BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

Angel for a	e Matter of the Application of lina Solar I, LLC Certificate of Environmental Datibility and Public Need Case No. 18-1579-EL-BGN
	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DOUGLAS HERLING
Q.1.	Please state your name and business address.
	A.1. My name is Douglas Herling. I am Director of Business Development with Open
	Road Renewables, LLC ("Open Road"), 1105 Navasota Street, Austin, Texas 78702. The
	sole member of Applicant, Angelina Solar I, LLC ("Applicant"), is Blue Planet Renewable
	Energy, LLC, whose members are Open Road and MAP 2015, L.P. I am the project
	manager for the Angelina Solar Project ("Project").
Q.2.	Did you previously present direct testimony in this proceeding?
	A.2. Yes.
Q.3.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
	A.3. I am filing rebuttal testimony on behalf of the Applicant, Angelina Solar I, LLC in
	response to testimony by Rachel Vonderhaar.
Q.4.	Have you reviewed Ms. Vonderhaar's testimony?
	A.4. Yes. I reviewed Ms. Vonderhaar's direct testimony marked as CCPC Ex. 2.
Q.5	What portions of Ms. Vonderhaar's testimony will you address?
	A.5. I will address Ms. Vonderhaar's concern in her testimony about electromagnetic
	fields (EMF).

Q.6. Are her concerns warranted?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

No. The project will generate only very weak electromagnetic fields ("EMFs"), and only during the day. PV arrays generate EMF in the same extremely low frequency ("ELF") range as electrical appliances and wiring found in most homes and buildings. CCPC Ex. 1 titled "Study of Acoustic and EMF Levels From Solar Photovoltaic Project" is helpful in understanding why EMF from solar projects is not a concern. The study, which was referenced in my direct testimony, was prepared for the Massachusetts Clean Energy Center by Tech Environmental, Inc. The study involved sound pressure level and EMF measurements at three utility-scale sites with solar photovoltaic (PV) arrays with a capacity range of 1,000 to 3,500 kW under a full-load condition. EMF measurements were also made at one residential PV installation with a capacity of 8.6 kW under a partial load condition. The study found that electric field levels were not elevated above background levels along the fenced PV array boundary and near the inverters. Magnetic field levels along the fenced boundaries were very low (.2 to .4 mG). There were significant magnetic fields at locations a few feet from inverters, in the range of 150 to 500 mG, but at a distance of 150 feet from the inverters, the fields dropped back to very low levels of .5 mG or less, and in many cases to background levels. By comparison, as noted in the study, the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection has recommended exposure limits of 833 mG.

Q.7. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A.7. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Ohio Power Siting Board's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced in the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served upon the persons below via electronic mail this 23rd day of August 2019.

/s/ Michael J. Settineri

Jodi Bair Jodi.bair@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Dylan Borchers dborchers@bricker.com

Kathryn West kwest@prebco.org

W. Joseph Scholler jscholler@fbtlaw.com

Thaddeus Boggs tboggs@fbtlaw.com

Chad Endsley cendsley@ofbf.org

Leah Curtis lcurtis@ofbf.org

Amy Milam amilam@ofbf.org

Jack Van Kley jvankley@vankleywalker.com

Chris Walker cwalker@vankleywalker.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/23/2019 5:14:35 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1579-EL-BGN

Summary: Testimony - Rebuttal Testimony of Douglas Herling electronically filed by Mr. Michael J. Settineri on behalf of Angelina Solar I, LLC