

FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From: Ohio Power Siting Board <contactopsb@puc.state.oh.us>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:29 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: comment 16-0253-GA-BTX [ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0MFAcw:ref]

The following should be included in the regulation of this project: 1) The OPSB shall include human safety in the criteria used in the evaluation of new pipeline applications, 2) The OPSB shall solicit and consider input from local emergency responders in the approval process, 3) No high-pressure gas transmission lines shall be sited in densely populated urban areas without a 660 foot setback from buildings on each side of the line.

Patricia Stevenson

ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0MFAcw:ref

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV.
2019 SEP -9 PM 12:32
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a scanned document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician [Signature] Date Processed 9/9/19

FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From: Ohio Power Siting Board <contactopsb@puc.state.oh.us>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 2:55 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: public comment 16-0253 [ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0LnzO9:ref]

Yesterday I was informed that there have been approximately 30 water line repairs in Reading, Ohio in 2019. This does not include waterlines that are constantly leaking into the upper aquifer or sewer line leaks that eventually result in street collapse . Much of the underground infrastructure in Reading is very old, some over 100 years. There are at least 6 pipelines in the street in front of my home, which is directly on the proposed pipeline route. Why, from an integrity management perspective, would anyone want to bury a 20 inch x 400 psi. gas pipeline next to so many aging pipelines that will need repair during the lifetime of Duke's Central Corridor Pipeline? Duke insist these two routes are the absolute best, and only routes they will consider. Shouldn't Duke Energy be held accountable for any 3rd party destruction Duke has created by insisting that their pipeline be installed among so many aging pipes. Duke is well aware these pipelines will need repair or replacement sometime during the usable lifetime of the Central Corridor Pipeline! I ask you, what type of business leader ignores the lives, safety, property and security of their fellow Americans and customers. Will Duke simply bring in another "Paid Adviser" and our letters be forgotten? This is corporate integrity management at it's worst, If you should decide to satisfy Duke's wants , please stipulate that Duke shall be financially liable for any harm, injury, death and destruction caused by any explosion created by their negligence in routing their pipeline through such a populated area, buried next to so much existing aging infrastructure that will need repair. Just installing the pipeline correctly should never absolve Duke from liability for choosing a potentially dangerous route.

Justin Feldman

ref:_00Dt0GzXt._500t0LnzO9:ref

RECEIVED-DOCKETING
2019 SEP -9 PM 12:31
PUCO