BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. for an Increase in Gas Distribution Rates)))	Case No. 18-1720-GA-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. for Tariff Approval)))	Case No. 18-1721-GA-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. for Approval of Alternative Regulation)))	Case No. 18-1722-GA-ALT

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KEN OOSTMAN IN SUPPORT OF THE UNOPPOSED STIPULATION

Submitted on behalf of

NORTHEAST OHIO NATURAL GAS CORP.

Filed September 3, 2019

1 Q. Please state your name and business address.

- 2 A. Ken Oostman, 5640 Lancaster-Newark Road, Pleasantville, Ohio 43148.
- 3 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 4 A. I am President of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp. ("NEO" or the "Company").
- 5 Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?
- 6 A. I am testifying on behalf of NEO in support of the unopposed Stipulation.

7 Q. Please describe your professional experience and qualifications.

8 A. I became President of NEO in June 2019. Prior to joining NEO, I served as Vice President 9 of Technical Services for TransCanada. I have also served as Vice President of 10 Engineering for Columbia Pipeline Group, and Vice President of Operations and Vice 11 President of Technical Services at New Mexico Gas Company. I have been in the energy 12 industry for 26 years and held a variety of positions including operations director for Meade, a pipeline construction company; senior project manager for EN Engineering, an 13 14 international engineering and consulting firm; as well as numerous positions with Nicor 15 Gas, a large local gas distribution company near Chicago, Illinois. I earned my degrees in 16 business administration and mechanical engineering from Valparaiso University, Indiana, 17 in 1988 and 1993, respectively. I am also a licensed professional engineer, am active in 18 the Ohio Gas Association (OGA), American Gas Association (AGA), and a member of the 19 National Society of Professional Engineers (NSPE).

- 20 Q. Describe the duties of your current position.
- A. I am currently President of NEO. As President, I am responsible for all aspects of NEO's
 strategies, operations, personnel, compliance and financial health.
- 23 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

1	A.	I am testifying in support of the Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") that was
2		filed in the above-captioned matters on September 3, 2019. I will provide an overview of
3		the key terms and conditions of the Stipulation, and also explain how the Stipulation meets
4		the criteria used by the Commission when considering stipulated agreements.
5	Q.	Please summarize the Stipulation.
6	A.	The Stipulation is a comprehensive resolution of the case and would settle all of the issues
7		raised in the above-captioned proceedings. The Stipulation incorporates the findings and
8		recommendations of the Staff Report, filed on June 25, 2019, except as otherwise agreed
9		in the Stipulation. Among other things, the Stipulation provides:
10		• The withdraw of proposed IRP Rider.
11 12 13 14		• A stipulated return on equity of 10.0%, well below the range of 11.33% to 12.33% supported by Company witness Scheig and 10.83% requested by the Company in its Application. This stipulated return is also the midpoint of the Staff Report's recommended range of 9.5% to 10.5%.
15		• Agreement as to the depreciation policies to be used by NEO in the future.
16 17		• The creation of a new class for GS customers who have annual usage less than 200 Mcf in order to mitigate against disproportionate rate impacts for customers.
18 19		• Agreement on a new set of tariffs which will standardize what were once four different set of dated tariffs.
20 21		• Agreement as to the items to be included in NEO's Rate Base as of September 30, 2018 and the associated Revenue Requirement.
22 23	Q.	What criteria have the Commission used in considering approval of a stipulation
24		among signatory parties in a proceeding?
25	A.	My understanding is that a stipulation must satisfy three criteria: (1) the stipulation must
26		be the product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) the

1		stipulation must not violate any important regulatory principle or practice; and (3) the
2		stipulation must, as a package, benefit ratepayers and the public interest.
3	Q.	Does the Stipulation in this case satisfy these criteria?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Is the Stipulation the product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable
6		parties?
7	A.	Yes. Staff, NEO, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"), and Ohio Partners
8		for Affordable Energy ("OPAE") (collectively, the "Signatory Parties") have a history of
9		participation and experience in AIR, ATA, and ALT cases. The Stipulation is the product
10		of an open process in which all parties were represented by counsel and technical experts.
11		The Signatory Parties reached the Stipulation after a thorough investigation by Staff and
12		engaging in negotiation discussions. The Signatory Parties are very knowledgeable of all
13		the relevant issues in these cases, and they used this knowledge to reach the Stipulation,
14		which represents a comprehensive compromise of issues raised by parties with diverse
15		interests. I believe that the Stipulation recommended for Commission adoption presents a
16		fair and reasonable result.
17	Q.	Does the Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?
18	A.	No. There is no party who opposes the Stipulation. This resulted because there is no
19		provision of the Stipulation which violates any important regulatory practice or principle.
20		In fact, this Stipulation furthers several important Commission principles such as an
21		appropriate allocation of costs between customers, appropriate rate design, fair and

23 Q. Does the Stipulation, as a package, benefit customers and ratepayers?

22

4

reasonable tariff provisions, and providing a reasonable return on equity for Ohio utilities.

A. Yes. As discussed above, the Stipulation provides for an unopposed agreement between
all concerned parties regarding all aspects of NEO's rates and tariffs. That includes, among
other things, to reduce the requested return on equity from 10.83% to 10.0%, creation of a
new GS-1 class to avoid undue rate increases on certain customers, revised depreciation
policies which will benefit customers, and agreement on the items which should be
included in Rate Base.

As evidence of the reasonable nature of this compromise, the Stipulation is unopposed and both the OCC and the OPAE have signed indicating their non-opposition to the Stipulation. Beyond the actual parties to this proceeding, not a single member of the public contested NEO's proposal at any of three separate public hearings. This Stipulation is even more beneficial to customers than NEO's original proposal, and as such benefits customers. It should accordingly be given substantial consideration by the Commission and approved without modification.

14 Q. Do you recommend that the Commission adopt the Stipulation?

A. Yes. I believe the Stipulation represents a fair and reasonable compromise of diverse
 interests and provides a fair result for customers.

- 17 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?
- 18 A. Yes, it does.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/5/2019 4:57:33 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1720-GA-AIR, 18-1721-GA-ATA, 18-1722-GA-ALT

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of Ken Oostman in Support of the Unopposed Stipulation electronically filed by Mr. Mark T Keaney on behalf of Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corp.