - Schedule E-4	8	9
17	J - Schedule S-3	8	9
18	K - Proof of Publication	8	9
19	L - Direct Testimony of Ken Oostman	8	9
20	Reii Oostillaii		
21			
22	STAFF EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
23	1 - Staff Report	9	9
2425			
∠5			

4
TTED
.8

Wednesday Morning Session,
September 11, 2019.

- -

2.1

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Good morning. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing at this time and place, Case Nos. 18-1720-GA-AIR, 18-1721-GA-ATA, and 18-1722-GA-ALT, being in the matter of the Application of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. for approval of an increase in gas distribution rates, combined with an Application for tariff approval and approval for an alternative rate plan.

My name is Lauren Augostini, and with me is Greg Price, and we are the Attorney Examiners assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

Let's take appearances of the parties, beginning with the Company.

MR. LESSER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Steven Lesser, Calfee, Halter & Griswold, 41 South

High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215, on behalf of the

Applicant.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you.

MR. HEALEY: Good morning, Your Honor.

Christopher Healey on behalf of the Ohio Consumers'

Counsel, 65 East State Street, Columbus, Ohio. Thank

```
you very much.
```

1

8

9

2 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you.

MR. BEELER: Thank you, Your Honor. On

4 behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities

5 | Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost,

6 | Assistant Attorney Generals Steve Beeler and Vern

7 | Margard, 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus,

Ohio 43215.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you.

MS. MOONEY: On behalf of Ohio Partners

11 | for Affordable Energy, I'm Colleen Mooney, Post

12 Office Box 12451, Columbus, Ohio.

13 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you.

14 EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Lesser, you have

15 | some documents for us?

MR. LESSER: Yes, Your Honor. We would

17 like marked for identification, and all these

18 exhibits have already also been filed in the docket.

19 We have the Stipulation and Recommendation, filed

20 9/5. Do you want me to say them all together?

21 EXAMINER PRICE: Let's do them separate.

22 | How would you like that marked?

MR. LESSER: Exhibit A. Thank you.

24 EXAMINER PRICE: So marked.

25 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

```
7
                 MR. LESSER: Next is Schedule A-1 as B.
 1
 2
                 EXAMINER PRICE: So marked.
 3
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
                 MR. LESSER: The Northeast Ohio
 4
 5
     Application, filed 12/28/18, as C.
                 EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so marked.
 6
 7
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 8
                 MR. LESSER: Schedules A through D as D.
 9
     I hope I can keep all this straight.
10
                 EXAMINER PRICE: The court reporter is
11
     taking it down. It will be so marked.
12
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
13
                 MR. LESSER: Excellent.
14
                 The Application Schedules E through I as
15
     Ε.
16
                 EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so marked.
17
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
18
                 MR. LESSER: Schedule E-2 as F.
19
                 EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so marked.
20
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2.1
                 MR. LESSER: Schedule E-2.1 as G.
2.2
                 EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so marked.
23
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
24
                 MR. LESSER: Schedule E-3 as H. Schedule
25
     E-4 as I.
```

8 1 EXAMINER PRICE: Hold on. You're getting 2 ahead of me now. 3 MR. LESSER: Uh-oh. EXAMINER PRICE: Which one do you have 4 for Schedule H or Exhibit H? 5 6 MR. LESSER: Let me see. Exhibit H was 7 E-3.8 EXAMINER PRICE: E-3. Thank you. 9 MR. LESSER: And I is E-4. And J would 10 be S-3. 11 EXAMINER PRICE: So marked. 12 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 13 MR. LESSER: Proof of Publication would 14 be K. 15 EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so marked. 16 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 17 MR. LESSER: The testimony supporting the 18 Stipulation of Ken Oostman would be, I believe, L. 19 How am I doing here? 20 EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so marked. 2.1 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 22 MR. LESSER: My teachers would be proud 23 of me. And we also have the Staff Report which was 24 filed on 6/25. 25 EXAMINER PRICE: We will mark that as

```
Exhibit M.
 1
 2
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 3
                 MR. LESSER: And, Your Honor, if there is
     no objection, we would move for Exhibits A through M
 4
 5
     to be admitted.
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Any objections?
 6
 7
                 MR. HEALEY: No, Your Honor.
 8
                 MS. MOONEY: No.
9
                 MR. BEELER: Just one clarifier. You
10
    marked the Staff Report as your Exhibit M. I have
11
     copies of Staff Exhibit 1, but it's okay if it's
12
     Exhibit M either way.
13
                 EXAMINER PRICE: We'll change it to
14
     Staff 1. That's fine.
15
                 MR. BEELER: Staff 1?
16
                 EXAMINER PRICE: We'll mark it as Staff
17
    Exhibit 1.
18
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
19
                 EXAMINER PRICE: All those exhibits, A
20
     through L, and Staff Exhibit 1, will all be admitted.
2.1
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
22
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Anything further,
23
    Mr. Lesser?
24
                 MR. LESSER: Your Honor, our witness is
25
     here, Ken Oostman, but I believe all the parties have
```

```
1
     agreed to waive his testimony in.
 2
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Any objections?
 3
                 MR. HEALEY: No, Your Honor.
                 MS. MOONEY: No objections.
 4
 5
                 MR. BEELER: No, Your Honor.
 6
                 EXAMINER PRICE: I have no questions.
 7
                 MR. LESSER: Thank you.
 8
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Healey.
 9
                 MR. HEALEY: Yes, Your Honor. The Ohio
10
     Consumers' Counsel calls Dr. Daniel J. Duann. And --
11
     well, I'll wait.
12
                 (Witness sworn.)
13
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Please be seated.
14
                 State your name and business address for
15
     the record.
                 THE WITNESS: Daniel J. Duann. 65 East
16
17
     State Street, 7th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
18
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you very much.
19
                 MR. HEALEY: Your Honor, I'd like at this
20
     time to mark as OCC Exhibit No. 1, the Supplemental
2.1
     Testimony of Daniel J. Duann, Ph.D., filed in this
22
     case on September 5, 2019.
23
                 EXAMINER PRICE: It will be so marked.
24
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
25
                 MR. HEALEY: May I approach, Your Honor?
```

11 1 EXAMINER PRICE: You may. 2 3 DANIEL J. DUANN, Ph.D. being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 4 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Healey: 8 Dr. Duann, do you have in front of you Ο. what's now been marked OCC Exhibit No. 1? 9 10 Α. Yes, I do. 11 O. And what is OCC Exhibit No. 1? 12 Α. That's my Supplemental Testimony filed on 13 September 5, 2019. And did you draft this testimony 14 Ο. 15 yourself? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And do you have any corrections to this Q. 18 testimony today? 19 Yes, I do. The first correction is on 20 page 3, Footnote No. 4. That footnote should be 2.1 deleted, and the footnote refer to line 19 of page 3. 2.2 O. Do you have any other edits? 23 Α. Yes. 24 EXAMINER PRICE: Just delete the footnote

25

in its entirety?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you. Also on page 4, line 15, near the end of 3 Α. that sentence, that \$12, that should be changed to 4 5 \$12.50 per month. So the sentence -- so on line 15 6 will read: "While OCC proposed an even lower fixed 7 charge of \$12.50 per month...." 8 Q. Thank you, Dr. Duann. 9 With those two changes, if I were to ask 10 you the same questions as provided in OCC Exhibit No. 1 today, would your answers be the same? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. HEALEY: Thank you. 14 With that, Your Honor, Dr. Duann is available for cross-examination. 15 16 EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Lesser? 17 MR. LESSER: Your Honor, we have no 18 cross-examination. 19 EXAMINER PRICE: Ms. Mooney? 20 MS. MOONEY: We have no cross. 2.1 EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Beeler? 22 MR. BEELER: No questions, Your Honor. 23 Thank you. 24 EXAMINER PRICE: I just have a couple 25 questions.

EXAMINATION

By Examiner Price:

2.1

- Q. Dr. Duann, you've attached, to your Supplemental Testimony, your Direct Testimony; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What's the purpose of attaching your Direct Testimony to the Supplemental Testimony? Are you offering that testimony -- I'm sorry. Let me -- let me ask that question more clearly.

Are you offering, today, your Direct
Testimony as well as your Supplemental Testimony?

A. In my Supplemental Testimony, for example on Question No. 2, asks "Are you the same Daniel J. Duann who filed," and I attach my Direct Testimony, filed on July 25th, because in that testimony that include all my educational background and my experience and I think it is useful to provide a complete record for my qualifications.

And furthermore, on page 5, at the end, at the end of line 10, "As I explained in my prior testimony that was filed...I believe that this profit level (that is charged to customers) is higher than is warranted." So, once again, I say it's for the

completeness of the record, I think it's better to include my Direct Testimony.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Do you have -- in your Supplemental Testimony you have outlined, on page 2 and 3, the Commission's three-prong test for stipulations?

- 7 A. Yes, that's on Question and Answer No. 4, 8 yes.
 - Q. Do you provide any testimony regarding the first prong of the test?
 - A. I did not address that issue.
- Q. Do you provide any testimony regarding the third prong of the test?
 - A. I did not address that issue either.
 - Q. You do provide testimony, however, regarding several benefits of the Stipulation; is that correct?
 - A. I provide testimony regarding the No. 2 prong in which I provide some category of benefit that -- benefit and the cost associated with the proposed settlement.
 - Q. Thank you.
- Do you recommend the Commission approve the Stipulation?
- 25 A. No.

- Do you recommend the Commission deny the 1 Q. 2 Stipulation? 3
 - Α. No.
 - Do you recommend the Commission modify Ο. the Stipulation?
- That's not in my testimony. 6 Α.

EXAMINER PRICE: That's all I have.

Examiner Augostini?

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: I don't have any

10 questions.

4

5

7

8

9

2.1

11 EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you. You're

12 excused.

13 MR. HEALEY: Your Honor, at this time,

OCC moves for the admission of OCC Exhibit No. 1. 14

15 EXAMINER PRICE: Do you seek admission of

16 the attached Direct Testimony as well as the

17 Supplemental Testimony?

18 MR. HEALEY: Yes, Your Honor.

19 EXAMINER PRICE: And is that for the

20 limited purpose of establishing his qualifications or

is it the entire testimony?

2.2 MR. HEALEY: It's both. I mean that is a

23 big part of it, but he makes reference in his

24 Supplemental Testimony, so I think it helps provide

25 color for why he's taken the positions he's taken in his Supplemental Testimony.

2.1

2.2

EXAMINER PRICE: The Commission has three choices in this case. We can -- the Commission; not me. The Commissioners can approve the Stipulation, they can deny the Stipulation, or they can modify the Stipulation; is that correct?

MR. HEALEY: Are you asking me?

EXAMINER PRICE: Yeah.

MR. HEALEY: Yes, that would be correct.

EXAMINER PRICE: And Dr. Duann has no recommendations on any of those three issues, so what's the relevance of his testimony?

MR. HEALEY: Your Honor, the relevance of his testimony is that, as he mentioned, it goes to prong 2. He's identifying some of the benefits in the Stipulation. It goes to facts that are in the Stipulation.

EXAMINER PRICE: But he's not recommending recommending approval and he's not recommending denial and he's not recommending we make any changes. Those are the only three choices we have in front of us today.

MR. HEALEY: Sure. OCC is a party in this case. Part of OCC's role is working with parties to negotiate the terms of the settlement.

OCC agreed to not oppose the Stipulation, which is common practice for many parties in these cases, and he's providing an explanation for --

2.1

EXAMINER PRICE: I agree it's common practice for parties to not oppose and I certainly have no problem with that. I cannot recall another situation where a non-opposing party presented testimony and that's the part I'm tripping over here.

MR. HEALEY: I agree with that, Your
Honor. I'm not aware of any other situations. We
often either make a statement on the record or file a
letter. In this instance, we had a witness who was
qualified to provide the context for OCC's position.

I certainly think it's relevant for the Commission. If there's a relevance objection, I think it would overcome that, certainly, as he's talking to specific provisions in the Stipulation.

I would note, as well, that there are many --

EXAMINER PRICE: It's not -- he doesn't offer testimony on any issue before us. That's where I'm struggling with the relevance. But, having said that, you know, we'll admit the testimony and the Commissioners will give it the weight that it merits.

MR. HEALEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

```
18
 1
                 EXAMINER PRICE: I don't want to hold up
 2
     progress too much.
 3
                 At this time, OCC's Supplemental
 4
     Testimony, OCC 1, will be admitted.
 5
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
                 EXAMINER PRICE: The parties agree to
 6
7
     dispense with briefs?
 8
                 MR. LESSER: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
9
                 MR. BEELER: Yes.
10
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Mr. Healey?
11
                 MR. HEALEY: Yes.
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Okay. Thank you.
12
                 At this time, the case will be submitted
13
     to the Commission on the record.
14
15
                 We are adjourned. Thank you.
16
                 (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
17
     10:16 a.m.)
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, September 11, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

> Carolyn MU Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2023.



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/25/2019 12:46:07 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1720-GA-AIR, 18-1721-GA-ATA, 18-1722-GA-ALT

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. for an Increase in Gas Distribution Rates; In the Matter of the Application of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. for Tariff Approval and In the Matter of the Application of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. for Approval of Alternative Regulation, hearing held on September 11th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn