BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application for :
Nestlewood Solar I, LLC, :

for a Certificate of : Case No. 18-1546-EL-BGN

Environmental :
Compatibility and Public :
Need to Construct an :
Electric Generating :
Facility in Brown and :
Clermont Counties :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Anna Sanyal, Administrative Law Judge, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, August 30, 2019.

- - -

VOLUME II

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
26
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP
            By Mr. Michael J. Settineri
            and Mr. MacDonald W. Taylor
 3
            52 East Gay Street
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
 5
                 On behalf of the Company.
 6
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            John L. Jones, Section Chief
 7
            Ohio Power Siting Board
            by Mr. John H. Jones
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
 9
                 On behalf of the Staff of the Public
                 Utilities Commission.
10
11
            Ohio Farm Bureau
            By Ms. Amy Milam
12
            280 N. High Street, 6th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
13
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
14
                 Federation.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			27
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS		PAGE
4	Grant Zeto		2.1
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Jones		31
6			
7	EXHIBITS		
8	COMPANY EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD
9	9 - Executive Summary of the Survey for	30	36
10	Kirtland's Snake Habitats for Nestlewood Solar I Project		
11	10 - Amendment to Waters of U.S.	30	36
12	Determination for Nestlewood Solar, Clermont/Brown Counties, Ohio		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Friday Morning Session,

August 30, 2019.

2.1

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: The Ohio

Power Siting Board has called for hearing Case

No. 18-1546-EL-BGN captioned In the Matter of the

Application for Nestlewood Solar I, LLC, for a

Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public

Need to construct an electric generating facility in

Brown and Clermont Counties, Ohio.

My name is Anna Sanyal, and I am the Administrative Law Judge assigned to this matter.

As a preliminary note, before we do appearances I would just like to note that we have reopened the hearing record in the matter to get some additional testimony on the record regarding a May 24, 2019, Notice of Footprint Modification filed by Nestlewood.

At this time I will take appearances. We can start with the staff.

MR. JONES: Okay. Good morning, your Honor. I'm John Jones. I represent the staff of the Ohio Power Siting Board and Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

29 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, 2 Mr. Jones. 3 MR. SETTENERI: Good morning. On behalf of Nestlewood Solar I, LLC, Mike Settineri and 4 5 MacDonald Taylor from the law firm of Vorys, Sater, 6 Seymour and Pease, 52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio, 41215. 7 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Settineri. 9 10 MS. MILAM: Good morning, your Honor. Amy Milam on behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau 11 12 Federation, 280 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio 13 42315. 14 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank 15 you, Ms. Milam. 16 And I will let the company and staff 17 proceed as you see fit. 18 MR. SETTENERI: Thank you, your Honor. 19 First, the company, with the agreement of the 20 parties, would like to mark two exhibits and then 2.1 stipulate to adding those into the record. 22 The first document I'd like to mark is 23 Company Exhibit 9. That is an Executive Summary of a 24 report regarding the Kirtland, K-I-R-T-L-A-N-D, Snake 25 Habitat.

1 The next document to mark is Company 2 Exhibit 10. That is a July 25, 2019, update to a 3 Wetland Delineation Report. Going back to Company Exhibit 9, I'd also 4 5 note for the record that report is dated August 20, 2019. Both of these exhibits were submitted to 6 7 staff, I would represent to the Bench, and are related to the Notice of Footprint Modification. 8 9 At this time we would like to move for 10 the admission of those exhibits into the record with 11 the agreement of the other parties to the case 12 through stipulation. 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: First of 14 all, both exhibits are so marked. 15 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 16 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's wait 17 until we have additional testimony regarding those 18 exhibits. 19 MR. SETTENERI: Okav. 20 MR. JONES: At this time, your Honor, the 2.1 staff would like to call Grant Zeto to the stand, 22 please. 23 (Witness sworn.) 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may be 25 seated and you may proceed.

31 1 MR. JONES: Thank you, your Honor. 2 3 GRANT ZETO being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 4 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Jones: 8 Q. State your name for the record, please. Grant Zeto. 9 Α. 10 Where are you employed? Q. The Public Utilities Commission, 180 East 11 Α. 12 Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio. 13 Q. What are your job duties and 14 responsibilities? 15 A. I am a project analyst for the Power 16 Siting Board staff. 17 Q. Did you have the opportunity to take part 18 in the investigation of this application of 19 Nestlewood Solar I, LLC, in Case No. 18-1546-EL-BGN? 20 Α. Yes, I did. 2.1 Q. Okay. What was your role? 22 I reviewed the surface waters, Α. 23 threatened and endangered species, and the vegetation 24 of the report. 25 Q. And did you happen to review the

supplemental information that was filed by the applicant in this case that's marked now for the record as Exhibits 9 and 10?

A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

5 MR. SETTENERI: Can we go off the record, 6 please?

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Sure.

(Discussion off record.)

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Back on the record.

- Q. (By Mr. Jones) Mr. Zeto, I asked you a question a minute ago about Exhibits 9 and 10. Those were not filed yet in the docket of this case; is that correct?
- A. I believe that is correct.
 - Q. But they're marked as exhibits here in this proceeding, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity then to review what is marked as Applicant Exhibit 9?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what is Exhibit 9?
- A. Exhibit 9 is the Doug Wynn report on the Kirtland Snake.
- Q. And, likewise, what was the finding then

from that report analysis?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Α. That the area for the additional collection line does not contain habitat for that species.
- Ο. And then looking then at Applicant Exhibit No. 10, what is this document?
- Α. This is a additional wetlands surface water study conducted for the applicant for the additional collection line area.
- 10 And did you review the information in Ο. 11 Exhibit 10?
- 12 Α. I did.
- 13 Q. Okay. And were there any conclusions drawn from this supplemental information? 14
- Α. Those areas do not contain any wetlands 16 or streams.
 - And these two exhibits then, these Q. reports are related then to the modification that was sought here by the company that was filed May 24, 2019, for the project site?
 - Α. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
 - Yes. Are you familiar then with the Q. notice regarding the modification of the project footprint that was filed in this project --
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. -- on May 24, 2019?
- A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. So as it concerns the change that was proposed then by the applicant for the project, do Exhibits 9 and 10 help to confirm that there is no additional impacts that you would be concerned with?
- A. Correct. I don't know any other concerns about this area.
- Q. Okay. And then Exhibits 9 and 10, would these be considered a supplement to the application?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- Q. Okay. Would the exhibits that you have, that you've identified, would they be considered supplemental information as provided by Condition 1 in the Stipulation and Recommendation filed in this docket?
 - A. Yes, they would.
- Q. Okay. And the notice regarding the modification that was filed May 24, 2019, is this also captured by Condition 1 as a supplement of the filing for purposes of Condition 1 in the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation?
 - A. Yes, I believe it would.
- Q. Okay. So given the modification requested by the applicant in this case, does

the staff agree with the modification that's being sought here by the company?

A. Yes. Staff has no objection to it.

MR. JONES: Okay. I have no further questions, your Honor.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any questions?

2.1

MS. MILAM: No, your Honor.

MR. SETTENERI: No.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Since I have not seen these exhibits, do you mind if we go off the record for a few minutes, and I'm just going to briefly glance over these. So let's go off the record for a few minutes.

(Recess taken.)

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I've briefly reviewed Company Exhibits 9 and 10. I do not have any questions.

And I understand, Mr. Settineri, you may have a comment.

MR. SETTENERI: Just for the benefit of the court reporter, I will be docketing electronic copies of Exhibits 9 and 10, especially because Exhibit 10 contains full-sized maps.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

36 1 MR. SETTENERI: To help the court 2 reporter. 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. I understand there are no more questions for the 4 5 witness. 6 Mr. Zeto, you may step down. 7 Are there any other matters we wish to 8 discuss before we go off the record? 9 MR. SETTENERI: Just simply we would like 10 to again present our motion to admit through 11 stipulation Company's Exhibits 9 and 10 into the 12 record. 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 14 They are admitted. 15 MR. SETTENERI: Thank you. 16 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Seeing 18 that we have no other issues to discuss, the case 19 will be submitted to the Board, and the Board will 20 issue an opinion shortly. Thank you. 2.1 (The hearing concluded at 10:13 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, August 30, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson,
Professional Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State of

Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2024.

11 (rfa-90883)

_ - -

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/30/2019 1:37:06 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1546-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript Volume II - In the Matter of the Application for Nestlewood Solar I, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to Construct an Electric Generating Facility in Brown and Clermont Counties, hearing held on August 30, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Anderson, Rosemary Foster Mrs.