LETTER OF NOTIFICATION FOR Adjustment to Heppner Switch-Lick 138 kV Transmission Line Project



BOUNDLESS ENERGY"

PUCO Case No. 19-1487-EL-BLN

Submitted to: The Ohio Power Siting Board Pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code Section 4906-6-05

Submitted by: AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.

August 13, 2019

LETTER OF NOTIFICATION

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. Adjustment to Heppner Switch-Lick 138 kV Transmission Line Project

4906-6-05

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. ("AEP Ohio Transco" or the "Company") provides the following information to the Ohio Power Siting Board ("OPSB") in accordance with the accelerated application requirements of Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C.") Section 4906-6-05.

4906-6-5(B) General Information

B(1) Project Description

The name of the project and applicant's reference number, names and reference number(s) of resulting circuits, a brief description of the project, and why the project meets the requirements for a Letter of Notification.

The Company proposes an adjustment to the approved Heppner Switch-Lick 138 kilovolt ("kV") Transmission Line Project (Case Number 17-0808-EL-BLN), which will be referred to herein as Adjustment to Heppner Switch-Lick 138 kV Transmission Line Project ("Project"). The Project is located in Jackson County, Ohio.

The Project involves shifting a 0.7-mile portion of the OPSB approved centerline at the OH-32 and US-35 interchange to the west and south approximately 30-170 feet. The shift in the centerline is necessary to avoid placing poles within Ohio Department of Transportation ("ODOT") right-of-way ("ROW"). The proposed shift of the centerline can be seen in Figure 1, Appendix A.

The Project meets the requirements for a Letter of Notification ("LON") because it is within the types of projects defined by item 1(d)(ii) of Appendix A to O.A.C. 4906-1-01, *Application Requirement Matrix For Electric Power Transmission Lines*:

- 1. New construction, extension, or relocation of single or multiple circuit electric power transmission line(s), or upgrading existing transmission or distributions line(s) for operation at a higher transmission voltage as follows:
 - (d) *Line(s) primarily needed to attract or meet the requirements of a specific customer or customers, as follows:*
 - ii. Any portion of the line is on property owned by someone other than the specific customer or applicant.

The Project has been assigned PUCO Case No. 19-1487-EL-BLN.

B(2) Statement of Need

If the proposed project is an electric power transmission line or gas or natural gas transmission line, a statement explaining the need for the proposed facility.

The City of Jackson has requested a new 69 kV delivery point capable of carrying their entire load, which will be approximately 37 megawatts ("MW") upon completion of the Project, due to a 4 MW load increase by the city. This delivery point will be redundant with the existing 138 kV delivery point out of Lick Substation.

Simulations show that once the customer load is connected at the requested load, there will be both voltage and thermal system deficiencies during several single-element outage scenarios.

The new City of Jackson delivery point will be served from the existing 37-mile Lick-Ross 69 kV line, constructed in 1926. There are 134 open conditions distributed among the line's 275 structures. The line has been responsible for 478,000 customer-minutes of interruption ("CMI") from 2012-2017. New circuit breakers at Heppner and Rhodes substations will help alleviate the reliability concerns.

For purposes of PJM Interconnection, LLC Regional Transmission ("PJM"), the proposed facility is a supplemental project that is necessary to renew and modernize the area's aging transmission line infrastructure. The Project will strengthen the 138 kV transmission network in southeast/southern Ohio, support the electrical load required for future economic development in that area, and provide transmission grid reliability and resiliency. This Project was submitted at the PJM Regional Transmission Expansion Plan meeting on March 24, 2017 and is included in the Company's 2019 Long Term Forecast Report ("LTFR"; FE-T9, pages 57 and 68 of 102). The PJM identifier for the Project is S1342. The Company's presentation to PJM and the 2019 LTFR forecast are presented in Appendix B.

B(3) Project Location

The applicant shall provide the location of the project in relation to existing or proposed lines and substations shown on an area system map of sufficient scale and size to show existing and proposed transmission facilities in the Project area.

The location of the Project in relation to the existing transmission lines and stations is shown on Figure 1, Appendix A.

B(4) Alternatives Considered

The applicant shall describe the alternatives considered and reasons why the proposed location or route is best suited for the proposed facility. The discussion shall include, but not be limited to, impacts associated with socioeconomic, ecological, construction, or engineering aspects of the project.

The proposed centerline shift that is the subject of this application represents the most appropriate solution for meeting the Company's need. Specifically, the adjustment of the centerline and four (4) pole

locations is necessary in order to place poles outside of ODOT ROW at the OH-32 and US-35 interchange. No other alternatives were considered for the route adjustment. Significant socioeconomic, ecological, or construction impacts from the proposed adjustment are not expected, as the adjustments will be covered under the previously surveyed areas for the Project.

B(5) Public Information Program

The applicant shall describe its public information program to inform affected property owners and tenants of the nature of the project and the proposed timeframe for project construction and restoration activities.

The transmission line adjustment will affect seven property owners or tenants. Two of these property owners are newly affected by the adjustment and were not affected by the original Letter of Notification ("LON") filing.

The Company maintains a website (http://aeptransmission.com/ohio/) which provides the public access to an electronic copy of this LON and the public notice for this LON. A paper copy of the LON will be served to the public library in each political subdivision affected by this proposed Project. Lastly, the Company retains ROW land agents who discuss project timelines, construction and restoration activities with affected owners and tenants.

B(6) Construction Schedule

The applicant shall provide an anticipated construction schedule and proposed in-service date of the project.

Construction of the Heppner Switch-Lick 138 kV transmission line began in January 2019, however, construction specific to the Project is planned to begin in September 2019, and the anticipated in-service date will be approximately December 2019.

B(7) Area Map

The applicant shall provide a map of at least 1:24,000 scale clearly depicting the facility with clearly marked streets, roads, and highways, and an aerial image.

Figure 1, in Appendix A, identifies the location of the proposed Project area on a United States Geological Survey 1:24,000 quadrangle map. Figure 2, in Appendix A, is an aerial map of the Project area.

To visit the Project from Columbus, Ohio, take US-23 S toward Circleville for approximately 40 miles. Continue onto US-35 E/US-50 E toward Jackson/Athens for approximately 29 miles and turn right onto McCarty Road. At the traffic circle, take the Acy Avenue exit. Continue 0.2-mile and turn left onto Industry Drive. Continue 0.7-mile and the entrance to the existing Lick Substation will be on the right. The approximate address of the existing Lick Substation is 263 Industry Drive, Jackson, Ohio 45640 at latitude 39.0436 longitude -82.6092. The Project is located immediately north of the Lick Station.

B(8) Property Agreements

The applicant shall provide a list of properties for which the applicant has obtained easements, options, and/or land use agreements necessary to construct and operate the facility and a list of the additional properties for which such agreements have not been obtained.

The proposed Project will be constructed primarily within or parallel to existing ROW. Provided below is a table of property parcel numbers with an indication if the easement/agreement/option necessary to construct and operate the facility has been obtained.

Property Parcel Number	Easement Agreement/Option Obtained (Yes/No)
H14-006-00-037-00	No
H14-006-00-037-02	No
H14-006-00-030-01	No
H14-006-00-031-00	No
H14-006-00-033-01	No
H14-006-00-074-00	No
H14-006-00-069-00	No

B(9) Technical Features

The applicant shall describe the following information regarding the technical features of the project:

B(9)(a) Operating characteristics, estimated number and types of structures required, and right-of-way and/or land requirements.

The Project has the following characteristics:

Voltage:	138 kV
ROW Width:	100 feet
Structure Type:	Structure 45: 2-pole dead-end (100 feet tall), double circuit, galvanized steel structure
	Structure 47: Monopole tangent (150 feet tall), double circuit, galvanized steel structure
	Structure 48: 2-pole dead-end (155 feet tall), double circuit, galvanized steel structure
	Structure 49: Monopole tangent (120 feet tall), double circuit, galvanized steel structure
Shield Wire:	2- 7#8 Alumoweld used above the phase conductors
Conductor:	Heppner-Lick Circuit: (3) 1,033.5 KCM 54/7ACSR – "Curlew"
	City of Jackson Circuit: (3) 336.4 KCM 30/7 ACSR – "Oriole"
Insulators:	Non-Ceramic Insulators (Polymer) with corona rings

B(9)(b) Electric and Magnetic Fields

For electric power transmission lines that are within one hundred feet of an occupied residence or institution, the production of electric and magnetic fields during the operation of the proposed electric power transmission line.

B(9)(b)(i) Calculated Electric and Magnetic Field Strength Levels

i) **Calculated Electric and Magnetic Field Levels**

Three loading conditions were examined: (1) normal maximum loading, (2) emergency line loading, and (3) winter normal conductor rating. Normal maximum loading represents the peak flow expected with all system facilities in service; daily/hourly flows fluctuate below this level. Emergency loading is the maximum current flow during unusual (contingency) conditions, which exist only for short periods of time. Winter normal ("WN") conductor rating represents the maximum current flow that a line, including its terminal equipment, can carry during winter conditions. It is not anticipated that this line would operate at its WN rating in the foreseeable future. Loading levels and the calculated electric and magnetic fields are summarized below.

EMF CALCULATIONS				
Condition	Circuit Load (A)	Electric Field (kV/m)*	Magnetic Field (mG)*	
(1) Normal Maximum Loading	100.0/359.0	21.88/58.54/22.54	0.24/0.34/0.26	
(2) Emergency Line Loading	346.0/359.0	32.07/81.49/32.99	0.25/0.35/0.26	
(3) Winter Normal Conductor Rating	1535.0/703.0	95.68/228.02/98.32	0.24/0.34/0.26	

* EMF levels (left ROW edge/maximum/right ROW edge) calculated one meter above ground assuming balanced currents and nominal voltages. Electric fields reflect normal and emergency operations; lower electric fields are expected during emergency conditions when one mutually-coupled line is out of service.

B(9)(b)(ii) Design Alternatives

A discussion of the applicant's consideration of design alternatives with respect to electric and magnetic fields and their strength levels, including alternate conductor configuration and phasing, tower height, corridor location, and right-of-waywidth.

Design alternatives were not considered due to electric and magnetic field ("EMF") strength levels. Transmission lines, when energized, generate EMF. Laboratory studies have failed to establish a strong correlation between exposure to EMF and effects on human health. However, some people are concerned that EMF have impacts on human health. Due to these concerns, EMF associated with the new circuits was calculated and set forth in the table above. The EMF was computed assuming the highest possible EMF values that could exist along the proposed transmission line rebuild. Normal daily EMF levels will operate below these maximum load conditions. Based on studies from the National Institutes of Health, the magnetic field (measured in milliGauss, or mG) associated with emergency loading at the highest EMF

value for this transmission line is lower than those associated with normal household appliances like microwaves, electric shavers and hair dryers, shavers and hair dryers. For additional information regarding EMF, the National Institute of Health has posted information on their website: http://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/agents/emf/. Additionally, information on electric and magnetic fields is available on AEP Ohio's website: https://www.aepohio.com/info/projects/emf/OurPosition.aspx. The information found on AEP Ohio's website describes the basics of electromagnetic field theory, scientific research activities, and EMF exposures encountered in everyday life. Similar material will be made available for those affected by the construction activities for this Project.

B(9)(c) Project Cost

The estimated capital cost of the project.

The capital cost estimate for the Heppner – Lick 138 kV Transmission Line Project, including the adjustments proposed by the Project, which is comprised of applicable tangible and capital costs, is approximately \$12,500,000, using a Class 3 estimate.1

B(10) Social and Economic Impacts

The applicant shall describe the social and ecological impacts of the project:

B(10)(a) Land Use Characteristics

Provide a brief, general description of land use within the vicinity of the proposed project, including a list of municipalities, townships, and counties affected.

The Project is located within Lick Township, Jackson County, Ohio. Land use in the vicinity of the Project includes wooded/forested areas and roadways and highways. No residences are located within 50-feet of the Project. An aerial photograph of the Project vicinity is provided in Figure 2, Appendix A.

B(10)(b) Agricultural Land Information

Provide the acreage and a general description of all agricultural land, and separately all agricultural district land, existing at least sixty days prior to submission of the application within the potential disturbance area of the project.

The Project is not located within or crossed by any registered agricultural district land, based on data received from the Jackson County Auditor's office on August 1, 2019. Additionally, the Project Area does not contain any active agricultural row crop land (see Figure 2, AppendixA).

¹ Section 4906-6-05(B)(9)(c) of AEP Ohio Transco's LON filing in Case No. 17-0808-EL-BLN indicated that Project costs would be approximately \$10,000,000. That cost estimate was based on a Class 4 estimate. The above cost estimate has been updated to reflect the anticipated cost of the transmission facilities that are the subject of this filing.

B(10)(c) Archaeological and Cultural Resources

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of significant archaeological or cultural resources that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

Archaeological and historic architectural investigations were conducted by the Company's consultant for the Project. No cultural resource concerns were identified within the original Project area. A correspondence letter from the State Historic Preservation Office ("SHPO") was received offering concurrence that the Project "...will not affect historic properties." and that "No further coordination with this office is necessary...". A copy of the letter from the SHPO is included in Appendix C. Coordination efforts with SHPO are occurring for the Project and will be coordinated directly with OPSB.

B(10)(d) Local, State, and Federal Agency Correspondence

Provide a list of the local, state, and federal governmental agencies known to have requirements that must be met in connection with the construction of the project, and a list of documents that have been or are being filed with those agencies in connection with siting and constructing the project.

A Notice of Intent was filed with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency for authorization of construction storm water discharges under General Permit OHC000005 and was approved in January 2019. The Company will implement and maintain best management practices ("BMPs"), as outlined in the project-specific Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWP3"), to minimize erosion and control sediment to protect surface water quality during storm events.

The Project will not impact streams, however, permanent and temporary wetland impacts are anticipated. The impacts from the prior approved route have not changed as a result of the route adjustment. The Project requires a Clean Water Act Section 404 Nationwide Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and a preconstruction notification was filed in January 2019, a response was received from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in February 2019. In addition, it is anticipated that the Project will meet the terms and conditions of the pre-authorized Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency.

B(10)(e) Threatened, Endangered, and Rare Species

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of federal and state designated species (including endangered species, threatened species, rare species, species proposed for listing, species under review for listing, and species of special interest) that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

The United States Fish and Wildlife Services' ("USFWS") *Federally Listed Species by Ohio Counties May 2017* (available at https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/lists/pdf/OhioCtyListMay2017.pdf) was

reviewed to determine the threatened and endangered species known to occur in Jackson County. This USFWS publication lists the following species as occurring within Jackson County: Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*; federally endangered), northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*; federally threatened), running buffalo clover (*Trifolium stoloniferum*; federally endangered), timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus*; federal species of concern), and bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*; federal species of concern). As part of the ecological study completed for the Project, a coordination letter was submitted to the USFWS Ohio Ecological Services Field Office seeking technical assistance on the Project for potential impacts to threatened or endangered species. The May 31, 2017 response letter from USFWS (see Appendix D) indicated that the proposed Project is within the range of the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat in Ohio, but if tree clearing occurs between October 1 and March 31, they do not anticipate the Project having any adverse effects to these species. The proposed Project will require tree clearing within existing and new ROW. Through additional surveys and coordination with USFWS, no restrictions are required for tree clearing within the limits of disturbance proposed for the Project.

The USFWS response letter also indicated that the proposed Project is within the range of the running buffalo clover. The USFWS recommends completing the work between August 1 and March 30 after the perennial plant has died back for the season and foliage will not be damaged or destroyed. If work is to be completed outside of that time window, the USFWS requests a survey for running buffalo clover be completed in the section of the line running through Lick Township, Jackson County. Presence/absence surveys for running buffalo clover were completed by the Company's consultant and the species was not identified during the survey. In an email dated July 16, 2018 (see Appendix D), USFWS concurred with the results and conclusions of the running buffalo clover survey report and impacts to the species are not anticipated.

The Project also lies within the range of the timber rattlesnake, a federal species of concern. In their coordination response letter, the USFWS provided several project management strategies for avoiding impacts to timber rattlesnakes and their habitat. In accordance with the recommendations, a habitat survey was conducted by an approved herpetologist in March 2018 for the existing Heppner-Lick 69 kV Transmission Line ROW and Preferred Route at the time of the survey. No suitable habitat was identified during the survey. In an email dated May 1, 2018 (see Appendix D), USFWS concurred with the results and conclusions of the timber rattlesnake habitat report and impacts to the species are not anticipated.

The USFWS letter dated May 31, 2017 did not include any comments specific to the other federally listed species.

Several state-listed threatened species, endangered species, and species of concern are listed by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources ("ODNR") (available at http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/species-and-habitats/state-listed-species/state-listed-species-by-county) as occurring, or potentially occurring in Jackson County.

A coordination letter was submitted to the ODNR in May 2017, seeking an environmental review of the proposed Project for potential impacts on state-listed threatened or endangered species. The October 23, 2017 response letter from ODNR (see Appendix D; Project ID 17-639) indicated that the Project is within the range of the Indiana bat, a state endangered species, as well as a federally endangered species, but if tree clearing occurs between October 1 and March 31, the ODNR does not anticipate the Project having any adverse effects to the Indiana bat. The Project is also located within the range of the following state listed

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.

species: little spectaclecase (*Villosa lienosa*), Ohio lamprey (*Ichthyomyzon bdellium*), lake chubsucker (*Erimyzon sucetta*), timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus horridus*), Kirtland's snake (*Clonophis kirtlandii*), mud salamander (*Pseudotriton montanus*), and black bear (*Ursus americanus*). In regards to mussels, the ODNR letter states that the Project must not have an impact on freshwater native mussel species at the Project site and includes both listed and non-listed species. No in-stream work is proposed therefore mussel species are not proposed to be impacted. The Ohio lamprey and lake chubsucker are not anticipated to be impacted as no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream. Lastly, with respect to the timber rattlesnake, Kirtland's snake, mud salamander, and black bear, the ODNR indicated in their response letter that based on the Project location, the type of habitat along the Project route and within the vicinity of the Project route, or the mobility of the species, this Project is not likely to impact these species.

B(10)(f) Areas of Ecological Concern

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of areas of ecological concern (including national and state forests and parks, floodplains, wetlands, designated or proposed wilderness areas, national and state wild and scenic rivers, wildlife areas, wildlife refuges, wildlife management areas, and wildlife sanctuaries) that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

A review of the National Wetlands Inventory ("NWI") database indicated that there are no NWI- mapped wetlands identified within the Project Area. Wetland and stream delineation field surveys were completed within the Project area by the Company's consultant in July 2017, October 2017, December 2017 and April 2018. During the field wetland and stream delineation, four wetlands and seven stream segments were identified within the Project area. The location of identified streams and wetlands within the Project area are shown on Figure 3 in Appendix A. Delineation forms and associated pictures for the wetlands and streams identified in the Project area are included in Appendix E.

No wildlife management areas or nature preserve lands are located within 1,000 feet of the Project. No properties identified in the National Conservation Easement Database (http://www.conservation easement.us) were identified in the Project vicinity.

The FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map was reviewed to identify any floodplains/flood hazard areas that have been mapped within the Project area (specifically, map number 39079C0161K). Based on this mapping, no FEMA Regulatory Floodways are located in the Project area.

B(10)(g) Unusual Conditions

Provide any known additional information that will describe any unusual conditions resulting in significant environmental, social, health, or safety impacts.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, no unusual conditions exist that would result in significant environmental, social, health, or safety impacts.

Appendix A Project Maps

Figures 1, 2, and 3





Proposed Pole Location OPSB Approved Route Adjusted Route Existing 69 kV Transmission Line Existing 138 kV Transmission Line Parcel Boundary



Date: August 12, 2019







Appendix B 2019 Long Term Forecast and PJM Submittal

PJM Submittal



AEP Transmission Owner Criteria Violation and Supplemental Project

Problem Statement:

The City of Jackson has requested a new 69kV delivery point (Ironman Switch) capable of carrying their entire load, which will be ~37 MW due to a 4 MW load increase by the City. This new delivery point will be redundant with the existing 138kV delivery point out of Lick Station.

After the customer load is connected and is at the full capacity, there is an N-1 violation that drops the voltage at the customer bus to ~65% and thermally overloads the Lick-Ross 69kV Circuit to 130%. To solve this violation, a new 138/69kV station will be established (Rhodes Station), injecting a 3rd source onto the Lick-Ross 69kV circuit. Following the solution, no N-1 or N-1-1 violations appear.

The new City of Jackson delivery point is directly adjacent to the existing Berlin-Lick-Ross 69kV circuit. Of the 37+ miles of conductor on the circuit, 88% (32.96 miles) is original from the 1926 line construction – mostly 4/0 ACSR Penguin (50 MVA rating). Of the 275 structures, 98% (269) are wood and 43% (119) are older than 1960. There are 241 open conditions on the line, including issues with conductor, structures, and ROW encroachments. The line has been responsible for 1.4M CMI from 2013-2015, including over 12.5k customer interruptions. It is recommended that this circuit be rebuilt to 138kV standards in anticipation of a future 138kV conversion to become an additional 138kV path to support Ross Station as there is only one 138 kV source that currently feeds Ross station from the South.

Issues at every switch structure on this circuit (Coalton Sw, Pine Ridge Sw, Vigo, and Ginger) complicates any planned outages as momentary outages are required at all three stations in order to isolate a circuit section. AEP's MPOI calculation justifies the installation of breakers at Heppner station, which will replace Coalton switch. –City of Jackson, Jackson County, OH

Continued on next slide...

AEP Transmission Zone





AEP Transmission Owner Criteria Violation and Supplemental Project

Continued from previous slide...

Potential Alternative Solutions Considered:

- Extend 69kV from East Beaver-Buckeye Co-Op to Pine Ridge, construct ring bus at Pine Ridge. This alternative was ruled out due to the need to rebuild the radial from East Beaver-Buckeye Co-Op (4.53 miles) and the need for 7 miles of new right-of-way to extend the line to Pine Ridge. Estimated Cost: \$34M
- New 138/69kV Transformer at Corwin, 69kV line extension through AEP's retired Berlin Station. Expansion difficulties at Corwin would likely lead to a complete rebuild of the station, plus an additional mile of 69kV greenfield line in addition to constructing Rhodes station. Estimated Cost: \$23M

Preliminary Solution:

Install a new Ironman Switch to serve a new delivery point requested by the City of Jackson for a load increase request. Establish a new 138/69 kV station (Rhodes) to serve as a third source to the area to help relieve overloads caused by the customer load increase. Replace Coalton Switch with a new three breaker ring bus (Heppner). (Baseline)

Estimated Cost: \$13M

Rebuild approximately 6 miles of line from Rhodes to Heppner and from Heppner to Lick with 1033 ACSR (148 MVA rating). Build for future 138 kV conversion. (Supplemental) **Estimated Cost:** \$7M

Required IS date: 3/1/2018

Status: Engineering



2019 Long Term Forecast Report

PUCO FORM FE-T9 AEP OHIO TRANSMISSION COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS OF PLANNED TRANSMISSION LINES

1.	LINE NAME AND NUMBER:	Heppner - Ironman, 138kV, 21879 (S1342)
2.	POINTS OF ORIGIN AND TERMINATION	Heppner, Ironman; INTERMEDIATE STATION - N/A
3.	RIGHTS-OF-WAY: LENGTH / WIDTH / CIRCUITS	2.8 miles / 100 ft / 1 circuit
4.	VOLTAGE: DESIGN / OPERATE	138kV / 69kV
5.	APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE:	LON filed May, 2018
6.		2019
7.	CAPITAL INVESTMENT:	~\$5M
8.	PLANNED SUBSTATION:	NAME - Heppner; TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE - 69kV; ACREAGE - 5; LOCATION - Jackson, Ohio
9.	SUPPORTING STRUCTURES:	Steel H-frame
10.	PARTICIPATION WITH OTHER UTILITIES	N/A
11.	PURPOSE OF THE PLANNED TRANSMISSION LINE	Rebuild of existing 69kV line, asset renewal of aging infrastructure
12.	CONSEQUENCES OF LINE CONSTRUCTION DEFERMENT OR TERMINATION	Increased risk of equipment failure.
13.	MISCELLANEOUS:	N/A

PUCO FORM FE-T9 AEP OHIO TRANSMISSION COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS OF PLANNED TRANSMISSION LINES

1.	LINE NAME AND NUMBER:	Lick - Ironman (S1342)
2.	POINTS OF ORIGIN AND TERMINATION	Ironman, Lick; INTERMEDIATE STATION - N/A
3.	RIGHTS-OF-WAY: LENGTH / WIDTH / CIRCUITS	2 circuit (1 mile), 1 circuit (0.9 mile) 100 ft
4.	VOLTAGE: DESIGN / OPERATE	138kV / 69kV
5.	APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE:	LON March 2018
6.	CONSTRUCTION:	2019
7.	CAPITAL INVESTMENT:	\$6M
8.	PLANNED SUBSTATION:	NAME - N/A; TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE - N/A; ACREAGE - N/A; LOCATION - N/A
9.	SUPPORTING STRUCTURES:	Steel H-frame
10.	PARTICIPATION WITH OTHER UTILITIES	N/A
11.	PURPOSE OF THE PLANNED TRANSMISSION LINE	Rebuild of existing 69kV line, asset renewal of aging infrastructure
12.	CONSEQUENCES OF LINE CONSTRUCTION DEFERMENT OR TERMINATION	Increased risk of failure.
13.	MISCELLANEOUS:	N/A

Appendix C SHPO Correspondence



In reply, please refer to: 2017-JAC-39798

September 8, 2017

Mr. Ryan J. Weller Weller & Associates, Inc. 1395 West Fifth Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43212

RE: Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Rebuild Project, Lick and Coal Township, Jackson County, Ohio

Dear Mr. Weller:

This letter is in response to the correspondence received on August 21, 2017 regarding the proposed Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Rebuild Project, Lick and Coal Townships, Jackson County, Ohio. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this project. The comments of the Ohio State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) are submitted in accordance with the provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C.470 [36 CFR 800]).

The following comments pertain to the Phase I Archaeological Investigations for the Proposed 8.1 km (5.0 mi) Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Rebuild Project in Lick and Coal Townships, Jackson County, Ohio by Weller & Associates, Inc. (2017).

A literature review, visual inspection, shovel probe excavation, and shovel test unit excavation was completed as part of the investigations. No previously identified archaeological sites are located within the project area. Three (3) Ohio Archaeological Sites (33JA0016, 33JA0022, and 33JA0074) are located within the study area. While all three sites are significant and may require additional testing, they are located outside the project area and will not be affected by the proposed work. Three (3) archaeological sites we identified during survey and all sites were prehistoric period lithic scatters identified during shovel test unit excavation. OAI#33JA0416 consisted of Upper Mercer primary and secondary thinning flakes. OAI#33JA0417 consisted of Vanport and Upper Mercer primary and secondary thinning flakes and a core fragment. OAI#33JA0418 consisted of a Vanport primary thinning flake and a Pebble secondary decortication flake. None of the sites were recommended eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Based on the information provided, we agree the archaeological sites are not eligible for listing in the NRHP and no additional archaeological survey is needed.

Petrea Cemetery (OGSID#13704) is documented as being located immediately adjacent to the project area. However, visual investigations during fieldwork did not identify the location of the cemetery. Additional research has shown that the currently documented location of Petrea Cemetery is likely incorrect. *Cemetery inscriptions of Jackson County, Ohio: collected during the period of 1978-1982* (Hixon & Hixon 1982) maps the cemetery on the north side of SR 788 and north of Fairmount Cemetery. Based on this information, as well as communication with local cemetery recorders, is more likely that Petrea Cemetery is located further north and east along SR 788 than is currently documented. Hixon & Hixon documented four individuals buried in the cemetery. While the exact location of Petrea Cemetery is currently unknown, it is unlikely the proposed project will affect the cemetery.

RPR Serial No: 1070151, 1070152

Mr. Ryan Weller Page 2 September 8, 2017

The following comments pertain to the *History/Architecture Investigations for the Proposed 8.1 km* (5.0 mi) Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Rebuild Project in Lick and Coal Townships, Jackson County, Ohio by Weller & Associates, Inc. (2017).

The investigations included a background literature review and systematic survey of all properties 50 years of age or older that are situated within 1,000' of the centerline of the proposed project. In total, seventy-six (76) individual properties of fifty years of age or older were identified within the survey APE that may have a direct line-of-sight to the project. Out of the seventy-six properties that were identified, three were advanced to detailed study. A previously identified, newly recorded OHI (JAC0022905) and two OHIs newly identified in this survey (JAC0023205 and JAC0023105).

Weller previously recommended JAC0022905 as eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion C, and our office agreed with this recommendation. Weller also recommends JAC0023205 as eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A and JAC0023105 as eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion C. Our office agrees that these properties are NRHP-eligible.

Weller has provided documentation to support their contention that the proposed transmission line upgrade will not diminish the historic characteristics that may contribute to the above-referenced properties' NRHP eligibility. Therefore, we agree that the project as proposed will have no indirect adverse effect on historic properties.

Based on the information provided, we agree the project will not affect historic properties. No further coordination with this office is necessary, unless the project changes or unless new or additional historic properties are discovered during implementation of this project. In such a situation, this office should be contacted.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (614) 298-2022, or by e-mail at <u>khorrocks@ohiohistory.org</u>. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Krista Horrocks, Project Reviews Manager Resource Protection and Review

cc: Ron Howard, AEP (rmhoward@aep.com)

RPR Serial No: 1070151, 1070152

OHIO HISTORY CONNECTION

800 E. 17th Ave., Columbus, OH 43211-2474 • 614.297.2300 • ohiohistory.org



In reply refer to 2017-JAC-39798

December 19, 2017

Mr. Ryan J. Weller Weller & Associates, Inc. 1395 West Fifth Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43212

RE: Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Rebuild Project-Addendum Report, Lick and Coal Township, Jackson County, Ohio

Dear Mr. Weller:

This letter is in response to the correspondence received on November 28, 2017 regarding the proposed Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Rebuild Project, Lick and Coal Townships, Jackson County, Ohio. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this project. The comments of the Ohio State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) are submitted in accordance with the provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C.470 [36 CFR 800]).

The following comments pertain to the Addendum: Phase I Cultural Resource Investigations for Line Reroutes Associated with the Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Electric Line in Coal and Lick Townships, Jackson County, Ohio by Weller & Associates, Inc. (2017).

A literature review, visual inspection, and shovel test unit excavation was completed as part of the investigations. No previously identified archaeological sites are located within the project area. No archaeological sites were identified during this survey. Three (3) previously identified archaeological sites, Ohio Archaeological Inventory (OAI)#33JA0416-33JA0418, were identified previously in the survey work for this project that took place in August 2017. The sites were recommended not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) in our previous coordination letter, dated September 8, 2017. These archaeological sites were not impacted by the change in line reroutes as part of this survey. Based on the information provided, we still agree the archaeological sites are not eligible for listing in the NRHP and no additional archaeological survey is needed. No above-ground resources over the age of fifty years old were identified in the addendum. Therefore, we continue to agree that the project as proposed will have no indirect adverse effect on historic properties.

Based on the information provided, we agree with our original determination that the project will have no adverse effect on historic properties. No further coordination with this office is necessary, unless the project changes or unless new or additional historic properties are discovered during the implementation of this project. In such a situation, this office should be contacted.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (614) 298-2022, or by e-mail at <u>khorrocks@ohiohistory.org</u>. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Krista Horrocks, Project Reviews Manager Resource Protection and Review

cc: Ron Howard, AEP (mhoward@aep.com)

RPR Serial No: 1071401



In reply refer to 2017-JAC-39798

May 24, 2018

Mr. Ryan J. Weller Weller & Associates, Inc. 1395 West Fifth Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43212

RE: Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Electric Line Project Access Road Routes and Expanded/Altered Pull Areas – Additional Addendum, Coal, Milton, and Lick Townships, Jackson County, Ohio

Dear Mr. Weller:

This letter is in response to the correspondence received on May 18, 2018 regarding the proposed Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Electric Line Project Access Road Routes and Expanded/Altered Pull Areas – Additional Addendum, Coal, Milton, and Lick Townships, Jackson County, Ohio. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this project. The comments of the Ohio State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) are made pursuant to Section 149.53 of the Ohio Revised Code and the Ohio Power Siting Board rules for siting this project (OAC 4906-4). The comments of the Ohio SHPO are also submitted in accordance with the provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (54 U.S.C. 306108 [36 CFR 800]).

The following comments pertain to the Additional Addendum: Phase I Cultural Resource Investigations for Access Road Routes and Expanded/Altered Pull Areas for the Heppner-Lick 69kV/138kV Electric Line Project in Coal, Milton, and Lick Townships, Jackson County, Ohio by Weller & Associates, Inc. (2018).

A literature review, visual inspection, and shovel test unit excavation was completed as part of the investigations. No previously identified archaeological sites are located within the project area. No new archaeological sites were identified during this survey. The recommendations made in our previous coordination letters, dated September 8, 2017 and December 19, 2017, remain. Based on the information provided, we still agree no additional archaeological survey is needed. No above-ground resources over the age of fifty years old were identified in the additional addendum. Therefore, we continue to agree that the project as proposed will have no indirect adverse effect on historic properties.

Based on the information provided, we agree with our original determination that the project will have no adverse effect on historic properties. No further coordination with this office is necessary, unless the project changes or unless new or additional historic properties are discovered during the implementation of this project. In such a situation, this office should be contacted.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (614) 298-2022, or by e-mail at <u>khorrocks@ohiohistory.org</u>. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Krista Horrocks, Project Reviews Manager Resource Protection and Review

cc: Ron Howard, AEP (rmhoward@aep.com)

RPR Serial No: 1074073

Appendix D USFWS and ODNR Correspondence



Canton Office 3720 Dressler Road Northwest Canton, Ohio 44718 T 330.433.2680F 330.433.2694

May 12, 2017 Project C170352.07

Environmental Review Staff Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Wildlife - Ohio Natural Heritage Program 2045 Morse Road, Building G-3 Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693

American Electric Power Heppner – Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project Request for Technical Assistance Regarding Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Habitat Jackson County, Ohio

Dear Staff:

GAI Consultants, Inc. (GAI), on behalf of American Electric Power (AEP), is requesting information regarding state- and federally-listed threatened and endangered species in the vicinity of the Heppner – Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project (Project) in Jackson County, Ohio. As part of this request, please provide information specific to any threatened and endangered bats. GAI is also requesting the locations of any known golden or bald eagle nests in the area.

The proposed Project involves the rebuild of approximately 4.9 miles of the Heppner – Lick 138kV transmission line.

The study area for the Project is shown on the attached map (Figure 1). The habitat within the study area consists of maintained right-of-way bordered by mixed deciduous forests, agricultural lands, and residential properties. Project shapefiles have been included to aid in your review.

GAI and AEP thank you in advance for your assistance. Please contact me at 330.324.9148 or via email at a.wheaton@gaiconsultants.com if you have any questions or require further information.

Sincerely, GAI Consultants, Inc.

Allison R. Wheaton, WPIT Senior Project Environmental Specialist

ARW/kea Attachments: Attachment 1 (Project Location Map) Project Shapefiles

ATTACHMENT 1

PROJECT LOCATION MAP



Z:\Energy\2017\C170352.07 - AEP - Heppner to Lick 138\GIS\MXD\Agency_Consultation\Project_Location_2017_05_08.mxd

Ohio Department of Natural Resources



JOHN R. KASICH, GOVERNOR

JAMES ZEHRINGER, DIRECTOR

Office of Real Estate Paul R. Baldridge, Chief 2045 Morse Road – Bldg. E-2 Columbus, OH 43229 Phone: (614) 265-6649 Fax: (614) 267-4764

October 23, 2017

Allison Wheaton GAI Consultants 3720 Dressler Road NW Canton, Ohio 44718

Re: 17-639; Heppner - Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project

Project: The proposed project involves the rebuilding of approximately 4.9 miles of the Heppner-Lick138kV transmission line.

Location: The proposed project is in Coal and Lick Townships, Jackson County, Ohio.

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) has completed a review of the above referenced project. These comments were generated by an inter-disciplinary review within the Department. These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the National Environmental Policy Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, Ohio Revised Code and other applicable laws and regulations. These comments are also based on ODNR's experience as the state natural resource management agency and do not supersede or replace the regulatory authority of any local, state or federal agency nor relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with any local, state or federal laws or regulations.

Natural Heritage Database: The Natural Heritage Database has the following records at or within a one-mile radius of the project area:

Buttonbush shrub swamp plant community Lick Swamp Conservation Site Coalton Wildlife Area

Please note that Ohio has not been completely surveyed and we rely on receiving information from many sources. Therefore, a lack of records for any particular area is not a statement that rare species or unique features are absent from that area. Although all types of plant communities have been surveyed, we only maintain records on the highest quality areas.

The review was performed on the project area specified in the request as well as an additional one mile radius. Records searched date from 1980. This information is provided to inform you of features present within your project area and vicinity.

Fish and Wildlife: The Division of Wildlife (DOW) has the following comments.

The DOW recommends that impacts to streams, wetlands and other water resources be avoided

and minimized to the fullest extent possible, and that best management practices be utilized to minimize erosion and sedimentation.

The project is within the range of the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), a state endangered and federally endangered species. The following species of trees have relatively high value as potential Indiana bat roost trees to include: shagbark hickory (*Carva ovata*), shellbark hickory (Carya laciniosa), bitternut hickory (Carya cordiformis), black ash (Fraxinus nigra), green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica), white ash (Fraxinus americana), shingle oak (Quercus imbricaria), northern red oak (Quercus rubra), slippery elm (Ulmus rubra), American elm (Ulmus americana), eastern cottonwood (Populus deltoides), silver maple (Acer saccharinum), sassafras (Sassafras albidum), post oak (Quercus stellata), and white oak (Quercus alba). Indiana bat roost trees consists of trees that include dead and dying trees with exfoliating bark, crevices, or cavities in upland areas or riparian corridors and living trees with exfoliating bark, cavities, or hollow areas formed from broken branches or tops. However, Indiana bats are also dependent on the forest structure surrounding roost trees. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area, the DOW recommends trees be conserved. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area and trees must be cut, the DOW recommends cutting occur between October 1 and March 31. If suitable trees must be cut during the summer months, the DOW recommends a net survey be conducted between June 1 and August 15, prior to any cutting. Net surveys should incorporate either nine net nights per square 0.5 kilometer of project area, or four net nights per kilometer for linear projects. If no tree removal is proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of little spectaclecase (*Villosa lienosa*), a state endangered mussel. This project must not have an impact on freshwater native mussels at the project site. This applies to both listed and non-listed species. Per the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol (2016), all Group 2, 3, and 4 streams (Appendix A) require a mussel survey. Per the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol, Group 1 streams (Appendix A) and unlisted streams with a watershed of 10 square miles or larger above the point of impact should be assessed using the Reconnaissance Survey for Unionid Mussels (Appendix B) to determine if mussels are present. Mussel surveys may be recommended for these streams as well. This is further explained within the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol. Therefore, if in-water work is planned in any stream that meets any of the above criteria, the DOW recommends the applicant provide information to indicate no mussel impacts will occur. If this is not possible, the DOW recommends a professional malacologist conduct a mussel survey in the project area. If mussels that cannot be avoided are found in the project area, as a last resort, the DOW recommends a professional malacologist collect and relocate the mussels to suitable and similar habitat upstream of the project site. Mussel surveys and any subsequent mussel relocation should be done in accordance with the Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol. The Ohio Mussel Survey Protocol (2016) can be found at:

http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/portals/wildlife/pdfs/licenses%20&%20permits/OH%20Mussel%20Survey%20Protocol.pdf

The project is within the range of the Ohio lamprey (*Ichthyomyzon bdellium*), a state endangered fish, and the lake chubsucker (*Erimyzon sucetta*) a state threatened fish. The DOW recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from April 15 through June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact these or other aquatic species.

The project is within the range of the timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus horridus*), a state endangered species, and a federal species of concern. The timber rattlesnake is a woodland species. In addition to using wooded areas, the timber rattlesnake also utilizes sunlit gaps in the canopy for basking and deep rock crevices known as den sites for overwintering. Due to the location, the type of habitat along the project route, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the Kirtland's snake (*Clonophis kirtlandii*), a state threatened species. This secretive species prefers wet meadows and other wetlands. Due to the location, the type of habitat along the project route and within the vicinity of the project route, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the mud salamander (*Pseudotriton montanus*), a state threatened species. Due to the location, the type of habitat present at the project site, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the black bear (*Ursus americanus*), a state endangered species. Due to the mobility of this species, this project is not likely to impact this species.

Due to the potential of impacts to federally listed species, as well as to state listed species, we recommend that this project be coordinated with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

Water Resources: The Division of Water Resources has the following comment.

The local floodplain administrator should be contacted concerning the possible need for any floodplain permits or approvals for this project. Your local floodplain administrator contact information can be found at the website below.

http://water.ohiodnr.gov/portals/soilwater/pdf/floodplain/Floodplain%20Manager%20Community %20Contact%20List_8_16.pdf

ODNR appreciates the opportunity to provide these comments. Please contact John Kessler at (614) 265-6621 if you have questions about these comments or need additional information.

John Kessler ODNR Office of Real Estate 2045 Morse Road, Building E-2 Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693 John.Kessler@dnr.state.oh.us



Canton Office 3720 Dressler Road Northwest Canton, Ohio 44718 T 330.433.2680F 330.433.2694

May 12, 2017 Project C170352.07

Mr. Dan Everson United States Fish and Wildlife Service Ohio Ecological Services Field Office 4625 Morse Road, Suite 104 Columbus, Ohio 43230

American Electric Power Heppner – Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project Request for Technical Assistance Regarding Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Habitat Jackson County, Ohio

Dear Mr. Everson:

GAI Consultants, Inc. (GAI), on behalf of American Electric Power (AEP), is requesting information regarding state- and federally-listed threatened and endangered species in the vicinity of the Heppner – Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project (Project) in Jackson County, Ohio. As part of this request, please provide information specific to any threatened and endangered bats. GAI is also requesting the locations of any known golden or bald eagle nests in the area.

The proposed Project involves the rebuild of approximately 4.9 miles of the Heppner – Lick 138kV transmission line.

The study area for the Project is shown on the attached map (Figure 1). The habitat within the study area consists of maintained right-of-way bordered by mixed deciduous forests, agricultural lands, and residential properties. Project shapefiles have been included to aid in your review.

GAI and AEP thank you in advance for your assistance. Please contact me at 330.324.9148 or via email at a.wheaton@gaiconsultants.com if you have any questions or require further information.

Sincerely, GAI Consultants, Inc.

Allison R. Wheaton, WPIT Senior Project Environmental Specialist

ARW/kea Attachments: Attachment 1 (Project Location Map) Project Shapefiles

ATTACHMENT 1

PROJECT LOCATION MAP



Z:\Energy\2017\C170352.07 - AEP - Heppner to Lick 138\GIS\MXD\Agency_Consultation\Project_Location_2017_05_08.mxd
From:	Korfel, Lindsey
To:	Allison Wheaton
Cc:	nathan.reardon@dnr.state.oh.us; kate.parsons@dnr.state.oh.us
Subject:	03E15000-2017-TA-1310 GAI AEP Heppner-Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project, Jackson County, OH
Date:	Wednesday, May 31, 2017 10:01:31 AM

TAILS # 03E15000-2017-TA-1310

Dear Ms. Wheaton,

We have received your recent correspondence requesting information about the subject proposal. There are no federal wilderness areas, wildlife refuges or designated critical habitat within the vicinity of the project area. The following comments and recommendations will assist you in fulfilling the requirements for consultation under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA).

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) recommends that proposed developments avoid and minimize water quality impacts and impacts to high quality fish and wildlife habitat (e.g., forests, streams, wetlands). Additionally, natural buffers around streams and wetlands should be preserved to enhance beneficial functions. If streams or wetlands will be impacted, the Corps of Engineers should be contacted to determine whether a Clean Water Act section 404 permit is required. Best management practices should be used to minimize erosion, especially on slopes. All disturbed areas should be mulched and revegetated with native plant species. Prevention of non-native, invasive plant establishment is critical in maintaining high quality habitats.

FEDERALLY LISTED SPECIES COMMENTS: All projects in the State of Ohio lie within the range of the federally endangered **Indiana bat** (*Myotis sodalis*) and the federally threatened **northern long-eared bat** (*Myotis septentrionalis*). In Ohio, presence of the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat is assumed wherever suitable habitat occurs unless a presence/absence survey has been performed to document absence. Suitable summer habitat for Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats consists of a wide variety of forested/wooded habitats where they roost, forage, and travel and may also include some adjacent and interspersed non-forested habitats such as emergent wetlands and adjacent edges of agricultural fields, old fields and pastures. This includes forests and woodlots containing potential roosts (i.e., live trees and/or snags =3 inches diameter at breast height (dbh) that have any exfoliating bark, cracks, crevices, hollows and/or cavities), as well as linear features such as fencerows, riparian forests, and other wooded corridors. These wooded areas may be dense or loose aggregates of trees with variable amounts of canopy closure. Individual trees may be considered suitable habitat when they exhibit the characteristics of a potential roost tree and are located within 1,000 feet (305 meters) of other forested/wooded habitat. Northern long-eared bats have also been observed roosting in human-made structures, such as buildings, barns, bridges, and bat houses; therefore, these structures should also be considered potential summer habitat. In the winter, Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats hibernate in caves and abandoned mines.

Should the proposed site contain trees =3 inches dbh, we recommend that trees be saved wherever possible. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with this office is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and trees =3 inches dbh cannot be avoided, we recommend that removal of any trees =3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31. Seasonal clearing is being recommended to avoid adverse effects to Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats. While incidental take of northern long-eared bats from most tree clearing is exempted by a 4(d) rule (see

<u>http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/index.html</u>), incidental take of Indiana bats is still prohibited without a project-specific exemption. Thus, seasonal clearing is recommended where Indiana bats are assumed present.

If implementation of this seasonal tree cutting recommendation is not possible, summer surveys may be conducted to document the presence or probable absence of Indiana bats within the project area during the summer. If a summer survey documents probable absence of Indiana bats, the 4(d) rule for the northern long-eared bat could be applied. Surveys must be conducted by an approved surveyor and be designed and conducted in coordination with the Endangered Species Coordinator for this office. Surveyors must have a valid federal permit. Please note that summer surveys may only be conducted between June 1 and August 15.

If there is a federal nexus for the project (e.g., federal funding provided, federal permits required to construct), no tree clearing should occur on any portion of the project area until consultation under section 7 of the ESA, between the Service and the federal action agency, is completed. We recommend that the federal action agency submit a determination of effects to this office, relative to the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat, for our review and concurrence.

The proposed project lies within the range of **running buffalo clover** (*Trifolium stoloniferum*), a federally listed endangered species. From the information provided it appears that the site does receive filtered sunlight and limited disturbance occurs due to the presence of the utility right of way. The disturbance of the existing right-of-ways may damage or destroy any existing plants. Since the existing utility easements provides suitable sunlight as well as some limited disturbance indicating suitable habitat the Service recommends completing the work between August 1 and March 30 after the perennial plant has died back for the season and foliage will not be damaged or destroyed. If work is to be completed outside if that time window, the service requests a survey for running buffalo clover be completed in the section of line running through Lick Township, Jackson County. Based on the results of the survey the Service will evaluate potential impacts to running buffalo clover from the proposed project. The survey must be coordinated with this office, and may only be completed between May and June when the plant is in flower.

The project lies within the range of the **timber rattlesnake** (*Crotalus horridus horridus*), a federal species of concern and Ohio endangered species. Your proactive efforts to conserve this species now may help avoid the need to list the species under the Endangered Species Act in the future. Due to their rarity and reclusive nature, we encourage early project coordination to avoid potential impacts to timber rattlesnakes and their habitat.

In Ohio, the timber rattlesnake is restricted to the un-glaciated Allegheny Plateau and utilizes the specific habitat types, depending upon season. Winters are spent in dens usually associated with high, dry ridges. These dens may face any direction, but southeast to southwest are most common. Such dens usually consist of narrow crevices in the bedrock. Rocks may or may not be present on the surface. From these dens, timber rattlesnakes radiate throughout the surrounding hills and move distances as great as 4.5 miles. In the fall, timber rattlesnakes return to the same den. Intensive efforts to transplant timber rattlesnakes have not been successful. Thus protection of the winter dens is critical to the survival of this species. Some project management ideas include the following:

- 1. At a minimum, project evaluations should contain delineations of timber rattlesnake habitat within project boundaries. Descriptions should indicate the quality and quantity of timber rattlesnake habitat (den sites, basking sites, and foraging area, etc.) that may be affected by the project.
- 2. In cases where timber rattlesnakes are known to occur or where potential habitat is rated moderate to high, timber rattlesnake surveys may be necessary. If surveys are to be conducted, it may be helpful to inquire about timber rattlesnake sightings with local resource agency personnel or reliable local residents. In addition, local herpetologists may have knowledge of historical populations as well as precise knowledge of the habits, and especially the specific, local types of habitats that may contain timber rattlesnakes. Surveys should be performed during the periods of spring emergence from dens (usually a narrow window in April or May) and throughout the active season until October. The species is often easiest to locate during the summer months when pregnant females seek open areas in early morning, especially after cool evenings.
- 3. In portions of projects where timber rattlesnakes will be affected, clearing and construction activities should occur at distances greater than 100 feet from known dens. Most importantly, tops of ridges and areas of exposed rock should be avoided.
- 4. In areas where timber rattlesnake dens are known or likely to exist, maintenance activities (mowing, cutting, burning, etc.) should be conducted from November 1 to March 1, when timber rattlesnakes are hibernating.

Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to any other federally endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate species. Should the project design change, or during the term of this action, additional information on listed or proposed species or their critical habitat become available, or if new information reveals effects of the action that were not previously considered, consultation with the Service should be initiated to assess any potential impacts.

These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the ESA, and are consistent with the intent of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Service's Mitigation Policy. This letter provides technical assistance only and does not serve as a completed section 7 consultation document. We recommend that the project be coordinated with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources due to the potential for the project to affect state listed species and/or state lands. Contact John Kessler, Environmental Services Administrator, at (614) 265-6621 or at john.kessler@dnr.state.oh.us.

If you have questions, or if we can be of further assistance in this matter, please contact our office at (614) 416-8993 or <u>ohio@fws.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Lindsey M. Korfel

Wildlife Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Ohio Field Office 4625 Morse Road, Suite 104 Columbus, OH 43230 614.416.8993 x. 29

From:	Adam Mann
To:	Brandon W. Funk; Doug D. Johnston; Joshua Noble; Trent R. Taylor
Cc:	George Reese; Jason Duffey; Priya Kudlu
Subject:	FW: AEP Heppner – Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project in Jackson County
Date:	Wednesday, July 10, 2019 1:44:55 PM

USFWS concurrence for Heppner – Lick

From: susan_zimmermann@fws.gov <susan_zimmermann@fws.gov> On Behalf Of Ohio, FW3 Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2019 12:58 PM

To: Adam Mann <A.Mann@gaiconsultants.com>

Cc: nathan.reardon@dnr.state.oh.us; kate.parsons@dnr.state.oh.us;

sarah.stankavich@dnr.state.oh.us

Subject: AEP Heppner – Lick 138kV Line Rebuild Project in Jackson County

EXTERNAL E-MAIL MESSAGE

Letterhead for Emails 2.jpg

?

TAILS# 03E15000-2019-TA-1526 - Survey #19-041

Dear Mr. Mann,

We have received your summer bat survey report for the subject project. The survey was conducted following current U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) guidelines. No Indiana bats (*Myotis sodalis*) were detected, demonstrating probable absence of Indiana bats in the project area. Currently, the Service has no known hibernacula or maternity roost records for northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) in the vicinity of the project. Therefore, the 4(d) rule for the northern long-eared bat could be applied

(see: <u>http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/index.html</u>). Tree clearing on the project site at any time of the year is unlikely to result in adverse impacts to Indiana bats and will not result in any unauthorized incidental take of northern long-eared bats. Negative Indiana bat summer surveys are valid for five years. Therefore, **no tree clearing should occur on the site after March 31, 2024** without further coordination with this office.

If there is a federal nexus for the project (e.g., federal funding provided, federal permits required to construct), no tree clearing should occur on any portion of the project area until consultation under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, between the Service and the federal action agency, is completed. We recommend that the federal action agency submit a determination of effects to this office, relative to the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat, for our review and concurrence.

Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to any other federally endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate species. Should the project design change, or during the term of this action, additional information on listed or proposed species or their critical habitat become available, or if new information reveals effects of the action that were not previously considered, consultation with the Service should be initiated to assess any potential impacts. This letter provides technical assistance only and does not serve as a completed section 7 consultation document. If project plans change, if portions of the proposed project were not evaluated, or if additional information on listed or proposed species or their critical habitat becomes available, it is our recommendation that you reinitiate coordination with this office. We recommend that the project be coordinated with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources due to the potential for the project to affect state listed species and/or state lands. Contact John Kessler, Environmental Services Administrator, at (614) 265-6621 or at john.kessler@dnr.state.oh.us.

If you have questions, or if we can be of further assistance in this matter, please contact our office at (614) 416-8993 or <u>ohio@fws.gov</u>.

Sincerely,
Patrice Signature.jpg
2
Patrice M Ashfield

Field Office Supervisor

cc: Nathan Reardon, ODNR-DOW Kate Parsons, ODNR-DOW

Appendix E Delineation Field Forms and Pictures



Photograph 33. Wetland W013-PEM-CAT2, Facing North



Photograph 34. Wetland W013-PEM-CAT2, Facing East





Photograph 35. Wetland W013-PFO-CAT2, Facing South



Photograph 36. Wetland W013-PFO-CAT2, Facing East





Photograph 43. Wetland W017-PEM-CAT1, Facing North



Photograph 44. Wetland W017-PEM-CAT1, Facing West





Photograph 45. Wetland W018-PEM-CAT1, Facing North



Photograph 46. Wetland W018-PEM-CAT1, Facing South





Photograph 47. Wetland W019-PEM-CAT1, Facing Southeast



Photograph 48. Wetland W019-PEM-CAT1, Facing Northwest



Projects Height Children Capacity Control Contro Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control	WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mo	ountains and Piedmont Region
Interesting to the second of the s	Project/site: HEDOMER +ALICK 13B City/County Jackson	N (A Sampling Date: 7/12/2017
Interesting higher here for the second residence of the	Applicant/Owner: ACP	State: 0H Sampling Point: 1003 (PFM)
Lunderm Prison, trans. (L. D. D. C. A. A. C. A.	Investigator(s): KUV Section, Township,	, Range: Lick TWP.
solvergood (affer on this is)	Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.): Dip Local relief (concave,	convex, none): <u>COMCAVE</u> Slope (%) <u>D.L.</u>
Sol Hap Unit Hance: ID Arge dramation Eds M (C) Are Vegetation: DD: Sol (DD: or infractive) Arge dramation Eds M (C) Are Vegetation: DD: Sol (DD: or infractive) Arge dramation Edge (DD: or infractive) Arge dramatic (DD: or infractive) Arge dram	Subregion (LRR or MLRA): Lat: <u>39,04,772,009</u>	Long: -82.6172065 Datum: NAD 83
An elimethylotopic circlinos on the site bytesite the line of years? Year? Year Years?	soil Map Unit Name: <u>PD-Piopolis Silt laim</u> , frequently Hooded	NWI classification: PEMIC
Are Vegetation DD	Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in Remarks)
Ave Vegetable 102 Sell 102 or Hydrology 102 number 2010 number 2010 SUMMARY OF PRIONINGS - Attach alter may showing sampling point locations, transacts, important totarts, etc. Hydrologic Vegetation Present? Yes No	Are Vegetation \underline{NO} , Soil \underline{NO} , or Hydrology \underline{NO} significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes Ves No
SUMARY OF PRODUCTS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Hydrophylo Vagetalian Present? Yes No	Are Vegetation <u>NO</u> , Soil <u>NO</u> , or Hydrology <u>NO</u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
hydro Boll Weekellow Yes No is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No Remarks: Wetland Hodroby Pharen? Yes No is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No Remarks: Wetland Hodroby Pharen? Yes No is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No Proceedings Pharen? Yes No is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No Remarks: Wetland Hydrolegy Indicators:	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point lo	cations, transects, important features, etc.
type: Ves No Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes Yes No Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Yes No	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Vegetation Present?	/
Weter Hydrology Present? Yes No Remarks: Wethand data, pointh for NOUS-PEM-CAT2. Data point taken in transmission maintained Row. HURCLOSY Wetland Hydrology Holeators: Prime Indextors (initimum of one in routing, direct all the section Y States Wate (A1) Wetland Hydrology Holeators: Seconder / Indextors (B1) Yes Yes <	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Is the Sampled Area v	within a Wetland? Yes 🗸 No
Remarks: Wethand data point for WOUS-PEM:CAT2. Data point taken in transmission maintain ed Pow. HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Press indicators in traused, check all thei specify Surface Water (A1) Hydrogens Surface (C3) Hydrogens Surface (C4) Surface (C4) Hydrogens Surface (C4) Hy	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No	v
Heatings Wethind data point for NO3-PEM-CAI2. Data point factors Data point factors Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		* A
HUBRICLEST Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Kemarks: Wetland data point for WOUS-PEM-CAT	2.
Data point taken in thansmission maintain cd How. HYDROLOGY Secondary indicators (minum of one required into a gradied into a		- 1001
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primagindiation (notion is required, chock all that upply) Y Sufface Varie (A1) Y Sufface Varie (A1) Y High Water Table (A2) Y High Water Table (A2	De La mail ta ken in transmission mainta	in el KOW.
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Prinzy Indicators (Initium of one in regulad, chack all that society) Surface Water (A1) True Aquate Plants (B14) Surface Water (A1) Hydrology Indicators: Water Marks (B1) Oxidica Phitespheres on Living Rotis (C3) Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Sedement Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction & Title Sols (C5) Drift Deposits (B3) Unit Deposits (B13) Iton Deposits (B13) Iton Deposits (B14) Quark Fauna (M13) Aquater Fauna (M13) Confight Interves (B6) Water Marks (B1) Quark Fauna (B13) Thin Mack Surface (C7) Mundation Visible on Arabit Imagery (B7) Water Table Present? Yes Yes Staturation Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Yes Staturation Present? Yes Yes Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (in	Data pointe namen in a line in a line in a	
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary indicators (Inhimum of one is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of one is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of one is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of one is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of one is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of one is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of one is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One is required, check all that apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of One apply) Bediment Deposite (Inhimum of Cone apply) Bediment Deposite (Inhimum of Cone apply) Inhom Apply (Inchine): Sufficient (Inhimum of Cone apply) Bediment Deposite (Inhimum of Cone apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of Cone apply) Sufficient (Inhimum of C		
Methand Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) Methand Hydrology Indicators: Surface State Interview Indicators (minimum of two required) Methand Hydrology Indicators: Surface State Interview Indicators (minimum of two required) Methand Hydrology Indicators: Surface State Interview Indicators (minimum of two required) Methand Hydrology Indicators: Methand Hydrology Indicators: Methand Hydrology Indicators: Methand Hydrology Present? Methand Hydrology Indicators: Methand Hydrology Present? Methand Hydrology Present? Yes Yes No Depth (Inches): Depth (Inches): D Methand Hydrology Present? Yes Yes No Depth (Inches):		
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators: Primagy indicators: Surface Water (A1) Y High Water Table (A2) True Aquatic Plants (B14) Surface Water (A1) Oxidrace Related Into (C1) Darinage Patterms (B10) Water Water (A1) Presence of Reduced Into (C4) Mos Tim Lines (B16) Water Water (B1) Presence of Reduced Into (C4) Dorf Reposits (B2) Sectimes (B2) Recent from Reduction In Tiled Solis (C6) Saturation Visible on Acrial Imagery (C9) Again Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain In Remarks) Saturation Visible on Acrial Imagery (B7) Inundation Visible on Acrial Imagery (B7) Water State Caves (B8) Microthopsymbic Relat (C1) Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O Field Observations: Suturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O Suturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O No No No Suturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O No No No No Suturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O No </td <td></td> <td></td>		
Phrase indicators (Interfum of one is required, check all that spok) Surface Sail Creates (B6) Surface Water (A1) Tute Aqualic Plants (B14) High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulface Odor (C1) Sufface Nation (A3) Woodkide Ritication in Tilled Soils (C3) Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced iron (C4) Sufface Sail Creation in Tilled Soils (C6) Origination Visite on Aerial Imagery (C9) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks) Statustion Visite on Aerial Imagery (C9) Inum dation Visite on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water Statust Lawves (B0) Micropopgriphic Relief (D4) Aquatic Faune (B13) Depth (inches): Out Micropopgriphic Relief (D4) Vater Statust Lawves (B0) No Depth (inches): Out Vater Statust Charm gauge, monitoring weil, serial photos, previous inspections), if available: No Depth (inches): Remarks: Weetland Hydrology Indications Carls of Alve Alver Alver Alver Alver Jag, C23, C21, D21, and D5. No	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Weter Mater (A1)	Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Might Water Table (A2)	V Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B)	✓ Saturation (A3) ✓ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Sedment Deposits (62)	Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Again Mai or Christ (14) Other (Explain in Remarks) Studied or Stressed Plaints (D1) Studied Plai	DINT Deposits (B3)	Saturation Visible on Aenal Imagery (C9)
<pre>Indit Deposite (5) Inditation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13)</pre> Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>V</u> No Depth (inches): <u>2</u> // Water Table Present? Yes <u>No</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches): 0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches): 0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches): 0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches): 0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches): 0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches): 0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches): 0</u> No <u>No <u>No</u> <u>No No</u> <u>No No No <u>No No</u> <u>No No N</u></u></u>	Algai Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Indicator visual of Action in Netlin ingery (Sr)	iron Deposits (53)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Multi-Clained Detroid (D3) Audito Fauna (D3) FAId Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): <u>D</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Poscribe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are Alg7F33C3, C2, D2, and D5.	Inditidation visible on Aerial Imagely (B7)	Shallow Aquitaro (D3)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Yes No Depth (inches): O Sturtation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, eerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are ANAR3, C3, C2, D2, and D5.	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	EAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Viant Depth (inches): $2!'_{inches}$ Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 0 Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 0 (includes capillary tringe) Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are Alf733, C3, C2, D2, and D5.		
Surface Water Present? Yes Viant Depth (inches): O Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): O Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are Alpha3, C3, c2, D2, and D5.	Field Observations:	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>Ves</u> <u>No</u> <u>Depth</u> (inches): <u>D</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>No</u> <u>Depth</u> (inches): <u>D</u> (includes capillary finge) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are APAT3, C3, C2, D2, and D5.	Surface Water Present? Yes Vo Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>Ves</u> <u>No</u> <u>Depth (inches):</u> <u>O</u> <u>Wetland Hydrology Present?</u> Yes <u>Ves</u> <u>No</u> <u>(includes capillary fringe)</u> Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are ANAA3, C3, C2, D2, and D5.	Water Table Present? Yes 📈 No Depth (inches):)
(Includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wethand Hydrology Indicators are AVAA3, C3, C2, D2, and D5.	Saturation Present? Yes Vo Depth (inches): 0 We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes 📈 No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wethand Hydrology Indicators are AFA3,C3, C2, D2, and D5.	(includes capillary fringe)	
Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are AlfA3, C3, C2, D2, and D5.	Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
Remarks: Netland Hyptrology Indicators are AMA3, C3, C2, D2, and D5.		
Netland Hydrology Indicators are AlpA3,C3,C2,D2, and D5.	Remarks:	0 00
	Welland Hydrology Indicators are AliA3,C	3, CZ, DZ, and D5.

EGETATION - Use scientific	names of plants.				Sampling Point:	OBCPEN	1)
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: 30	Absolute) % Cover	Dominant Species?	ndicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	3	(A)
2 3			•		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata.	3	(B)
4 5 6.		······································			Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100	(A/E
7	1-1		= Total Cove	r	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: OBL species x 1 =	Multiply b	<u>. y.</u>
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 1.	(Plot size: 15)) 			FACW species x 2 = FAC species x 3 = FACU species x 4 = UPL species x 5 = Column Tatals: (A)	=	(B)
5 6					Prevalence Index = B/A =	=	(5)
7 8 9 10				 	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrop 2 - Dominance Test is >5 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤	ohytic Vegetation 50% 3.0 ¹	
<u>terb Stratum</u> 1. <u>LCCNSIU ON/ZOIG</u> 2. <u>Phalaris ayunc</u> 3. <u>Caitex crinita</u>	(Plot size: 5'			261 261	4 - Morphological Adapta data in Remarks or on Problematic Hydrophytic 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydr	tions' (Provide sup a separate sheet) Vegetation ¹ (Expla ology must	pporting ain)
5. <u>Typha Xglau</u> 6 8 9.				142	Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, diameter.	3 in. (7.6 cm) or	more i
10 11 12			= Total Cove		Sapling/Shrub- Woody plants, exclud DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28	ing vines, less th ft (1 m) tall.	nan 3 in
1. NONC	(Plot size: 301)			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) pla of size, and woody plants less than 3.2	ants, regardless 28 ft tall.	
2 3 4 5.			·		Woody Vines - All woody vines greate height.	er than 3.28 ft in	
6			= Total Cove	r			
				2.1	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>/</u>	No	
/egetation Remarks: (Include ph	noto numbers here or on a se	parate sheet).			Let and raised to	st	
Wetland Ve	g is dominant -	-passes th	e aorni	nare	, icsi and import is		

Г

Soli Profile D	escription: (Describe t	o the depth	needed to document	the indicator	or confirm t	ne absence	e of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix			Redox Featu		2	- -	D
(incres)	$- \frac{Color (molst)}{1/(1/2)}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{100}$	Color (moist)	%	ype	Loc-		Remarks
210-2		$-\frac{100}{00}$					<u>ciaylaam</u>	
2-16	-104K 21	<u> </u>	<u>104K414</u>	10			<u>Cayloam</u>	
			-				· · · ·	
		-						
					·		,	······································
		-						
		n	• •••••••••••		·			
	-		• •••••••••••		•			
					·			
							· ·	
		-					<u></u>	
1 						<u></u>	2	
Type: C=con	centration, D=Depletion	RM=Reduce	ed Matrix, MS=Masked	J Sand Grains	<u>.</u>		² Location: PL=Pore Lin	ing, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil In	dicators:			•			Indicators for Problem	atic Hydric Soils ³ :
Historal (A 1)		Dort Curfage ((07)			2 om Music (A10)	
Histic Eni	nedon (A2)		Dark Surface (07) W Surface (Si		(148)	2 Chi Muck (ATU) (MLKA 147)
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (MLI	PΔ 147 148)	, 140)	Piedmont Floodola	in Soile (F19)
Black His	Sulfide (A4)		fl oamy Gleved	Matrix (E2)	(A 147, 140)		(MI RA 136 147)	11 3018 (F 19)
Stratified	Lavers (A5)		Depleted Matri	ix (E3)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TE12)
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)	5	Redox Dark Su	urface (F6)			Other (Explain in F	Remarks)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A1	1)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)			<u> </u>	ionano)
 Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)	,	Redox Depress	sions (F8)				
Sandy Mu	icky Mineral (S1) (LRR I	Ν,	Iron-Manganes	se Masses (F1	12) (LRR N, N	ILRA 136)		
MLRA 14	7,148)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MLR/	A 136, 122)			
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils (F	⁻ 19) (MLRA 1	48)		
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F21) (N	/LRA 127, 14	7)		
Stripped M	Matrix (S6)							
³ Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegetati	ion and wetla	ind hydrology must be	present, unle:	ss disturbed c	or problema	tic.	
<u> </u>								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Restrictive La	ayer (if observed):							
Type:						Hydri	C	1
Depth (inc	hes):					Soil Pres	sent? Yes	<u> </u>
						1		
Soil Descript	ion Remarks: Met	K F	2					
	10	-10 · -).	:	×			
	·							

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region
Project/Site: Heppwer to Lick 128 city/county: DickS(O) Co. Sampling Date: 7/10/2017
Applicant/Owner: AEP State: OH Sampling Point: NIO13 (PFO)
Investigator(s): KLV Section, Township, Range: LICK TWP.
Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.):
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRK Lat: 39.04.14640 Long: -82.6(791991 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>PPIOPOILS SITE IOUVILE TY QUENTLY FUGATA</u> NWI classification: <u>PENTLC</u>
Are Vegetation MD soil MD or Hydrology MD significantly disturbed? Yes V No (If no, explain in Remarks)
Are vegetation $\frac{110}{100}$, Soil $\frac{110}{100}$, or Hydrology $\frac{110}{100}$ significantly disturbed? Are Normal Circumstances present? Thes $\sqrt{100}$ is significantly disturbed?
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>No</u> No
Hydric Soil Present? Yes V No Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes V No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes V No No
Remarks: PFO data point for WO13-PFO-CATZ.
Data point taken at edge of maintained transmission Row and PEM welland.
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Moss Thm Lines (B16)
Sediment Denosits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Cravitish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes Ves Depth (inches): 2"
Water Table Present? Yes 🗸 No Depth (inches). 🕖
Saturation Present? Yes 🗸 No Depth (inches): O Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🗸 No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indicators are AIRA3, C3, D2 and D5.

		· ·	~
Sampling Point:	NOV	3	PFO)

The Stratum Products 200 Account of the strate of the str				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tan Statum (Pik 120, 12) No Cover Sector Sector Sector (No Cover	2~1	Absolute	Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Applier Yubbittim 20 Y Factor (a) 2	Tree Stratum (Plot size: 2)) <u>% Cover</u>	Species? Status	Number of Deminant Species That Are
2	1. Arer YWDUM	30	V Fac	OBL, FACW, or FAC:
Image: Stream (Point stree (D) (D) Sector of Stream (Point streem (D) (Point streem (D) Sector of Stream (Point streem (Point stre				
a	2			Total Number of Dominant Species
Proved if Doublet Boots Image: Status	3.			Across All Strata.
*	· ·		· · ·	
s	4			Percent of Dominant Species That Are
*** 30 Total Cover Total Cover Nutlink bit *** *** *** **** ***** *** ****** ************************************	5.			OBL, FACW, or FAC:
2 20 = Toul Cover 30 = Toul Cover 30 + Fall 30 + Fall 2 - Cover 30 + Fall 2 - Cover 30 + Fall 30 + Fall 2 - Cover 30 + Fall 30 - Fall 30	6			
30 = Total Cover Interviewed total waters. Midgy by SadiandState Status (Plat also: 15/1) 30 Fall Midgy by SadiandState Status (Plat also: 15/1) 30 Fall Midgy by SadiandState Status (Plat also: 15/1) 30 Fall Midgy by SadiandState Status (Plat also: 15/1) 30 Fall Sadiantic Status 32 SadiandState Status (Plat also: 15/1) 30 Fall Sadiantic Status 32 Sadiantic Status (Plat also: 15/1) 30 Fall Sadiantic Status 32 Sadiantic Status (Plat also: 15/1) (Plat also: 15/1) (Plat also: 15/1) (Plat also: 15/1) Sadiantic Mark Status (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) Sadiantic Mark Status (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) Sadiantic Mark Status (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) Sadiantic Mark Status (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) Sadiantic Mark Status (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) (Plat also: 5/1) Sadiantic Mark Status (Plat a	Q			
Image: Standing Stratum (Por size: 15) 20 For an end of the standing strate in the standing strate. Image: Strate in the standing strate. Image: Strate in the standing strate in the standing strate in the standing strate. Image: Strate in the standing strate in the standing strate. Image: Strate in the standing strate in the strate in t	7	-20-		Prevalence index worksneet.
Sentración de Stratam (Plot size 20 Fold 7 * ALC YULALAL 25 Fold 7 * ALC YULALAL 15 000 100 100 * ALC YULALAL 15 000 100 100 100 * ALC YULALAL 15 000 100 100 100 100 * ALC YULALAL 15 000 100		<u> </u>	= Total Cover	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Statistication (Pot size_LZ) 20 Fact PACW species 4.2.3 2 Accurrent 25 Fact PACU species 4.3.4 3 Attract with an analysis of the species 4.4.5 Pace species 4.4.5 4 IS Op Providers the species 4.4.5 5 Indicators (A) (A) (B) 6 Indicators (A) (A) (B) 7 Indicators (A) (B) (A) (B) 8 Indicators (A) (B) (A) (B) 9 Indicators (A) (B) (A) (B) 9 Indicators (A) (B) (B) (B) (B) 10 Indicators (A) (B) (B) <td>1 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>OBL species x 1 =</td>	1 1			OBL species x 1 =
t_ccc rush rss	Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10) 00		FACW species x 2 =
2 The CLUSP DATASTIS 25 FACU enclose **** 3 AnuxS SCIPULATA 15 0 Provide the set of the se	1. Arer Yubrum	30	y fac	FAC species x 3 =
Annus 2007µl at a Annus 2007µl at	2 QUERCUS DAWSTRIS	25	FACIN	FACU species x 4 =
Initial Control Topic Control (A) (A) (B) Column Topic Control (A) (A) (A) (A) Column Topic Control (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) Control (A) (A	3 Almus Sernulata	16	J Obl	UPL species x 5 =
a	A FILLING SOLT WINTER			
s	4			
a	5			
7	6			Prevalence Index = B/A =
a	7			
8	8			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
10	9.			1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Image: Section in the section of the section in th	10			2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Pol size: 5) 2	· • ·		= Total Covor	3 - Prevalence Index is <3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum				3 = Prevalence index is \$3.0
Harb Straum Choice Choice Choice Choice Prodematic Hydrophytic Vegetation Prodematic Hydrophytic 2 Ary Imminia Promite or on a separate sheel Choice Choice Prodematic Hydrophytic 3 Ary Imminia Promite or on a separate sheel Choice Choice Prodematic Hydrophytic 4 Inductor IS Ary Imminia Promite or on a separate sheel Choice Prodematic Hydrophytic 4 Inductor IS Ary Imminia Promite or on a separate sheel Choice Prodematic Hydrophytic 5 Imminia Spling/Shrub-Woody plants, regardless Spling/Shrub-Woody plants, regardless 6 Imminia Spling/Shrub-Woody plants, regardless Spling/Shrub-Woody plants, regardless 12 Spling/Shrub-Woody plants, regardless Spling/Shrub-Woody plants, regardless Spling/Shrub-Woody plants, regardless 12 Spling/Shrub-Woody vines greater than 3.28 ft tall. Spling/Shrub-Woody vines greater than 3.28 ft tall. 12 Spling/Shrub-Woody vines greater than 3.28 ft tall. Spling/Shrub-Woody vines greater than 3.28 ft tall. 13 Imminia Imminia Spling/Shrub-Woody vines greater than 3.28 ft tall. 14 Imminia Imminia Spling/Shrub-Woody vines gre	5			4 - Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting
1 Production Claimed Stitution 20 V Facc 2 CVXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Herb Stratum (Plot size:) 00		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2 CWCS_IWHA IDNOSI Indicators of hydre soil and welland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3 FACU Indicators of hydre soil and welland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. 5 FACU Definitions of Vegetation Strats: 7 Tre Woody Vines, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.8 cm) or more in diameter. 10 Image: Stratum Image: Stratum 11 Image: Stratum Saping/Shrub- Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in Image: Stratum 12 Image: Stratum Image: Stratum 14 Image: Stratum (Plot size: 3D / Image: Stratum) Image: Stratum 1 Image: Stratum (Plot size: 3D / Image: Stratum) Image: Stratum 1 Image: Stratum (Plot size: 3D / Image: Stratum) Image: Stratum 2 Image: Stratum (Plot size: 3D / Image: Stratum) Image: Stratum 2 Image: Stratum (Plot size: 3D / Image: Stratum) Image: Stratum 2 Image: Stratum (Plot size: 3D / Image: Stratum) Image: Stratum 2 Image: Stratum Image: Stratum Image: Stratum 3 Image: Stratum Image: Stratum	1. Panicum clandestinum	20	Y Fac	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Agrimminia procevificand Agrimminia process Agrimi process Agrimminia process Agrimminia process Agrimmi	2. COVEX WHAT	10	N Obl	
Photo Vis Statum Provide Vince Stratum Provide Vince Stra	3 Aarimonia previtiona	15	N FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
**	Phylaris avunding (1)	25	T Fail	he present unless disturbed or problematic
s	4. <u>I nanarits arananda das</u>			De present, unices distance of problemate.
6	5		·	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
7	6			
8	7			Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
a.	8.			diameter.
10.	9			
10.				
11	10			
$\frac{12}{12} = \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12}$	11		·	Sapling/Shrub- Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in.
Year Year Year Year Year Year Year No Year Year Year Year	12	- 16-		DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30') 1		80	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30') 1				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30') 1				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
1.	Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 20))		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
1 1 3. 1 4. 1 5. 1 6. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 <		_'		
2	1			
3. Woody Vines greater than 3.28 ft in 4.	2			
4	3			Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
5	4			height.
6	5.			
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet). Hydrophytic Veg. 15 present - passes the dominance test.	6.			s.
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet). Hydrophytic veg. 15 present passes the dominance test.		\overline{h}	= Total Cover	
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet). Hydrophytic veg. 15 present - passes the dominance test.				
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet). Hydrophytic veg. 15 present - passes the dominance test.				1 huden a hudin
Vegetation Present? Yes <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>Hidrophytic Veg. 15 present - passes the dominance test</u> .				πιχατορηγτις
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet). Hydrophytic Vcg. 15 present - passes the dominance test.				Vegetation /
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet). Hydrophytic Vcg. 15 present - passes the dominance test.				Present? Yes V No
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet). Hydrophytic Vcg. 15 present - passes the dominance test.				
Hydrophytic veg. is present - passes the dominance test.	Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separat	te sheet).		
Hydrophytic veg. is present - passes the dominance test.		-	n la	
righter veg to present. preses	Hidrophintic, yea is necent-	-DASSI	s the domin	nance tost.
	Equippingne very is provin.	Prissi	~ <i>.</i> .	
			, AND ADDRESS	

ļ

(inches)	Matrix			Redox Featu	res			
(increa)	Color (mpist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
)-le	104R41	100					Clayloom	
0-16	104R511	75	IOVR4110	25	С	PL	Clastian	
							- <u> </u>	
		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			··· .		
		•			<u></u>			
			-	<u> </u>				
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		• <u> </u>				·····		
				<u> </u>				
		•		• <u></u>				
		·		·				
		· · ·			<u></u>			
ype: C=con	centration, D=Depletion	RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, MS=Masked	Sand Grains.			² Location: PL=Pore Lir	ning, M=Matrix.
dric Soil In	dicators:			99			Indicators for Problem	natic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histopolu	(A1)		Dort Surface (6	`7 `			2 cm Muck (A10)	
Histic En	inedon (A2)		Dark Sunace (S	v Surface (S8) (MI RA 147	148)	Coast Prairie Red	(WILKA 147)
- Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ice (S9) (MI R	A 147 148)	, 140)	Diedmont Floodol	ain Soile (E19)
- Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleved I	Matrix (E2)			(MI PA 136 147)	
_ Stratified	Lavers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(E3)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TE12)
- 2 cm Mu	ck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)			Other (Explain in F	Remarks)
 Depleted 	Below Dark Surface (A1	1)	Depleted Dark {	Surface (E7)				(ontaino)
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)	.,	Redox Depress	ions (F8)				
Sandy Mi	ucky Mineral (S1) (LRR	N,	Iron-Manganese	e Masses (F1)	2) (LRR N, N	LRA 136)		
MLRA 14	7,148)		Umbric Surface	(F13) (MLRA	136, 122)			
Sandy GI	eyed Matrix (S4)		Piedmont Flood	plain Soils (F	19) (MLRA 1	48)		
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (54) Pleamont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA						7)		
_ Sandy Re	Matrix (S6)							
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I				resent unles	19 A. J. A.		atic	
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I ³ Indicator	s of hydronhytic vegetati	on and wetla	and hydrology must be r		e dietiirnod r	r nrohlems		
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I ³ Indicator	s of hydrophytic vegetati	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p	Jesent, unes	s disturbed c	r problema		
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I 	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed):	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p		s disturbed c	r problema		······································
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I _ ³ Indicator •strictive L Type:	s of hydrophytic vegetati	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p			r problema	ic	
Sandy Re Stripped I ³ Indicator strictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p			r problema Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I 3Indicator Strictive L Type: Depth (ind	ayer (if observed):	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p			r problema Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	VNo
Sandy Re Stripped I strictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 		and hydrology must be p		s disturbed o	r problema Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I _ 3Indicator estrictive L Type: Depth (inc	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p			n problema Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I alndicator estrictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wette	and hydrology must be p			Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	VNo
Sandy Re Stripped I strictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wetta	and hydrology must be p			r problema Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	VNo
Sandy Re Stripped I alndicator strictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wetta	and hydrology must be p			n problema Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
Sandy Re Stripped I alndicator estrictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): ches):	on and wette	and hydrology must be p			Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	VNo
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I alndicator estrictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wetta	and hydrology must be p			Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I Indicator 	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wette	and hydrology must be p			n problema Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I alndicator estrictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): ches): ion Remarks:	on and wetta	and hydrology must be p			Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
Sandy Re Stripped I alndicator estrictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): ches): ion Remarks:	on and wetta	and hydrology must be p			Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
_ Sandy Re _ Stripped I strictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wette	and hydrology must be p			Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No
Sandy Re Stripped I ³ Indicator strictive L Type: Depth (ind	s of hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): 	on and wette	and hydrology must be p 			Hydr Soil Pre	ic sent? Yes	No

	intains and Pleamont Region
Project/Site: He poner to Lick 128 city/county: JackSO	00H . sampling Date: 7/10/2017
Applicant/Owner:	State: OH Sampling Point: WOB - UPL
Investigator(s): KLV Section, Township, R	lange: Lick. Twp.
Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.): $+100$ Local relief (concave, co	privex, none): $(M)/(X)$ Slope (%) $()/.$
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): Lat: <u>J1. 04 10 LL 15</u> L	ong: <u>08,018175</u> Datum: <u>NAD 05</u>
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes	No (If no. explain in Remarks)
Are Vegetation MD, Soil MD, or Hydrology MD, significantly disturbed?	e "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation $\underline{\Omega0}$, Soil $\underline{\Omega0}$, or Hydrology $\underline{\Omega0}$ naturally problematic? (If	needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point loca	itions, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	,
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Is the Sampled Area wi	thin a Wetland? Yes No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No	
Remarks: Woland data print for NOW.	·
opicitation opicities to the ch	
Data point taken in maintained transm	ISSION KOW.
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Sufface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches):	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes No Voltation Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Voltation Depth (inches):	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Voltation Water Table Present? Yes Yes No Saturation Present? Yes No Voltation Saturation Present? Yes No Voltation Depth (inches): Wettation	And Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Voltation Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Wetlation presentions inconstitution inconstinted inconstitution inconstitution inconstitut	Auitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No V Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	And Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Vater Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes No V Depth (inches): Wetla (includes capillary fringe) Depth (inches): Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Image: Comparison of the stream gauge in the stream of	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes No Ves Saturation Present? Yes No Ves Includes capillary fringe) Depth (inches): Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wethand Wethand H/dYOLOGN	Sewt
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetta (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: WetLand Hydrology Indications are not previous inspections)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)Microtopographic Relief (D4)FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetla (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: WELLAND HYDROGY INDICATIONS AVE NOT PMC	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indications are not pre-	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetl: (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indications are not photometry of the photometry of t	Sevid.
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wethand Hydrology Indications are not pre- Wethand Hydrology Indications are not pre-	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes No V Depth (inches):	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? YesNo
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No ✓ Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No ✓ Saturation Present? Yes No ✓ Depth (inches):	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? Yes No
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No ✓ Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No ✓ Saturation Present? Yes No ✓ Depth (inches):	Sevid.
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Yes Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No Yes Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Yes Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Yes Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Hydrology Indications are not pre-	Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? YesNo
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No V Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No V Saturation Present? Yes No V Depth (inches): Wetta (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland Wetland Hydrology Indication S Ave. Not previous	Severt .
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Vater Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes Yes No Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: WetLand Hydrology Inducation S WetLand Hydrology Inducation S WetLand Hydrology Mater S	Sevt.
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Yes Water Table Present? Yes Yes No Saturation Present? Yes No Yes Depth (inches): Wett: (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: WetAand WetAand Hydrology Inducation Saturation Saturation Saturation Saturation Saturation Saturation Saturation Saturation Saturation Present? Vector No Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: WetAand WetAand Hydrology No Aye No Yet No Yet WetAand Hydrology No Yet	Several Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) and Hydrology Present? Yes No Several Several Several Sev

Sampling Point: WOB-UPL

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u>) 1. <u>NOME</u> 2	Absolute) <u>% Cover</u>	Dominant Indicator Species? Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC. Total Number of Dominant Species 2
3 4 5 6.			Across All Strata: (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
7)))	= Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet: Multiply by: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species x 1 = FACW species x 2 = FAC species x 3 = FACU species x 4 = UPL species x 5 = Column Totals: (A)
5 6 7 8 9 10 Herb Stratum- Participan cliptonsize: 5'		= Total Cover	Prevalence Index = B/A = Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 Dominance Test is >50% 3 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 4 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1 partectific cuacistificity 2 Pod pratensis 3 Dac-typis glomerata 4		<u>Y</u> FacU <u>Y</u> FacU <u>— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — </u>	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter.
10 11 12	80	= Total Cover	Sapling/Shrub- Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Woody Vine Stratum 1. Parthen OCISSUS guingue folia 2. 3. 4) <u>16</u>	FacU	Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
456		= Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No \
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a Upland Veg 15 domina	separate sheet).		

Bopai	Matrix			Redox Featu	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
2-10	104K413	1001.					Sitvlacim	
	• • •						1	
							· ·····	
							·	
,								
							2	
pe: C=conce	entration, D=Depletion	, RM=Reduced	Matrix, MS=Masked S	Sand Grains.	·		-Location: PL=Pore Lini	ng, M=Matrix.
Iric Soil Ind	licators:						Indicators for Problema	atic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A	\1)		Dark Surface (S	7)			2 cm Muck (A10) (M	MLRA 147)
Histic Epip	edon (A2)	-	Polyvalue Below	Surface (S8) (MLRA 147	, 148)	Coast Praine Redo	x (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
Black Histi	ic (A3)	-	Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) (MLR	A 147, 148)		Piedmont Floodplai	in Soils (F19)
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Gleyed M	latrix (F2)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
Stratified L	ayers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
2 cm Muck	(A10) (LRR N)	-	Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)			Other (Explain in R	emarks)
Depleted E	Below Dark Surface (A1	-		urface (F7)			、.	,
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)	-	Redox Depression	ons (F8)				
Sandy Muc	cky Mineral (S1) (LRR	- N.	Iron-Manganese	Masses (F1)	2) (LRR N. N	ILRA 136)		
MLRA 147	(,148)	-	Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA	136, 122)	,		
Sandy Gle	ved Matrix (S4)	-	Piedmont Flood	lain Soils (F	19) (MLRA 1	48)		
Sandy Red	fox (S5)	-	 Red Parent Mate	rial (F21) (M	I RA 127 14	7)		
Stripped M	latrix (S6)	-			,,	• /		
ouppound								
³ Indicators	of hydrophytic vegetat	ion and wetland	hydrology must be p	resent, unles	s disturbed o	or problema	tic.	
strictive La	yer (if observed):				······································			1 1010-000 at minu
Туре:						Hydri	c	
Depth (incł	hes):					Soil Pres	sent? Yes	No
-F · (···								
Descriptiv	on Pomarka				,			
Description	Hi	fric Sa	ils are no	- 011 5	ont			
	140		110 001 0 100	(FI 05				

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region
Project/Site: Hrppner/ICK City/County: ACKSM Sampling Date: 12/4/2017
Applicant/Owner: State: OH Sampling Point: W2
Investigator(s): <u>ALV_ECE</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>LiCN_Iown_Ship</u>
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Local reliet (concave, convex, none): CD 11(CNC Slope (%) D1
soil Map Unit Name: St - Stendal SILE loam, Orrasionally, flooded NWt classification: N/A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes $$ No (If no, explain in Remarks)
Are Vegetation ΠO , Soil ΠO , or Hydrology ΠO significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes V No
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophylic Vegetation Present? Yes V No
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes V No
Remarks: N Lalla Lala Coo M Lala
Welland clau for NOIT
Data point taken within maintained Highway right of way.
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Sufface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Sufface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Violated Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced iron (C4) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Saturation Visible on Aenal Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Adjustic Fauna (B13) FAC. Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Water Table Present? Yes V No Depth (inches): 1
Saturation Present? Yes V No Depth (inches): O Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes V No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Racorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Descriterio di constante di const
Wirtland hudvalous Indicators are ALAZ A3 C3, DZ and D5.

٧	/EGE	TA	1OIT	1 -	Use	scientific	names	of	plants
---	------	----	------	-----	-----	------------	-------	----	--------

Sampling Point

 $\in X$

	2010	Absolute	Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum	(Plot size:) <u>% Cover</u>	Species? Status	Number of Dominant Spacies That Ara 2
1. NONE				OBL, FACW, or FAC:
2			·	Total Number of Dominant Species 3
3	- 1899			Across All Strata:
4				
_				Percent of Oominant Species That Are
5		····· : •	·	
6			<u> </u>	
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		\mathcal{O}_{-}	= Total Cover	Total % Covar ot: Multiply by:
	15%			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: Y	_)		FACW species x 2 =
1. norve				FAC species × 3 =
2				FACU species X4 =
3				UPL species × 5 =
4				(A) (B)
5				
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =
7		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
8				Hydrophylic Vegetation Indicators:
9			i	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vagetation
10				2 - Dominance Tast is >50%
		\mathcal{O}	= Total Cover	3 - Prevalence Indax is ≤3.0 ¹
	=10			4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Herb Stratum	(Plot size:)	-) am	1 and	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Tupha Xalu	aca.		-1 - Obt	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2 Sciences a	trov iv cns	_ 25	1 001	
3. ITCTDIA C	mzoides	_ 20_		Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1. Carex Juri	90	5	N Obl	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5 Symphiot	richum latertioru	MID	N FACIN	Definitions of Vagetation Strata:
6.				
7.				Tree - Woody plents, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
в.				diameter.
9				
10				
11.				Sapling/Shrub- Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in.
12				DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tell.
			= Total Cover	
	•			
				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: 30 Y)		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
1. none				
2.				
3.				Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4				height.
5.	······································		<u></u>	
6.			<u> </u>	-
	*	<u> </u>	= Total Cover	
		_		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation
				Present? Yes V No
Vegetation Remarks: (Includ	le photo numbers here or on a sepan	ate sheet).	н	millet and down and feet
1.1.1.1.	. I year is dominin	$nt - \infty$	Isses the M	appristor and annumerce si
Wetta	in very s aumina	a p		1
	0	-		

Soll Profile Description: (Describe to the Depth Matrix	depth needed to document t	the indicator or confirm t Redox Features	he absence	of indicators.)
$\frac{(\text{inches})}{O-10} \frac{\text{Color (moist)}}{10\sqrt{R4}} $	$\frac{\%}{30} \frac{\text{Color}(\text{molst})}{10 \text{ y} \text{ R} \text{ 4} \text{ (}0}$	$\frac{\frac{\%}{20}}{\frac{1}{20}}$	PL	Texture Remarks
		<u> </u>		
		······································		
			. <u></u>	
		······································	<u></u>	······
		<u> </u>		
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Type: C=concentration, D=Depletion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked	Sand Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
lydric Soil Indicators:				indicators for Problematic Hydric Solis ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Dark Surface (\$	57)	7 4 401	2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3)	Thin Dark Surfa	w Surface (S8) (MLRA 14 ace (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	7, 148)	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		(MLRA 136, 147)
Stratified Layers (A5)	Depleted Matrix	x (F3)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)		
Sandy Mucky Minerai (S1) (LRR N.	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (F12) (LRR N. I	WLRA 136)	
	Umbric Surface	(F13) (MLRA 136, 122)	•	
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Piedmont Floor	piain Soils (F19) (MLRA	148)	
Sandy Redox (S5)	Red Parent Ma	terial (F21) (MLRA 127, 14	47)	
_ Stripped Matrix (S6)				
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegatation an	d wetland hydrology must be	present, unless disturbed	or problema	i¢.
estrictive Layer (if observed):			Hvdri	c /
Depth (inches):			Soil Pres	sent? Yes <u>No</u> No
oil Description Remarks:	1.52			
MRA	オキャク、			

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mour	ntains and Piedmont Region
Project/Site: HERPIPERTALICK 138 City/County / WCKSM	(A. Sampling Date: 7/10/2017
Applicant/Owner: AFP	State: 0H Sampling Point: \s 1018 (PEM)
Investigator(s):	ande: Lick Turb
Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.): DiO Local relief (concave, cor	nvex, none): (MAVL, Slope (%) O'
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): UBK Lat: 39,04404.023, Lo	ng: -82.1084343 Datum: NAD 83
soil Map Unit Name: St-Stendal SIH loam, Occasionally florded,	NWI classification: N/A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes	No (If no, explain in Remarks)
Are Vegetation <u>no</u> , Soil <u>no</u> , or Hydrology <u>no</u> significantly disturbed? Are	"Normal Circumstances" present? Yes V No
Are Vegetation $\underline{n0}$, Soil $\underline{n0}$, or Hydrology $\underline{n0}$ naturally problematic? (If no	eeded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locat	ions, transects, important features, etc.
Hydric Soil Present? Yes V No Is the Sampled Area with	hin a Wetland? Yes <u>V</u> No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Ves No	
Remarks:	
Wetland data point for WO18-PEM-CAIL.	
O.L. I laken near cubstation in Laboration	milmin Dali 1
Vota point taken neur subsidier unger trans	MISSIGN KOW.
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (R6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes V No Depth (inches): 3/1	
Saturation Present? Yes V No Depth (inches): Vetlar	nd Hydrology Present? Yes V No
(includes capillary finge)	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Remarks:	by I br
Netland hydrology indicators are Alicas, Co	, DLang DS
	4

			Abaakita	Dominant	Indicator	
Tree Stratum	(Plot size:	30') % Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksneet:
1. none						Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	4					
3	N.	· · ·		. <u></u> j		Across All Strata (B)
4						Devent of Deminent Species That Are
5			<u> </u>			OBL, FACW, or FAC:
6						Duran la decementa ba etc
			0	= Total Cov	er	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		15				OBL species x 1 =
apling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size:	15	_)			FACW species x 2 =
<u>. </u>				<u> </u>		FACU species x 4 =
3.						UPL species x 5 =
4				<u> </u>		Column Totals: (A) (E
5. 5.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Prevalence Index = B/A =
·						
B			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
3).				<u> </u>		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
·			$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	= Total Cov	er	
		5'	,			4 - Morphological Adaptations' (Provide supporting
Phaldris arun	(Plot size:	<u> </u>	-' 10D		Fach	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2 Typha Xala	uca		10	Ň	001	
JUNCUS CHH	ISUS		10	_N	001	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4 5	<i>.</i>			·	3	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
)					····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	
•						Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more
•						diameter.
· •						
						Sapiing/Shrub- Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 i
•			80	= Total Cov	ər -	DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
				10101-001		
		20'				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
body Vine Stratum	(Plot size:	\mathcal{O}	_)			of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
				<u> </u>		Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
				·		height.
			_0	= Total Cov	er	
						Hydrophytic
						Vegetation
						Present? Yes V
getation Remarks: (Include	photo numbers he	ere or on a separa	ate sheet).			
	· · · · · · · · ·		- ner	es the	don	image test and rapid test.
Hydrophyt	to $Veq.$ is	s present	-hos	NIT CO	VIUrr	III NOU IS IN THE TOUR
8 §	. V	,				

Depth Matri		rix Redox Fea			ures			· ·		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		Remarks		
)-4	-104K412	100			. <u> </u>		<u>Diffloarn</u>			
F16	-104K411	80	7.54K414	PL	<u>Chylain</u>					
							_ <u> </u>			
		- findatanna -			·					
					·		<u></u> <u></u>			
	Np. M									
		_	<u> </u>		·					
				Werk-descent						
			<u></u>							
ype: C=conc	centration, D=Depletion	, RM=Reduce	d Matrix, MS=Masked :	Sand Grains			² Location: PL=Pore Lir	ning, M=Matrix.		
	atuntanina atun							•·		
dric Soil Ind	dicators:						Indicators for Problen	natic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol (/	A1)		Dark Surface (S	7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)		
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Below	·) / Surface (Si	8) (MLRA 147	7, 148)	Coast Prairie Red	ox (A16) (MLRA 147. 148)		
 Black Hist 	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface	ce (S9) (MLI	RA 147, 148)	,,	Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19)		
– Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed N	fatrix (F2)			(MLRA 136, 147)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Stratified I	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)		
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)			Other (Explain in F	Remarks)		
_ Depleted I	Below Dark Surface (A1	11)	Depleted Dark S	urface (F7)						
	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depressi	ons (F8)						
_ Sandy Mu	icky Mineral (S1) (LRR	N,	Iron-Manganese	Masses (F1	2) (LRR N, M	ILRA 136)				
MLRA 147	7,148)		Umbric Surface	(F13) (MLR/	A 136, 122)					
_ Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Piedmont Flood	olain Soils (F	-19) (MLRA 1	48)				
_ Sandy Rei	dox (S5)		Red Parent Mate	erial (F21) (N	/LRA 127, 14	7)				
_ Sunpped iv	Mainx (56)									
³ Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegetat	ion and wetla	nd hydrology must be p	resent, unle	ss disturbed (or problema	atic.			
4.1.41			·····				A E. M. MARKAN, M. M. M. MARKAN, M.			
strictive La	ayer (if observed):									
Туре:	NANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANA	Hydric /				1				
Depth (inc	:hes):					Soil Pre	sent? Yes	VNo		
il Descripti	ion Remarks:	1 ~	100 Mar		i a					
	Mer	ets + 3).							
								. ,		

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Moun	tains and Piedmont Region
Happentalick 128 strong	Sameling Data: 7/10/2017
Applicant/Owner: ATP Strain City/County. CALLSIN .	ate: OH Sampling Point: WINIX-UPI
Investigator(s):	nge: Lick Twp-
Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.):	vex, none): $CONV(X)$ Slope (%) 5/
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	ng: -82.60850285 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: St-Stendal SIH 10am - OCCUSIONALLY HOUSED	NWI classification: N #*
Are Vegetation MD soli MD or Hydrology MD significantly disturbed?	No (ii no, explain in Remarks)
Are Vegetation (10°) , Soil (10°) , or Hydrology (10°) diginited in y detailed in the second	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point location	ons, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	,
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No V Is the Sampled Area with	in a Wetland? Yes No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No	V
Upland data point for WO18.	- DA 1
Data point take near substation under trail	nsmission kow.
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches):	/
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetlan	nd Hydrology Present? Yes No
(includes capillary fringe)	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
Durantur .	
herrarks: herrard Hudralcallis not nessent.	
welland indended is not preserve	

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of p	lants.
--	--------

Sampling Point: WO18-UPL

Trae Stratum	(Plataiza: 301	Absolute	Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
1 NANE	(Piot size) <u>% Cover</u>	Species? Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are
2		**		
3				Total Number of Dominant Species 2 (B)
4.				
5.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6				
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
			= Lotal Cover	Iotal % Cover or: Multiply by: OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: 15	_)		FACW species x 2 =
1. YOYIC				FAC species x 3 =
23				FACU species X 4 = UPL species X 5 =
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)
5			<u> </u>	
6 7			/	Prevalence Index = B/A =
8				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
10		0	= Total Cover	2 - Dominance 1 est is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: 5)	1 511	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. INITOILUM PRAT	inse	$-\frac{20}{10}$	- N Fact	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain)
3. Jaraxacum	officinale		N Fail	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. Pod pratensis		50	Y Facu	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Dipsacus tulio	num		N Facu	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6 7.				Tree Woody plants excluding vines 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
8.				diameter.
9				
10 11				Parting/Physic Mandy plants, evoluting visco, loss than 2 in
12				DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
		<u>40</u>	= Total Cover	
				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: 30	_)		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
1. NONC				
2				Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			height.
5.				
6			= Total Cover	
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present? Yes No V
Vegetation Remarks: (Include pho	oto numbers here or on a separa	te sheet).		
Unland	100 15 dominan			
Ohman	reg. is commun	ll.		
	U			

.

(inches) 0-1(0 	Color (mpist)			<u>%</u>			<u>Texture</u> <u>SiHladm</u>	Remarks		
D+Le 101	4.4.13						Sittladm			
ype: C=concentratio										
ype: C=concentratio										
/pe: C=concentratio							· · · · ·			
/pe: C=concentratio							·			
ype: C=concentratio					·		·			
ype: C=concentratio		 			·		· ·			
ype: C=concentratio		 			······		·			
ype: C=concentratio								44001444445,		
ype: C=concentratio		·								
ype: C=concentratio								A		
ype: C=concentratio							·			
ype: C=concentratio								····		
ype: C=concentratio										
ype: C=concentratio										
ype. C-concentration	n D-Donlation		Matrix MC=Masked (Canal Caraina			² Lesstien: DL=Dens L	ining BA-BA-Anis		
	n, D-Depletion,	Rivi-Reduced	Maurx, MS-Masked S	sand Grains.	<u></u>		Location. PL=Pore L	ining, m=matrix.		
dric Soil Indicator	5:		,				Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol (A1)			Dark Surface (S7	7)			2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)		
Histic Epipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Below	Surface (S8)) (MLRA 147,	148)	Coast Prairie Re	dox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)		
Black Histic (A3)			Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) (MLR	A 147, 148)		Piedmont Floodp	olain Soils (F19)		
_ Hydrogen Sulfide	(A4)		Loamy Gleyed M	latrix (F2)			(MLRA 136, 147)		
_ Stratified Layers	(A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Very Shallow Da	rk Surface (TF12)		
2 cm Muck (A10)	(LRR N)		Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)			Other (Explain in	Remarks)		
_ Depleted Below [Dark Surface (A1	1)	Depleted Dark S	urface (F7)						
_ Thick Dark Surfa	ce (A12)		Redox Depressio	ons (F8)						
Sandy Mucky Mir	neral (S1) (LRR I	N,	Iron-Manganese	Masses (F12	2) (LRR N, MI	LRA 136)				
MLRA 147,148)			Umbric Surface ((F13) (MLRA	. 136, 122)					
Sandy Gleyed Ma	atrix (S4)		Piedmont Floodp	plain Soils (F1	19) (MLRA 14	18)				
_ Sandy Redox (S5	5)		Red Parent Mate	rial (F21) (M	LRA 127, 147	')				
_ Stripped Matrix (S	S6)									
³ Indicators of hyd	rophytic vegetati	ion and wotland	d hydrology must be p	recent unles	e dieturbod o	r probloma	tio			
Indicators of fiye	iophysic vegetati		i nyarology must be pi	esent, unies		problema	uc.			
estrictive Layer (if	observed):									
Type:						Hydri	c	,		
Depth (inches):						Soil Present? Yes No				
200001 (1101100).		e se contrat				001110				

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region
Project/Site: <u>HcpmontoLick/38</u> City/County: <u>JackSon, Co.</u> Sampling Date: <u>710/2017</u> Applicant/Owner: <u>AEP</u> State: <u>OH</u> Sampling Point: <u>NO19 (PEM)</u> Investigator(s): <u>SLV</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>ICK TWP</u>
Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.): Dip Local relief (concave, convex, none): CONCAVC Slope (%) O'
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR LAR: 39. 04332-798, Long: -82, 1009 (01037 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: St-Stendal Sitt loam, accassionally flowded, NWI classification: NA
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes V No (If no, explain in Remarks)
Are Vegetation \underline{MD} , Soil \underline{ND} , or Hydrology \underline{MD} significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes \underline{V} No
Are Vegetation <u>Y()</u> , Soil <u>()</u> , or Hydrology <u>()</u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Vegetation Present? Yes Vegetation Present?
Hydric Soil Present? Yes Ves No Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes Ves No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Ves No
Remarks: Wetland data point for WO19-PEM-CATI.
Data part taken adjacent to transmission substation along road side
Data point toact to aquactic to thomas for the
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
V. High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes / No Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? Yes V No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes V No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:
Netlanel Hydrology Indicators are A1, A3, C3, D2 and D3.

٧	Έ	G	E.	TA	١T	'IC)N	-	Use	scientific	names	of	plants
---	---	---	----	----	----	-----	----	---	-----	------------	-------	----	--------

	0	Ż	DENT
Sampling Point: V	121	L	FEPL

1

	Absolute	Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30) <u>% Cover</u>	Species? Status	Number of Deminant Species That Are
1. none			OBL, FACW, or FAC:
2.			
3			Total Number of Dominant Species 2 (B)
4			(-)
4			Percent of Dominant Species That Are
5		······································	OBL, FACW, or FAC:
67			Prevalence Index worksheet:
6	$-\overline{D}$	= Total Cover	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	_ 		OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10'	_)		FACW species x 2 =
1. NONC			FAC species x 3 =
2			FACU species x 4 = UIPL species x 5 =
4.			Column Totals: (A) (B)
5.			
6.			Prevalence Index = B/A =
7			
8		·	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9			1 - Rapid Lest for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
	- 7	= Total Cover	$3 - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^1$
- 1			4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
terb Stratum (Plot size: 5	-) <u>a</u> à		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Typha xglauca		- <u>1</u> -001-	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. <u>CODUS ATTOVINCIS</u>	$-\frac{10}{20}$	$-\frac{N}{G}$	y
Mimillus a latus	- <u>- av</u>	N Obl	be present unless disturbed or problematic
5.			Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6			
7			Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
8			diameter.
9			
12.			DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
	- 70	= Total Cover	
			· · ·
201			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
Voody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>2</u>)	_)		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
2			41
3			Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4			height.
5	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
6			-
		= Total Cover	
			Hydrophytic
			Vegetation /
			Present? Yes No
vegetation Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sneet).	,	
Will und was is prosent- passes t	he don	ninance tes	st and rapid test.
Wettand very is present frances		and the first	
\lor			

	Matrix			Redox Featu	ires					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture Remarks			
)-6	104R412	80 -	104R414	20	C	PL	Siltlam			
0-16	INRULI	75	INVR414	25	ſ	PL	Clayloin			
					<u> </u>					
	-									
				·						
				<u> </u>	. <u></u>					
		-								
					·					
				·						
				<u> </u>						
vpe: C=conc	entration, D=Depletion,	RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, MS=Masked	Sand Grains			² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.			
dric Soil Ind	licators:			· · ·			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :			
							,			
_ Histosol (A	41)		Dark Surface (67)			2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)			
- Histic Epip	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (St	B) (MLRA 147	, 148)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147,			
_ Black Histi	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ice (S9) (MLF	RA 147, 148)		Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)			
_ Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			(MLRA 136, 147)			
_ Stratified L	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
_ 2 cm Muck	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)	Other (Explain in Remarks)					
_ Depleted E	Below Dark Surface (A1)	1)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)						
_ Thick Dark	(Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8) Magaga (F1		U DA 436)				
MI RΔ 147	7 148)	Ι,	Limbric Surface	(F13) (MLR)	2)(LKK N, W 136 122)	ILKA 130)				
Sandy Gle	ved Matrix (S4)		Piedmont Floor	nlain Soils (F	19) (MI RA 1	48)				
Sandy Rec	fox(S5)		Red Parent Ma	erial (F21) (N	/I RA 127 14	7)				
Stripped M	latrix (S6)					• ,				
	· · /									
³ Indicators	of hydrophytic vegetatio	on and wetla	nd hydrology must be	present, unle:	ss disturbed o	or problema	tic.			
	yer (if observed):									
strictive La						Hydric Soil Present? Yes Ves No				
strictive La	- · ·									
strictive La Type: Depth (incl	hes):	······································				Soil Pre	sent? Yes V No			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (inch	hes): on Remarks:					Soil Pre	sent? Yes <u>/</u> No			
Strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	hes): on Remarks:	2				Soil Pres	sent? Yes <u>/</u> No			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	nes): on Remarks: McctS F	<i>.</i> 3.				Soil Pres	sent? Yes <u>/</u> No			
Strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl	nes): on Remarks: Mcct5 F	3.				Soil Pres	Sent? Yes <u>/</u> No			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	hes): on Remarks: Mcc45 F	Ĩ3.				Soil Pre	Sent? Yes <u>/</u> No			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	n Remarks: Mcct5 F	<i>3</i> .				Soil Pre	sent? Yes <u>/ No</u>			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	nes): on Remarks: McctS F	<i>[</i> 3.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Soil Pres	sent? Yes <u>/ No</u>			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	hes): on Remarks: McCtS F	<i>.</i> 3.				Soil Pres	Sent? Yes <u>V</u> No			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl	hes): on Remarks: Mcct5 F	3.			· · ·	Soil Pres	Sent? Yes <u>/ No</u>			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	n Remarks: Mcct5 F	3.				Soil Pres	sent? Yes <u>/ No</u>			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	nes): on Remarks: Mcct5 F	3.			· · ·	Soil Pres	NO			
strictive La Type: _ Depth (incl il Descriptio	hes): on Remarks: McctS F	<i>3</i> .			· ·	Soil Pres	sent? Yes <u>/</u> No			

WETLAND DETE	RMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mou	ntains and Piedmont Region				
Project/Site: 11/2 popular to / 1/28	City/County Actes	Sampling Date: 7/10/2017				
Applicant/Oumar		Sampling Date. 110/201				
Applicantowner. $V_{1 \times 1}$	Castian Taunahin Di	Sampling Found VIA OF L				
Investigator(s). <u>NLV</u>	Section, Township, Ra	nuge the form of the stars (B()				
Culture (Inside , tenace, etc.).	29 0425548	-82 100982211 patient $h/h0 83$				
Soll Mar Link Norman St-Stradal StH adv	n accusionally flanded	NIMI alassifaction: NIA				
Soll Map Unit Name: <u>J JRY (JUL) JIII (UL)</u>	n occusionary nocerca					
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site ty	V O Yes V	No (If no, explain in Remarks)				
Are Vegetation \underline{MO} , Soil \underline{MO} , or Hydrology	<u>I(U</u> significantly disturbed? Are	"Normal Circumstances" present? Yes V No				
Are Vegetation $\underline{\Pi O}$, Soil $\underline{\Pi O}$, or Hydrology	<u>IO</u> naturally problematic? (If n	needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - A	Attach site map showing sampling point local	tions, transects, important features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No					
Hydric Soil Present? Yes	No V Is the Sampled Area wit	hin a Wetland? Yes No 🗸				
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	No					
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all th	nat apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
High Water Table (A2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
Saturation (A3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Drift Deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Iron Deposits (B5)		Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Aquatic Fauna (B13)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:						

i leiu Observations.							
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No 🗸	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present?	Yes	No V	Depth (inches):	. <u></u>			/
Saturation Present?	Yes	No 🗸	Depth (inches):		Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No
(includes capillary fringe)				-			
Describe Recorded Data (strea	im gauge, moni	toring well, aerial p	photos, previous insp	ections), if availab	le:		

Remarks:

Wetland Hydrology Indicators are not present.

Sampling Point:	VV)C	1 9 .	-UP	L
				10.5

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 301 1NONC	Absolute) % Cover	Dominant Indicator Species? Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2 3 4			Total Number of Dominant Species(B)
567.			Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B) Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)) 	= Total Cover	Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species x 1 = FACW species x 2 = FAC species x 3 = FACU species x 4 = UPL species x 5 = Column Totals: (A)
5 6 7			Prevalence Index = B/A =
8910		= Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. Dactylis glomerata 2. Daucus carota 3. Trifolium pratense 4. Erigeron annuus 5. Pod pratensis 6.	30 10 15 10 20	N FacU N FacU N FacU N FacU Y FacU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
7 8 9 10			Tre e - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter.
11 12	85	= Total Cover	Sapling/Shrub- Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Woody Vine Stratum 1. NMC)		Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
23455			Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
	0	= Total Cover	Hvdrophytic
			Vegetation Present? Yes No V
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate Upland Veg. is dominant.	e sheet).		

ſ

(inches)	IVIALITIX	<u>. </u>		Redox Featu	ires		-			
)-11,	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
<u> </u>	104132	00		<u>.</u>			<u>Sittlam</u> _	grave		
								0		
			-							
			······		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
				<u> </u>	·					
					·					
								·		
vpe: C=conc	entration D=Depletion		Matrix MS=Masked S	Sand Grains			² Location: PL=	Pore Lining M-Matrix		
ype. O-conce		, TIM-Reduced	Matrix, MO-Masked C	anu Grains.				ore Linnig, M-Matrix.		
/dric Soil Ind	icators:						Indicators for F	Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
_ Histosol (A	A1)		Dark Surface (S7	<u>/)</u>			2 cm Muck	(A10) (MLRA 147)		
Histic Epip	edon (A2)		Polyvalue Below	Surface (S8	8) (MLRA 147	, 148)	Coast Prai	rie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)		
_ Black Histi	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfac	;e (S9) (MLR	RA 147, 148)		Piedmont I	Floodplain Soils (F19)		
_ Hydrogen 8	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed M	atrix (F2)			(MLRA 13)	5, 147)		
_ Stratified L	ayers (A5).		Depleted Matrix	(F3)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
2 cm Muck	(A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Depleted B	Below Dark Surface (A	11) .	Depleted Dark Se	urface (F7)	(F7)					
_ Thick Dark	Surface (A12)		Redox Depressio							
_ Sandy Muc	cky Mineral (S1) (LRR	N, .	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)							
MLRA 147,	,148)	-	Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)							
_ Sandy Gley	yed Matrix (S4)	-	Piedmont Floodp	lain Soils (F	19) (MLRA 1	48)				
_ Sandy Red	lox (S5)	-	Red Parent Mate	rial (F21) (M	ILRA 127, 14	7)				
_ Stripped M	atrix (S6)									
³ Indicators	of hydrophytic vegetat	tion and wetland	hydrology must be pr	resent unler	e disturbod c	r probloma	tic			
mulcators	or nyurophytic vegetat		nydrology must be pr	esent, unies	s alsturbea c	rproblema	uc.			
estrictive Lay	yer (if observed):									
Type:		,				Hydr	ic			
Depth (inch	nes):					Soil Present? Yes No				
		·····		******						






End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

2

3

Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality

Present in moderate or greater amounts

and of highest quality









End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.



7



]__.

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

2

3

Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality

Present in moderate or greater amounts

and of highest quality

16

CAT



ORAM v. 5.0 Field Form Quantitative Rating





End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

2

3

Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality

Present in moderate or greater amounts

and of highest quality



Photograph 93. Stream S023, Upstream, Facing North



Photograph 94. Stream S023, Downstream, Facing South





Photograph 99. Stream S026, Upstream, Facing West



Photograph 100. Stream S026, Downstream, Facing East





Photograph 101. Stream S027, Upstream, Facing West



Photograph 102. Stream S027, Downstream, Facing East





Photograph 103. Stream S028, Upstream, Facing Northwest



Photograph 104. Stream S028, Downstream, Facing Southeast





Photograph 105. Stream S029, Upstream, Facing Northeast



Photograph 106. Stream S029, Downstream, Facing Southwest





Photograph 107. Stream S030, Upstream, Facing Southeast



Photograph 108. Stream S030, Downstream, Facing Northwest





Photograph 109. Stream S031, Upstream, Facing Southeast



Photograph 110. Stream S031, Downstream, Facing Northwest



ChieFPA Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) : 20	
SITE NAME/LOCATION _ ALP- Heppings to Lick 138 	<u>5q.</u> mi .
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (11) 243 LAT31.04816 LONG. 82.0120 RIVER CODERIVER MILE DATE 710 2017 SCORER KLVCOMMENTSS0 23 (EPH)	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instruction STREAM CHANNEL NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVER MODIFICATIONS:	>ns ≀Y
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONL Y two predominant substrate TYPE boxes (Max of 40). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. H TYPE BLDR SLABS [16 pts] PERCENT YPE PERCENT NO BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts] BEDROCK [16 pt] BEDROCK [16 pt] EAF PACKWOODY DEBRIS [3 pts] Sum Sum COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt] MUCK [0 pts] MUCK [0 pts] Image: SaND (<2 mm) [6 pts]	IHEI etric oints hbstrate ax = 40 15
Total of Percentages of Bidr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock (A) (B) (B) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C	DI Depth ax = 30
> 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts]	
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box): > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.0 m (≤ 3' 3") [5 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 4' 8" - 9' 7") [20 pts] > 1.0 m (≤ 3' 3") [5 pts] COMMENTS	ankfull Vidth ax=30
This Information must also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ☆ RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY Conservation Tillage L R (Per Bank) L R Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Moderate 5-10m Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Urban or Industrial Narrow <5m	
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent) Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral) COMMENTS	
Image: Stress of the local stress o	

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This information Must Also be Completed):	
QHEI PERFORMED? - 🗍 Yes 🔀 No QHEI Score (If Yes, Attac	ch Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S) Image:	_ Distance from Evaluated Stream <u>0.54miles</u> _ Distance from Evaluated Stream _ Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED	AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
USGS Quadrangle Name: Wellston, OH NRCS Soil Map P.	age: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
county: Jackson Co Township / City: LICK	Twp.
MISCELLANEOUS	(
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of last precipitation: 7/10/2017	Quantity: <u></u>
Flowed Turkidit 2 (XA): N Concert (K and): 45/	al an an ann an
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): N (Note lab sample no or id a)	nd attach results) Lab Number
Eleid Measures: Temp (°C) Dissolved Oxvaen (mail) pH (SU)	Conductivity (umbos/cm)
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) $$ If not, please explain:	
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:	
BIOTIC EVALUATION	
Performed? (Y/N): (If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher collections optional. ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Print	NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site nary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual)
Fish Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) Aquatic Macroinvertebrate	Voucher?(Y/N) <u>N</u> es Observed?(Y/N) <u>N</u> Voucher?(Y/N) <u>N</u>
Comments Regarding Biology:	
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM F include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation an	REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed): d a narrative description of the stream's location
Immatur	
(of Forest & Transmission f	ROW
FLOW	
open/mair	Hained (80)

June 20, 2008 Revision

PHWH Form Page - 2

.....

ChieEPA	Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form	25
	HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) :	52

SITE NAME/LOCATION HEPOMPI-LICK	
Son Site NUMBER RIVER BASIN Sci Oto River DRAINAGE AREA (mi ²) B. 4 mi	<u>ک</u>
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) <u>59</u> LAT. <u>39.046605</u> LONG: <u>P2.608986</u> RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	-
DATE $4/24/18$ SCORER $2CE$ COMMENTS $502(0 CEPH)$	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instruction	5 88995
STREAM CHANNEL NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERY MODIFICATIONS:	
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate <i>TYPE</i> boxes (Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. TYPE PERCENT TYPE PERCENT	IEI tric
	nts
BEDROCK [16 pt] BEDROCK [16 pt] Subs	trate
COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] Q CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt]	- 40
□ □ SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts] □ □ ARTIFICIAL [3 pts] [2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Total of Percentages of (A) (A) (B) A+	B
Bidr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock	
2 Navinum Pool Donth (Measure the maximum pool donth within the 61 motor /200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of	Jonth
evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box):	= 30
□ > 30 centimeters [20 pts] □ > 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts] □ > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] □ < 5 cm [5 pts]	
> 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts]	
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box): Ban □ > 4.0 meters (> 13") [30 pts] □ > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3"3" - 4"8") [15 pts] Wid □ > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9'7" - 13") [25 pts] □ > 1.0 m (≤ 3"3") [5 pts] Max □ > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9'7" - 4"8") [20 pts] □ > 1.0 m (≤ 3"3") [5 pts] Max	kfull ith =30
COMMENTS 1,5' 1' 75' AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) 0.515	
This information <u>must</u> also be completed RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream A	
L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R	
Lyd Lyd, Moderate 5-10m L L eight	
Ye by Moderate 5-10m Image: Field Image: Field Image: Field Image: Narrow <5m	
Moderate 5-10m Field Orban or Industrial Narrow <5m	
Yet Yet Moderate 5-10m Image: Field Image: Field <td></td>	
Value Moderate 5-10m Image: Field Imag	
Moderate 5-10m Image: Field Open Pasture, Row Crop Narrow <5m	
Moderate 5-10m Image: Field <	

October 24, 2002 Revision

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):
QHEI PERFORMED? - 🗍 Yes 😡 No 🛛 QHEI Score (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S) WWH Name: CWH Name: DCWH Name: DEWH Name: DEWH Name: DEWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream Distance from Evaluated Stream Distance from Evaluated Stream Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
USGS Quadrangle Name: Wellston, OH NRCS Soil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
County: Jackson CO. Township/City: LICIA TWP
MISCELLANEOUS
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of last precipitation: 42418 Quantity: 6.08.
Photograph Information:
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): Canopy (% open):
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): (Note lab sample no. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:
Field Measures: Temp (°C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm)
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) If not, please explain:
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:
BIOTIC EVALUATION
Performed? (Y/N): (If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher collections optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual)
Fish Observed? (Y/N) <u>Voucher? (Y/N) Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) V</u>
Comments Regarding Biology
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed):
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed): Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed): Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location HAMMAN FIELD V AND

October 24, 2002 Revision

in the second

PHWH Form Page - 2

 \mathcal{Y}

ChieEPA Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) :

SITE NAME/LOCATION 140 DOC 01/ CLCLC	
SOZZ SITE NUMBER RIVER BASIN SCIOLO RIVER DRAINAGE AREA (mi2) (3. Imiz
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 37 LAT. 31.04/0004 LONG-82.008 986 RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	
DATE 4/24/19 SCORER REZ COMMENTS SOZT (INT)	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instr	uctions
STREAM CHANNEL IN NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL RECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING RECOVERING RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVERING	IVERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes	ниеі
TYPE PERCENT TYPE, PERCENT	Metric
	Points
BEDROCK [16 pt] BEDROCK [16 pt]	Substrate
COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt]	
□ □ GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] <u>"20"</u> □ □ MUCK [0 pts]	14
Bidr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock $\underline{5}$ (A)	A+B
SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: Long TOTAL NUMBER OF SU	
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of	Pool Depth
evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts of storm water pipes) (Check OVL Y one box).	
□ > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] □ < 5 cm [5 pts] □ NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts] □ □	25
	1 51930 V
COMMENTS 0 9 9 MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters):	
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box):	Bankfull Width
□ > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts]	Max=30
$\Box > 1.5 \text{ m} - 3.0 \text{ m} (> 9' 7'' - 4' 8'') [20 \text{ pts}]$	5
COMMENTS 1, 2 1 1 AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters)	
This information must also be completed	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN OLIALITY	
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank)	
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R I Wide >10m I Mature Forest, Wetland I I Conservation Tillage	
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R L R L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R I Wide >10m I Mature Forest, Wetland I Conservation Tillage Vide >10m I Mature Forest, Shrub or Old I Urban or Industrial	
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Wide >10m Image: Mature Forest, Wetland Image: Conservation Tillage Moderate 5-10m Image: Conservation Tillage Image: Conservation Tillage Narrow <5m	
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Moderate 5-10m Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Urban or Industrial Narrow <5m	-
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Image:	-
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R Wide >10m Imature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Moderate 5-10m Imature Forest, Shrub or Old Urban or Industrial Narrow <5m	- -
RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Moderate 5-10m Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Urban or Industrial Narrow <5m	- -

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):
QHEI PERFORMED? - 🗇 Yes 🕅 No QHEI Score (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S) WWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream CWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream EWH Name: Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
USGS Quadrangle Name: WellSton, Olt NRCS Soil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
County: SackSan Co
MISCELLANEOUS
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of last precipitation: 24118 Quantity: Quantity:
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): Canopy (% open): <u>10</u>
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): (Note lab sample no. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:
Field Measures: Temp (°C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm)
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) If not, please explain:
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:
BIOTIC EVALUATION
Performed? (Y/N): (If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher collections optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site
ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) Fish Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N
Comments Regarding Biology:
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed): Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location
FLOW - FL
Cocober 24, 2002 Revision

N.

1

ChieFPA Primary H	HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) : 35	
SITE NAME/LOCATION <u>AP - HCppner</u> <u>5003</u> SITE NUMBER LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) <u>83</u> LATE $7 3 207$ SCORER <u>KLV</u> NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form	Ho LICK_138	<u>m</u> i ²
STREAM CHANNEL INONE / NATU MODIFICATIONS:	JRAL CHANNEL CRECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECOVER	Y
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every (Max of 40). Add total number of significant TYPE Image: Destination of the state of the stat	v type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes at substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. H RCENT TYPE PERCENT Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill T [3 pt] Image: Sill	HEI etric >ints >strate x = 40 5 + B
 2. MaxImum Pool Depth (Measure the maxievaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road of > 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] > 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts] COMMENTS 	Chrom pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of sulverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box): > 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts] > 5 cm [5 pts] NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts] Image: Change of the second seco	$\frac{Depth}{x = 30}$
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the ave > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 4' 8" - 9' 7") [20 pts] COMMENTS	verage of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box): Bai > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] With the second se	nkfull Idth x=30
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLA <u>RIPARIAN WIDTH</u> L R (Per Bank) U Uide >10m Moderate 5-10m None COMMENTS	This information must also be completed AIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ☆ FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Mature Forest, Wetland Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Immature Forest, Shrub or Old ✓ Field ✓ Residential, Park, New Field Open Pasture Mining or Construction	
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluate Stream Flowing Subsurface flow with isolated pools (I COMMENTS	tion) (Check ONLY one box): Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent) (Interstitial) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)	Y
SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 6	61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box): 1.0 2.0 1.5 2.5 Image: Moderate (2 ft/100 ft) Image: Moderate to Severe Image: Moderate (2 ft/100 ft) Image: Moderate to Severe	

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This information Must Also be Completed):

QHEI PERFORMED? -	Yes KNo QHEI Score _	(If Yes, Attach	Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIG WWH Name:	NATED USE(S)	: 	Distance from Evaluated Stream <u>O.10 mtles</u> Distance from Evaluated Stream Distance from Evaluated Stream
	pies of maps, including thi 7/1/stan .aff	E <u>ENTIRE</u> WATERSHED A	REA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
county: Jacksim Co		ownship / City:	Twp .
MISCELLANEOUS			
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N):	Date of last precipitation:_	7/10/2017	Quantity:25 ¹¹
Photograph Information:			
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N):	Canopy (% open):	0/	
Were samples collected for water	chemistry? (Y/N): (Not	e lab sample no. or id. and	attach results) Lab Number:
Field Measures: Temp (°C)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	pH (S.U.)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm)
Is the sampling reach representativ	ve of the stream (Y/N) If	not, please explain:	·
BIOTIC EVALUATION Performed? (Y/N): (If '	Yes, Record all observations. Vo number. Include appropriate field ucher? (Y/N) \ Salamande I) \ Voucher? (Y/N) \ A	ucher collections optional. I data sheets from the Prime ors Observed? (Y/N) <u>\</u> quatic Macroinvertebrates	NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site ary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) Voucher? (Y/N) Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N)
DRAWING AND	NARRATIVE DESCRIPT	ION OF STREAM RI	EACH (This <u>must</u> be completed):
residential	drive -)		PENwettand
FLOW	culvert (
Reveentual	gravel drive		REM we tland.

June 20, 2008 Revision

PHWH Form Page - 2

ChieFPA Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) : 24				
SITE NAME/LOCATION APP-HEPPINER	- Lick 138			
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 300 I		<u>, 154</u> .m		
DATE 7/10/2017_ SCORER_KLV	COMMENTSSO30(EPA)			
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form	- Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instru	Ictions		
MODIFICATIONS:	URAL CHANNEL SERECOVERED DIRECOVERING DIRECENT OR NO RECO	VERY		
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of even (Max of 40). Add total number of significar	y type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes nt substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B.	HHEI		
TYPE PE PE	RCENT TYPE PERCENT	Metric Points		
BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts]	LEAF PACKWOODY DEBRIS [3 pts] FINE DETRITUS [3 pts]	Substrate		
COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts]	CLAY of HARDPAN [0 pt]	Max = 40		
Image: GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] Image: GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [9 pts] Image: GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [6 pts]	O O ARTIFICIAL [3 pts]	14		
Total of Percentages of Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock	(A) 12 (B) 2	A + B		
SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBST	RATE TYPES: TOTAL NUMBER OF SUBSTRATE TYPES:			
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the max evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road	ximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box):	Pool Depth Max = 30		
> 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts]	> 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts]	5		
> 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts]	NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts] 4cm			
3 PANK EUL I MIDTH (Macaurad as the a	MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters):	Bankfull		
3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9'7' - 13') [25 pts]	Concert and a statistical	Width Max=30		
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 4' 8" - 9' 7") [20 pts]	3'	5		
COMMENTS	AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters)			
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL	This information <u>must</u> also be completed LAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆			
<u>RIPARIAN WIDTH</u> L R (Per Bank)	FLOODPLAIN QUALITY LR (Most Predominant per Bank) LR			
U Wide >10m	□ □ Mature Forest, Wetland □ □ Conservation Tillage □ □ Immature Forest, Shrub or Old ☑ ☑ Urban or Industrial			
Narrow <5m	Field Copen Pasture, Row			
	Image: Comparison of the company o			
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evalue) FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evalue) Stream Flowing Subsurface flow with isolated pools COMMENTS	ation) (Check ONLY one box): Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent) s (Interstitial) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)			
SINUOSITY (Number of bends per None 0.5 O	er 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box): 1.0			
STREAM GRADIENT ESTIMATE	Moderate (2 ft/100 ft) Moderate to Severe Severe (10 ft/100	D ft)		

June 20, 2008 Revision

PHWH Form Page - 1

QHEI PERFORMED? - 🗍 Yes 🕅	No QHEI Score(If Y	/es, Attach Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USI WH Name:	E(S)	Distance from Evaluated Stream
EWH Name:	ан аланан алан алан талан талан талан талан талан талан талан талак талар талар талар талар талар талар талар т алан алан талар	Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MA	PS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATE	ERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
GS Quadrangle Name: <u>NCIISTM</u>	. <u>64</u> NRCS SC	oil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
unty: Jackson Co.	Township / City:	Lick Twp.
MISCELLANEOUS	, ,	,
e Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of	of last precipitation: $7(0/20)$	17 Quantity: <u>25"</u>
stograph Information:		
evated Turbidity? (Y/N): N Can	opy (% open): <u>100 1</u>	
ere samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): (Note lab sample no	o. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:
ld Measures: Temp (°C) Dissol	ved Oxygen (mg/l) pH ((S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm)
ne sampling reach representative of the stre	eam (Y/N) / If not, please exp	lain:
i sa na mana na	en anna i e an	ana ina mandra na mandrida any amin'ny fisiana indrina amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny
iormed? (Y/N): (If Yes, Record ID number. Inc) Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) gs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) Vou	all observations. Voucher collections lude appropriate field data sheets fro)	s optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site m the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) vertebrates Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N)
nments Regarding Biology.		
	· · ·	
DRAWING AND NARRAT	IVE DESCRIPTION OF STR	REAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed):
include important landmarks and othe	r features of interest for site evalu	uation and a narrative description of the stream's location
	Substati	
	mintained	AINN
	in with Mittal	
\times		
\times		
\times	maintain	ed lawn
	graveldrive	

June 20, 2008 Revision

PHWH Form Page - 2

.

ChieFPA	Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form		
	HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) :	49	

SSS SITE NUMBER	(Second	
	RIVER BASIN Sidto River DRAINAGE AREA (mi2)	<u>>01m;2</u>
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft)	LAT:39.0413936 LONG: 82.601099 RIVER CODE RIVER MILE	
DATE 424118 SCORER LEE		
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form	n - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instru	uctions
STREAM CHANNEL ONNE / NAT MODIFICATIONS:	TURAL CHANNEL CRECOVERED RECOVERING RECENT OR NO RECO	OVERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of ever (Max of 32). Add total number of significa	ry type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes	ниеі
	ERCENT TYPE PERCENT	Metric
□ □ BLDR SLABS [16 pts] □ □ BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts]	[IJ U SILT [3 pt] SILT [3 pt] SILT [3 pt] S	Points
	FINE DETRITUS [3 pts]	Substrate Max = 40
COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts]		
Image: Solution of the second secon	<u>⊥O</u> □ □ ARTIFICIAL [3 pts]	
Total of Percentages of	(A) (B) (B)	
Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBST	TRATE TYPES: 5	ATU
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the ma	aximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of	Pool Depth
evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road	d culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box):	Max = 30
> 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts]	< 5 cm [5 pts]	25
2 > 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts]	D WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts]	
COMMENTS 4" 2"	2.5 MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters):	
3 BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the a	average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box):	
		Bankfull
 > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] 	X > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts]	Bankfull Width Max=30
 > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9'7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9'7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] 	⊠ > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts]	Bankfull Width Max=30
 → 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] → 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9'7" - 13') [25 pts] → 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9'7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS <u>2</u> <u>3.5' 4'</u> 	x) > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts]	Bankfull Width Max=30
COMMENTS_3' 3,5' 4'	XI > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] S ≤ 1.0 m (≤ 3' 3") [5 pts] AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters)	Bankfull Width <u>Max=30</u> (S
COMMENTS 3' 3'S' 4' COMMENTS 3' 3'S' 4'S' [20 pts] COMMENTS 3' 3'S' 4'S' [20 pts] COMMENTS 3' 3'S' 4'S' 4'S' 100 pts] RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL	$ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \hline$	Bankfull Width <u>Max=30</u> 15
A.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS 3' 3' 5' 5' 4' RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank)	Image: Signal state st	Bankfull Width <u>Max=30</u> IS
COMMENTS_3' 3.6 the COMMENTS_3' 3.0 m (> 9'7" - 13") [25 pts] COMMENTS_3' 3.6 the RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL <u>RIPARIAN WIDTH</u> L R (Per Bank) □ Wide >10m	Image: Strain (> 3' 3'' - 4' 8'') [15 pts] Image: Strain (> 3' 3'') [5 pts] Image: Strain (> 10 () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	Bankfull Width <u>Max=30</u> 15
A.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS 3' 3' 5' 4' RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) O Wide >10m O Moderate 5-10m	AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) Image: state sta	Bankfull Width Max=30
Oracle All Micro CLL WIDTH OLL WIDTH OLL WIDTH OLL WIDTH OLL WIDTH OLL WIDTH (Interstored as the experimental structure) > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS_3' 3.6' 4' RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) O Moderate 5-10m O Narrow <5m	AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) Image: state of the sta	Bankfull Width <u>Max=30</u> [5]
A.0 metros (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9'7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9'7" - 4'8") [20 pts] COMMENTS 3.5 ' 4' RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) O Moderate 5-10m Narrow <5m	AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) This Information must also be completed LAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ☆ FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Conservation Tillage Immature Forest, Wetland Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Immature, Row Crop Crop Fenced Pasture Maining or Construction	Bankfull Width Max=30 15
A.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS 3' 3.5' 4' RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) O Wide >10m Moderate 5-10m Image: Commentary state Narrow <5m	AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters) This Information must also be completed LAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream ☆ FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R I Mature Forest, Wetland I Conservation Tillage I Immature Forest, Shrub or Old I Urban or Industrial Field Open Pasture, Row Crop Fenced Pasture Mining or Construction uation) (Check ONLY one box): s (Interstitial) Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)	Bankfull Width Max=30 IS
> 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts] COMMENTS_3' 3.0 m COMMENTS_3' 3.0 m COMMENTS_3' 3.0 m Signature RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) O Moderate 5-10m Narrow <5m	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Bankfull Width Max=30 IS
→ 4.0 meters (> 13) [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts] > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8') [20 pts] COMMENTS 3' 3.5' 4' RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPL RIPARIAN WIDTH L R (Per Bank) □ Moderate 5-10m □ Narrow <5m	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Bankfull Width Max=30 [] S

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):
QHEI PERFORMED? - 🗖 Yes 💢 No QHEI Score (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S) Distance from Evaluated Stream CWH Name:
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
USGS Quadrangle Name: Well Ston, OH NRCS Soil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
County: Jackson CO. Township/City: Lick Twp
MISCELLANEOUS
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of last precipitation: <u>4124118</u> Quantity: <u>00810</u>
Photograph Information:
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): Canopy (% open):
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): (Note lab sample no. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:
Field Measures: Temp (°C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm) Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) If not, please explain:
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:
BIOTIC EVALUATION
Performed? (Y/N): (If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher collections optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual)
Fish Observed? (Y/N)Voucher? (Y/N) Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) Aquatic Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N)
Comments Regarding Biology
DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This must be completed):

Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location

	CUNNER	Laun	PEM Wetland
FLOW			
	\sim	Lawn	
	Timper Mats		

	<u>anu use Assess</u>	sment rield Sheet	
Stream & Location: 5029	- AEP Heppmer to Lic	K	RM:Date: _7_/ \0 //-
50H-KUV-202 LP	R)Score	rs Full Name & Affiliation:	KLV GALCONSUHUNTS
River Code:		(NAD 83 - decimal °) 045	[182.6084 Office veri loca
1] SUBSTRATE Check ONLY I estimate % or I	note every type present	Check Ol	NE (Or 2 & average)
			SILT MODERATE [-1] SU
□□ □ COBBLE [8] □□ ☑ GRAVEL [7]	<u>」()</u> ロロMUCK [2] スラ ロマSILT [2]	$= \frac{1}{35} \square \text{HARDPAN}[0]$	
			EDDED EXTENSIVE [-2]
NUMBER OF BEST TYPES:	(Score natural substr 4 or more [2] sludge from poi	nt-sources)	
Comments	3 or less [0]	SHALE [-1]	□ NONE [1]
AL INSTREAM COVER Indicat	a procence 0 to 3: 0 Abcent: 1 Ve	or small amounts or if more common	of marginal
2] INSTREAM COVER modera quality: 3-Highest quality in modera	; 2-Moderate amounts, but not of h	highest quality or in small amounts of a more common arge boulders in deep or fast water	of highest Jarge Check ONE (Or 2 & average
diameter log that is stable, well dev	eloped rootwad in deep / fast wate	r, or deep, well-defined, functional p	bools. EXTENSIVE >75% [11]
	$DN [1] $ \underline{O} ROOTWADS [1]	\underline{O} AQUATIC MACROPHYT	ES [1] SPARSE 5-<25% [3]
0 SHALLOWS (IN SLOW WAT	ER) [1] 0 BOULDERS [1]	LOGS OR WOODY DEB	RIS [1] 🔲 NEARLY ABSENT <5% [1
Comments			Cover Maximum
			20
3] CHANNEL MORPHOLOG SINUOSITY DEVELOPM	Y Check ONE in each category (C MENT CHANNELIZATI	or 2 & average) ON STABILITY	
A MODERATE [3] GOOD [5]		MODERATE [2]	
		COVERY [1]	Channel
Comments		¢	20
4] BANK EROSION AND RII River right looking downstream	PARIAN ZONE Check ONE in RIPARIAN WIDTH	each category for <i>EACH BANK</i> (Or FLOOD PLAIN QUALIT	2 per bank & average)
4] BANK EROSION AND RII River right looking downstream EROSION NONE / LITTLE [3] MODERATE [2] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] RAXIMUM DEPTH Check ONE (ONLY) Check ONE (ONLY) O.7-<1m [4]	PARIAN ZONE Check ONE in RIPARIAN WIDTH WIDE > 50m [4] MODERATE 10-50m [3] MODERATE 10-50m [3] VARROW 5-10m [2] WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [0] VARROW 5-10m [2]	each category for EACH BANK (Or FLOOD PLAIN QUALIT OREST, SWAMP [3]).5 SHRUB OR OLD FIELD [2] RESIDENTIAL, PARK, NEW FIELD [ENCED PASTURE [1] OPEN PASTURE, ROWCROP [0] CHECK ALL that apply TORRENTIAL [-1] SLOW [1] VERY FAST [1] INTERSTITT FAST [1] INTERSTITT MODERATE [1] EDDIES [1] Indicate for reach - pools and riffi	2 per bank & average) Y B CONSERVATION TILLAGE [URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL [0] URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL [0] Indicate predominant land use(s) past 100m riparian. Riparian Maximum 10 Recreation Potential Primary Contact Secondary Contact [circle one and comment on back] Kaximum fes.
4] BANK EROSION AND RII River right looking downstream EROSION River right looking downstream River right looking downstream EROSION NONE / LITTLE [3] MODERATE [2] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] Comments 5] POOL / GLIDE AND RIFFI MAXIMUM DEPTH Check ONE (ONLY) Check ONE (Check ONL) Check ONE (Check ONL) Check ONL	PARIAN ZONE Check ONE in RIPARIAN WIDTH WIDE > 50m [4] 9.5 0 0 1 MODERATE 10-50m [3] 0 5 VARROW 5-10m [2] 0 1 VERY NARROW < 5m [1] 0 1 VONE [0] 0 0 0 CHANNEL WIDTH Heck ONE (Or 2 & average) WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] 0 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] 0	each category for EACH BANK (Or FLOOD PLAIN QUALIT OREST, SWAMP [3]].5 SHRUB OR OLD FIELD [2] RESIDENTIAL, PARK, NEW FIELD [ENCED PASTURE [1] OPEN PASTURE, ROWCROP [0] CHECK ALL that apply TORRENTIAL [-1] SLOW [1] VERY FAST [1] INTERSTITI FAST [1] INTERSTITI FAST [1] DISLOW [1] INTERMITT MODERATE [1] EDDIES [1] Indicate for reach - pools and riffit Iarge enough to support a (Or 2 & average). / RUN SUBSTRATE RIFF e.g., Cobble, Boulder) [2] BLE (e.g., Large Gravel) [1] E (e.g., Fine Gravel, Sand) [0]	2 per bank & average) 2 per bank & average) 4 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
4] BANK EROSION AND RII River right looking downstream EROSION NONE / LITTLE [3] MODERATE [2] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] Comments 5] POOL / GLIDE AND RIFFI MAXIMUM DEPTH Check ONE (ONLY) Check ONE (CHECK) Check ONE (CHECK) Che	PARIAN ZONE Check ONE in RIPARIAN WIDTH WIDE > 50m [4] 9.5 0 0 1 MODERATE 10-50m [3] 0 5 VARROW 5-10m [2] 0 1 VERY NARROW < 5m [1] 0 1 VONE [0] 0 0 0 CE / RUN QUALITY CHANNEL WIDTH Heck ONE (Or 2 & average) WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] 0 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] 0 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] 0 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [1] 0 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] 0 WIDTH > R	each category for EACH BANK (Or FLOOD PLAIN QUALIT OREST, SWAMP [3]).5 SHRUB OR OLD FIELD [2] RESIDENTIAL, PARK, NEW FIELD] ENCED PASTURE [1] DPEN PASTURE, ROWCROP [0] CURRENT VELOCITY Check ALL that apply TORRENTIAL [-1] SLOW [1] VERY FAST [1] INTERSTITI FAST [1] INTERSTITI FAST [1] EDDIES [1] Indicate for reach - pools and riffindicate for reach -	2 per bank & average) 2 per bank & average) 4 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
4] BANK EROSION AND RII River right looking downstream EROSION NONE / LITTLE [3] MODERATE [2] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] HEAVY / SEVERE [1] Comments 5] POOL / GLIDE AND RIFFI MAXIMUM DEPTH Check ONE (ONLY!) Check ONE (ONLY!) Comments Indicate for functional ri of riffle-obligate species RIFFLE DEPTH BEST AREAS > 10cm [2] MAA BEST AREAS > 10cm [2] Comments [metric=0] Comments 3] GRADIENT (ft/mi) DRAINAGE AREA (2, 4 mi ²)	PARIAN ZONE Check ONE in RIPARIAN WIDTH WIDE > 50m [4] 9.5 MODERATE 10-50m [3] 9.5 JARROW 5-10m [2] 9.5 JONNE [0] 9.5 LE / RUN QUALITY 10.5 CHANNEL WIDTH 9.5 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] 9.5 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [1] 9.5 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [0] 9.5 WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [0] 9.5 Image: Check ONE 1.5 Check ONE 2.5 Check ONE 2.5 Check ONE 1.5 Image: Check O	each category for EACH BANK (Or FLOOD PLAIN QUALIT OREST, SWAMP [3]).5 SHRUB OR OLD FIELD [2] RESIDENTIAL, PARK, NEW FIELD] ENCED PASTURE [1] DPEN PASTURE, ROWCROP [0] CURRENT VELOCITY Check ALL that apply TORRENTIAL [-1] SLOW [1] VERY FAST [1] INTERSTITI FAST [1] INTERSTITI FAST [1] EDDIES [1] Indicate for reach - pools and riffindicate for reach -	2 per bank & average) 2 per bank & average) CONSERVATION TILLAGE [URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL [0] URBAN OR INDUSTRIAL [0] Indicate predominant land use(s) past 100m riparian. Riparian Maximum 10 Recreation Potential Primary Contact Secondary Contact Secondary Contact Secondary Contact (circle one and comment on back) Current Maximum 12 Pool / Current Maximum 12 Pool / Current Maximum 12 Pool / Current Maximum 12 Pool / Current Maximum 12 Pool / Current Maximum 12 Pool / Current Maximum 8 %GLIDE: 6 CIFFLE: 10 Current Current Current Maximum 10 Current Current Maximum 10 Current Current Maximum 10 Current Maximum 10 Current Maximum 10 Current Current Current Maximum 10 Current Curent Current Current Current Current Cur



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/13/2019 3:33:22 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-1487-EL-BLN

Summary: Letter of Notification Letter of Notification for Adjustment to Heppner Switch-Lick 138 kV Transmission Line Project. electronically filed by Tanner Wolffram on behalf of AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.