1	THE C	HIO POWER SITING BOARD
2		
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF	
4	TO SITE WIND-POWERED	
5	GENERATION FACILITY COUNTY, OHIO.	IN SENECA
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	* * *	* * * * * * * * *
11		PUBLIC HEARING
12	DATE:	Tuesday, July 23, 2019
13	TIME:	3:00 p.m.
14	PLACE:	Tiffin University Marion Center 235 Miami Street
15		Tiffin, Ohio 44883
16	REPORTER:	KRISTIE L. BIRCH
17	KEI OKTEK.	KKISTIE II. BIKCII
18		
19		
20		SIC REPORTING SERVICE 420 Madison Avenue
21		Suite 1200 Soledo, Ohio 43604
22		419.243.1919 @classicreporting.com
23	depo	ectassic epoterny.com
24		
2.5		

1	APPEARANCES:
2	ON BEHALF OF PUCO:
3	180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573
4	By: GRETA SEE - Administrative Law Judge BY: LAUREN L. AUGOSTINI - Attorney Examiner
5	By: SAM RANDAZZO - Chairman
6	ON BEHALF OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:
7	8995 E. Main Street Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 By: GEORGE MCNAB
9	ON BEHALF OF OHIO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGENCY:
10	77 South High Street
11	29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215
12	By: RACHEL M. NEAR
13	ON BEHALF THE APPLICANT:
14	BRICKER & ECKLER, LLP 100 South Third Street
15	Columbus, Ohio 43215 By: DEVIN D. PARRAM
16	DYLAN F. BORCHERS
17	
18	ON BEHALF OF THE INTERVENORS:
19	91 Golfview Drive Tiffin, Ohio 44883
20	By: STEVE SHUFF
21	
22	* * * * * * * * * * *
23	
24	
25	

1	Tuesday Afternoon Session
2	July 23, 2019
3	3:11 p.m.
4	JUDGE SEE: Good day, all.
5	Can you hear me okay?
6	AUDIENCE: Yes.
7	JUDGE SEE: Okay. The Ohio
8	Power Siting Board has scheduled at public
9	hearing at this time and place, Case No.
10	18-488-EL-BGN, which is entitled in the
11	Matter of Application of Seneca Wind, LLC,
12	for Certificate to Site Wind-Powered
13	Electric Generations facilities in Seneca
14	County, Ohio.
15	My name is Greta See. Seated here to
16	my immediate left is Lauren Augostini and
17	we are the Administrative Law Judges
18	assigned by the Board to hear this case.
19	Joining us today is our Chairman, Chairman
20	of the Public Utilities Commission and
21	Chairman of the Ohio Power Siting Board,
22	Mr. Sam Randazzo.
23	Chairman Randazzo?
24	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: I want to thank
25	you all for being here. This subject is

important to you regardless of which side of the issue you may be on and because it's important to you it's important to us. I look forward to hearing your comments so that we can move on to the next phase of this process. Thank you very much.

JUDGE SEE: Also joining us today are Representative Vitale and Representative Stein. And seated to my right is George McNab of the Department of Agriculture, is a Board member with the Ohio Power Siting Board. And seated on the end to my left is Rachel Near, the designee for Development Services.

CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: I just want to mention that Representative Vitale is also on the Board, he is a legislative member of the Board, and Representative Stein is backup member of the Board. So you've got a pretty good turn out here today relatively speaking. So thanks to the Representatives for taking time out of their day to be here, we know you've been very busy.

JUDGE SEE: Thank you all. In

Also, there's Jay Agranoff, Amanda Weiss,
Jill Coker, and in the lobby Matt Butler.
So if you have a question about the Board's
process or how to access Seneca's
application on our docket, please see any
of these members and they'll help you.

Also, I'd like to note that there are two pending wind applications that effect
Seneca County and I would ask that you make sure that you're in the proper location,
that you're giving the testimony on the case for which you wish to give testimony.
You can look at the maps out in the lobby to be certain, and to help clarify that we'll be asking you what township you live in. Okay?

Prior to offering your testimony you might want to make sure that you get any questions asked about the application from a representative of Seneca Wind as the public testimony taken today is only as to

the Seneca Wind application. Seneca Wind has representatives who are available to answer your questions about the proposed project. Their representatives are just out in the hall and there may be some here in the auditorium and when I take appearances counselors for Seneca Wind can point you to those representatives.

In addition to Board Staff I know that there are a number of parties that have intervened in this case. As of today there are ten intervenors, including Seneca County Commissioners, the Townships of Eden, Venice, Reed and Scipio and Bloom, Seneca East Local School District, Ohio Farm Bureau Federation, Mr. Steve Shuff, Black Swamp Bird Observatory and a group of 66 Seneca County residents who are represented by counsel. Counsel for each of the aforementioned parties will represent the position of its clients or constituents in the case before the Board.

As part of the Board's consideration of Seneca Wind's application the Board will hold two hearings, the first is this local

public hearing, Board members have access to all the information on the docket which includes the transcript of the testimony offered today. As part of its review of the record in this case the Board will consider the issues and concerns raised in the public testimony in its decision. The Board will also conduct and evidentiary hearing which currently is scheduled to commence on August 26, 2019 at the Board's offices in Columbus. At the evidentiary hearing the parties in the case will offer the testimony of their witnesses, present evidence, and cross-examine the witnesses of other parties.

The purpose of today's public hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding Seneca Wind's application to construct wind-powered electric generation facilities. We emphasize that this is your opportunity to let the Board know your opinion on Seneca Wind's application and how you would be affected if the proposed project is constructed. Any questions you have about the project or Seneca Wind's

application should be addressed with a Seneca Wind representatives prior to offering your testimony.

To inform the Board in your own words regarding your thoughts on the proposed project the hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter. So please speak clearly and directly into the microphone so that the court reporter can accurately reflect your testimony. Also, if you have notes that you're referring to, it would be helpful if you left that, your notes or your statement with us after you conclude your testimony so that the court reporter can refer to that and make sure that the transcript is accurate.

Let me backup. If you're represented by an attorney in this case your testimony should be offered at the evidentiary hearing, not at the public hearing. As previously noted there are approximately ten parties who have intervened in the case, that's Seneca Wind's staff, Seneca East Local School District, Eden Township, Venice, Reed, Scipio Townships, Ohio Farm

Bureau Federation, Steve Shuff, Black Swamp
Bird Observatory and the Seneca County
Commissioners.

I would also request that if counsel for any of the parties are present that you come forward and sit in the front row so as to make it easier for the court reporter to transcribe the hearing. As I informed counsel for the various intervenors, I will make the one request whether there's cross for a witness and I will expect the counsel present to affirmatively acknowledge that request as to whether they have any cross.

When you arrived today you were offered the opportunity to sign up to testify. Witnesses will be called forward in the order listed on the sign-in sheet. When your name is called, if you decide that you do not want to testify you can pass and we'll move to the next witness. The presiding Administrative Law Judge will ask each witness to come to the podium. To maximum the number of witnesses that have an opportunity to testify, we will call people forward two at a time. One will be

at the podium, the second will we at the other podium, to use a baseball analogy, on deck, waiting so that immediately after they can start their testimony. You may take the seat reserved next to each podium until you're ready to offer your testimony.

Before you present your testimony one of the presiding Administrative Law

Judge's, Lauren or myself, will ask you to take an oath to affirm that the testimony you are about to give is true and accurate based on your own personal knowledge. You will be asked to state your and spell your name and provide your address for the record. We will also ask what township you reside in. Your testimony will be considered part of the record in this case and is available to be reviewed by the Board in consideration of its decision on this application.

Additionally, counsel for Seneca Wind and counsel for each of the intervening parties who are present, Chairman Randazzo and the presiding Administrative Law Judges may ask you questions about your testimony.

Once you finish testifying or if you 1 2 are just here to observe you may leave at 3 any time. We ask that you leave quietly so 4 as not to disturb the witness and be 5 considerate of others offering testimony. 6 We ask everyone to keep their 7 statements to a reasonable period of time so that as many people as possible have the 8 9 opportunity, that are interested in doing 10 so, can offer testimony. 11 Are there any questions about the 12 process for today's hearing? Okay. With that we'll take 13 14 appearances on the parties for whom counsel 15 is present today. Let's start with the 16 Applicant, on behalf of Seneca Wind? 17 MR. BORCHERS: Thank you, Your 18 Honor. Good afternoon. On behalf of the 19 Applicant, Dylan Borchers and Devin Parram 20 with the law firm of Bricker & Eckler, 100 2.1 South Third Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. 2.2 There are representatives from the 23 company present and I'll just allow them to 24 introduce themselves briefly --25 JUDGE SEE: Yes.

1	MR. BORCHERS: for the group.
2	MR. PAWLOWSKI: Peter Pawlowski
3	with the wind project owned by sPower, Salt
4	Lake City, Utah.
5	MS. CANTE: Bridget Cante with
6	sPower.
7	JUDGE SEE: I'm sorry, I'm
8	sure the people in the back can't hear you.
9	Could you please speak up, please.
10	MS. CANTE: Bridget Cante also
11	with the project sPower, San Francisco,
12	California.
13	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Where was the
14	first guy from?
15	MR. BORCHERS: I'm sorry, sir?
16	MR. PAWLOWSKI: Salt Lake City,
17	Utah.
18	MR. NEIMAN: I'll come over to
19	the mic. Can you hear me? Perfect. My
20	name is Jeffery Neiman, I'm with the Seneca
21	Wind Farm and I work for sPower in Salt
22	Lake City.
23	MR. BORCHERS: And Your Honor, at
24	this time if, with your permission the
25	company has a brief statement it would like

1	to make.
2	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Go ahead.
3	MR. BORCHERS: Thank you, Your
4	Honor.
5	MR. SHUFF: Judge, can I
6	object? You had indicated at a pre-trial
7	that the parties were not going to make any
8	testimony or statements, pre-trial last
9	Wednesday, 9:15.
10	JUDGE SEE: When we discussed,
11	I did require counsel to be prepared to
12	answer questions, we're going to keep it
13	very brief, Mr
14	MR. BORCHERS: Yes, Your Honor,
15	this will be a very brief statement.
16	JUDGE SEE: Because the
17	purpose of tonight's hearing is to give the
18	members of the public an opportunity to
19	give the Board their position on this
20	project, so
21	MR. BORCHERS: Yes, Your Honor,
22	and this statement goes directly to that.
23	JUDGE SEE: Very briefly.
24	MR. BORCHERS: Thank you, Your
25	Honor.

1	
1	Good afternoon. The purpose of the
2	local public hearing is to provide members
3	in the community and the project area an
4	opportunity to share their opinions about
5	the project. To everyone who has taken the
6	time to participate, thank you, the company
7	values your feedback. This is an
8	opportunity for the Board to hear a variety
9	of perspectives. Unfortunately, some
10	perspectives will not be heard. The
11	company has learned from some landowners
12	that they have received a variety of
13	threats concerning their participation in
14	the project and as a result do not feel
15	MR. SHUFF: Your Honor, I
16	object to this.
17	MR. BORCHERS: comfortable
18	participating in
19	MR. SHUFF: This is not
20	proper. Judge, this is not proper. I
21	object to this type of statement. This is
22	a public hearing set forth under the law,
23	the parameters under the law are clear as
24	to what's allowed. This is not allowed.
25	JUDGE SEE: And at this point,

1	Mr. Borchers, that's enough. That's
2	enough.
3	MR. BORCHERS: Yes, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE SEE: Thank you, very
5	much.
6	MR. BORCHERS: Thank you.
7	JUDGE SEE: Counsel Mr.
8	Shuff.
9	MR. SHUFF: I am here, Your
10	Honor, Steve Shuff, representing Steve
11	Shuff. And my address is 91 Golfview
12	Drive, Tiffin, Ohio.
13	JUDGE SEE: Sir?
14	MR. BLALOCK: Joseph Blalock,
15	Benesch, Fiedlander, Coplan & Aronoff,
16	address is 41 South High Street, Suite
17	2600, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. And I'm here
18	on behalf of the local resident
19	intervenors. There are a number of them
20	here, I'm not sure it's necessary to
21	introduce all of them, that would take up,
22	I think, too much of the Board's time so
23	thank you.
24	JUDGE SEE: And I believe
25	that's counsel for the only parties that

1	are present. Is there any other counsel
2	present or representative for an
3	intervenor?
4	With that we'll move to the first
5	witness.
6	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: I apologize in
7	advance if I pronounce anybody's name
8	wrong.
9	First up on deck or first witness
10	is Michael Bertolone and on deck is Michael
11	Curran.
12	Would you raise your right hand,
13	please?
14	* * * * * * * * * *
15	MICHAEL BERTOLONE
16	being of lawful age, was first duly
17	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
18	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
19	hereinafter certified, testified and
20	said as follows:
21	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
22	state and spell your name for the record
23	and your address and township.
24	MR. BERTOLONE: Okay. Michael
25	Bertolone, M-I-C-H-A-E-L,

1	B-E-R-T-O-L-O-N-E. I am a business
2	representative with the Operating Engineers
3	Local Union 18. Our headquarters address
4	is 3515 Prospect Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio,
5	44115. So I don't necessarily live in one
6	of the townships, I'm here on behalf of the
7	operators that I represent.
8	MR. SHUFF: Judge, then I'm
9	going to object. You have made it clear
10	that it was limited to the people in the
11	Seneca Wind district and Seneca County.
12	This person does not live in our county or
13	in Seneca Wind.
14	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Is there a
15	response?
16	MR. BORCHERS: Yes, yes, Your
17	Honor. I believe your instruction was for
18	the witnesses to identify the township in
19	which they reside.
20	JUDGE SEE: And he has done
21	so. I would like to hear what his interest
22	in the project is.
23	MR. BERTOLONE: Thank you, Your
24	Honor.
25	On behalf of the 15,000 plus members

of the Operating Engineers Local Union 18,

I am here today to fully support the Seneca

Wind Farm project being proposed by Seneca

Wind, LLC.

approves the Seneca Wind Farm project this will result in hundreds of jobs for the members of Local 18. When our members are working then our benefits programs continue to thrive. The hundreds of retired operators continue to receive pension checks, our members and our families continue to be covered by our health care coverage and the training program continues to teach our future operators.

Local 18 has been working with sPower and Seneca Wind, LLC representatives since the Seneca Wind project has been proposed. The Operating Engineers who live not only right in Seneca but the surrounding counties will greatly benefit from working on this project. The money earned by our members in wages will in turn be spent in Seneca County and will contribute to an economic impact for the area.

The members of Local 18 are responsible for building projects for all of the energy-related industries to create power for all the households in Ohio.

Energy needs to be created from more than one source, such as nuclear, coal, natural gas, solar and wind to provide enough power for Ohio. We cannot rely on only one source of power and need projects like

Seneca Wind Farm to contribute to our power grid.

As you are all aware, energy projects being proposed are required to obtain all the necessary permits and follow a strict approval process prior to being built.

SPower has been following this process as required as well as going over and beyond in Seneca County to be a good neighbor to those who live here. This project will create value to Seneca County as well as being environmentally beneficial to the State of Ohio.

We encourage the Ohio Power Siting

Board to join Local 18 in supporting the

Seneca Wind Farm project and approve their

1		appl	ication to build the	Seneca Wind Farm.
2		Than	k you.	
3			JUDGE AUGOSTINI:	Thank you.
4			JUDGE SEE:	Any, any
5		cros	s-examination for th	is witness? Mr.
6		Shuf	f?	
7			Mr. Bertolone	
8			MR. BERTOLONE:	Yes?
9			JUDGE SEE:	I'm sorry, I
10		butc	hered your last name	. There's some
11		cros	s for you.	
12			MR. SHUFF:	Would you like me
13		to s	tand or remain seate	d?
14			JUDGE SEE:	I think it's
15		bett	er if you stand so t	he people in the
16		back	can hear you.	
17			MR. SHUFF:	I agree with you.
18		Than	k you.	
19			CROSS-EXAMINATION	
20	BY MR. SH	UFF:		
21	Q	Sir?		
22	А	Yes.		
23	Q	You said	there was Operating	Engineers working
24	with sPow	er current	ly, is that correct?	Did I hear that?
25	A	We, we bu	siness representativ	es have been

```
1
     working with sPower. Our members are the operators out in
 2.
     the field working.
 3
               Okay. So it's not been the operating engineers,
 4
     it's been business managers?
 5
               I am the operating engineers, I represent the
 6
     members so, yes, we have been working with them.
 7
               Okay. Who exactly has been working with them?
          Q
               Myself.
 8
               Just you?
 9
          0
10
               No, we have other business representatives and
11
     organizers that have been working with them.
12
               Any of them live in Seneca County?
1.3
               I don't know the answer to that.
14
               You don't know whether any of the people working
          0
     with sPower from your union --
15
16
               I work --
               -- is working -- lives in Seneca County?
17
18
               We, our membership, we represent the entire
19
     State of Ohio, so, yeah, we have members that live right
20
     in Seneca County.
2.1
               Well, I'm asking request if any of those members
2.2
     have been working with sPower?
23
               It's kind of a double sword there, I mean you've
24
     got operators that are working out in the field on other
```

wind farm projects, this -- sPower doesn't currently

1	having one	e going on right now, so
2	Q	So the answer's no?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	Okay. Do you know of anyone living in Seneca
5	County or	in the Seneca Wind project area that are union
6	members o	f 18?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	How many?
9	А	We have 16,000 members, it would be hard for me
10	to give yo	ou an accurate answer.
11	Q	How many in Seneca County?
12	А	I can get back to you with the answer on that.
13	Q	Thank you.
14		MR. SHUFF: Thank you, Judge.
15		JUDGE SEE: Any other cross
16		for this witness?
17		Thank you, sir.
18		MR. BERTOLONE: Thank you.
19		JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Michael Curran and
20		on deck Paul Dvorak.
21		Please raise your right hand.
22		* * * * * * * * * * *
23		MICHAEL CURRAN
24		being of lawful age, was first duly
25		sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the

1	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
2	hereinafter certified, testified and
3	said as follows:
4	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
5	state and spell your name for the record
6	and the township that you live in.
7	MR. CURRAN: Okay. My name is
8	Captain Michael Curran, M-I-C-H-A-E-L, T
9	for middle initial, C-U-R-R-A-N, U.S. Navy
10	Retired.
11	I would like can everyone hear me
12	all right? Okay.
13	JUDGE SEE: Could you state
14	your
15	MR. CURRAN: Address or what do
16	you need?
17	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Address.
18	MR. CURRAN: Seneca, Seneca
19	Township in Seneca County.
20	JUDGE SEE: And your address,
21	please?
22	MR. CURRAN: 6026 County Road
23	47.
24	JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Go
25	ahead with your testimony.

MR. CURRAN: I would like to thank the Ohio Power Siting Board for allowing me to give my testimony this afternoon concerning some of the potential health effects which quite possibly could be produced by the large sized and numerous wind turbines being proposed for construction in Seneca County.

My name is Captain Michael Curran.

I'm retired from the United States Navy.

While on active duty I was stationed at the Bethesda Naval Hospital, directly across the street from the National Institutes for Health, that is NIH, which is home of the online medical literature service called Pub-Med.

The articles utilized by the wind power companies to minimize concern for the potential harmful effects of infrasound generated by wind turbines on humans referenced articles they said were found in the Pub-Med database. The wind power companies basically claimed that there was no real research which clinically documented harmful health effects of

infrasound on humans or on other living creatures.

To my knowledge none of this research presented by these companies cited research, research performed by the Soviet Union in the 1970s and the 1980s. The Soviet research publications are not available online through Pub-Med. To obtain access to the Soviet research articles an individual must be personally on site at NIH's National Library of Medicine and must have an identity card issued for research at the library. I have a National Library of Medicine research card and have used it to investigate Soviet research findings on site at the library.

In my research at the library I

discovered that there are, in fact, a very
significant collection of Soviet
investigations concerning the damaging
effects of infrasound on laboratory animals
and also on healthy human male subjects.

One particular area of concern was the
adverse effects of infrasound on cardiac
function. These effects included changes

23

24

25

of cardiac rate and rhythm, including arrhythmias. Microscopic inspection of sacrificed laboratory animals' heart tissue showed multiple areas of pathological cell changes. The heart was only one of the many internal organs adversely affected by infrasound studied by the Soviets. Soviet research articles at the library are written in Russian using the Cyrillic alphabet. I have included three of those articles which I have had translated into English, with attached photographs of the journal cover, which has the date stamp when NIH acquired the journal. I have also included photographs of the first page of each article.

Today as I am speaking to you here in Tiffin, Ohio, ongoing clinical research is being performed by the Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery at the University Hospital of Johannes Gutenberg University in Mainz, Germany. After watching a documentary concerning adverse effects of infrasound generated by wind turbines, produced by ZDF television in

Germany, I wanted to meet the researchers at their hospital and ask them more specific questions concerning their testing techniques and their findings.

In January of this year, I flew direct to Frankfurt, Germany and then made the short drive across the Rhine River to

Mainz. Arriving at the office of Professor Christian-Friedrich Vahl, I was introduced to his main researcher on this subject, Dr. Ryan Chaban, who is a cardiothoracic and vascular surgeon on the staff with the hospital. Over two days he enlightened me as to his research materials and methods and the finding of his investigations.

The University Hospital team used two heart tissue samples harvested from human patient undergoing cardiac surgery. One of the samples was used as a control and the other sample was exposed to infrasound.

Samples were exposed to infrasound of 16Hz frequency, at decibel levels of 100, 110 and 120 decibels. No decibel weighting waiting system, such as dB(A) was utilized, since the dB(A) weighting system is used to

measure only sound levels which are audible above 20 hertz frequency. The samples were stimulated electrically with a rate of 75 beats per minute for 120 minutes. The decrease in force of the infrasound exposed cardiac tissue was found to measure almost 7 and a half percent for every 10 decibel increase over the 100 decibel baseline, resulting in an almost 15 percent decrease in heart tissue contraction force at 120 decibels.

Besides the adverse effect on heart function, I asked Dr. Chaban if there could be any cognitive complications that could affect an individual due to decreased heart performance over time. He told me that cardiac induced dementia could very possibly result from the decrease in the heart's ability to circulate an adequate blood supply to the brain.

I questioned him that since the 7 and a half percent force was seen as 110 decibels, would it be possible that contraction force could be decreasing a certain amount between 100 decibels and 110

decibels. He stated that result could potentially occur, but that he could only vouch for the changes demonstrated at his testing points of 110 and 120 decibels.

I also asked Dr. Chaban if there could be effects on heart tissue contractile ability at levels of 100 decibels or less if the exposure of infrasound is extended over years and decades instead of only 120 minutes. He replied that even though it was an interesting question, again he could only confirm the results of his team's testing at 110 and 120 decibel power level.

Prior to his training in medicine and specialization in cardiothoracic and vascular surgery, Dr. Chaban told me his undergraduate education was heavily involved in physics. He explained to me the characteristics of infrasonic acoustic energy where, because of its long, wavelength, infrasound can travel long distances without dissipating its energy level and also has the ability to penetrate into buildings. He also discussed the phenomenon known as Hemholtz Resonance,

whereby infrasound energy can condensed in a closed room, reaching energy levels 100 times greater than the energy level measured outdoors.

I would think that the, I would think that the potential health risks from infrasound identified by the Soviet research in the 20th century and confirmed by the latest ongoing German research in the 21st century would dispel forever the notion of clean, safe, green energy produced by wind turbines. Even the research of the wind turbine companies, that the wind turbine companies use in their support, use to support their construction plans state that most of the sound energy produced by wind turbines is in the low and infrasonic range and that the larger the size of the wind turbines the greater the amount of infrasonic energy produced. The planned wind turbines, being over 600 feet tall, are going to produce a huge amount of infrasonic acoustic energy, externally, unheard but, internally working on internal human organs in a slow,

25

1	ongoing, insidious manner.
2	The Ohio Power Siting Board should
3	not, in good conscious, allow this to
4	happen to the population of Seneca County.
5	Thank you for the courtesy of allowing
6	me to testify to you publicly today on this
7	issue.
8	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Is there any
9	cross?
10	MR. BORCHERS: Your Honor, just
11	for the record, the company wishes to
12	preserve a hearsay objection to the extent
13	that third-party statements would be used
14	for briefs later after the hearing.
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear him.
16	MR. CURRAN: I have, I have
17	copies of the articles and NIH, and I also
18	have the flyer that Dr. Chaban used to
19	present to the 135 Congress, 135th Congress
20	of Surgeons in Munich, Germany this past
21	March.
22	JUDGE SEE: And is that where
23	your testimony came from?
24	MR. CURRAN: Yes.
25	JUDGE SEE: Okay.

1	MR. BORCHERS: Your Honor, we're
2	just requesting, for the record, that the
3	company's not waiving any hearsay objection
4	for the point of briefing.
5	JUDGE SEE: Okay.
6	MR. CURRAN: Can I submit this?
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Yes, please.
8	MR. CURRAN: I also have a
9	separate comment what I believe about the
10	research performed by the wind turbine
11	companies.
12	JUDGE SEE: What you're
13	handing me, is that the study you were
14	referencing?
15	MR. CURRAN: This is, this is
16	my statement, these are the things I was
17	referencing here by Dr. Chaban and Dr.
18	Vahl, and then the rest, three copies of
19	the Russian research, and then this is my
20	opinion as far as the research that was
21	performed by the wind companies.
22	JUDGE SEE: Which one is your
23	notes of your testimony?
24	MR. CURRAN: Right here.
25	JUDGE SEE: Okay.

1	MR. CURRAN: And I would like
2	to submit this, too.
3	JUDGE SEE: Okay.
4	MR. CURRAN: The whole thing.
5	JUDGE SEE: Okay. This is
6	more of what you referenced?
7	MR. CURRAN: That's not the
8	main part, that's my comment on the wind
9	turbine company's research that they used
10	to support the health effects.
11	JUDGE SEE: Did you want this
12	to go into the comment file?
13	MR. CURRAN: Yes.
14	JUDGE SEE: Okay.
15	MR. CURRAN: I want everything
16	to go in the comment file.
17	JUDGE SEE: Well, this is from
18	your testimony, you're going to give this
19	to the court reporter so she has it to
20	reference so that your testimony here
21	tonight is accurate.
22	MR. CURRAN: Okay. Do I give
23	it to her now?
24	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Counsel,
25	was there any cross-examination other than

1	your objection?
2	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: I do have a
3	question.
4	JUDGE SEE: Chairman Randazzo.
5	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Sir, if you would
6	go to the mic, please. Can folks hear me?
7	MR. CURRAN: Yeah.
8	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Captain, first of
9	all, thank you for your service.
10	MR. CURRAN: You're welcome.
11	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Can you give me,
12	you got into some pretty technical stuff,
13	if I can be brief about that, can you give
14	me a little bit of your education
15	background and that sort of thing so we can
16	better understand the frame of reference
17	here?
18	MR. CURRAN: Okay. Well, um,
19	start off, I grew up in Fairview Park,
20	Ohio, graduated from Cleveland State
21	University in 1974, graduated from Case
22	Western Reserve Dental School in 1978, went
23	to the Navy, six, after six years active
24	duty I did a fellowship in prosthodontics
25	at the Navy Hospital in San Diego, I was on

staff there two years after that, and two 1 2 years of a residency in prosthodontics at 3 the Navy Hospital Bethesda, then after that 4 I was at Great Lakes. In between I had 5 served on the U.S.S. Midway Aircraft 6 Carrier in Yokoso, Japan. And once the 7 Navy said they couldn't keep my wife and I co-located, since she was also a Naval 9 officer, I left the active service after 12 10 years and went on staff at the Veterans 11 Administration Hospital in Washington, 12 D.C., as a staff prosthodontist and I was 13 there for, till 19, what, 1990 to 2003. 14 CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Thank you. 15 JUDGE SEE: Thank you, sir. 16 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Next up is Paul 17 Dvorak and on deck is Patrick O'Connor. 18 Please raise your right hand. 19 20 PAUL DVORAK 2.1 being of lawful age, was first duly 2.2 sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the 23 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as 24 hereinafter certified, testified and 25 said as follows:

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please state and spell your name for the record and your address and township.

MR. DVORAK: I am Paul Dvorak, that's P-A-U-L, D-V-O-R-A-K. I live at 20016 Wildwood Lane, Strongsville, Ohio. I want to make three points in favor of the Seneca Wind Farm.

First, of all, the wind is a drought-free crop that is also immune to excess rain. On a road trip across the Midwest in June, we saw mile after mile of wet fields that have not been planted. However, in Illinois and Iowa we also saw many wind farms producing profits for their landowners. I would like to see a similar situation in Ohio.

Second, as with many other communities with wind farms, local school districts will receive more than half the project payments. And with that revenue school districts could reduce or eliminate school fees, fix the roofs, buy new textbooks, add safety and security features, or even build new facilities and without increasing

1		taxes.
2		And lastly, I want to be a customer.
3		I want to buy that wind-generated power.
4		Although FirstEnergy does allows me to do
5		that now, I'm sure the power is coming from
6		Indiana or Michigan. When FirstEnergy
7		closes its nuclear plants I'd like to know
8		that I'm buying power that creates jobs in
9		Ohio. Thank you.
10		JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have
11		cross?
12		MR. SHUFF: Yes.
13		CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. SI	HUFF:
15	Q	Are you a resident of Seneca County?
16	А	I am not.
17	Q	You don't live in Seneca County?
18	А	I do not.
19	Q	Excuse me?
20	А	I do not.
21	Q	Thank you.
22		MR. SHUFF: I have nothing
23		further.
24		JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Would
25		you like to provide the court reporter with

1	your statement.
2	MR. DVORAK: Sure.
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Next up is Patrick
4	O'Connor and on deck is Tom Schock.
5	Please raise your right hand.
6	* * * * * * * * * * * *
7	PATRICK O'CONNOR
8	being of lawful age, was first duly
9	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
10	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
11	hereinafter certified, testified and
12	said as follows:
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
14	state and spell your name for the record
15	and the township and your address.
16	MR. O'CONNOR: My name is Patrick
17	J. O'Connor. O'Connor is spelled O
18	apostrophe C-O-N-N-O-R. My address is
19	19001 East Shoreland Avenue, Rocky River,
20	Ohio.
21	The local economy will benefit from
22	Seneca Wind. \$56 million in tax revenues
23	will go to schools, townships, Seneca
24	County and the State over the life span of
25	the project.

Farmers in Seneca County are hurting because of the massive spring rainfall that kept them from planting and because of high tariffs from the trade dispute between the United States and China. This project offers an opportunity for a new revenue source during a difficult time.

2.

2.1

2.2

Three school districts will receive more than half of the project payments.

With that new revenue they could reduce or eliminate school fees, add safety and security features or even build new facilities.

Wind, wind energy is one of the lowest-priced renewable energy technologies available today and its costs continue to decrease.

Wind energy is a clean fuel source that doesn't pollute the air or contribute to global warming.

We need to invest in clean, renewable energy sources for the sake of our children and future generations.

I'd like to quote from the recent edition of the National Wildlife Magazine,

quote, Most conservationists agree that 1 2. climate change is the greatest long-term 3 threat to birds and other wildlife. Many 4 also view wind power as a, as one of the 5 key renewable energies that could replace 6 our fossil fuel-dominated energy systems. 7 My last quote is from Jim Murphy who is a renewable energy specialist with the 8 9 National Wildlife Foundation and co-author 10 of a January 2019 report entitled 11 Responsible Wind Power and Wildlife. Quote, we should not sacrifice wildlife to 12 13 poor implementation from wind power. can build out wind at the scale and pace we 14 15 need without causing undue harm, unquote. I am confident that Seneca Wind will 16 fulfill these goals. And for this reason I 17 18 ask the Siting Board to grant their 19 application to build Seneca Wind. Thank 20 you. 2.1 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have 2.2 cross? 23 MR. SHUFF: I hate to do this 24 but the pre-trial said that we were 25 supposed to go with Seneca County residents

1		or if they had a business in Seneca County.
2		CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. SHU	JFF:
4	Q	Do you have a business in Seneca County?
5	А	Counselor, the invitation I received did not
6	stipulate	that the
7	Q	I understand what you're saying.
8	А	that the hearing, that the hearing would not
9	hear from	
10	Q	And where did you receive that?
11	А	I received it from the Siting Board.
12	Q	Okay.
13	А	I was on an e-mail list requesting information.
14	Q	You received an e-mail?
15	А	I believe it was an e-mail that announced the,
16	this hear	ing.
17	Q	Are you a resident of Seneca County?
18	А	I am not.
19	Q	Do you have a business in Seneca County?
20	А	I do not.
21		MR. SHUFF: Your Honor, at
22		this time I'm going to object and move to
23		strike, and here's why, I could bring in 30
24		to 50 people from all over the State of
25		Ohio, from Columbus, from Lima, from

1	Sandusky
2	MR. O'CONNOR: This is an issue
3	that goes beyond the geography
4	JUDGE SEE: Sir
5	MR. O'CONNOR: of this
6	immediate area.
7	JUDGE SEE: Sir, sir, just a
8	minute. You've had your opportunity to
9	testify, let me hear counsel's objection.
10	MR. SHUFF: I could have
11	brought in many people to testify on the
12	adverse effects of wind turbines, but it
13	was clear at our pre-trial with counsel and
14	the intervening parties last Wednesday that
15	it was going to be limited to Seneca County
16	and Seneca Wind. Now we have people from
17	various places in Ohio, they're coming here
18	testify regarding Seneca Wind. I'm going
19	to object and move it be stricken. Thank
20	you.
21	JUDGE SEE: Any response?
22	MR. O'CONNOR: Yes, I have a
23	response.
24	JUDGE SEE: I'm sorry, sir,
25	I'm talking to counsel, hold on for just a

1	second.
2	MR. O'CONNOR: Okay.
3	MR. BORCHERS: Briefly, Your
4	Honor, counsel noted that on the record
5	that the witness is not a resident and that
6	issue can be addressed in brief.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Just speak up,
8	they ain't going to recognize you.
9	JUDGE SEE: Counsel, counsel's
10	objection is noted and we will take the
11	issue up after the conclusion of the
12	hearing.
13	MS. BERG: May I ask a
14	question?
15	JUDGE SEE: Ma'am?
16	MS. BERG: May I ask a
17	question?
18	JUDGE SEE: Of whom?
19	MS. BERG: Of the speaker.
20	JUDGE SEE: That is reserved
21	for counsel representing various parties.
22	You certainly may approach the gentleman
23	after he walks down but
24	MS. BERG: May I make a
25	statement to you then?

j	
1	JUDGE SEE: Thank you, sir.
2	MR. O'CONNOR: You're welcome.
3	MS. BERG: May I make a
4	statement then?
5	JUDGE SEE: Are you signed up
6	to offer testimony?
7	MS. BERG: Yes.
8	JUDGE SEE: Can you reserve it
9	until that time, please?
10	MS. BERG: Well, this is the
11	opportune time, he misquoted something.
12	JUDGE SEE: I would ask that
13	you correct that when come forward and
14	refer to Mr. O'Connor's testimony
15	MS. BERG: All right.
16	JUDGE SEE: please. Thank
17	you.
18	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Our next witness
19	is Tom Schock and on deck is Casey, and I
20	know I'm going to mispronounce the name
21	correctly, Didion.
22	MR. DIDION: Close enough.
23	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
24	JUDGE SEE: How is it
25	pronounced?

1	MR. DIDION: Didion.
2	JUDGE SEE: Didion, thank you.
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please raise your
4	right hand.
5	* * * * * * * * * * *
6	TOM SCHOCK
7	being of lawful age, was first duly
8	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
9	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
10	hereinafter certified, testified and
11	said as follows:
12	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
13	state and spell your name for the record
14	and your address and township.
15	MR. SCHOCK: My name is Tom
16	Schock, last name is spelled S-C-H-O-C-K.
17	My address is 3941 Fielding Drive, North
18	Olmsted, Ohio, Cuyahoga County. I do not
19	live in Seneca County. I was born in
20	Seneca County many years ago then moved
21	away because my parents basically couldn't
22	get a job, couldn't make a living here
23	because of the economic conditions and
24	that's what my testimony is on.
25	I just wanted to say a few words about

the potential Seneca Wind has to generate significant jobs for the community, both direct jobs in the construction and maintenance of the turbines and indirect jobs in all the related components of a wind farm. Ohio is lead the way in wind technology, from the world's first wind turbine that automatically generated electricity built by Charles Brush in 1888, to the development of wind turbine technology by NASA in the 1970s and 80s. Ohio has a strong wind resource which can provide a lot of jobs, tax revenue and lease payments to farmers. It's important to take advantage of this resource.

Ohio's has more factories making parts for the wind industry than any other states. New jobs will be created in manufacturing, construction, research and maintenance. Currently, wind technician is one of the fastest growing jobs in the United States. These are all jobs that cannot be outsourced and pay very well.

Technological advances have resulted in two-thirds drop in wind production costs

since 2009 with economies of scale expected to further lower costs. Unsubsidized wind is one of the cheapest forms of energy to produce. Companies are buying 100 percent wind because it's affordable and reliable. Companies like Amazon and General Motors are making decisions on where to build their data centers and manufacturing facilities based upon where wind power is available.

Finally, there is the issue of climate change. Its is well documented that more carbon holds the sun's energy close to the earth. This added energy increases evaporation on the Great Lakes, which brings more rain and intense seat. For the sake of our children and grandchildren we must transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy. Seneca Wind will help make that change. Because of these facts I am confident the wind energy will be a driver for the economy in terms of Seneca — the wind energies will be a driver in terms of jobs created and new revenue for farmers, schools and local

1	government. Seneca Wind will help Ohio
2	gain a clean, renewable energy. Thank you.
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Any questions?
4	JUDGE SEE: Does counsel have
5	cross?
6	MR. SHUFF: I'm just going to
7	object for the record and move to strike
8	for the same reasons as before.
9	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Duly noted. Thank
10	you.
11	Please provide your is there any
12	response?
13	MR. BORCHERS: Same response as
14	before, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
16	Please provide your comments to the
17	court reporter.
18	MR. SCHOCK: Okay.
19	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
20	How do you pronounce your last name
21	again?
22	MR. DIDION: Didion.
23	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Didion. Thank
24	you. Casey Didion is up now and Jennine
25	Reinhart-Kramer is on deck.

1	Please raise your right hand.
2	* * * * * * * * * *
3	CASEY DIDION
4	being of lawful age, was first duly
5	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
6	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
7	hereinafter certified, testified and
8	said as follows:
9	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
10	state your spell your name for the record
11	
12	MR. DIDION: Casey, C-A-S-E-Y,
13	Didion, D-I-D-I-O-N.
14	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: And your address
15	and township?
16	MR. DIDION: 6040 County Line
17	Road 113, Bellevue, Ohio.
18	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. You
19	may proceed.
20	MR. DIDION: Okay. I'm here to
21	talk on these windmills being sited on
22	karst areas surrounding the Bellevue,
23	Seneca, Sandusky County area. I'm sure a
24	lot of people in this room are familiar
25	with Seneca Caverns, that is essentially a

25

big sinkhole. These areas are loaded with sinkholes and these sinkholes take the ground water that rolls into them and what is it is we have limestone and dolomite under, underground structure that supports the soil. Well, when they start drilling these big bases for these multiple, these 600-foot turbines they're going to be disturbing a lot of this ground rock. problem with it being is they can, drill they can do soil borings 2, 3 foot away from these unknown karsts and that water will continue to run through that karst and dissolve that limestone, setting up a subsistence or a collapsing potential of these. That water is feeding my wells and a lot of those people that are in Seneca County, a lot of their well water could be contaminated. One turbine can have 500 to 600 gallons of oil, lubricating oil in it, that wouldn't take a whole lot to get into our water system if one of them does subside.

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources was concerned about the future

planning for Ohio so their Ohio geological 1 2. survey produced a map during the fall of 3 2001 and all the way through 2013, they 4 went out and physically located these 5 karsts and so forth and identified them. 6 And I don't think the windmill and looking 7 at all of their charts and so both and getting with it I can't find information 8 9 that detailed how these karsts are being 10 dealt with. The brochure that I will be 11 handing to the court reporter when I'm done 12 with this that I'm reading off of is 13 produced actually by the Ohio Department of 14 Natural Resources and it shows in there 15 identified karsts today, probably karsts 16 and so forth, and it goes on and I included 17 all of it because this homework's already 18 been done by other own Ohio Department of 19 Resources and it's produced by them 20 because, for future planning of building 2.1 such structures and so forth. 2.2 The potential for catastrophic 23 collapse on these things is huge and the 24 cap that's leaking oil and stuff right to 25 my groundwater and destroying that flow of

that groundwater to the lake as far as that's concerned.

Sinkholes can form in a matter of months. This one on the cover page here talks about how it formed in eight months, it opened up. These things can develop and they can be as big as a tree or they can be as big as a, a city. The back page of this brochure I got, I wish I could do a presentation of it, it shows one that took seven city blocks down in Florida and they had all kinds of businesses built on top of it and geological designs and so forth.

I have been in contact with the OS,

OPSB and voiced these concerns

approximately a year ago. My reply from

them is that the applicant must describe

the suitability of the site geology and the

plans to remedy any inadequacies. I don't

know how you're going to remedy any

inadequacies of a, essentially a limestone

sponge that every time we get these rains,

and we've had a slew of them this spring

and so forth, that water makes its way to

the Great Lakes from Seneca County through

these, they dissolve that dolomite and that limestone making the sponge less and less supportive. Again, you could drill right beside that, a foot away from it with the soil boring and not know that that is in existence there.

The biggest and most feared karsts
hazard is a sinkhole. It goes without
saying. The Ohio Department of Natural
Resources does the homework on it and warns
about all these catastrophic things.

The red circles on this indicate where these karsts are located at. I wish I could put this on a screen and I wish everybody could see it. There's hundreds and hundreds of these karsts that are located and by our own Department of Natural Resources. That they would allow this to happen would be just catastrophic. I would like to present this copy that I'm reading from to the court reporter after I'm done here.

Turbines should not be built anywhere here these karsts areas. It's just not acceptable. Thank you for your --

2.

1.3

1	listening.
2	JUDGE SEE: Any
3	cross-examination for this witness?
4	MR. BORCHERS: Your Honor, just a
5	clarification question.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BORCHERS:
8	Q Sir, I'm sorry, I missed your address, could you
9	repeat that for me?
10	A 6040 County Line Road. It's a Seneca Sandusky
11	County Line Road I live on.
12	MR. BORCHERS: Thank you.
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Ms.
14	Reinhart-Kramer and on deck is Dennis
15	Schreiner.
16	Please raise your right hand.
17	* * * * * * * * * * * *
18	JENNINE REINHART-KRAMER
19	being of lawful age, was first duly
20	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
21	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
22	hereinafter certified, testified and
23	said as follows:
24	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
25	state and spell your name for the record

and your address and township. 1 2. MS. REINHART-KRAMER: Jennine 3 Reinhart-Kramer, J-E-N-N-I-N-E, 4 R-E-I-N-H-A-R-T hyphen K-R-A-M-E-R. 5 believe 55 Spring Lane, Tiffin, Ohio. 6 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: And what township? 7 MS. REINHART-KRAMER: Well, it's in City of Tiffin. 8 9 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Okay. Thank you. 10 Please proceed. 11 MS. REINHART-KRAMER: My name is Jennine Kramer and I am a lifelong resident 12 13 of Seneca County. I lived in rural Seneca 14 County for the first 32 years of my life and in the City of Tiffin for the last 28 15 16 years. I recently retired after a 37-year 17 career in education. The first five of 18 those years was as a music educator and the 19 last 32 have been teaching children with 20 developmental delays with the majority of 2.1 my students on the autism spectrum. Though 2.2 I could give you a long list of why Seneca 23 County is not a good fit for wind turbines, 24 I am going to stick to my area of 25 expertise, the autism population.

25

Fifty years ago my little sister, Kelly, was born and it was almost immediately known that she had Down's Syndrome. What we didn't know at the time was that her primary disability was autism. I had never even heard of autism until many years later so as she was growing up I had no ideas that the issues she was dealing with were actually sensory issues. I remember the days of trying to take her through a mall and having to carry her with her head buried into my chest and her ears covered, trying to take her to a sporting events which would totally overwhelm here, attending a circus as a student which would become a traumatic experience, the number of times I felt her trembling in my arms and at the time not knowing what she was actually experiencing was severe sensory issues from environmental input that I didn't even realize existed.

In 2018 the CDC, Centers for Disease

Control, determined that approximately 1 in

59 children is diagnosed with an autism

spectrum disorder. Sensory issues and

noise sensitivity have always been a part of the experience of autism, but only became a recognized part of the diagnosis with the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, the DSM, of the American Psychiatric Association in 2013. The DSM-5 identifies hyper- or hypo-reactivity to sensory input unusual interest in sensory aspects of the environment, including indifference sensory-seeking and adversary responses to specific sounds, textures, smells and lights or movement. People with autism can be both over-sensitive and under-sensitive, as well as either seeking out or avoiding sensory stimulation.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Children who experience noise and unpleasant sensation, or even as physical pain, develop defensive reactions, such as covering their ears and avoidant reactions, seeking only activities and places without excessive noise. People with autism frequently mention a dislike because of percussive sounds, changing or unexpected sounds and specific, intense frequencies

such as strip lighting or the hum in computer fans and refrigerators.

I come before you today to beg you to protect my sister, my grandchildren, which two of them are also on the autism spectrum, my entire family, my former students, the entire autism population and my community. I have lived my life supporting and advocating for individuals with autism. I have watched children literally bang their heads wide open, bite their hands until they bleed, become extremely physically aggressive, retreat into isolation to attempt to block out everything to block out -- I'm sorry, everything out, and many more extremely negative reactions to sensory input. Often it's difficult to figure out what the triggers are and until you do, and if you do, the negative impact on it, it has on children is horrendous. As a teacher we work to teach them coping skills and try to desensitize the intense effects of the input they are receiving. Though you may have success with this, the frequency and

duration of the exposure is often very limited.

How anyone could not have done their homework to understand these effects on this population and propose we erect wind turbines outside of their homes is beyond me. In my career, I was a mandated reporter when it came to any knowledge of neglect and abuse. Well, I'm reporting that to you here today that this is neglect and abuse to anyone who will experience adverse effects to anything connected to the wind turbines, including light flicker, flashing lights, infrasound and many more. I will hold anyone who promotes and approves the wind turbines personally responsible for any health effects experienced by these individuals. From my experience and expertise in this field I can stand here and tell you it is not a question as to whether there will be a negative effect, it is a question of how many and how severe the effects will be, making the approval of wind turbines both neglectful and abusive.

1	I would like to thank you now for
2	stopping this catastrophe from happening
3	and keeping Seneca County a safe and
4	healthy place to live. Thank you.
5	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have
6	any cross?
7	MR. BORCHERS: No cross, Your
8	Honor, but just to reiterate our objection
9	to the extent that third-party statements
10	are used for briefing after the
11	adjudicatory hearing, for efficiency we'll
12	go ahead and just make that a standing
13	objection so I'm not making the objection
14	after every speaker.
15	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Duly
16	noted.
17	Is there a response?
18	MR. SHUFF: (Indicating.)
19	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Mr. Schreiner is
20	up next and on deck is Jeanette Cope.
21	Please raise your right hand.
22	* * * * * * * * * * *
23	DENNIS SCHREINER
24	being of lawful age, was first duly
25	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the

whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
hereinafter certified, testified and
said as follows:

JUDGE AUGOSTINI:

State and spell your name for the record and your address and township.

MR. SCHREINER: Yes. My name is

Dennis Schreiner. My address is 8403 State

Route 99, Sandusky, Ohio. I am a resident

of Groton Township in Erie County.

I grew up in Seneca County and that's why I'm here to provide some testimony.

I'm also within the cumulative viewshed analysis of the Seneca Wind project. When the issue to report, I am in viewing distance of turbines that will be sited down here.

My comments will primarily address reduction in margins of safety. Due to a lack of local control under current Ohio law, I'm going to offer testimony showing the need for the Ohio Power Siting Board to place public safety of immediately affected residents as a top priority when considering projects such as these. It

should be incumbent upon the wind developer to prove their equipment is safe, it isn't up to me to prove that it's unsafe.

The Ohio Power Siting Board is charged in part with promulgating regulations to insure safety of all Ohioans.

One of the reductions in safety is a personal safety due to accidents and it will be called a reduction in the margin of safety due to setbacks. Setbacks should be established so as to minimize any increased risk in an undesirable event due to the proposed industrial generating facilities. For example, there have been actual three blade separation events here in Ohio, they started as early as 2012 and have gone through 2018. We have had fragments separate from turbines here in Ohio that exceeded the setback distances, and in one case which occurred in Paulding County, the wind developer in their application said, even though they didn't have an analysis showing what the blade shear or the throw distance from a blade shear event would be, they were absolutely certain that the

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

setbacks of 505 feet and I think it was
400, 420 feet, would provide adequate
protection. And in the, in the accident
that occurred there they had particles that
were thrown 764 feet, 1158 feet and
1561 feet. Those are documented in their
accident reports.

Since then they've kind of learned a lesson, the event that occurred in Hog Creek speculation on high wind, there wasn't a report issued because in the words of the developer, reporting is not required in the state. And then in August 26, 2018, a 10-foot long section broke and traveled 825 feet. Safety systems failed, the turbine continued to rotate for ten minutes until it actually separated with the centrifugal force.

It is a matter of public safety and property rights that industrial wind turbines be no closer than a manufacturer required 500 meters or 1640 feet or the calculated distance from a credible blade throw analysis. Don't let Ohio become the laughing stock of the nation and the world

because virtually everybody is increasing their setbacks now because of the effects of blade shear and noise, especially the low frequency noise, setback distances of a mile or more are now the norm. I know in the last year no one that I'm aware of, and I am aware of a lot of information, no one is reducing their setbacks.

The second reduction is the margin of safety in our ecology. The extreme disruption of the subsoil, as specified by the gentleman earlier, in and around the karsts features alone should give one reason to pause and ask what controls are going to be in place to predict the Seneca County drinking party. There is also a concern with the dissolution of carbonate rock, as he explained, we have slightly acidic water here and when it goes through that carbonate rock is dissolves it making it very, very fragile and easy to fracture. They've had dye penetrant tests done where they interject a dye significantly far south of here and it showed up at Kelley's Island, so the karsts features here do

25

1

2.

transport water for long distances, long distances in the State of Ohio. This is a karsts area that's unlike any other in the United States, yet the wind developers think that our karst area here is just like everyplace else.

Also in your Staff report, by the way,
I commend you for the Ohio Power Siting
Board's Staff report, I did read through
it, and in that Staff report there are
numerous that developer should, the
applicant would do certain activities to
protect this environment. It put a lot of
onerous on them doing that without any
really rules or regulations. So I would
urge that if you assign an independent
third party review to be conducted by
persons agreeable to both the State of Ohio
and the development if you do so choose to
move this project forward.

There will also be a reduction in the level of safety provided to preserve and protect our wildlife. The Seneca Wind project is within the range of the federal and state endangered Indiana bat and

long-eared bat. In order to shorten it up, it was specified in your report, the Staff says the controls that sPower plants put with respect to protection of our wildlife are inadequate and need additional attention. I believe you should provide some kind of enforcement if they exceed their bat kills or wildlife impact because right now it just looks like that's just a slap on the wrist.

One of the other things is we have a reduction in the margin of political sustainability. Ohio law removed local control over the siting of green energy projects in land that is zoned primarily for residential and agriculture use by bypassing local zoning procedures for facilities that would be under your control, the Ohio Power Siting Board. The short sightedness of preventing local control has divided our communities. The Ohio Chamber of Commerce states that local referendum, which means the local people having a voice, sets a dangerous

23

24

precedence. In this age of information continually telling people that their opinions do not matter, they do not deserve a seat at the decision-making table will be politically unsustainable. Politicians are elected to serve the people. The elections and referendum will allow people to, a voice with their vote.

Other things that I, I wanted to talk about but didn't want to take up so much time, other reductions in margin of safety, the intermittent wind generation disrupts the entire electrical grid. And I know you're familiar with the study done by MIT that shows at certain market penetrations wind power becomes a disruptive source to the grid. Hazards exist with industrial wind turbines such as the 450-plus gallons of lubricating oil that's in the unit that often leaks. With the current setbacks this generates a road safety issue in Ohio. The margin of safety is reduced for Emergency Medical Services and other emergency services because these wind turbines interfere with Life Flight,

24

weather radar and the reception of 1 2. emergency broadcasts and other radio 3 signals. Lacking industrial wind turbine 4 incident reporting criteria in rule making 5 is also something that exposes the public 6 to incidences and accidents that occur with 7 wind turbines. Turbine failures are not 8 rare occurrences, each turbine fails on the 9 average of once per year. Let that sink 10 One turbine failure per year. 11 failure is when the turbine has to stop or 12 is stopped and can't be restarted until 13 they work on it. So these things don't 14 just endlessly operate without any problem. 15 The other things, there have been 3800 16 blade failures in recent history. And 17 generally if you take a look at that, one in 20 turbines will have a blade throw 18 19 event in its lifetime. 20 I think that the responsible siting of 2.1 wind turbines would preclude Seneca County 2.2 being a host county. Therefore, I 23 recommend denial of this project for the 24 reasons I've stated above. Thank you. 25 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have

1	any cross?
2	MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Can
4	you please provide your comments to the
5	court reporter?
6	MR. SCHREINER: You bet.
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Ms.
8	Cope is up next and on deck is Jim
9	Schumacher.
10	Please raise your right hand.
11	* * * * * * * * * * *
12	JEANETTE COPE
13	being of lawful age, was first duly
14	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
15	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
16	hereinafter certified, testified and
17	said as follows:
18	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Can
19	you please state and spell your name for
20	the record and your address and township?
21	MS. COPE: It's Jeanette
22	Cope, J-E-A-N-E-T-T-E, C-O-P-E. And I own
23	a farm in Scipio in Seneca County. I no
24	longer live there but I did reside there.
25	My husband and I now travel between three

states to babysit our grandchildren. My current address is next door in Wyandot County.

I am here because I have children and grandchildren who will be alive 50 to 55 years from now when from all experts' opinions we will run out of oil. So we need to begin now using alternate energy sources, including wind, solar geothermal. I am not here to preach because I believe that I lead by example. Our 1870's home is heated with geothermal and we use solar cells for your backup generator. We are currently in the process of fixing our old windmill, our old wind turbine, to pump water to our barns, our home and our business as it did for many years in previous generations.

I believe that one person can make a difference in the world and that is why I am here, hopefully to make a difference that will allow the wind turbines to be installed to assist with our energy consumption. I believe in wind energy. On our travels we have witnessed it and seen

1	many wind turbines in industrialized
2	countries, such as Germany and Israel.
3	It's if good enough for them, it certainly
4	ought to be good enough for us. Other
5	items such as the benefits to the schools,
6	to the local economy are just what I
7	consider pluses.
8	I believe that the wind energy will
9	help us for now and for future generations
10	to come. Thank you.
11	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have
12	any cross?
13	MR. SHUFF: (Indicating.)
14	Can you please provide your comments
15	to the court reporter?
16	MS. COPE: My what?
17	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Your comments.
18	MS. COPE: Oh, I just
19	scribbled on a sheet that I actually have
20	to have for the doctor.
21	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: That's okay.
22	Thank you.
23	Mr. Schumacher is next and on deck we
24	have Paul Jones.
25	Please raise your right hand.

1 2. JAMES SCHUMACHER 3 being of lawful age, was first duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the 4 5 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as 6 hereinafter certified, testified and 7 said as follows: 8 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please 9 state and spell your name and address and 10 township. 11 MR. SCHUMACHER: My name is James Schumacher, S-C-H-U-M-A-C-H-E-R. I live at 12 16094 East Township Road 104, Venice 13 14 Township, Seneca County. 15 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please 16 proceed. 17 MR. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. name is Jim Schumacher. I'm a resident of 18 19 Venice Township and within the proposed 20 Seneca Wind project. My family has been a 2.1 member of this community for over 57 years. 2.2 I have been involved in farming all my 23 life. I strongly support the Seneca Wind 24 project. 25 The project will help provide economic boost to our area, especially our schools.

Our schools will get much-needed funding to continually improve the education for our students. These students are our future.

We should give them what is needed for them to excel in the world today. This economic boost to our schools will also help relieve the financial burden that the property owners are asked to bear. This is a win win for everyone.

This project will provide clean energy and diversify our electric energy needs.

We cannot just depend on energy sources of the past. We have a natural resource of abundant wind in this area and we should use this resource for help in our electric energy needs for the future.

Many people in the area will benefit with jobs during construction and operation of the Seneca Wind project. These are jobs that will help many families and businesses.

This project will produce clean energy that does not pollute the air or contribute to global warming. We need all -- we all

1	need to protect the environment for the		
2	future generations. Thank you.		
3		JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.	
4	Does counsel have cross?		
5		MR. SHUFF: Cross.	
6		JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Go ahead.	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
8	BY MR. SHUFF:		
9	Q	Are you a lease holder, Mr. Schumacher?	
10	А	Yes, I am.	
11	Q	Thank you.	
12		MR. SHUFF: That's all I have.	
13		JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Will you please	
14	provide your comments to the court		
15	reporter?		
16	Paul Jones is next and on deck, Jim		
17	Feasel.		
18	Please raise your right hand.		
19	* * * * * * * * * *		
20	PAUL JONES		
21	being of lawful age, was first duly		
22	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the		
23	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as		
24	hereinafter certified, testified and		
25	said as follows:		

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please 1 2 state and spell your name for the record 3 and your address and township. 4 MR. JONES: My name is Paul 5 Jones, P-A-U-L, middle initial E, 6 J-O-N-E-S. I live in Scipio Township in 7 the Village of Republic just outside. Okay? 8 9 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please 10 proceed. 11 MR. JONES: Yes. I first have 12 to apologize, I read the newspaper and 1.3 being a retired English teacher I thought the meeting was from 3:00 to 8:00 and I was 14 15 the only speaker, so I have overprepared 16 for this. And I just want you to know, 17 ladies and gentlemen, that I do appreciate 18 the opportunity to speak here today, but I 19 must preface anything I say with these 20 facts, I am not an expert on wind turbines, 2.1 solar energy, fossil fuel, nor nuclear 2.2 energy; however, I am the luckiest man 23 alive. Later I will answer questions as to 24 why I consider myself so lucky, but I will 25 plead the 5th on any science-related

questions.

My knowledges comes from my ability to google. I can google and tell you the color of Joy Behar's blouse on July the 4th, 2018. God help the individual who would ever need to know that. I am a simple man who shops at Dollar General and eats sausage gravy and biscuits at McDonald's.

I do have some major concerns about issues that brings us together here today. My concerns are forged with common sense and experience and compassion for those who live in my neighborhood. I understand the positive elements that wind energy brings to our neighborhood, but I believe the negatives far outweigh those positives. I would — common sense has taught me that when somebody offers me something for nothing, he or she gets the something and I get the nothing. 75 years of experience has proven that to me.

My base for my attitude and beliefs were forged about two years ago. I was speaking to a history class of junior high

2.

students. After the class ended an articulate young man asked me the following: What is your take on wind energy? I thought renewable energy, domestic source, no pollution. I think it's very good. On my way home I realized I responded without knowing or. Googling. My mistake.

My first step toward understanding
what my community was battling for took me
to a County Commissioner's meeting. I was
taken aback by the credentials of a young
man who graduated from Yale Law School. I
was impressed by the way he dressed, by his
manner and by his speaking knowledge. I
thought there must be big bucks involved
bringing this guy to Seneca County. I just
did not realize the level that I spoke.

I want to talk to you about Van Wert school system, and I got this from Google, it's online. I haven't been to Van Wert in a few years. Van Wert, the largest taxpayer in their county is a wind farm. That's correct. In a sense. Iberdrola, owner of the industrial wind turbine site

that stretches across two counties does not pay taxes. The \$400,000 is payment in lieu of taxes. Iberdrola receives \$170 million in taxpayer dollars for that one project in Van Wert County. They are a Spanish-owned company and they claim title to the largest recipient of federal grants and our tax credits at over \$2 billion. Yes, you heard me correctly, over \$2 billion. Now, the above figures total, \$2,342,641,752. And Van Wert gets 400,000 a year. I think that approximately 5,856 years the taxpayers and citizens of Ohio will break even.

Let me tell you about another school system, a smaller school system in Northwest Ohio, they installed a wind turbine 120 feet tall, now ours are going to be three times that high, and it cost \$1.1 million. They named it for the superintendent who initiated the Federal grants and the tax dollars and secured them to their school. They estimated that it saved them 40 percent on their monthly electric bill. Now, let's assume, they're a small school system, that they pay \$800,

CLASSIC REPORTING SERVICE 419.243.1919

and that's a very high estimate in my opinion. Again, I state I'm only a google expert. Now, if that is the case and it saves them \$320 a month, then it's only going to take 286 and a half years to recover the tax investment that taxpayers and Federal grants secured. Why not, why not just give them the, the \$102 million? I have no idea. Again, I want to make sure that you understand, I'm not a mathematician, I was an English teacher, but I did use a calculator when I brought that article up on Google.

An earlier speaker spoke about an autistic child. You substituted a few years ago and I had this young autistic lady, this little girl, in class and, indeed, the flickering did cause her to have a seizure. Now, her attendant calmed her down in a little bit. And I'm recalling this with a friend of mine who is a hard-working farmer, but is he, obviously, would have a yes sign right there where I have my no, and over a cup of coffee I explained this to him and he

replied, all she has to do is pull down her window shade. Now, that's not a path that I choose to take. I will not walk that path. So I responded to him, I'm looking out my window at my neighbors' house, they're on vacation and I see somebody breaking in. Do I just pull my window shade down? That's the path that you suggested. We have not had a cup of coffee since that morning.

I have a list of rhetorical questions, that means my questions I don't know the answers. And it goes like this: Where will my neighbor drink when her well runs dry? How far will the blasting of bedrock be heard and felt? Where will the pigeons roost when they have no home? Will the warblers choose another migratory route? Will Magee Marsh still be a bird sanctuary? Will people come from afar to photograph swarms of gnats? How many tons of cement to build one base? Who will pay for the roads to carry cement trucks? Who sweeps owl and bat carcasses from the cold cement? Will the farmer's grandchildren develop

health issues? Who will cover the eyes and ears of autistic children? Where will the diary farmer move his herd? Will the science teach still experiment with no studies? What happens to the blades when they no longer turn? Will a 660-foot steel structure be high enough? Will the small homeowner see his life investment depreciate? These questions will be answered in the future, for time has them locked in the vault and the key will open our grandchildren's future. We do not know those answers.

I will close with something that I wrote after I had someone come to my door a few years ago and I call it they had a big business plan, and it goes like this. A big business plan. Yesterday I had a visit from a man with a big business plan to harvest the wind my neighbor's land. He was armed with a promise to bring to my hand great wealth and happiness so stated the man with the big business plan. He carried documents that stated no Eagles did fly where his turbine structures would

reach to the sky. Today I search for the 1 2. butterfly that my children used to chase in the shadow of a 400-foot base. I look for 3 the field where corn used to grow and 4 5 listen for the noisy caw of the crow. hear instead the hum of the turbine brought 6 7 to my neighbor's land by the man with the 8 big business plan. Tomorrow, I will search 9 for the man who invaded my land with a big 10 business plan. Alas, he cannot be found 11 for he lives not where he sold his plan. I 12 remember my neighbor and how happy we were 1.3 before the man with the big business plan arrived at my door. If we listen to the 14 15 man and we harvest the wind we will never 16 restore before. 17 I close with this: Wind energy is not 18 considered to be reliable, it's a 19 fluctuating source of energy. It does have 20

considered to be reliable, it's a fluctuating source of energy. It does have it's good points, it's not polluting, it's renewable. I simply feel that more scientific research should be done before we start erecting these 300, 660-foot statutes.

Now my experience, and I'd like to

2.1

2.2

23

24

1	end by telling you why I am the luckiest
2	man alive. My father was a coal miner, he
3	was killed when I six months old. I do not
4	remember him. My best friend's father died
5	of black lung at the age of 41 when we were
6	in ninth grade. My neighbor, a good man,
7	died from DDT usage when I was a sophomore
8	in high school. It was, indeed, a silent
9	spring. A close military friend named
10	Macintosh died from spraying Agent Orange
11	developed my Monsanto. Another friend
12	named Reflo who lives in Georgia suffers
13	greatly from cancer and is close to death
14	thanks again to Monsanto. I have
15	Parkinson's, it is related from Agent
16	Orange and I cannot eat peas with a spoon.
17	But I don't like peas. So that's why I am
18	the luckiest man alive.
19	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have
20	cross?
21	MR. BORCHERS: No questions.
22	MR. JONES: Remember, no
23	science-related questions.
24	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Can
25	you please provide your testimony to the

1	court reporter. Thank you.		
2	MR. JONES: Thank you.		
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Mr.		
4	Feasel is next and on deck is Gail Moyer.		
5	Please raise your right hand.		
6	* * * * * * * * * * *		
7	JIM FEASEL		
8	being of lawful age, was first duly		
9	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the		
10	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as		
11	hereinafter certified, testified and		
12	said as follows:		
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and		
14	spell your name for the record and your		
15	address and township.		
16	MR. FEASEL: Name is Jim		
17	Feasel, last name is spelled F-E-A-S-E-L.		
18	I reside at 1121 East County Road 16,		
19	Tiffin, Ohio, and that's in Eden Township.		
20	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please		
21	proceed.		
22	MR. FEASEL: I knew I was in		
23	trouble when I signed in behind Mr. Jones.		
24	Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my		
25	name is Jim Feasel. I am a resident of		

Seneca County and a joint owner of several hundred acres inside the footprint of Seneca Wind project.

I object to the wind project because of the lack of input allowed by local citizens in the planning process. Many problems exist with the locations of the turbines in relation to structures and areas important to our county and to the people who reside here. Although the Power Siting Board process provides channels for public input, and much input has been given, at no point did any of that input seem to change any important detail in the plans.

We have dozens of turbines surrounding our newest and largest K through 12 school at distances far too close for the comfort of the children. You have documented evidence in your office of blade throws from turbines in Ohio that would throw chunks of shrapnel as heavy as bricks, landing in the middle of the school's soccer field, if it happened to one of the nearby turbines. Many local citizens

objected to your board about this, but you haven't even acknowledged the issue.

And speaking of setbacks distances, now that the Siting Board has evidence that wind turbines in Ohio have thrown several pound blade fragments hundreds of feet farther than our current setbacks, why are the turbines in this or any new project not being moved back to allow for that? Is public safety not a concern of Ohio's wind siting process? Why isn't this new information begin incorporated into the regulations? We know these turbines are too close. You know these turbines are too close. Why does this agency even exist if not to protect public safety as its number one priority?

In Seneca County we also have several turbines that, in the opinion of our long time airport manager, he's a lifelong pilot, will degrade the usefulness of our county airport that the taxpayers have invested nearly \$15 million in. This airport was built and is maintained specifically for its economic benefits to

Many local citizens objected to

The taxpayers of Seneca County have also invested substantial sums of money, and countless volunteer hours, in local parks and nature preserves located in the area of the project. These are to protect the land in its natural state and provide habitats for wildlife native to our area. Many of the 600-foot tall machines are to be located at distances way too close to these areas for them to ever be considered natural habitats again, either by wildlife or by visitors. Many complaints were presented to the OPSB, but you did not listen. In fact, you threw the parks out of the intervention process and all of their concerns have been ignored.

Many of the leases held by landowners expired last year in this project and they chose not to be a part of the project any longer, whereupon they were promptly sued by Seneca Wind. This process remains

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

unsettled in the courts, yet the Siting
Board moves forward with the permitting
process with no certain for the
consternation this has caused in our area.
Again, the local people are completely and
totally ignored by the Siting Board
process.

As you may be aware, Seneca Wind is but one of six projects at some stage of development in this immediate area. cumulative effect of this will be to transfer 250 contiguous square miles of land into a heavy industrial zone. That is 160,000 acres. The net power produced in intermittently by all of these projects combined will be less than the reliable output of the gas plant at nearby Fremont, Ohio that sits on 67 acres. There can be no comparison allowed between such traditional generated facilities and wind energy projects when it comes to the footprint and the sheer number of people that are affected. Sited in a rural area near the gas plant near Fremont affects a hundred or so people living nearby.

25

Covering 250 square miles with hundreds of 600-foot actual machines operating at over 4000 horsepower each, these wind projects will affect tens of thousands of people.

I'd like to point out to the Board that the people you see here in the yellow shirts commenting before you today in opposition are but a small fraction of those who have issues with this project. As intervenors, 56 of the strongest opposing voices in the Seneca Wind project cannot be heard here in this forum because the process does not allow it. Though starting out as non-experts I can assure you that the amount of time, research, effort and money they have spent on this project over the past year and a half dwarfs the time and effort this Board will invest. They are now the experts on Seneca County. The issues they have with this project are real and many. They, along with thousands of others, will be forced to live with the change this project brings, many for the rest of their lives, or else gather their belongings and leave the place

that most of them have called home their entire life.

The process of approving wind projects in Ohio that the Board administers is fundamentally flawed. It railroads projects into areas with no concern for the long-term planning in the community identity that lifelong citizens have spent their lives building here. Any purported benefits can be, and in this case are, severely overshadowed by negative issues. And why? Because the process allows no meaningful input by the only people who know what is right for this area. And that is the local residents.

With all due respect, your jobs may be to administer this process as you see the law instructing you. You have the authority to okay this project and watch over its construction in an area where thousands of local citizens have told you it does not belong. You can watch as the blood of these citizens boils because their voices have been ignored at every step of the way and they have to sit by and watch

as the community they spent their lives building is transformed into a heavy industrial zone right in front of their eyes.

As the body in charge of this process, you hold the future of this community in your hands. Perhaps you should read over those laws that you administer again, where I am sure you can find many ways to actually allow for meaningful input by Ohio residents. And I'm also sure you can find existing law that allows you to move the turbines further back to protect public safety. You work for the citizens of Ohio, not for outside corporations bent on exploiting Ohio. Development can be a good thing, but overdevelopment in the wrong areas is never a good thing. We are all here hoping for a wonderful future for Ohio. But the road this process is taking us down has no hope of ever arriving. Thank you for your time.

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Cross?

MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Mr. Chairman, do

23

24

1	you have any questions?
2	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Couple questions.
3	Would you go up to the podium? Thank you
4	for your testimony.
5	MR. FEASEL: You're welcome.
6	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: I have a couple
7	questions. From your testimony is sounds
8	like you are what I would call a
9	non-participating property owner?
10	MR. FEASEL: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: That means that
12	you've got other owners of property
13	adjoining your property that have leased
14	their property for the erection of wind
15	turbines, is that correct?
16	MR. FEASEL: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Are any of the
18	wind turbines, if you know, are any of the
19	wind turbines on the adjoining property
20	adjacent to your property
21	MR. FEASEL: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: do any of them
23	violate, as proposed violate the minimum
24	setback requirements?
25	MR. FEASEL: Ah, current

1	minimum setbacks in law, no, they do not.	
2	They are very close to right at the	
3	minimum.	
4	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Okay. Do you	
5	have you mentioned the concerns about	
6	the wind turbines that are proximate to the	
7	school?	
8	MR. FEASEL: Yes.	
9	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: What is the	
10	distance from the base of those wind	
11	turbines to the school property, do you	
12	know?	
13	MR. FEASEL: One of the	
14	turbines is about 1300 feet from the soccer	
15	field, which is right adjacent to the	
16	school.	
17	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: And that, that	
18	distance is from the base of the turbine or	
19	from the blade extended?	
20	MR. FEASEL: From the base.	
21	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Okay.	
22	MR. FEASEL: It barely meets	
23	the setback.	
24	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Okay.	
25	MR. FEASEL: And there are also	

```
I think 16 other turbines within a mile and
 1
                   a half of that school.
 2
 3
                        CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Okay. Thank you
 4
                   very much.
 5
                        MR. SHUFF: I, I do have cross
 6
                   now because -- if I may?
 7
                                            Chairman?
                        JUDGE SEE:
                        CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: You have cross on
 8
 9
                   my questions?
10
                        MR. SHUFF:
                                            Yes.
11
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
    BY MR. SHUFF:
13
              Have you read the Staff report?
14
         Α
              Yes.
15
                        MR. SHUFF:
                                           Can I refresh his
16
                   memory?
17
         Q
              Bottom of 31, top of 32.
18
         Α
              Just read the paragraph?
19
         0
              Yeah.
              Can I read it out load?
20
         Α
21
         Q
              Sure.
              Staff reviews, Staff reviewed Revised Table 0-1
22
    and found that turbines 56 and 58, 61, 71 and 72 are at
23
24
    distances less than the minimum setback. Staff notes that
    turbines 58, 61, 71 and 72 would need setback waivers
25
```

```
1
    prior to construction. Staff reviewed the Seneca response
 2
     to Interrogatory 10 spreadsheet and found that turbines
     70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84,
 3
     85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96 are at
 4
 5
     distances less than the minimum setback and would need
 6
     setback waivers prior to construction.
 7
                         MR. SHUFF:
                                            No further
 8
                    questions.
 9
                         JUDGE AUGOSTINI:
                                            Ms. Moyer is up
10
                    next and on deck is Anne Fry.
11
                         Please raise your right.
                         * * * * * * * * * * *
12
13
                             GATI MOYER
14
               being of lawful age, was first duly
15
               sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
16
               whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
17
               hereinafter certified, testified and
18
               said as follows:
19
                         JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
20
                    spell your name for the record and your
2.1
                    address and township.
2.2
                         MS. MOYER:
                                            Okay. My name is
23
                    Gail Moyer, G-A-I-L, M-O-Y-E-R. And I
24
                    reside at 3040 South State Route 67,
25
                    Tiffin, Ohio, 44883. And I've lived there
```

since 1981. I am located in Bloom

Township. And I am in the footprint of the

proposed Seneca Wind Farm.

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please proceed.

MS. MOYER: Okay. I would like to state that I am opposed to the proposed Seneca Wind Farm for many reasons, including excessive noise levels, shadow flicker, harmful effects from infrasound, negative impact to our local airports, Life Flight service, property values, nature preserves, local Bald Eagles and other wildlife, the proposed siting of 16 industrial wind turbines within two miles of Seneca East Local Schools, and the overall improper siting of the 453 to 593-feet tall industrial wind turbines.

However today I will focus my remarks on Ohio Administrative Code 4906-4-08, health and safety, land use and ecological information, Section 4, Land Use Plans Part D which states, asses the compatibility of the proposed facility and the anticipated development resultant regional development

with current regional plans. I am basing
my remarks on the Seneca County
Comprehensive Plan Update in 2001. I have
submitted a written copy of excerpts from
this document, as well as my statements
concerning these excerpts, I have them here
to give to the court reporter.

In order to promote positive economic growth countywide, there should be a cooperative understanding between all agencies, citizens and public officials so that all parties can be involved in new industry point proposals.

Economic development must be considered within the land use framework in order to have maximum benefit on the regional and local economies while having minimum negative impacts on the environment, service capacity, and charter of the area. Furthermore, the use of economic development agreements through intergovernmental coordination should be promoted.

Seneca County provides an exam of the value of open space and natural resources

to its residents. Throughout the County's past the natural environment has played an important role in defining Seneca's identity. Seneca County prides itself on it's rural character and agricultural resources. Citizens want to maintain the rural character of farmland and other natural features.

To protect the County's rural character, growth management techniques need to be used to encourage compact development in existing urban areas, plan ahead for growth, and prevent further environmental degradation of the land. We need to encourage growth that builds upon existing municipalities and supports new residential, commercial and industrial growth only within identified urban growth boundaries where public infrastructure is available.

We need to preserve prime farmland recognizing agricultural as a viable economic resource. We need to develop and implement an aggressive program to preserve agricultural uses in those areas identified

for permanent agricultural preservations.

Citizens and officials alike acknowledge

that the encroachment of development on

farmland is a critical county issue.

environmental areas such as wetlands, woodlands, steep slopes, endangered species habitats, native species habitats, and flora and fauna from the impacts of developments. We need to restrict development in critical resource areas such as in the 100 year flood plain and perennial stream buffers. We need to maintain and preserve natural open space corridors that are important to wildlife and plant life habitats.

Again, these ideas are not my original thoughts. They are all part of the document that was accepted as a guide for the Seneca County Regional Planning

Commission and it can be found on their website. The Seneca County Comprehensive

Plan was created by elected officials on actions relevant to growth and change.

Again, referencing back to part 4

1	where there's supposed to be compatibility
2	between the proposed facility and the
3	anticipated result in regional development
4	in our current regional plan, I request
5	that the Ohio Power Siting Board reject the
6	application for license to erect an
7	industrial wind farm submitted by Seneca
8	Wind, LLC, as it is not compatible with the
9	current Seneca County Regional Plan and
10	will negatively impact our farmland and
11	decimate our rural open spaces and
12	sensitive environmental areas. Thank you.
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
14	Counsel have cross?
15	Ms. Fry is up next and on deck Jan
16	Sampson.
17	Please raise your right hand.
18	* * * * * * * * * *
19	ANNE FRY
20	being of lawful age, was first duly
21	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
23	hereinafter certified, testified and
24	said as follows:
2.5	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Can you state and

1	spell your name for the record, your		
2	address and township?		
3	MS. FRY: Anne Fry, A-N-N-E,		
4	F-R-Y. 3836 South County Road 43,		
5	Bloomville, Ohio. And I reside in Bloom		
6	Township.		
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. You		
8	may proceed.		
9	MS. FRY: I would like to		
10	enthusiastically endorse the construction		
11	of the Seneca Wind project in Seneca		
12	County, and especially in Bloom Township.		
13	I retired in 2015 after 35 years		
14	teaching art and technology for the Seneca		
15	East Local School District. I was able to		
16	do a lot of wonderful projects with my		
17	students, but over the years there were		
18	things we could not afford because our		
19	school did not have the budget for it. I		
20	remember feeling jealous of my friends who		
21	worked for districts like Oak Harbor and		
22	Marysville that were anchored by major		
23	economic development projects.		
24	From 1980 until 2007 when I was a		
25	secondary art specialist for the Seneca		

25

East School system, my junior high classroom was not handicap accessible. There were at least two physically-challenged students who were not able to attend class in the art room. Attica, Ohio, where the Seneca East High School was located, my high school art classroom did not have a water source. high school students needed to travel a distance to retrieve water for their art projects. A community member generously donated a pottery kiln for student use, however, the school district could not afford to run a 220-volt power line to the art room necessary for the operation of the pottery kiln. Consequently, the pottery kiln sat idle in another room covered with a tablecloth.

In 2008, the new Seneca East K12
School opened thanks to the Ohio School
Facilities, which financed 68 percent of
the total cost of the campus. But a new
school building is not a substitute for
sufficient funds to operate the school
system. Rural school systems cannot

financially operate with only local funding, that is why the funds received from the Seneca Wind project will be needed and welcome.

We now have the chance to improve school funding with the prospect of wind energy projects bringing hundreds of thousands of dollars to our district each year. Living as we do in a rural area, we do not have the advantages urban centers have with large businesses or factories, but we can strengthen and diversify our economy by attracting renewable energy developments. When I see a large energy tower, as I often did growing up near Niagara Falls, I don't view it as an eyesore; I see economic opportunity.

I am thrilled that the Seneca East
School District and Buckeye Central School
District may be able to reap the financial
benefits of the Seneca Wind project.

There are approximately five school districts that have wind turbines adjacent to their school buildings, Bellevue School District, Wynford School District, Huron

School District, Ontario School District, and Margaretta School District. These wind turbines are providing clean, renewable energy to their school buildings.

There has been very little economic growth in this area of Seneca County. Our Bloom Township employees no longer have health insurance with the Township due to lack of funds. With the construction of the wind turbines our country will benefit from clean, renewable energy, our schools, townships and libraries will greatly benefit from the revenue generated by this great project.

We are fortunate to have the acreage available in our area to construct wind turbines. Yet even in populated areas these turbines gracefully nestle in with the landscape.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're delusional.

MS. FRY: A wonderful

example is the North Findlay Wind campus in

Findlay, Ohio. Six wind turbines cover 30

percent of the Whirlpool and Ball

corporations. These turbines are located

near the center of town and a middle school.

Since the field of education was not a professional with high pay, I have always looked for ways to supplement our family income. Signing a land agreement with Seneca Wind offered a chance to do that.

As of today, it looks like our property may not qualify for a turbine after all. That would be just -- Your Honor.

JUDGE SEE: Please, please be respectful of the people that are offering testimony.

Go ahead, please.

MS. FRY: As of today, it
looks like our property may not qualify for
a turbine after all. That would be
disappointing, but I support Seneca Wind
and other local wind projects all the same.
I want my rights as a property owner in an
unzoned township to be protected too.

Let me finish by using an example from the novel Don Quixote written in the 1600s by Cervantes. One of the most famous stories in the novel was Don Quixote's

1	battle with a giant, however, in reality,		
2	the giant was only a windmill. Some		
3	scholars believe that Cervantes used this		
4	adventure to illustrate that Don Quixote		
5	was a relic and that the windmills		
6	represented technology.		
7	I am not a relic and I embrace		
8	technology. I look forward to the		
9	construction of the wind turbines that will		
10	provide clean, renewable energy and		
11	economic growth for me and my neighbors.		
12	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have		
13	cross?		
14	MR. SHUFF: (Indicating.)		
15	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Can		
16	you please provide your comments?		
17	Ms. Sampson is up next and on deck is		
18	William Seaman.		
19	Please raise your right hand.		
20	* * * * * * * * * *		
21	JAN SAMPSON		
22	being of lawful age, was first duly		
23	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the		
24	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as		
2.5	hereinafter certified, testified and		

1	said	as follows:	
2		JUDGE AUGOSTINI:	Please state and
3		spell your name and your	address and
4		township.	
5		MS. SAMPSON:	My name is Jan
6		Sampson, J-A-N, S-A-M-P-S	G-O-N. My address
7		is 6305 South Township Ro	oad 151, Tiffin,
8		Ohio.	
9		JUDGE AUGOSTINI:	Township?
10		MS. SAMPSON:	Township, I'm
11		officer, Eden Township.	Short memory.
12		JUDGE AUGOSTINI:	Thank you. Please
13		proceed.	
14		MS. SAMPSON:	My name is Jan
15		Sampson. My husband and	I have lived at
16		6305 South Township Road	151 in rural Eden
17		Township, Seneca County,	Ohio, for 35
18		years. We attended the o	ppen house hosted
19		by the developer of Senec	ca Wind Farm over a
20		year ago. Since then, I	have researched
21		similar wind projects cor	structed in Ohio
22		and other nearby states a	and their impact on
23		rural areas. The more I	researched, the
24		greater my concerns becam	ne.
25		A year ago, when the	e Seneca County

Commissioners thought tax revenue from the
PILOT plan would be good for the County,
many residents raised concerns about safe
setback distances, various health issues,
and property values to name a few. We were
told our only recourse is to contact the
Ohio Power Siting Board. I vehemently

18-488-EL-BGN.

oppose Seneca Wind Farm Case No.

As local residents we have had no voice in this project that impacts our properties and our way of life, because the law in Ohio extends full authority to the Ohio Power Siting Board to approve or deny Seneca Wind Farm. Installations of massive industrial-sized turbines with high-speed spinning blades reaching approximately 600 feet into the sky are not farms. project is an outdoor industrial factory sprawling over nearly 25,000 acres. People who don't live anywhere remotely near the project are making the decision that will have a lasting impact on the people forced to live within the wind, the footprint. The Ohio Power Siting Board's flowchart

is scheduled 15 days after the Staff recommendation. This delay of public input, combined with the fact that the State law overrides local zoning laws, makes me think that the Ohio Power Siting Board has very little regard for local public input to the process.

Per sPower's website, three turbines are to be constructed near our home, one approximately .33 miles or 1742 feet from our house. If Seneca Wind is approved, our viewshed will be destroyed, noise and shadow flicker will be an ongoing occurrence, short— and long—term impacts of infrasound will be a concern and a likely health risk, and our property value will be reduced according to the research I've seen from real estate appraisers. Safety issues, blade failure, ice throw, concern me for a turbine that is to be 1742 feet from our house.

This spring at a workshop, documented evidence was given to the Ohio Power Siting Board of blade throws hurling pieces

2.

weighing several pounds for a distance of 1 several hundred feet farther than the 2. 3 setbacks used in the design for Seneca Wind 4 Siting turbines in this manner is a 5 direct threat to the safety of the general 6 public. Seneca East School near Attica, 7 Ohio is surrounded by multiple turbines. 8 These same safety concerns apply to our 9 recreational parks and preserves, to our 10 airport and local air travel, and to many 11 residential dwellings. Although, they may 12 meet the minimum setback requirements 13 required by Ohio law, the Seneca Wind turbine locations are designed with unsafe 14 setback distances. 15 Members of the Ohio Power Siting 16 17 Board, you have the control. The minimal 18 generating capacity Seneca Wind can add to

Board, you have the control. The minimal generating capacity Seneca Wind can add to the electrical grid is not worth the safety risks to the public. Don't inflict these risks on Seneca County. Exercise your control and deny approval of the Seneca Wind Farm. Thank you.

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have cross?

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1	MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)
2	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: William Seaman is
3	up next and on deck is Walt Poffenbaugh.
4	MR. SEAMAN: Pretty good.
5	MR. POFFENBAUGH: Close. That's
6	close.
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please raise your
8	right hand.
9	* * * * * * * * * *
10	WILLIAM SEAMAN
11	being of lawful age, was first duly
12	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
13	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
14	hereinafter certified, testified and
15	said as follows:
16	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
17	state and spell your name for the record
18	and address and township.
19	MR. SEAMAN: My name is William
20	Seaman, W-I-L-L-I-A-M, last name
21	S-E-A-M-A-N. My address is 4584 State
22	Route 547, Bellevue, Ohio. I'm a resident
23	of the Lyme Township and I am in the
24	viewshed of multiple wind projects, even
25	the Seneca Wind project.

To repeat, my name is William Seaman.

I am a homeowner it Lyme Township in Huron

County and a farm owner in Lyme Township in

Oxford Township, and by the way, those are

both zoned townships. What happens in

Seneca County just to the west of my home

place matters to me and many of my fellow

citizens of Erie and Huron County. I'm

here to state my unequivocal opposition to

the construction of the Seneca Wind

project.

There are myriad reasons to oppose industrial wind turbine projects, especially in comparatively densely populated rural areas, such as Seneca County. I could testify for a very long time on the environmental hazards, health hazards, property devaluations, electrical generation inefficiency and expense, et cetera, ad nauseam. All are legitimate reasons to oppose the construction of industrial wind turbines in our rural landscapes in this part of Ohio. What I will concentrate on, however, are two safety-related issues which are not being

transparently discussed by the wind developers and promoters.

First, the height of the turbines proposed in the Seneca Wind Farm will be among the tallest in the United States.

According to the Ohio Power Siting Board Staff investigation of the Seneca County Wind Farm the tallest wind turbines would measure 584 feet. 584 feet.

This height would make the industrial wind turbines in Seneca County the sixth tallest structure in the entire State of Ohio. Only three skyscrapers in Cleveland, and one skyscraper respectively in Columbus and Cincinnati or — Columbus and Cincinnati are taller. To repeat, these wind turbines in Seneca County would be the sixth tallest structures in all of Ohio.

Inevitably, a structure this tall and powerful when the wind's blowing, needs a safety buffer. A reasonable person would believe that something that is 584 feet tall should not be particularly close to highways, houses, barns, schools, livestock and people. People who might actually be

1 outside, for example farming.

If my figures are correct, the current setback distances are 1225 feet from the property line of a non-participating landowner. And for full disclosure, I'm a non-participating land owner.

Two Danish, gentlemen, and I have a copy of this research study for the Ohio Power Siting Board, and Denmark is a country which has a long and extensive experience with industrial wind turbines, Hamid Sarlak and Jens Sorensen, from the Technical University of Denmark, published a paper in February 2015 about the distances fragments from wind turbines can be thrown, in addition to ice throws.

For a five megawatt turbine, at normal turbine speeds throws can be up to 20953 feet. At extreme turbine speeds throws can be up to 4921 feet.

To a reasonable person, a setback of 1225 feet is not an adequate safety buffer for machines that can send fragments of themselves and/or ice these distances.

A reasonable person would also want a

2.

transparent wind company to fully disclose 1 2. what the manufacturer's operating manual 3 says about the appropriate distance one 4 should have for a safety buffer around an 5 industrial wind turbine. 6 However, this safety information is 7 not publicly released by the wind developer. It's proprietary information. 8 9 This lack of basic safety information, 10 which should be available to every citizen 11 in or near the footprint of the Seneca Wind Farm, is absurd. The omission of this 12 13 information by the developers of the Seneca Wind project tells you all you need to 14 know. 15 16 So to conclude, we have large 17 structures proposed to be in the midst of 18 our rural communities without adequate 19 setback distances. I urge the Ohio Power 20 Siting Board to deny the certificate of 2.1 operation for the Seneca Wind Farm. 2.2 you for listening. 23 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have 24 cross? 25 MR. SHUFF: Yes.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SHUFF:
3	Q Thank you for your testimony. Would it surprise
4	you that the FAA says there's 656 feet above ground level?
5	MR. BORCHERS: Objection, Your
6	Honor, this is friendly cross-examination.
7	MR. SHUFF: It's cross. I'm
8	just asking if it surprises him. That's a
9	proper cross-examination question.
10	JUDGE SEE: We
11	MR. SHUFF: Okay. I'll
12	rephrase.
13	JUDGE SEE: No, I didn't say
14	that.
15	MR. SHUFF: Okay. I'll wait
16	on a ruling.
17	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Please do.
18	MR. SHUFF: Thank you.
19	JUDGE SEE: It is friendly
20	cross but let's not prolong testimony. If
21	there's a point that you want to clear up
22	you may do it
23	Q Would you agree that
24	JUDGE SEE: you may do it
25	as part of one of your witnesses' testimony

1	or as yours at the evidentiary hearing.
2	MR. SHUFF: Not today?
3	JUDGE SEE: Not today. Thank
4	you.
5	MR. SHUFF: I'll withdraw the
6	question.
7	JUDGE SEE: Thank you.
8	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
9	provide your thoughts.
10	MR. SEAMAN: I'll give you my
11	shortened edited version and then here's
12	the study from the two Danish gentlemen.
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: I'm going to ask
14	you how to pronounce your last name?
15	MR. POFFENBAUGH: Poffenbaugh.
16	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Poffenbaugh is on
17	right now and up next is Linda Coffman.
18	Please raise your right hand.
19	* * * * * * * * * *
20	WALTER POFFENBAUGH
21	being of lawful age, was first duly
22	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
23	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
24	hereinafter certified, testified and
25	said as follows:

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: 1 Please state and 2. spell your name for the record, your 3 address and township. 4 MR. POFFENBAUGH: Okay. My name is 5 Walter, W-A-L-T-E-R, E, Poffenbaugh, 6 P-O-F-F-E-N-B-A-U-G-H. I live at 5094 7 North Greenfield Road, Willard, Ohio, which 8 is in Norwich Township, Huron County. 9 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please proceed. 10 MR. POFFENBAUGH: Thank you. 11 afternoon. My name wife and I, and my name 12 is Walt Poffenbaugh as I just told you, my wife and I resided at the North Greenfield 1.3 14 address for the last 21 years. Our home is located within the Seneca East School 15 16 District, which is located within the 17 project area that we're talking about 18 today. Our residence is in the footprint 19 of the Emerson Creek industrial wind 20 turbine project in Huron County. I believe 2.1 my comments today meet the criteria of Ohio 2.2 Administrative code 4906.10, Subsections 2, 23 3, 6 and 7. My comments will primarily 24 address these areas. 25 The cumulative impact of the Seneca

Wind, Republic Wind and Emerson Wind, or 1 2. Emerson Creek Wind projects, that are 3 currently under consideration by this Board 4 as well as three potential future projects 5 that have not been applied for yet. 6 insertion of an industrial-sized electric 7 generated facility into an area which is 8 currently designated primarily as an 9 agriculture residential area. And the 10 second point is that the lack of local 11 control under Ohio law provide evidence for 12 the need for the Power Siting Board to 1.3 place public safety of immediately affected 14 residents as a top priority when 15 considering projects such as this one. 16 I see in front of me a very nice map 17 that has one wind project on it. Seneca 18 Wind which is what this hearing is for. 19 With your permission, Your Honor, I would 20 like to bring forward a visual aid for each 2.1 of you because it directly leads to my 2.2 testimony and it may help you. 23 JUDGE SEE: Okay. 24 MR. POFFENBAUGH: Thank you. 25 JUDGE SEE: Mr. Poffenbaugh,

how many copies do you have with you? 1 2 MR. POFFENBAUGH: Five or six, 3 ma'am, I think I have enough for everybody, 4 I didn't know how many people were going to 5 be here today so I didn't know exactly how 6 many to run. 7 CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: She's the most 8 important one, so --9 MR. POFFENBAUGH: Very good. For 10 those of you who are in the audience if 11 you'd like to see exactly the same thing 12 folks up here are looking at it's displayed 13 out on the entryway coming in today. 14 I'm here to discuss Seneca Wind. 15 However, it's my opinion that it's 16 impossible to discuss Seneca Wind, without 17 also taking into account the impact of two 18 other current and pending projects, 19 Republic Wind and Emerson Creek Wind. 20 These two projects abut Seneca Wind as you 2.1 can see on the map that you have in front 2.2 of you. Seneca Wind are the yellow dots, 23 Republic Wind are the red dots and Emerson 24 Creek Wind are the blue dots. The brown

dots down on the bottom is for a project

1 that has not even been applied for yet.

We're only going to talk about the three

3 that they have applications on file with

your Board at present, which is Republic,

5 Seneca Wind and Emerson Creek.

Okay. In these comments, these three projects combined encompass 130,378 acres in portions of four adjacent counties in Northwest Ohio.

Now, this information was garnered from the applications on file with your Board for those projects. 130,378 acres translates to 203 square miles. I know it's difficult to gain a perspective of just how big an area this is, so if you'll provide, allow me to I'm going to try to provide a perspective that I think you will all understand. The City of Columbus, which I imagine all of you are pretty familiar with, covers 212 square miles. This sprawling city, which contains our State Capitol, is just nine square miles larger than the area which will be used for these three projects. This does not include the three additional projects that

24

25

4

6

7

11

10

9

12

1.3 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23 24

25

are potentially going to be filed for in, that will abut up against these. They're known as Honey Creek, Emerson Creek West and Buck Springs. Imagine an industrial wind turbine area, containing turbines which are 650-some odd feet tall in an area the size of the City of Columbus covering a portion of four counties. This is just the area the wind developers need now for the three proposed projects. Additional projects will obviously encroach even more on the agricultural/residential property of our area.

The turbine placements within these three projects currently in the application phase, and this information comes from the actual applications that are on file with your agency, Seneca Wind has 56,900 total acres, which is 88.9 square miles. wish to put up 77 turbines with a nameplate generating capacity of 212 megawatts. comes down to 1.15 turbines per square mile. Republic Wind has 32,478 acres. That's 50.74 square miles. They want to put up 50 turbines, 200 generating,

megawatt generating capacity, that turbine per square mile area is 1.01. In the Emerson Creek Wind project it makes up 41,000 acres. 64.06 square miles. Their latest addendum to their application indicated that they were going to install 62 turbines, 297 megawatts nameplate capacity, the turbines per square mile in that area is 1.03.

So when you do the math, over this span of this entire area, the city the size of almost the size of the City of Columbus, you're going to have a turbine on every 640 acres.

The wind developers have consistently referred to our area as lightly populated and primarily rural. The figures compiled by the Ohio Developmental Services Agency and used in the wind developers own applications show this, Seneca County has 55, as of 2017, Seneca County has 55, as of 2017, Seneca County has 55,243 residents and the population density is 101.1 people per square mile. In Sandusky County they have 59,195 in 2017, their population density is 251.5 people per

their population density is 119.4 people
per square mile. And in Erie County you
have 74,817 people with a population
density of 297.5 people per square mile.
These aren't my figures, these are the wind
turbine company's figures and they came
from their application. This table that I
will provide to you is directly from their
application. Technology's a wonderful

thing. Okay.

Now, those figures that I just quoted to you mean absolutely nothing until you compare them with areas of the state that have operational wind farms right now. And those three counties, the three counties that I picked out are Hardin, Paulding and Van Wert. They've been brought up here today by other speakers. In comparison with our area that is lightly populated and primarily rural, in Hardin County in 2017 there was 31,364 people, that's a population density of 66.59 people per square mile. In Paulding County they have 18,8445 people in the whole county, the

population density there is 44.9 people per square mile. And Van Wert County they have 28,217 people, population density is 68.82 people per square mile.

The three listed counties in Ohio with industrial wind turbines currently operating have a combined population of 80,706 and an average population density of 60 people per square mile. The three counties with the majority of turbine placements in the Seneca Wind, Republic Wind and Emerson Creek Wind; projects have a combined population of 188,554 people which makes an average population density of 172 people per square mile. There are over twice as many people, and nearly triple the population density in Seneca, Erie and Huron County when you compare them to Hardin, Van Wert and Paulding County.

I attended the blade shear workshop
that's been alluded to here by other
speakers at the end of April in Columbus.
Testimony was provided at this workshop
about blade failure incidents in Ohio
during the past years. Three documented

incidents in Hardin, Van Wert and Paulding
County, where debris from defective wind
turbines was thrown up to 1561 feet were
reported, as you heard other speakers
testify about. These incidents occurred at
existing industrial wind turbine sites
located in areas with less than half the
population and one-third the population
density of the project you're talking, your
taking testimony about today.

They also happened at turbine sites where the turbines are approximately 470-feet tall. Since there are no 665-foot wind turbines in Ohio that I'm aware of, all estimates of potential ice throw and blade shear are just that, estimates. I commend the Ohio Power Siting Board, by the way, for proposing rules regarding the wind turbine blade shear and I'm looking forward to their eventual passage and implementation.

Since we, the residents, that live in the area have no local control in matters like this, no local zoning applies in projects of this magnitude, our only

ability to provide information for consideration is through venues like this.

I believe it is imperative for this Board to look out for the safety of all people and property in the project area.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

We've heard much over the last couple of months about the wind developers wanting to reduce the current setbacks to pre-2014 levels. The incidents documented at the blade shear workshop prove that current setbacks are not sufficient to protect the authority and to -- or to protect the people and the residents from potential damage. As I understand it, the Ohio Power Siting Board has the authority to place conditions on applications, since the manufacturers of the wind turbines recommend a 500 meter or 1640 feet safe zone around the turbines, why would a minimum setback of any distance less than 1640 feet from a property line be acceptable to the Board?

This is why I mentioned at the beginning of my testimony that when you're considering the merits of Seneca Wind you

have to connect it to the cumulative effect of the two sister projects being proposed in this area. And the potential of three more like-sized projects abutting these three, which are in the early stages of

development.

attempting to insert an industrial electric generating plant nearly the size of the City of Columbus into an area which is home to many Ohioans. I doubt any other industry could propose locating a facility in any area of Ohio which was as big as Columbus and not have people question and be a little bit resistant to the idea. This area of Ohio is densely populated when compared with the areas where smaller and fewer turbines are currently operating.

There are many other areas of concern,

I could talk about the karsts, the impact
of the projects on migratory birds, several
other areas I feel this Board needs to pay
attention to, but it's already been
addresses and I'll let the other good folks
in this room bring those matters up.

2.1

2.2

2.

1	I greatly appreciate the opportunity
2	to speak to you today. My wife and I and
3	several hundred of our like-minded
4	neighbors urge you to deny this
5	application. Thank you.
6	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have
7	cross?
8	MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)
9	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Ms. Coffman is up
10	next and on deck is Robert Berg.
11	Please raise your right hand.
12	* * * * * * * * * *
13	LINDA COFFMAN
14	being of lawful age, was first duly
15	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
16	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
17	hereinafter certified, testified and
18	said as follows:
19	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
20	spell your name for the record, your
21	address and township.
22	MS. COFFMAN: My name is Linda
23	Coffman, t's C-O-F-F-M-A-N. I live at 6851
24	South State Road 231, Tiffin in Eden
25	Township. And what else did you want?

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: 1 That's it, you 2 nailed it. MS. COFFMAN: 3 That's it? 4 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please 5 proceed. 6 MS. COFFMAN: Okay. There is a 7 predictable, almost identical story that has been playing repeatedly for more than a 8 9 decade. 10 A handshake deal is made. 11 officials decide a big corporation would come, bring a lot of money to benefit their 12 13 community. And since they weren't sure 14 everyone would be on board, little to no 15 information is made public. By the time most residents realize a wind turbine 16 17 project is coming to their area, signed 18 leases and many other foundational plans 19 are already in place. As residents slowly 20 begin to learn about the project, some fear 2.1 that their property values will drop. Many 2.2 don't like the thought of the natural 23 beauty of their land being under threat or 24 the thought of losing the right to have 25 peace and quiet. Opposition groups begin

to form. With added information, safety to 1 2 people and the environment become the 3 primary focus and cause resistance to 4 escalate. But, it comes too late. 5 wind companies have their way because 6 secrecy allowed them to have such a 7 substantial head start. And, they had all 8 the legal advantages. They had rendered 9 meaningless any local ordinances; subsidies 10 and tax breaks gave them huge financial 11 benefits and incentives; they had many 12 local government officials already in their 13 camp, some even being lease holders who 14 voted on behalf of self interest, and they 15 were backed by state mandates which 16 required the development and use of 17 renewable energy statewide. Residents in 18 support and opposition of the projects were 19 given an opportunity to speak at an 20 official meeting like this, but once their 2.1 voices had faded, that was the end of it. 2.2 It had been a formality and a foregone conclusion. Wind won. 23 24 Now, this was a, just a story, it was

based on all the stories that I have read

25

and everything in here had a common factor to those, those incidents, but now the story is changing. We are not starting from zero, anymore. We know too much. People across our nation, from California to New York, are sharing stories of what happened to their communities and telling about what it is like to live among wind turbines. With shared information and combined experience to draw upon, a new trend to reject wind turbine projects is emerging. Most importantly, we are learning there is massive and mounting evidence that wind energy is not green, does not help the planet or climate, it is not good for the environment, is not good for animals, both land and air, is not good for the people who live close to them, and because wind energy is insufficient and intermittent, it necessitates two power sources, wind and a back up for wind, which is usually natural gas. This not only drives up the cost for consumers but adds to fossil fuel usage instead of lowering it. Green. Clean. Free. Industrial wind turbines are none of these. They harm

people and the environment without giving

back even commensurate at benefits.

It's hard to give up an idea when you so much want it to work. But the time is now, and Seneca County is the place to stop. Here, we still have a chance to be smart and to be forward thinking. We have a chance to look at current evidence and trends, both in the United States and in Europe. We have a chance to examine results and question, question things like why are electric rates higher where turbines are the most prevalent and why is there such an expanding opposition to industrial wind turbine projects? We have a chance to protect our environment and to protect the people who live here. Right now, the Ohio Power Siting Board has the opportunity to deny application for industrial wind turbines to be sited in Seneca County and it also has the chance to take a stand and say we in Ohio are reexamining our State's present course when it comes to renewables.

25

1	What the Board decides about this
2	project will determine the fate of Seneca
3	County now and for future generations. My
4	hope is that the Board will make the hard
5	decision to break away from the dream we
6	all wish was true, and see it for what it
7	really is, a scheme for some to amass
8	untold wealth no matter what the cost to
9	people and our planet. Thank for your
10	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have
11	cross?
12	MR. BORCHERS: No.
13	Q Tore think bird?
14	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please raise your
15	right hand.
16	* * * * * * * * * *
17	ROBERT BERG
18	being of lawful age, was first duly
19	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
20	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
21	hereinafter certified, testified and
22	said as follows:
23	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
24	state and spell your name for the record,
25	your address and township.

MR. BERG: My name is Robert

Berg. I live at 800 East Township Road,

Tiffin, Ohio, 44883. That is in Eden

Township.

I'm Emeritus Professor of German at

I'm Emeritus Professor of German at
Heidelberg University in this city and I'm
now a part-time archivist there. I would
like to offer information and evidence that
infrasound produced by wind turbines is
harmful o our health.

Unlike Dr. Mike Curran who spoke before me about infrasound, I'm not a scientist, I speak to you as a professor and as a translator.

What is infrasound? This is sometimes referred to as low frequency sound. It is sound lower than 20 hertz or cycles, is that below the normal range of human hearing. You can't hear it.

My primary source of information is a broadcast from November 1st, 2018 by ZDF, German television entitled Unerhorter Larm, Unheard and Unheard of Noise. It contains interviews with several German and American physicians and scientists. Their

2.1

2.2

conclusion: Infrasound has negative
effects on the strength of heart tissue, on
the brain and on the auditory system. I
was able to view the broadcast online
several times, to translate parts of it and
to summarize parts of it. Here are a few
of the main points.

The principal focus of the broadcast is the research of Dr. Christian-Frederic Vahl, a cardiac surgeon at the University's clinic at Mainz, Germany. Excuse me. His team has exposed various sized pieces of live human heart tissue, as well as single heart cells from human hearts to infrasound and laboratory conditions. His results have been replicated and show in measurable terms that there's prove in reduction in the strength of the tissues of the human heart when they're exposed to the infrasound signals.

When asked whether other scientists are doing related research, Dr. Vahl cited the work of a time of researchers at the Institute of Physiology and the University of Hamburg, Germany, which has shown

2.2.

similar results when working with live rat subjects. He also cites the finding of research team on the vessels on the human heart by scientists at the University of Rostock in Germany, and they show similar

results.

Asked about his stance on wind energy, he said he thinks we need to continue to support wind energy production, but that wind installations must be kept at great enough distances from human habitation and human gathering places to ensure that we do endanger people's health.

Other researchers presented in the same broadcast indicate negative effects from infrasound. Several I would like to summarize here.

One of them, Professor Simone Kuhn of the University Clinic of Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany had conducted research on the effects of infrasound on the brain. Her team found that the sounds below the limit of human hearing activate areas of the brain that deal with stress and conflict. Kuhn's team has developed the hypothesis

that annoying sounds we can hear we can perhaps better block out or deal with, for example, by moving away from the source of the sound, or by using protective devices for our ears. But sounds which we can't here, infrasound, we don't recognize. We are not aware of them sometimes, so we can't dismiss them or deal with them. That may cause stress. The team has also begun studying the exposure to infrasound as a cause of sleep disturbances by people who

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3 In Germany and around the world, various countries have conducted research 14 on the use of infrasound as a non-lethal 15 16 weapon, especially during the Cold War. 17 Colonel John B. Alexander, U.S. Army 18 Retired, says that research on infrasound 19 as a weapon has been given up in most 20 places now due to technical issues and 2.1 inconsistent test results. The U.S. 2.2 military now has little interest in 23 research in the area of sonic attack except 24 for determining the causes of the baffling 25 complaints of the employees in the U.S.

live close to wind parks.

Embassy in Cuba in 2017 and the U.S.

Embassy in Moscow in the 1980s. Both

instances are still puzzling.

1

2.

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Dr. Lars Ceranna of the German Federal

Bureau for Geoscience and Raw Materials was

also interviewed. His team has been

monitoring vibrations and infrasound for

many years, originally as a part of a

program to ensure compliance with the

Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty. He

monitoring vibrations and infrasound for many years, originally as a part of a program to ensure compliance with the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty. reports that they have found that there are been no measurements norm for frequencies below 20 hertz for wind energy installations in Germany, indeed those sounds have been left out of traditional measurements, and those below 8 hertz totally neglected. His team is correcting these measurements and the inaccuracies and now recording clear infrasound signals from wind turbines at various distance. order to get an accurate measurement, the Bureau for Geoscience and Raw Materials maintains that there must be a distance of 9 miles between the measuring site and the

wind farm.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Dr. Alex Salt of the medical school at Washington University in St. Louis is an expert in the maladies of the inner ear. His research team has shown that the inner ear reacts to infrasound signals, even very low signals of 5 hertz and lower. reason is due to the anatomy of the inner ear which has two types of hair cells that react to sound. The infrasound stimulates only the outer cells, not the inner cells, but it does produce an electric reactions in the ear which is not audible to us. This may be related to symptoms such as headaches, nausea, sleep interruptions and lack of ability to concentrate. His team has had complaints from wind energy companies denying his results, but he insists that his results have been repeated in laboratory conditions.

Since viewing this broadcast and discussing it with others, several colleagues have sent me additional documentation or scientific evidence on the dangers to health posed by infrasound.

I talked about just a minute ago, his team published a paper in 2018 entitled Are
There Harmful Effects Caused by the Silent
Noise of Infrasound Produced by Wind Parks.
The conclusion, infrasound can have direct negative effects on the human myocardium, the heart muscle, in experimental settings.
This needs additional investigation as the number of wind turbines in Germany increases and exposes more and more people to the potential harm from infrasound.

2.

2.1

2.2

Professor Louisinha, Ana and her team in an article entitled Infrasound induces coronary perivascular fibrosis in rats at the University of Porto in Portugal. Her conclusion, the cardiovascular system is sensitive to infrasound. Infrasound exposure induces coronary perivascular fibrosis, which is scar tissue, in experiments in rats.

Mr. Alksieyev from the Sanitary and Hygienic Medical Institute in Leningrad in 1985 published an article under the title Reactions of Liver Cells to the Impact of Infrasound. The conclusion, the studies

showed that infrasound has a damaging impact on liver cells at a frequency of 8 hertz and an intensity of 120 decibels, causing changes to both nucleus and the cytoplasm in the cells.

Dr. Gordeldze and his team in 1985

published an article under the title

Experimental Myocardial Ischemia Caused by

Infrasound, also at the Sanitary and

Hygienic Medical Institute, Leningrad. His

conclusion, infrasound with a frequency of

8 hertz and an intensity of 120 decibels

has a damaging effect of the structure of

myocardium, the heart muscle. The size of

damage increases with increasing duration

of impact.

Dr. Karpova and his team at the

University -- at the Sanitary and Hygiene

Medical Institute in Leningrad in 1978

published an article entitled Early

Reaction of the Organisms to the

Low-Frequency Acoustic Oscillations.

Infrasound he says, has -- conclusion,

infrasound oscillations have adverse

effects over the entire human organism.

The central nervus system, cardiovascular and respiratory systems, as well as the auditory analyzer.

Dr. Jerry Punch, Professor Emeritus of Michigan State University and a certified audiologist, has submitted a detailed brief on behalf of a resident of Scipio Township who has been diagnosed with chronic vertigo. In Dr. Punch's opinion this man can be expected to experience worsened health, excuse me, symptoms if forced to live in close proximity to one of the turbines. Dr. Punch includes important information as well as a bibliography of recent research on the subject of symptoms caused by infrasound. These include headaches, dizziness, nausea and motion These symptoms have been sickness. observed even before the more recent research of Dr. Vahl's studied above.

I have brought with me the documents that I have just cited and I'll give them to you in a few minutes that support the research that I have summarized. And I wish to have them included in your record

25

1	as of, as the hearing today. I sincerely
2	hope that the Ohio Power Siting Board will
3	give them serious consideration and will
4	take action to protect the wellbeing of the
5	residents of our area for Northwestern
6	Ohio.
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Does counsel have
8	cross?
9	MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)
10	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Ms. Berg is up
11	next and after her we will take a five
12	minute recess.
13	Please raise your right hand.
14	* * * * * * * * * * *
15	DOROTHY BERG
16	being of lawful age, was first duly
17	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
18	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
19	hereinafter certified, testified and
20	said as follows:
21	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
22	spell your name for the record, your
23	address and your township.
24	MS. BERG: All right. I am
25	Dorothy E. Berg, D-O-R-O-T-H-Y, E, B-E-R-G.

I live at 800 East Township Road 58, Tiffin Ohio, this is in Eden Township, Seneca County.

Good afternoon. I am Dorothy E. Berg, a retired high school and college teacher now working as an archivist for Heidelberg University in Tiffin. I'm am a native-born Ohioan who is lived in Eden Township for over 32 years. I am here today to let you know that I am opposed to the Seneca Wind project for the reason that it endangers my right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness as guaranteed by the Declaration of Independence.

It diminishes life of the proven medical conditions wind turbines cause, hearing loss, heart, brain and liver malfunction and neurological disorders. In addition, it could impact the travel of air ambulances in life-threatening situations.

It diminishes liberty because it does not allow for a local referendum to determine whether or not the township inhabitants want the creation of huge turbines. Although I do appreciate the

opportunity speak here today, you will need 1 2 to act on my behalf and reject the Seneca 3 Wind project. It diminishes the pursuit of happiness 4 5 because it will hamper the good will that 6 Eden Township neighbors have had by pitting 7 neighbor against neighbor. It threatens our landscape, our wildlife and our peace 8 9 of mind. 10 Our beautiful agriculture and 11 residential township deserves your respect. Thank you for rejecting the Seneca Wind 12 1.3 project. I would also like to clarify an issue, 14 I'm sorry I interrupted before, I believe 15 16 it was Mr. O'Connor speaking, he was 17 quoting the National Wildlife Federation 18 Magazines, which I just received two days 19 ago at my house. He did not include all of 20 the wind turbine damage to Eagles and bats, 2.1 huge article about that, so I would like to 2.2 add that to the story. Thank you so much.

MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)

Does counsel have

JUDGE AUGOSTINI:

cross?

23

24

-	
1	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Before we proceed
2	with the next witness I would like to
3	announce that there are going to be sign-up
4	sheets at the table with Board Staff in
5	front for individuals who, if you did not
6	want to offer testimony but would like to
7	inform the Board on your position regarding
8	the Seneca Wind project, whether you
9	support or oppose the project, feel free to
10	sign up on those lists.
11	JUDGE SEE: And they'll be
12	outside at the table. For now we'll take a
13	five minute recess.
14	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Five minute break.
15	(WHEREUPON, a break was taken at
16	5:53 and the hearing resumed at
17	6:04 p.m.)
18	JUDGE SEE: Next witness
19	Marilyn Hornett and on deck is Linda
20	Mosher. Ma'am, you are please?
21	MS. HORNETT: I am Marilyn
22	Hornet, M-A-R-I-L-Y-N
23	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Just a
24	minute, Ms. Hornett. Is Linda Mosher here?
25	Okay.

1	Ms. Hornett, could you please raise
2	your right hand?
3	* * * * * * * * * *
4	MARILYN HORNETT
5	being of lawful age, was first duly
6	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
7	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
8	hereinafter certified, testified and
9	said as follows:
10	JUDGE SEE: Please state and
11	spell your name for the record, provide us
12	your address and your township you live in.
13	MS. HORNETT: Okay. Marilyn,
14	M-A-R-I-L-Y-N, Hornett, H-O-R-N-E-T-T. I'm
15	at 17284 East Township Road 104, Attica,
16	that is in Venice township.
17	JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Go
18	ahead with your testimony.
19	MS. HORNETT: I own my own home
20	and I live in eastern Venice Township. I
21	am on the Seneca-Huron County line. I will
22	be sandwiched between both Seneca Wind and
23	Emerson Creek projects. I would like to
24	know why Seneca County, Ohio, why are the
25	turbines so big? Why are there so many?

1	Why can't I have a vote? How will this
2	project benefit me? You might ask am I
3	opposed? Yes, I am. I have read nothing,
4	I repeat, I have head nothing that convince
5	me that this is a good thing. This seems
6	to be about money, power and greed and that
7	is never a good thing. Thank you.
8	JUDGE SEE: Your statements,
9	if you have your notes and you would like
10	for us to be able to refer to them you can
11	give them up here, please.
12	And ladies and gentlemen, we have lots
13	of witnesses to get through, if you could
14	refrain from clapping between each one, we
15	want to get as much of the public's
16	testimony as we can get today. Okay?
17	Next, on deck is Deb Heckerd. Next is
18	Linda Morsher.
19	Ms. Morscher?
20	MS. MORSHER: Morsher.
21	JUDGE SEE: Oh, I butchered
22	it, I'm sorry.
23	MS. MORSHER: That's okay.
24	JUDGE SEE: Could you please
25	raise your right hand?

1 2 LINDA MORSHER 3 being of lawful age, was by me first duly 4 sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the 5 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as 6 hereinafter certified, was examined and 7 said as follows: JUDGE SEE: Thanks. 8 State and 9 spell your name for the record, provides us 10 your address and the township you live in. 11 MS. MORSHER: My name is Linda, 12 L-I-N-D-A, Morsher, M-O-R-S-H-E-R. I live 1.3 at 7355 Township Road 81 and that is in Bellevue but it's in Seneca County. 14 15 JUDGE SEE: Okay. Go ahead 16 with your testimony. 17 MS. MORSHER: Ready? Like I 18 said, my name is Linda Morsher. My husband 19 and I Chuck reside in Seneca County, Ohio. 20 I appreciate the Board's willingness to be 2.1 here and the opportunity to speak. 2.2 To be clear, we have are interveners 23 in the Republic Wind project. So you might 24 ask well, why are you here today speaking 25 about the Seneca Wind project? Well, we,

like a lot of the people here, are speaking 1 2. against the Seneca Wind project and how it 3 will, how we will be effected by the wind 4 turbines. We purchased our home over 20 5 years ago so that we could reside out of 6 the city and be in the country. We knew 7 then that living out in the country surrounded by agriculture would mean having 8 9 farm equipment up and down the roads, 10 farmers working the land day and late into 11 the night, as well as the lovely aroma of 12 livestock and nature. We knew that then and didn't mind and we still don't mind. 1.3 14 That is farming, not industrial use. 15 find it ironic that in the 2019 Super Bowl 16 commercials there was one from Budweiser 17 featuring the Clydesdale. It had Bob Dylan 18 singing Blowing in the Wind, presumably 19 highlighting the benefits of the wind 20 turbines. Anybody see that commercial? 2.1 Raise your hand. Okay. Here's the 2.2 question, in that commercial how many homes 23 did you see with those wind turbines? 24 AUDIENCE MEMBER: None. 25 MS. MORSHER: I'm sorry?

1 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Zero. None.

2 MS. MORSHER: Zero. There was

none. Not a single one. Yet Seneca Wind

4 has proposed to have 16 wind turbines

5 within 2 miles of Seneca East School

6 District. That alone should concern

7 everyone in this room. Given the

8 possibility of what we've heard today about

9 the shadow flicker, noise, ice throws,

10 mechanical failures and Light Fight not

being able to land should the need arrive.

12 Those who choose to live within the

13 cities would not allow farmers to plow

14 their yards, plant crops and bring

15 livestock into their city property, would

16 they? No. That's not what cities are

about. Cities would not allow any company

18 to put an industrial complex within a

19 residential area. The citizens, AKA, the

voters, would not stand for it.

21 These vast wind industrial companies

choose to insert their large towering

windmills possibly over 580 feet tall. I'm

a woman, I like things that I can relate

to. 580 feet tall, the Statue of Liberty

is only 305 feet tall. The Washington 1 Monument is 555 feet tall. Think of that. 2. 3 Think of that. Why did they bring them 4 into the rural neighborhood? Why is that? 5 Maybe because none of us thought that we 6 would need to have zoning to prohibit them. 7 Common sense, right? Thing back, who 8 expected anyone to build a barn over 9 580 feet tall? If your neighbor saw a need 10 for a structure they certainly would not 11 have placed it where it could endanger you, 12 your family or your property. We have never sought to limit any neighbor on the 1.3 14 agricultural use of their own or leased 15 property, but commercial industrial wind 16 power is not agriculture. It's not it 17 farming. Even if the wind companies call them wind farms. 18 19 If wind-generated electricity were 20 economically viable, how many of the local 2.1 farmers have installed their own windmills? 2.2 Most have sizable barns and outbuildings. 23 Do you see any of the local farmers with 24 solar panels? Does it ever concern the Ohio Power 25

1	Siting Board that these wind projects find
2	most of their support from those that will
3	never have a turbine near their home or
4	have their lives and property values
5	impacted by them or that the state
6	representatives that constantly proposed
7	reduction to setback laws already prohibits
8	industrial wind projects in their area?
9	In closing, I do not pretend to be an
10	expert in wind turbines, rather just a
11	citizen of Seneca County trying to protect
12	my home, my livelihood and my property.
13	Every thing comes at a price. The price of
14	these monstrosities in our health, safety,
15	environment property values and the
16	conserving of our precious land isn't worth
17	it. Remember, everything that glitters is
18	not gold. Thank you.
19	JUDGE SEE: Ms. Heckerd is up
20	next. Gene Thompson.
21	Ms. Heckerd, if you could raise your
22	right hand.
23	* * * * * * * * * *
24	DEB HECKERD
25	being of lawful age, was first duly

1	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
2	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
3	hereinafter certified, testified and
4	said as follows:
5	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
6	spell your name for the record and your
7	township.
8	MS. HECKERD: Deb Heckerd,
9	D-E-B, Heckerd is $H-E-C-K-E-R-D$, and I
10	reside in Seneca County and I'm in Scipio
11	Township.
12	JUDGE SEE: Go ahead with your
13	testimony.
14	MS. HECKERD: I am in the
15	footpath of the proposed Seneca Wind
16	turbine project. I live on our family farm
17	which has been home to five generations in
18	my family.
19	I strongly oppose the wind turbine
20	project for so many reasons. I simply do
21	not feel 600-foot turbines have a place in
22	our rural community with our beautiful
23	sunsets rolling acres and we simply have
24	too many homes, schools and parks.
25	I looked up the definition of a farm

in the Merriam Webster dictionary, please listen to this definition. An area of land and its buildings used for growing crops, rearing animals and typically under the control of one owner or manager.

I do not think the proposed wind farms should be referred to as a farm. It is simply an area of land with clusters of wind turbines for driving electrical generators. These are wind power plants, not farms.

Also, a very personal concern of mine is the impact of 16 possible turbines within 2 miles of Seneca East School

District. I personally am a proud graduate of Seneca East. I have had three daughters graduate from Seneca East and I currently have three grandchildren attending Seneca East School. I do not want to jeopardize their safety with these turbines.

Thank you for your time and please realize I do not feel Seneca County is a good fit for an electrical power plant of this magnitude. I urge you to deny this application. Thank you. Thank you.

1	JUDGE SEE: Any cross for this
2	witness?
3	Dr. Roy Zinn? I think that's correct.
4	MR. ZINN: Correct.
5	JUDGE SEE: Mr. Thompson, if
6	you could raise your right hand?
7	* * * * * * * * * * *
8	GENE THOMPSON
9	being of lawful age, was first duly
10	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
11	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
12	hereinafter certified, testified and
13	said as follows:
14	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
15	your name for the record, provide us your
16	address and the township that you live in.
17	MR. THOMPSON: Gene Thompson, 265
18	East County Road 16, Tiffin. G-E-N-E,
19	T-H-O-M-P-S-O-N.
20	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Go ahead
21	with your testimony.
22	MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Well, I'm
23	here in opposition of the project. My
24	position on the proposed Seneca County wind
25	farm is as follows:

First, the proposed wind farm will host some of the tallest structures in Ohio. Proposed 650-foot tall turbines are perceived by myself and many others as excessively tall, to the point that they overwhelm the natural landscape. The townships involved with suffer losses to their aesthetic values. And whether or not the loss of property value is considered a legitimate argument, my personal choice is never to be forced to live next door to a monstrous tower that was forced on me by some distant entity.

As for risk in other areas, as a former licensed pilot, I see the structure as a hazard to general aviation, as well as emergency medivac flights.

As a follower of turbine development,

I see a real risk of the structural failure
in existing wind turbine blades. It is
known that these failures are already
easily capable of throwing their own debris
over 1500 feet. This becomes a safety
issue for anyone in the vicinity. Blade
failure then becomes an issue that cannot

be ignored. Existing setbacks of 1200 feet do not correlate with 1500 blade throws.

Current setbacks are simply too short and our area is too densely populated for this project.

As an advocate for wildlife, I see risk to migratory birds that travel back and forth from Sandusky Bay to point south. This same issue was presented as a lawsuit against the Camp Perry turbine project and subsequently the project was denied in June of 2017. The Seneca Wind project is in the same major portion of the North American flyway.

As a taxpayer, I see the project as artificially supported with funds that were intended to give Ohioans the best bang for their energy buck. As of today I do not believe that we can say with any certainty that wind turbines are the best investment.

Lastly, I can say with certainty that in terms of innovation and power generation that wind turbines are absolutely not the last good idea. The problem as I see it is wind farms have become a 20 to 30 year

1	commitment. Ongoing research into other
2	safe, efficient and clean power sources
3	tell us better sources are on the way and.
4	If that's concept seems like a stretch or
5	hard to understand, consider that how lucky
6	we are that nobody signed a 30 year lease
7	on the first cell phone. So my fear is
8	that if this isn't denied the things will
9	be built and somewhere in the next five to
10	ten years they're going to make a lot less
11	sense, they don't make any sense today,
12	they're going to make a lot less sense in a
13	few years. That's it.
14	JUDGE SEE: Any
15	cross-examination for this witness?
16	MR. BORCHERS: No.
17	JUDGE SEE: Thank you, sir.
18	Joyce Ziegler.
19	Mr. Zinn, if you'd raise your right
20	hand.
21	* * * * * * * * * *
22	ROY ZINN
23	being of lawful age, was first duly
24	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
25	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as

1	hereinafter certified, testified and
2	said as follows:
3	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
4	your name for the record, give us your
5	address and the township that you live in.
6	MR. ZINN: Dr. Roy Zinn.
7	4766 South State Route 231, Tiffin, Ohio.
8	Eden Township. That's R-O-Y, middle
9	initial S as in Scott, Zinn, Z-I-N-N.
10	Thank you, your Honors and OS OPSB
11	Board, thank you for listening to us in
12	Seneca County.
13	I think that you are finding that an
14	overwhelming majority of my fellow citizens
15	in this county object to the industrial
16	wind turbines being placed here, proposed o
17	be placed here, from multiplicity of
18	reasons.
19	Just for reference, our property, my
20	wife and my property, is contiguous to the
21	footprint of the proposed Seneca Wind
22	project.
23	I would like to talk to you this
24	afternoon about the governmental support
25	that we taxpayers must burden ourselves

with for these projects. That's not under your purveyance, and so I will only say, that let's call a spade a spade. Seneca Wind would not be here today in front of you but for these huge gifts all on the back of we taxpayers. And by the way, Europe is building both wind and soldier — solar projects at this point in time without any subsidies. Just a thought. And I know that's not your purveyance but I have to give it.

2.1

2.2

I'd like to talk to you this afternoon about three subjects and it might take about six minutes.

First of all, you've heard a lot of objections to the interaction between proposed Seneca Wind project and we humans. The key to that is the population density of this county which has been stated already as 101 and a fraction per square mile. All of the human-oriented objections that you have heard today to this project stem from the relatively close proximity of our area population to the structures and their resultant dangers or alterations,

potential, potential alterations to our immediate environment. That's the bottom line.

One example, this project was designed and it's been stated already this afternoon with the setbacks safety distances that are hundreds of feet shorter than the documented blade throw reports that you in your OPSB files have on record.

The legitimate concerns go on and on, harmful infrasound sound, and by the way, I too, have researched some of that data on infrastructure sounds, and Dr. Berg and Dr. Curran are, I hope you take seriously, not necessarily what they say, but take seriously the research that they have cited to you.

The significant in decreased property values probably haven't been brought to the front as much today as they should have been in my opinion. The harmful shadow flicker. The objections go on almost ad nauseam.

I'm not against wind energy but please place industrial wind turbines where

Second subject, parks, preserves and natural areas. Natural areas are near and dear to my heart. I served for 15 years as a commissioner of the Seneca County Park District. Being part of tens of thousands of volunteer hours invested in the first 18 years of our park district, now voters in the county have passed a ten year levy providing for further development of our ten parks. Our local citizens obviously highly value natural areas.

Our nature preserves are resources in and of themselves. They provide preservation of plants, wildlife and they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

geologic phenomenon. They provide areas for we humans to recreate in and with nature.

There are many studies delineating the harmful effects of wind turbines on birds and bats in particular, some have been cited here today. I would cite for you, for instance, the reference of U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, quote, Indiana Bat Section 7 and Section 10 Guidance for Wind Energy Project, end quote, and that was revised 26 October 2011.

Wildlife do not stay in park property districts or lines of boundary. Human sight lines are limited to park boundaries. Seneca County Park District in the January 10, 2019 letter to the OPSB requested a 2.5 mile radius turbine free buffer zone be placed around our parks. Bowen, Garlo and Forrest Nature Preserves are particularly crowded by the currently proposed turbine locations.

This request was not honored in the OPSB Staff recommendations published three weeks ago. I urge you to thoughtfully

consider this request in your final deliberations.

Third and final issue, we have no ultimate local determination, this is a subject you've heard many times this afternoon, also, and I know it's not up to you, but I assure you that I and my fellow Eden Township and Seneca County neighbors are overwhelmingly opposed to industrial wind installations here.

Under current law we cannot have a local referendum on our balance ballot.

You, the appointed Ohio Power Siting Board is omnipotent. We can't even vote you out of office. Given this, I strongly suggest that this Board needs to have more local citizen input that it be much more meaningful in the process. I ask you Judges, how meaningful is it that this, quote, public hearing, end quote, is being held three weeks after the OPSB Staff report was published on this application?

I've tried to stay big picture with my testimony today, but it is not big picture for my fellow citizens of this populated

1	county, it's our home. Do you wish to have
2	wind turbines next to or surrounding your
3	home? Hear us. Thank you.
4	JUDGE SEE: Any cross? Any
5	cross for this witness?
6	Thank you, sir.
7	Gary Lescallett?
8	Ms. Ziegler?
9	MS. ZIEGLER: Joyce Ziegler.
10	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Would you raise
11	your right hand?
12	* * * * * * * * * * *
13	JOYCE ZIEGLER
14	being of lawful age, was first duly
15	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
16	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
17	hereinafter certified, testified and
18	said as follows:
19	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: State and spell
20	your name for the record, give us your
21	address and township.
22	MS. ZIEGLER: Joyce Ziegler,
23	J-O-Y-C-E, Z-I-E-G-L-E-R. 7551 South
24	Township Road 171, Bloomville, Ohio, Bloom
25	Township.

My

JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Go ahead with your testimony.

3 MS. ZIEGLER: Okay.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

neighbors and family members are very concerned about the industrial turbines towering over our homes. As of how we were sitting in the middle of 18 within a mile and a half of my home. To the east we have three which will shadow flicker my home in the morning. I love to go outside and watch the bird and have my morning coffee and enjoy the sunrise. This is a luxury I may have to give up. I get migraines, that shadow flicker will set me off. I have an autistic grandson with epilepsy, I don't want to take them down to the basement when the sun's coming up and when the sun's on the other side of my house. Okay. And on, um, the west side of my house there are six proposed turbines, I can't imagine watching the sunset with 18 spinning blades around my home. My home is receptor 76, we are listed as the number one non-participating household with the most shadow flicker of 60 plus hours annually while the Ohio Power Siting Board's maximum threshold is

supposed to be 30 hours per year, that's

twice as much as I am supposed to be, to

have. I object to the idea of having to

install blinds in my home to accommodate

this.

Wind noise above 45 decibels is associated with adverse side effects.

Hearing loss, headaches, dizziness, inability to sleep are just a few. Our home is in the 50 to 55 decibel range, again, that is too high. Studies are coming out with stronger evidence of cardiovascular and metabolic effects of noise on the human body. But why put people at risk for a project that cannot support itself?

There are a lot of nice houses around the proposed industrial turbines built by hard working people with a dream of living in a quiet country setting, little did we know that some day we would be fighting for what we worked so hard to acquire. With infrasound, shadow flicker and the health effects, some may be forced to sell their

home to save their health at a great value of loss.

Another consider thing is karst, my well water. You heard about that tonight.

And I read where Seneca County is at high risk of contamination from well water. To protect myself I will take samples to be tested before and after if this comes about.

I believe these huge industrial turbines are not a good fit for the area. They, they're too big, they pose a health risk, take down property value and may hurt my drinking water. Turbines of this size should not be around people. The setbacks are too close for the size of them. It has been documented that blade throws have gone as far as 1800 feet. Setbacks from our properties are only like 1330. This does not make sense to me. It's putting a safety of the public at risk. People need to be protected. This is a safety hazard and should not be allowed.

On August 2, 2018, a wind turbine caught fire in Arlington, Oregon. It

2.

1	burned 2000 acres of brush and grass land,
2	took out two railroad bridges before it was
3	put out. The part that amazed me was
4	nobody was evacuated. These were placed in
5	open fields away from people. Can you
6	imagine 2000 acres here burning. That
7	would be a lot of homes lost.
8	I have seen videos of turbines on
9	fire, blades breaking, throwing debris in
10	the air and just plain falling over. They
11	cannot support themselves without any
12	taxpayers money. They do not improve the
13	planet, and have no enviromental impact
14	from them. Thank you.
15	JUDGE SEE: Any cross?
16	Ma'am, if you can give us your
17	statement so that the court reporter can
18	refer to. If we could have a copy of your
19	notes so the court reporter could refer to
20	it?
21	MS. ZIEGLER: Sure.
22	JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Julie
23	Meyer. Ms. Meyer?
24	Mr. Lescallett, could raise your right
25	hand?

1 2 GARY LESCALLETT 3 being of lawful age, was first duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the 4 5 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as 6 hereinafter certified, testified and 7 said as follows: 8 JUDGE SEE: State and spell 9 your name for the record --10 MR. LESCALLETT: My name is Gary --11 JUDGE SEE: -- give your 12 address and your township. Sorry about 13 that. 14 MR. LESCALLETT: Gary Lescallett, 15 G-A-R-Y, L-E-S-C-A-L-L-E-T-T. I live at 3544 East County Road 6, Tiffin, Ohio in 16 17 Eden Township. 18 I've lived in Eden Township, Seneca 19 County since 1974. I'm speaking in 20 opposition to the construction of an 2.1 industrial wind farm by Seneca Wind. 2.2 Property values, health and safety of our 23 residents, quality of our well water being 24 damaged, loss of wildlife, loss of living 25 in a quiet rural community are equally

important and threatened by this project.

In Eden Township we voted in zoning with laws and rules in order to avoid these problems. Seneca Wind should abide by these laws and rules also. I think that a project of this magnitude and impact on a community should be voted on by the residents of that township as they are directly affected.

Seneca Wind has disputed many of these items I've stated through newspaper and radio, articles and statements. If this project is so beneficial to our county and our concerns have no substance and factual backing, why does Seneca Wind need good neighbor contracts, which once are signed by residents bordering easement holders, they give up legal recourse against Seneca Wind of mentioned health and safety issues and the safe setbacks distance from their home or property, property line, to me a real red flag to this project.

All of these concerns and facts should have been brought forth and answered to the residents and elected officials before

2.

1	easements were signed, good neighbor
2	contracts were signed, and decisions were
3	made by elected officials.
4	I urge the Ohio Siting Board to stop
5	Seneca Wind project and set up better
6	guidelines for the projects to provide
7	information, safety and honesty to the
8	residents and their elected officials of
9	future projects of this type. Thanks.
10	JUDGE SEE: Any cross? Any
11	cross for this witness?
12	MR. BORCHERS: No, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE SEE: Victoria Ziegler.
14	Victoria Ziegler.
15	Ms. Meyer, if you'd raise your right
16	hand?
17	* * * * * * * * * *
18	JULIE MEYER
19	being of lawful age, was first duly
20	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
21	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
22	hereinafter certified, testified and
23	said as follows:
24	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
25	your name for the record

1	MR. LESCALLETT: My name is
2	JUDGE SEE: give us your
3	address and state your township.
4	MS. MEYER: Okay. My name is
5	Julie Meyer, J-U-L-I-E, M-E-Y-E-R. I live
6	at 142 Crestview Lane in Tiffin, which is
7	in Clinton Township technically.
8	JUDGE SEE: I'm sorry, repeat
9	that, please?
10	MS. MEYER: I live in Clinton
11	Township, I don't live in the City of
12	Tiffin.
13	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Go ahead
14	with your testimony.
15	MS. MEYER: I have no horses
16	in this race. I don't have any property
17	that's inside the impact zone. I do want
18	to thank everyone for their very thoughtful
19	and kind and heartfelt testimony this
20	evening, it means a lot.
21	Um, I do believe the future is in
22	wind. These gentlemen wouldn't be here if
23	it wasn't cost effective for them because
24	this is a capitalistic country. Nobody
25	gets anything free. Okay? Nobody does.

So they're not going to come here. The wind is free but to capture it and use it is not and we're all going to pay.

Right now we have oil, we have coal and we have nuclear. Okay? Unless we can figure out fusion, no one's going to go with nuclear because we know what fission does. Okay? Coal, in this country 90 percent of the coal used in this country is bituminous. Okay? That is soft coal. It is dirty coal. And anthracite is very rare. It's in parts of Pennsylvania and out west. They have to put coal — they have to put scrubbers on the plants that operate along the Ohio River. Okay? They did not do that by choice or to be altruistic, they were forced to by government. Okay?

There's no, nobody gets anything free.

And there are downfalls to wind, but it's the best option because obviously Ohio doesn't fall under sunshine too often, there must be enough wind for them to be interested or they would not come. That's all I'm saying. I'm not saying I disagree

with everything that the opposition has to say. I just think that wind is a viable choice and we should take a good, hard look at it.

As far as your land prices going down, the people that live in Southeastern Ohio and West Virginia, okay, they moved there, the people have been there for hundreds of years and they wanted to live by these beautiful mountains. Guess what the coal companies did? They blew the tops off the mountains so they could strip mine. All right? And they left them that way until under government pressure they were forced to cover them.

Nothing comes in this country free and without a cost. You have to decide which is more important, our future, okay, and a lot of us are going to be under the ground here, it's not going to be us that have to pay the price, it's going to be our future generations, but we make the decisions now. That's all I have to say.

JUDGE SEE: Any cross for this witness?

1	MR. SHUFF: No.
2	JUDGE SEE: Thank you very
3	much.
4	Mike Ziegler?
5	Victoria Ziegler, if you could raise
6	your right hand?
7	* * * * * * * * * *
8	VICTORIA ZIEGLER
9	being of lawful age, was first duly
10	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
11	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
12	hereinafter certified, testified and
13	said as follows:
14	JUDGE SEE: I'm going to need
15	you to speak up and state and spell your
16	name for the record, provide us your
17	address and then state your township.
18	MS. ZIEGLER: My name is
19	Victoria Ziegler. I live at 120 Crestview
20	Drive, Tiffin, Ohio, Clinton Township.
21	V-I-C-K or V-I-C-T-O-R-I-A,
22	Z-I-E-G-L-E-R. I go by Vicki usually so
23	sorry about that.
24	I am here in, I propose that this is a
25	great opportunity for our community for

many reasons and I'm for this for many reasons.

For 25 years I worked for a major agriculture company and I had the opportunity to travel all over the United States, places that I never thought I would go. And in the nineties I started to see wind turbine farms. I saw them in Texas, California, which is highly populated, I saw them in Hawaii, Wyoming, and just recently I got a phone call from a friend of mine that lives in Denver and there was a wind turbine project put up in Denver and is now producing clean energy and their electric bill went half price because of this wind turbine project.

For us in this community I think the economic benefits that will come from this project are just so much and all the residents of this county will be able to benefit from it, not only our schools to help with the education of our children, but also the townships that need money to repair roads that quite a few of us travel every day, but our county hopefully will

receive money, also, and our county

officials will wisely invest that money so

that all residents in this county will

benefit.

I recently went over to Van Wert County and I just drove up and down the roads and I stopped, if somebody was out in their yard I'd stop, and I had a friend with me and we just asked, what's the pros and cons of what you went through for the wind turbine project that came through here? We talked to a dairy farmer, we talked to a truck driving company where the truck drivers were out moving semis and moving rigs around, we talked to their numerous drivers, we talked to their coordinator, we talked to just an individual who was out mowing their yard, and never once did we get a negative comment. They were all informed. They were all for it. They all said how wonderful it was. And one individual said, look at this road, our township was able to widen this road so now that when there's a piece of farm equipment going down this

25

1

2.

1	one-lane road it's now twice as wide and we
2	don't have to get down in the ditch to
3	avoid a collision. They also informed me
4	that in their county they were in need of
5	the law enforcement, the deputies needing
6	new equipment and they were all able to
7	upgrade their equipment.
8	So for me, I'm, I am for this because
9	I want to see the economic growth that this
10	project can bring to this county. Thank
11	you.
12	JUDGE SEE: Any cross for this
13	witness?
14	Thank you. Do you have a witness
15	statement?
16	MS. ZIEGLER: No.
17	JUDGE SEE: Becky Wilhelm. Is
18	there a Ms. Wilhelm here?
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I think she left.
20	JUDGE SEE: I'm sorry?
21	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I think she left.
22	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Thank you.
23	Christina Popa, Popa?
24	MS. POPA: Yeah, I'm here.
25	JUDGE SEE: You're next at
	· ·

1	this podium then.
2	Mr. Ziegler?
3	MR. ZIEGLER: My name is
4	JUDGE SEE: Mr. Ziegler, if
5	you could raise your right hand.
6	* * * * * * * * * * *
7	MIKE ZIEGLER
8	being of lawful age, was first duly
9	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
10	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
11	hereinafter certified, testified and
12	said as follows:
13	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
14	your name for the record, give us your
15	address and state the township you live in.
16	MR. ZIEGLER: My name is Mike
17	Ziegler, M-I-K-E, Z-I-E-G-L-E-R. I live at
18	8240 South Township Road 171, Bloomville,
19	Ohio, 44818.
20	JUDGE SEE: And the township,
21	sir?
22	MR. ZIEGLER: Eden Township,
23	Seneca County.
24	JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Go
25	ahead with your testimony.

1	MR. ZIEGLER: I proudly live in
2	Seneca County, Eden Township on my family
3	farm. There are seven residents within our
4	square mile of that farm. My father lived
5	there, his father lived there. I heartly
6	support sPower with respect to the Seneca
7	Wind project. The wind turbine project
8	will bring dollars to Seneca County, tax
9	dollars. The wind farm will bring much
10	needed revenue to the oppressed farm
11	sector, but almost more important Seneca
12	Wind will produce clean and renewable
13	energy.
14	I urge the Board to review the facts
15	and grant the appropriate permits to
16	construct and operate this Seneca Wind
17	Farm. Thank you.
18	JUDGE SEE: Thank you.
19	Any cross for this witness?
20	Sir, would you have a copy of your
21	statement that you with like to leave with
22	us?
23	David Becker 1336 East Township
24	Road. I think it's David A. Beckerman?
25	MR. BECKER: Becker.

1	JUDGE SEE: Spell your last
2	name, sir.
3	MR. BECKER: B-E-C-K-E-R.
4	JUDGE SEE: Okay, couldn't get
5	the writing.
6	Ms. Popa, if you'd raise your right
7	hand.
8	* * * * * * * * * *
9	CHRISTINA POPA
10	being of lawful age, was first duly
11	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
12	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
13	hereinafter certified, testified and
14	said as follows:
15	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
16	your name for the record, tell us your
17	address and township you live in.
18	MS. POPA: My name is
19	Christina Popa, C-H-R-I-S-T-I-N-A, last
20	name is P-O-P-A. I live at 3336 Willoughly
21	Road, that's in Willard, Ohio, it's in
22	Richmond Township Huron County, but I am on
23	the Huron-Seneca County line.
24	My husband and I have lived in our
25	home since 1988. We have had people visit

and spend the night at our a arise in the morning and comment on how quiet and dark it is where we live. We are not lease holders and we have not signed a good neighbors agreement with any wind company. We have received a letter from an attorney from sPower informing us that we will be in the footprint of this Seneca Wind project and from another attorney stating that we would also be in the footprint of Apex's Emerson Creek project.

We are opposed to these wind projects being built for many reasons. The effects of these wind turbines will negatively impact our quality of life and our ability to enjoy our property as we currently do.

The nighttime darkness will be disturbed by the turbine lighting required by the FAA. The red flashing lights from the turbines could have negative effect on residents who currently experience dark skies at night.

Health concerns include shadow flicker which can cause headache, nausea, vertigo, impaired cognition and stress. Audible

noise is another concern. The gearbox is a source of noise which has been described as high pitched, whining sound compared to a jet engine taking off. Turbulence on the moving turbine blades creates a whooshing noise that keeps changing in pitch and loudness. Noise from a turbine can be broadcasted outward, depending upon variable conditions. Noise could be troublesome at night when the air on the ground is still and the air at the hub height is in motion.

Wind turbines produce low frequency and infrasound which cannot be heard. At infrasound frequencies, pressure waves exist and may be detected by the body and brain as pressure sensations via a different mechanism than the perception of audible noise. Infrasound can be compared to ultraviolet light. You cannot see ultraviolet light but we know it is there and can cause problems. The same is true of infrasound. Although we cannot here it, it can cause multiple health concerns for some people. One concern is the inability

to sleep and sleep deprivation can cause 1 2. health problems. Other health issues 3 related to infrasound are headaches, 4 dizziness, nausea, vertigo, blurred vision, 5 and unsteady movement. Long-terms effects 6 of infrasound include changes in heart and 7 lung tissue. The only thing that can protect the homeowner is a greater distance 8 9 from the wind turbines. 10 Although the wind industry would like 11 to classify all of these as an annoyance. 12 I believe that non-participating residents 1.3 should not have to be exposed to the shadow 14 flicker, the noise and infrasound. 15 We are opposed to the Seneca Wind Farm 16 being constructed. 17 And then I've not as smart as some of 18 some these people that spoke ahead of me on 19 infrasound and they did great research, but 20 I've done a lot of reading in the last year 2.1 and if you'd like I'll give you my 2.2 bibliography. 23 JUDGE SEE: Any cross for this 24 witness? 25 Thank you.

1	MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)
2	JUDGE SEE: Dale Eberhart.
3	Dale Eberhart? Karen Poppenber? Karen
4	Poppenberg? Matt, Kom hum,
5	K-O-M-Y-E-R E-H maybe? County Road 12.
6	Holly Motive? Come forward to the
7	podium.
8	Mr. Becker?
9	MR. BECKER: Yes.
10	JUDGE SEE: If you could raise
11	your right hand.
12	* * * * * * * * * * *
13	DAVID BECKER
14	being of lawful age, was first duly
15	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
16	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
17	hereinafter certified, testified and
18	said as follows:
19	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
20	your name for the record, give us your
21	address and the township you live in.
22	MR. BECKER: It's David Becker,
23	D-A-V-I-D, B-E-C-K-E-R. 13365 East
24	Township Road 8, Republic, Ohio, 44867.
25	JUDGE SEE: And the township

1	you live in, sir?
2	MR. BECKER: Pardon?
3	JUDGE SEE: What township do
4	you live in?
5	MR. BECKER: Reed Township.
6	JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Go
7	ahead with your testimony. Go ahead.
8	MR. BECKER: First of all,
9	welcome OPS Board to Tiffin and Seneca
10	County. On your travel in you must have
11	noticed many No Wind Turbines signs in
12	Seneca County. I hope you also noticed the
13	lovely view.
14	A majority of Seneca County residents
15	do not want wind turbines. Study has been
16	taken in Germany, Canada and most recently
17	Maine, Michigan and Indiana as to the
18	effect of infrasound and light flicker.
19	All have different methods of research, but
20	all came to the same conclusion, both are
21	harmful to humans, livestock and wildlife.
22	Many wind turbine contract holders
23	were told there were no harmful effects and
24	turbines would be 300-foot tall. Well, now
25	wind companies are saying 600 to 650. And

1 what else have they got to lie about?

construction.

In my trip out west and seeing large wind turbine farms I saw no buildings, and as somebody has mentioned in commercials.

I talked to locals who said yes, wind companies replaced roads, bridges, everything, however, between three and five years after they did that the State had to come in and redo them all because of shoddy

Now many land contract owners don't live anywhere near their turbine cites, which is very disturbing to many in the area.

The people of Seneca County want a say in the decision for or against wind turbines.

You may have seen many advisements on TV for different products, but if you look in the background you will see wind turbines, however, you don't see human residents, you see barns or other structures.

Whereas there's a copy of your guidelines, excuse me, also, there's a copy

2.

of your guidelines that certify applicants -- oops, sorry. Page extra.

On the island of Hawaii there's wind turbines on the south shore area, which were a, which were a 20-year project but lasted less than ten. Now, they are giant rusted monuments lining the shores.

Some western states with large turbines have a population of two persons per square mile. Here in Seneca County we have 100 plus as dictated before.

If you travel to Maine, Michigan or western New York you will see wind turbines, however they were mostly located in density wooded areas and on top of mountains. If you find roads to one you will find fences with warning and danger signs posted on them. The word warning is just that, it's a warning, but the danger sign is frightful.

Board members, I have statements in print with concerns, facts, figures and statement results. Please go over these papers. I have underlined what I think are important facts and pictures.

1	Also, there's a copy of your
2	guidelines to certify applicants which
3	states local citizen concerns.
4	I'm told it takes 1700 wind turbines
5	to produce the same energy as one nuclear
6	power plant. Those turbines will produce
7	more CO2s than that power plant. With the
8	newfound efficiency technology of nuclear
9	power waste has been reduced to 1 to 2
10	percent and is safely destroyed on site.
11	One last big question remains, what
12	provision in writing has been made to
13	remove these structures when disabled or
14	run their course? And I will gladly pay
15	that extra dollar a month for a nuclear
16	source of electricity. Thank you.
17	JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Any
18	cross for this witness?
19	Thank you, sir. If we can have your
20	statement up here.
21	Robert Manz. Is Mr. Manz, Robert
22	Manz? Thank you, sir, to the podium to my
23	right.
24	Ms. Motil?
25	MS. MOTIL: Yes, thank you.

1	JUDGE SEE: Raise your right
2	hand.
3	MS. MOTIL: Oh sorry.
4	* * * * * * * * * *
5	HOLLY MOTIL
6	being of lawful age, was first duly
7	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
8	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
9	hereinafter certified, testified and
10	said as follows:
11	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
12	your name for the record and tell us what
13	township you live in and give us your home
14	address.
15	MS. MOTIL: Holly, H-O-L-L-Y,
16	Motil, M-O-T-I-L. My address is 7545
17	Knauss, that's spelled K-N-A-U-S-S, Road,
18	that's Bloomville, Ohio, 44818. I live in
19	Lykens Township, which is actually just
20	past the, um, Seneca-Crawford County line,
21	so I'm in Crawford County, but I am in the
22	shadow of this footprint, and I'm in the
23	middle of the Honey Creek project that is
24	proposed as well.
25	JUDGE SEE: Okay.

MS. MOTIL: Okay. 1 To be 2. honest, I have so many notes and I know 3 what I was going to say but so many people 4 have already said it so much better than me 5 and so I feel like, um, my purpose for 6 being here today is just, in some many of 7 these types of projects I think we forget 8 the human element and we forget that their 9 are people involved. And so that's why I'm 10 here is to be a face and a representative 11 for my community so you can see how this 12 will drastically affect me and my husband 13 and our six children every single day. 14 our community. My husband is a mechanical engineer by 15 16 degree. Is he plant manager at this point. 17 And we have purposely chosen to live here 18 instead of in Cleveland where his family is 19 from, which is a significant pay 20 difference, but because we love the peace 2.1 and tranquility of the country, and that's 2.2 where we are where we were. 23 As a matter of know we have our Christmas, Motil Christmas every year at 24 25 our house and his family loves it, they

24

25

adore coming down here because they love their Christmas in the country, Why? Because it's peaceful. Because it's quiet. Because they have uninterrupted views all around them. The sunsets and the sunrises rival sunsets and sunrises I've seen almost anywhere in our country and I've been to 35 of the states. It is such an opportunity to be able to have that. And there are many things that we give up in order to do Our closest gas station is 8 miles away. We pay more for our homeowners insurance because our, we have volunteer firefighters, they aren't as close to us. There are all kinds of things. When we had to call an ambulance for my son a couple years ago it takes longer to get those kind of things there. So those a compromises that we make so that we can have the privilege of living in the country, of having that peaceful solitude that living in the country offers us.

Where I am at just in between my house and my mother's house that is in Melbourne we will have nine industrial wind turbines

in between us that are at least 600feet tall, but possibly 652, I'm not sure in those exact locations how tall they'll be. It's a 3-mile drive and we will have nine of them in between us higher that most of the skyscrapers that were from the city that my husband is from. And, um, we will be surrounded. If the Honey Creek project goes through we will have them also on our east, west and our south sides as well.

And so I'm coming to you as a, as a citizen of our country, of our state, somebody who has a long history in this community. My family has been here for well over 100 years, um, and on this land.

And I'm so concerned for the damage to our roads when they're just installing them, just trying to get things of that size, I've heard so many stories about when they have installed other things and I know what happened to our roads when the pipeline was installed, and I know of roads that were never repaired because they didn't think they were heavy traveled enough so they weren't significant enough

2.

to repair. That's a concern for me.

I wonder if my family will no longer have the chance of sighting Bald Eagles a couple times each month, because we do right now just with our, our naked eyes driving around or taking walks we get to see Bald Eagles on a regular basis.

I wonder if I'll have to install blinds and/or curtains to block flickering lights and shadow flicker in my house and then steal the view of the beautiful landscape that is normally enjoyed every day.

I wonder if my husband and my children, several of which are prone to very extreme motion sickness and also vertigo-type symptoms, is this going to affect their health, their quality of life? And if it does will we be able to sell our house and even relocate because of being in the middle of such a massive wind farm and if we can, how much of a loss are we going to have to take on the house just so that we can relocate for their health?

And so these are just a lot of my

1	concerns. But, again, I just wanted to be
2	another face for you guys to consider as
3	you're deciding this and I appreciate so
4	much your willingness to let us be here and
5	to voice our concerns.
6	JUDGE SEE: Any cross for this
7	witness?
8	Ms. Motil, did you make a statement
9	that you'd be willing to leave?
10	MS. MOTIL: I don't think it
11	would be helpful at this point because it's
12	all messed up. Thank you, though.
13	JUDGE SEE: Okay. Thank. You
14	no problem.
15	Miranda Zerger?
16	Brad Zerger?
17	Dennis Galt?
18	MR. ALT: Alt, A-L-T?
19	JUDGE SEE: State Route 4?
20	MR. ALT: Yes.
21	JUDGE SEE: Come forward.
22	Yeah, A-L-T, is that what
23	MR. ALT: Yes.
24	JUDGE SEE: Mr. Manz.
25	* * * * * * * * * *

1	ROBERT MANZ
2	being of lawful age, was first duly
3	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
4	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
5	hereinafter certified, testified and
6	said as follows:
7	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
8	your name, give us your address and your
9	township you live in.
10	MR. MANZ: I am Robert Manz,
11	it's M-A-N-Z. I live at 225 East Township
12	Road 42, Tiffin, Eden Township.
13	I'm a lifelong resident of Seneca
14	County. Carolyn, my wife and I, have been
15	residents of Eden Township for 44 years.
16	I'm the current and long-time chairperson
17	of the Eden Township Board of Zoning
18	Appeals. I am absolutely appalled at the
19	thought of industrial wind turbines being
20	placed anywhere near our homes.
21	Local zoning is for the protection of
22	those living within a designated area.
23	They move into our area knowing what the
24	possibility are, we happen to be totally
25	agriculture. Yet, the proposed IWFs

violate the entire purpose of zoning rules.

Undoubtedly, Section 49506.13 of the Ohio

Revised Code, which provides the wind
entities the ability to construct and
operate wind farms without adhering or
answering to local jurisdiction,
undoubtedly came about because of the
lobbying arms of big wind. Consequently,
those of us most directly affected by these
so-called farms are left with little to no
say in these matters that can drastically
change our lives.

As a member or representative of the Ohio Power Siting Board, you have a responsibility to the citizens of Ohio.

Not to local investors whose only interest in Ohio and Seneca County is to try to make money. One thing these big wind companies have learned about our county is that we have very protective of and passionate about maintaining our rural lifestyle.

Hopefully, the Siting Board members will take time to visit our area. Ask yourself, would I want to destroy this scenic county with machines taller than any

building in Columbus?

The OPSB much determine need for such projects as Seneca Wind. This need criterion goes beyond the so-called need for more power and has a different definition for the residents in the proximity of the massive machines which are proposed. We do not need to have not only our county but surrounding counties' viewshed destroyed, possibly forever, by monestrous 600-plus tall structures.

Remember, what's being proposed is among the tallest in the nation.

According to the website of the controlling entity in this case, sPower, the operate only two wind farms, which are located in Utah and Wyoming. Those projects are in areas with population densities of 1.87 persons per square mile in 2014 for Utah, and 3.24 persons per square mile, again 2014, for the Wyoming project. You have heard numerous times the Seneca County population density of over 100 persons per square mile.

Obviously, sPower has no experience in

dealing with construction, logistics or anything else which requires alteration in magnitude as proposed by the intended turbines within such populated area as Seneca County. We do not need to be someone's experimental ground.

The application filed by Seneca Wind contains studies of some of the common hazards associated with turbines. Portions of such studies exceed the standards of flicker, which the OPSB Board says 30 hours a year, which should be zero for non-participating properties, let alone the aviary study and probable loss of eagles and other wildlife.

Per the applicants owns studies, the noise produced by the proposed turbines, in numerous situations, exceeds the recent World Health Organization standard of below 45 decibels.

I doubt that sPower, due to the inexperience in populated areas, has had to deal with roadway construction and after effects caused by hauling thousands of loads of materials, 75,000 to 80,000 pounds

1 each, in vehicles exceeding 200 feet in 2 lengths over such frequently traveled 3 roadways. We do not need, and should not be 4 5 subjected, to any of the hazards attributed 6 to and those to be discovered in such wind 7 projects. 8 If these proposed wind farms are to be 9 so great for our community, why is it that 10 the industrial wind farm entities are 11 opposed to the Reineke Referendum? Could it be a fear that the residents of the 12 effected townships simply do not want these 13 14 projects? Seneca and neighboring counties are 15 not the location for any of these 16 industrial wind farms. 17 18 Thank you for your time. 19 JUDGE SEE: Mr. Manz, hold up 20 for just a second. Any cross for Mr. Manz? Hearing no cross for the witness. 2.1 2.2 Thank you very much. 23 Jim Donaldson. Jim Donaldson? 24 Jason Smith? Jason Smith? 25 Mr. Alt, if you could raise your right

hand. 1 2 3 DENNIS ALT 4 being of lawful age, was first duly 5 sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the 6 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as 7 hereinafter certified, testified and said as follows: 8 9 JUDGE SEE: State and spell 10 your name for the record, provide us your 11 address and the township. Name's Dennis J. 12 MR. ALT: Alt, D-E-N-N-I-S, J, Alt, A-L-T. Live at 13 14 1448 State Route 4, Bellevue, Ohio. That's 15 in Sherman Township. I, unfortunately, am 16 in the viewshed of this Seneca Wind and I 17 also am impacted by Emerson Creek Wind. 18 Going back to an article advertised in 19 The Tribune back in February put in there 20 by pro wind people, I am lucky enough to be 2.1 close enough to an area that I'll be able 2.2 to county 200 of these wonderful machines 23 at one time if everything goes the way they 24 are proposed and I am not very happy about 25 that.

I would like to thank the Ohio Power
Siting Board members present here today for
the opportunity to address my concerns for

the Seneca Wind project.

My concerns are about the children and visitors to the Seneca East School complex located outside Attica Ohio on State Route 224. Seneca East School complex is a newer facility built and opened during the 2007-2008 school year. It was built with great pride and community input and if you visit it today you will see that it is still flourishing.

The Seneca Wind project has 16

turbines located within 2-mile circle of

it, it will be surrounded, an island. The

biggest concern is Turbine Number 20

located roughly 1400 feet the from the

property line of the Seneca East Schools

and almost due north of it. It is closest

to the buildings and elementary playground,

bus loading and unloading, parking lot,

junior high football and soccer field.

This is a public facility for all of the

people of the community to use and enjoy.

My concern is the public safety and blade throw.

The blade on a proposed turbine could be between 225 feet to 265 feet long and weighing between 30 and 70 tons per manufacturer. They are huge, and the speed of the tip of the blade is moving between the average speed of around 157 miles per hour to the extreme of 330-plus mile per The blade throw of a 2 to 3-megawatt turbine moving at an average speed of around 157 miles per hour would be 1640 feet, and an over 5-megawatt turbine 2953 feet. At the extreme speed of 2 -- at the extreme speed of 2 to 3-megawatt turbine blade throw would be 2655 feet, and a 5-megawatt turbine 4521 feet. proposed setbacks are inadequate to protect the children and the citizens at that school.

In testimony given before the PUCO on April 30, 2019, you were given information and documents on blade throw in Ohio that has happened. The distance pieces were thrown from the reports range from 700 to

23

24

1500 feet and sizes of bricks to others
weighing over 6 pounds. That puts the
playground, junior high football and soccer
field, parking lot, bus loading and
unloading, in danger.

You could ask how do you measure that distance of Turbine 20, you use the information given by Seneca Wind in their application, and Google Maps. The sad part is none of the reported incidents were from turbines that are going to be built around Seneca East Schools.

Safety should be -- the procedure outlined in the standard industrial wind turbines mishaps is to move 1600 feet back from the turbine and watch it do whatever it's going to do. Most manufacturers recommend at least a 1.1 times the height of the turbine, and some even suggest 2.1 times the height of the turbines considered safe. At with what point do we stop sending our children to a public place, as school, a safe haven, as to not put them in harms way?

Safety should be the utmost concern

when around machines and especially 1 2 something as big as these proposed 3 industrial turbines of which we are unknown 4 to most of us around here. 5 Children are our future the ones we 6 work so hard to protect and provide every 7 opportunity for their education. They will become our legacy. Let's make sure they 9 are safe. 10 In closing the agency's number one 11 priority has to be public safety. But it 12 is clear to everyone that public safety has not been sufficiently addressed in the 13 design of this project. Please make it 14 15 your higher priority in your final 16 decision. Thank you. 17 JUDGE SEE: Any cross-examination for this witness? 18 19 hold for just a second, please. 20 CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: You mentioned the 2.1 turbine manufacturer manual that had 2.1 --Yes. 2.2 MR. MANZ: 23 CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: -- setback 24 requirement. Can you give us the name of 25 the manufacturer, by any chance?

1	MR. MANZ: No, I can not, I
2	
3	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: If it occurs to
4	you or in your research, would you be kind
5	enough to send it to us?
6	MR. MANZ: Yes, I would.
7	I'll do that.
8	JUDGE SEE: Thank you.
9	MR. MANZ: Thank you.
10	JUDGE SEE: Any cross other
11	than Chairman Randazzo? Thank you. Thank
12	you, sir.
13	Mr. Smith?
14	MR. SMITH: Yes.
15	JUDGE SEE: Marylou Borgner.
16	Marylou Borgner?
17	Kathy Yost?
18	MS. YOST: Here.
19	JUDGE SEE: Thank you.
20	Mr. Smith, if could you raise your
21	right hand.
22	* * * * * * * * * * *
23	JASON SMITH
24	being of lawful age, was first duly
25	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the

1	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
2	hereinafter certified, testified and
3	said as follows:
4	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
5	your name for the record, provide us your
6	address and the township you live in.
7	MR. SMITH: Name is Jason
8	Smith, J-A-S-O-N, S-M-I-T-H. And
9	JUDGE SEE: Address?
10	MR. SMITH: address is 5514
11	East Township Road 130, Republic, Ohio.
12	JUDGE SEE: Township you live
13	in?
14	MR. SMITH: Scipio Township.
15	Scipio.
16	JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Go
17	ahead, please.
18	MR. SMITH: Again, my name's
19	Jason Smith. I would like to thank you for
20	the opportunity to comment on the potential
21	industrial wind turbine complex proposal.
22	I called it an industrial complex
23	because with the approval of this project
24	by the Ohio Power Siting Board, will result
25	in essentially converting thousands of

acres of farmland, which is currently zoned agricultural, into a industrial complex will remained zoned agriculture.

Many concerns I, as well as thousands of other county residents, have with these machines include but are not limited to, the excessive noise level of the machines themselves, which are often times higher than the World Health Organization deems healthy, shadow flicker, the loss of the protected Bald Eagle population, which we see nearly once a week, um, as well as other wildlife damages, infrasound and the harmful resulting effects, which is still being studied today as the effects are not fully understood by the science community, property value loss and obstructed air travel.

With all the issues stated, one in particular, one particular issues concerns me more than any other. What county landowners choose to do with their property is their business and their right. I know this, also, as I'm a landowner myself, non-participating. As long as those plans

25

do not interfere with my rights and my families' rights, my neighbors' rights, et cetera. In the case of the wind turbine discussion these 600 to 650 towering moving machines will all but eliminate aerial rescue abilities. The lack of heli-rescue operations in the eastern part of Seneca County as well as the southern part of Seneca County puts myself, my family, as well as my friends and my neighbors at I have a nine year old son and an 11 year old daughter. God forbid I, I or they ever need these services, however, should they need them I want and need to know that they're available. If these projects move forward the entire eastern and southern part of your county will essentially be cut off from emergency medivac services. Not only because of the sheer size of the location of the turbines, but also because of the wake they create which can be felt by an aircraft up to 3 miles away from each machine. This wind turbulence, AKA wake, which is visible on radar, creates and area in which aircraft cannot penetrate safely,

specifically helicopters. Due to the sheer 1 2. size, congestion, and eminent wake much of 3 the rural eastern and southern portions of our county will be off limits to airborne

5 rescue services.

> I took a section out of an article that I've been reading on and a pilot, a medivac pilot names Ray Slavic, he's retired EMS pilot who has flown for over 20 years for a Life Flight-type program. he was asked, do you feel that an EMS pilot would be able to land near a large wind turbine even if they shut them off? response, quote, don't kid yourself, they will most likely not land anywhere in the county where the turbines are located, I have arrived at many accident scenes before the sheriffs department. We, helicopter and crew, are often three-quarter of the way to an accident scene before the sheriffs department or other people in authority arrive on the scene. The first responders often make the first call and we are on the way. Time is the important issue and that is the reason for the EMS

24 25

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

CLASSIC REPORTING SERVICE 419.243.1919

1	flights. The sooner we arrive for the
2	transport the better the chance of saving
3	that life. Remember the reason we are here
4	is to be able to transport patients to the
5	nearest trauma center as soon as possible.
6	If the patient needs to be transported by
7	ground to a location free of turbines or to
8	the local medical center instead of a
9	direct pick up from the accident scene
10	valuable time is lost and that is what the
11	EMS helicopter program is all about.
12	Among the many, many other reasons
13	that these wind turbines should not be
14	built, to me and my family this is the most
15	important and directly effects my rights to
16	emergency medical treatment. For that
17	reason I request that the Ohio Power Siting
18	Board does not allow this project to move
19	forward in our region. Thank you.
20	JUDGE SEE: Any cross? Any
21	cross for this witness?
22	Thank you sir. Do you have a copy of
23	your statement?
24	MR. SMITH: Yeah.
25	JUDGE SEE: Thank you.

1	Bill Harpel. Bill Harpel?
2	Laurie Shellhouse. Shellhouse.
3	Laurie Shellhouse? Thank you.
4	Ms. Yost, if you could raise your
5	right hand?
6	* * * * * * * * * *
7	KATHLEEN YOST
8	being of lawful age, was first duly
9	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
10	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
11	hereinafter certified, testified and
12	said as follows:
13	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
14	your name for the record, give us your
15	address and the township you live in.
16	MS. YOST: My name is
17	Kathleen, K-A-T-H-L-E-E-N, Yost, Y-O-S-T.
18	I live at 3206 South County Road 7, Tiffin.
19	That's in Seneca Township. I am not in the
20	footprint of any of these turbine project
21	but I do have something to say.
22	JUDGE SEE: Go ahead.
23	MS. YOST: I would like to
24	address the more than 14,000 abandoned wind
25	turbines currently sited in the United

State. There are at least three abandoned project sites in California and five in Hawaii, with more scattered throughout many other states. The wind companies promise at the beginning to have a bond to cover decommissioning and removing turbines that are no longer viable, but after many sales to different investment groups, that promise is not being kept and these eyesores are what the residents need to live with for years to come, possibly decades.

The bases of these turbines are 25 to 30 feet deep. They need to blast through bedrock to build the bases. What is that going to do to our aquifers and wells? I have read testimony from people living in other areas with turbines and they have stated that it has affected their wells, sometimes the water is almost black. Living in the country we only have our wells to bring clean, fresh water into our homes.

For the past 20 years or so Seneca County has actively trying to reintroduce

2.

23

24

25

the Bald Eagle into this area. And we have done a terrific job. We now have dozens of nesting pairs. Wind companies like to say the cats kill more birds in the U.S. than turbines and I'm sure that's true. But cats kill robins, sparrows, starlings and other small birds that are not endangered. Cats do not kill eagles, hawks, owls and other large birds. Turbines also kill bats which are beneficial to area farmers, and even family gardeners, so that they can use less and spend less on pesticides. County has many nature preserves with the very purpose of providing habitat for a variety of native wildlife and plants, and at least three of these are in the footprint of the turbines. All those years of nurturing these birds will be for naught.

And this is an aside, I have pictures of eagles on my cell phone because I was so excited to see them that I have them.

Many rural areas of our county are zoned for agriculture, residential and some commercial use. What is the purpose of

local zoning laws if industrial-use

turbines are allowed on thousands of acres?

I can assure you none of the people living
in these areas never thought they would be
living in and industrial zone.

And one woman mentioned Whirlpool in Findlay. In Findlay Whirlpool has six turbines and they are one-third the size of what is proposed for our county. And they are already in an industrial zone.

None of the above points even
addresses the fact that it costs more in
ores and minerals and other production
costs to produce each turbine than will
ever be produced in electricity. None of
the wind turbines would be economically
viable without government subsidies. These
turbines are not the green dream or savior
that they are made out to be.

Please consider all of these points, along with others made here today, and decline to approve these industrial wind turbines In Seneca County. Thank you.

JUDGE SEE: Any cross for this

witness?

1	Nathan Miller. Nathan Miller?
2	MR. MILLER: I'm an intervenor,
3	I can't speak. Sorry.
4	JUDGE SEE: Darylene Dunn or
5	Darylene Dunn?
6	Ms. Shellhouse?
7	MS. SHELLHOUSE: Shellhouse.
8	JUDGE SEE: Shellhouse. Could
9	you raise your right hand, please?
10	* * * * * * * * * * *
11	LAURIE SHELLHOUSE
12	being of lawful age, was first duly
13	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
14	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
15	hereinafter certified, testified and
16	said as follows:
17	JUDGE SEE: State and spell
18	your name for the record, state your
19	address and your township.
20	MS. SHELLHOUSE: Laurie Shellhouse.
21	L-A-U-R-I-E, S-H-E-L-L-H-O-U-S-E. 761 East
22	Township Road 28, Tiffin, Ohio, Eden
23	Township.
24	JUDGE SEE: Thank you.
25	MS. SHELLHOUSE: We received a

24

25

letter from sPower that we were in the footprint. My name is Lori Shellhouse, my house Gary and I are Eden township residents. I grew up in Attica, Venice Township. We live on my husband's family farm, it's been in the family for 89 years. This farm is our children's legacy. You can't put a price tag on farms that have been in families for generations. I post a comment to the OPSB on May 1, 2018, describing the meeting that was held on April 17, 2018, looking like a county fair, with the amount of people there seeking information. How ironic that this meeting is taking place during our actual county fair. These wind turbines have pit families, neighbors and friends against one another.

I went to the Seneca Regional Planning website and printed Article 13 of Eden

Township's zoning concerning wind turbines.

There wasn't a date on the article. I'm going to read a few things I found very interesting. The purpose of the section is to establish general guidelines for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

location of wind turbines and individual wind turbine systems to protect the public health, safety, comfort and general welfare of the residents of Eden Township, and to protect the scenic beauty of the area from unnecessary and unreasonable visual interference and noise.

This section seeks to: Protect residential and agriculture areas from potential adverse impact of wind turbines; permit wind turbines in selected areas by on-site residential, commercial or industrial uses, subject to the terms, conditions and provisions hereof; ensure the public health, welfare and safety of Eden Township residents in connection with wind turbines; avoid potential damage to real and personal property from the wind turbines or the failure of such structures and related operations. The maximum height of any turbine shall be 100 feet, which includes the tower and the maximum vertical height of the turbines blades. Maximum height shall be calculated by measuring the length of a prop at a maximum vertical

rotation to the base of the tower. A wind turbine shall be located on a maximum of one acre.

Now, I realize state overrides local government, unfortunately. I've been doing some research and the common thread with everything I read is ask us, don't force it on us. My husband and I have no neighbors directly beside us or across from us, just land. We have no problem with what you do with your property but when it bleeds onto our property, we have a problem. We are told that you can't hear the infrasound and we won't even notice the shadow flicker. However, people have compared the shadow flicker to a child turning a light switch on and off, on and off. I suffer from debilitating migraines and am extremely light sensitive. I am certain the shadow flicker won't give me one migraine at all.

As far as infrasound, I disagree with this as well. In 2016 we were traveling I-30 to Indy to visit our son during a summer internship. The radio was on, I had earbuds in, listening to a book on my

24

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

phone, my eyes were closed, seat reclined, when I felt rhythmic vibration. I sat bolt upright and said, oh, my God, is something wrong with our car? My husband never said a word, just pointed to the left, and all I could see were turbines for miles. I said oh, my God, those poor people. And two years later we are those people.

People have reported heart attack symptoms from the constant vibrations and infrasound which is also a great concern as my husband has a heart condition. was a book written in 2009 by Dr. Nina Pierport about wind turbine syndrome. book explains that many people living in 1.2 miles of these spinning giants getting sick. So sick that they often abandon their homes. I can't imagine leaving our family farm that's been in our family for 89 years. Nobody wants to buy their toxic farms. The lucky ones get quietly bought out by wind developers who steadfastly refuse to acknowledge that wind turbine syndrome exists and yet the wind developers thoughtfully include a confidentiality

1	clause in the sales agreement, forbidding
2	them from discussing the matter further.
3	My question is why would they need that
4	clause if they have nothing to hide?
5	Someone mentioned the turbine in
6	Findlay that serve the Ball Corporation.
7	However, what wasn't mentioned was that in
8	February the Findlay City Planning
9	Commission rejected two 400-foot turbines.
10	The residents denounced the wind turbines
11	as an eyesore which would sink their
12	property values, they worried about shadow
13	flicker and expressed health concerns.
14	They learned from their mistakes, we should
15	too.
16	JUDGE SEE: Any cross for
17	witness?
18	MR. BORCHERS: (Indicating.)
19	JUDGE SEE: Thank you, ma'am,
20	If you have copies of your statement.
21	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Ms. Dunn is next
22	and on deck is Keith Moyer. Is Keith here?
23	Please raise your right hand.
24	* * * * * * * * * *
25	DARYLENE DUNN

1	being of lawful age, was first duly
2	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
3	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
4	hereinafter certified, testified and
5	said as follows:
6	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
7	spell your name for the record, your
8	address and your township.
9	MS. DUNN: I am Darylene M.
10	Dunn, D-A-R-Y-L-E-N-E, M, D-U-N-N. I live
11	at 7534 East County Road 12, Bloomville,
12	Ohio, 44818, Bloom Township.
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
14	proceed.
15	MS. DUNN: I am most
16	appreciative to talk to you today. My
17	husband and I give our support to the
18	sPower Wind. We are destined to have four
19	turbines in our area on your land.
20	A few obstacles have come my way
21	during my life. I had polio at age 11 and
22	bladder cancer removal in an eight-hour
23	operation two years ago at the James Center
24	I am most fortunate. This particular issue
25	will be my last hurdle to get over.

1	We own our land, pay our taxes, and
2	like many make our decisions as to what
3	happens on our land. We signed our lease
4	with a power company, wind power company 12
5	years ago.
6	Our area around Bloomville needs help
7	financially. We have no restaurants and
8	many businesses have left.
9	Two close friends have traveled two
10	times around the world. They have told us
11	many countries are way ahead of the U.S. in
12	wind power.
13	Since God gave us wind and it is free,
14	let's use it. Thank you.
15	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Is
16	there any cross?
17	MR. SHUFF: No.
18	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
19	Mr. Moyer and on deck Dan Tyell. Is
20	Mr. Tyell here?
21	Please raise your right hand.
22	* * * * * * * * * * *
23	KEITH MOYER
24	being of lawful age, was first duly
25	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the

whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as 1 2. hereinafter certified, testified and 3 said as follows: 4 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and 5 spell your name for the record and give us 6 your address and township. 7 MR. MOYER: My name is Keith Moyer. I live at 3040 South State Route 67 8 9 in Tiffin, Ohio. I'm in Bloom Township. 10 My name is spelled K-E-I-T-H, M-O-Y-E-R. 11 JUDGE SEE: Please proceed. 12 Thank you. I'd like to focus 13 MR. MOYER: 14 on the visual impact assessments submitted 15 by Seneca Wind and prepared by Tetra Tech. 16 According to Ohio Administrative Code 17 4906-4-09 Section C, Section 6, the 18 applicant shall provide photographic 19 simulations or artist's pictorial sketches 20 of the proposed facility from at least one 2.1 vantage point in each area of three square 2.2 miles within the project area, showing 23 views to the north, south, east and west. 24 The photographic simulations or artist's 25 pictorial sketches shall incorporate the

environmental atmospheric conditions under which the facility would be most visible.

The assessment selected 21 observation points. Of the 21 submitted photographic simulations, 14 were within the project area. Of those 14, only two had four angles of observation. There were two more with three angels of observation. Eight of the remaining photographs had two angels of observation and two photos only contained one angle of observation. There were no submitted photos which incorporated views to the north, south, east and west. None of the photographic simulations met the requirements of all four compass directions.

Observation points 3, 4, 16 and 18, were all taken from the lowest points relative to the surrounding area.

Observation points 4, 16 and 18 were taken near creeks and appear to be the lowest possible elevation to the surrounding landscape.

Observation point 3 happens to be at the northeast corner of my property, it's

at the intersection of State Route 67 and US 224. This is a dangerous intersection which has had multiple serious accidents because of the limited visibility of distance. If the observation point had been moved about 600 feet west, to the top of the hill, they would have much better view of things going on there. From that point I can see five miles away to a water tower at Sentinel School.

The Ohio Administrative Code in

Section 4906-4-028(D)(4) impact of the

facility section states; describe the

visibility of the project, including a

viewshed analysis and area visual effect

shown on a corresponding map of the study

area. The viewshed analysis shall not

incorporate deciduous vegetation,

agricultural crops, or other seasonal land

cover as viewing obstacles.

All the observation point photos were taken when the trees were in full leaf.

Observation point 5 uses a church and a tree as viewing obstacles. Observation point 4 has power poles as viewing

25

obstacles. Observation point 3 has trees as viewing obstacles. Observation point 15 was taken in the Village of Attica and it shows three views of buildings. Observation point 8 was taken in the Village of Bloomville at the intersection of State Route 19 and New Haven Street with two views of buildings. Observation point 19 was taken at the intersection of South Washington Street and Main Street in Tiffin it was obstructed by buildings, a van and multiple cars. Observation point 20 is at Heidelberg's Football Stadium, everybody knows that Heidelberg's Football Stadium is one of the lowest points in Tiffin. Observation point 21 from Kern Park has a nice view of trees.

Having driven through areas in Ohio that contain wind farms with wind turbines that are much shorter than those proposed for the Seneca Wind Farm, I can state that this study does not show the extreme visual impact of 77 nearly 600-foot tall wind turbines in five townships. If the intention was to actually show the visual

1	impact of the wind turbines it failed and
2	their application for certificate should be
3	denied. Seems to me that the intent of
4	this visual impact assessment was to avoid
5	showing the visual impact, not show the
6	visual impact. Thank you.
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Is there cross for
8	this witness?
9	Please bring your comments up here.
10	Cheryl Mira. Cheryl Mira? Is Cheryl
11	Mira here?
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: She left.
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
14	David Ziegler. David Ziegler?
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: He left.
16	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
17	Lisa Handshoe and on deck is Kim
18	Siesel. Is Kim here? Thank you.
19	Please raise your right hand.
20	* * * * * * * * * *
21	LISA HANDSHOE
22	being of lawful age, was first duly
23	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
24	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
25	hereinafter certified, testified and

1	said as follows:
2	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
3	state and spell your name for the record,
4	your address and township.
5	MS. HANDSHOE: Lisa Handshoe,
6	L-I-S-A, H-A-N-D-S-H-O-E. 6085 East State
7	Road 18, Republic, Scipio Township.
8	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. You
9	may proceed.
10	MS. HANDSHOE: Today I had to ask
11	myself some questions. Why am I choosing
12	to speak and what are the leading factors
13	that started my journey to oppose, to
14	opposing any wind projects be ability in
15	Seneca County the way they are currently
16	being proposed?
17	Three things came to mind. A lot of
18	things came to mind, three things are what
19	I'm going to mention.
20	Number one, total disregard for public
21	input. Number two, why such the effort to
22	silence the affected township voices. And
23	number three, total disregard for public
24	safety and natural habitat.
25	I will quickly cover my thoughts on

all three of these questions in my mind knowing that there is little time and many other people who would still like to speak.

Total disregard for public input: I am greatly saddened by the secrecy and/or the total disregard for total input. For a proximity of ten years, and now we just heard that this for, it's 12 years, the proposed projects were in the making with no knowledge given to the community. I do not understand why it was hidden under the darkest veil from the affected communities. There must be reasons that the public was kept in the dark. It is troublesome to why the proposed projects would be kept quiet. I believe mostly because they know not to be a good fit to Seneca County.

Silence the affected townships: It seems to be common goal of the wind companies to quickly silence the voices from any communities that has proposed turbine projects in the works. The people that may have to live among these machines should have the ultimate say on whether these projects are a good fit or not. It's

very disturbing to know that there is so much outside influence trying to tell our loving communities that so dearly keep Seneca County as their home how we should live. We know what's best for your communities better than any outsider ever would. It should not be up to anyone besides the townships to decide what is best.

As I drive, I'm two miles from

Republic and as I drive into Republic from

Tiffin we've got the sign that says

Seneca -- or Scipio township, zoned for

your protection, and every time I just have

to laugh because what does that mean, what

does that mean in this instance?

natural habitat: This is the most important one to me, the total disregard for public safety. There are many documented turbine failures that occur every year. I feel that if all the failures that really do occur actually got reported the numbers would be staggering. These machines are known for a fact to have

blade failures. The information on the 1 2. length of these blade throws have been 3 given to the Board and exceed the setbacks. 4 Other public safety hazards are fires, 5 cause of health conditions, shadow flicker, 6 and total disrupt every day quality for 7 those living around them. I'm appalled 8 that any person could think that it is okay 9 to subject any living person to the 10 conditions that exist living among 11 industrial wind turbines. And I'll say 12 that again, industrial wind turbines. 1.3 that sink in for a second. That name says 14 it all. They're not a good fit in Seneca 15 County communities because we do not live 16 in an industrial habitat. We choose to 17 live in an agricultural rural habitat that 18 is safe. 19 We need to keep our community safe 20 along with Seneca County's natural beauty 2.1 and habitat for many generations to come. 2.2 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Any cross? 23 Thank you. Do you want to give us a 24 copy of your statement? 25 On deck is Dan White. Is Mr. White

1	here? Thank you.
2	Mr. Siesel, please raise your right
3	hand.
4	* * * * * * * * * *
5	KIP SIESEL
6	being of lawful age, was first duly
7	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
8	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
9	hereinafter certified, testified and
10	said as follows:
11	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
12	spell your name for the record
13	MR. SIESEL: My name is Kip,
14	K-I-P, last name Siesel, S-I-E-S-E-L.
15	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: And your address
16	and township, please.
17	MR. SIESEL: So I'm an
18	Operating Engineer, Local 18 business rep.
19	I'll give you the office address. 2412
20	South Reynolds Road, Toledo, Ohio, 43614.
21	Although, I do reside in Seneca County in
22	Venice Township, so
23	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Okay.
24	MR. SIESEL: Is that good?
25	On behalf of Operating Engineers Local

Union 18, I'm here to support the approval of the Seneca Wind Farm project.

The members of Local 18 and the State of Ohio have benefited greatly from the wind farm projects built in Northwest Ohio over the past several years. There have been several phases and years of work for our members as a result of these projects, and the Seneca Wind Farm will help contribute to the creation of jobs. In turn, high paying wages, health care benefits and training programs can continue to grow because of the wind industry.

The areas in which these projects have already been constructed have seen numerous benefits in the townships and the counties involved. If approved the Seneca Wind Farm will not only create jobs but you can expect an economic impact, additional tax money, and clean energy for Ohio as a result from the project.

The members of Local 18 have the experience to build this project safely and on time from there involvement on the other wind farm projects. They also have been

1	provided the proper training required to
2	build a project of this size safely and on
3	time. The ongoing maintenance of the
4	Seneca Wind Farm would also continue to
5	employ our members during the project's
6	existence.
7	We encourage the Ohio Power Siting
8	Board to support the jobs of the men and
9	woman of Local 18 by approving the Seneca
10	Wind Farm application.
11	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
12	Is there any cross for this witness?
13	Could you provide a copy of your testimony?
14	On deck is Kurt Lease. Is Mr. Lease
15	here?
16	Mr. White, please raise your right
17	hand.
18	* * * * * * * * * *
19	DAN WHITE
20	being of lawful age, was first duly
21	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
23	hereinafter certified, testified and
24	said as follows:
25	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please

1	state and spell your name for the record,
2	your address and your township.
3	MR. WHITE: Okay. Dan, D-A-N,
4	last name White, W-H-I-T-E. Address, 4080
5	East Eden Township Road, spawling of
6	Bloomville, Ohio.
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: And township?
8	MR. WHITE: Eden.
9	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Okay. Please
10	proceed.
11	MR. WHITE: Good evening. My
12	name is Dan White and I live in the heart
13	of the proposed Seneca Wind project
14	footprint. Article 1 of our Ohio
15	Constitution states: All men are by
16	nature, free and independent, and have
17	certain inalienable rights, among which are
18	those of enjoying and defending life and
19	liberty, acquiring, possessing and
20	protecting property, and seeking and
21	obtaining happiness and safety.
22	I strongly believe that the Seneca
23	Wind project violates my constitutional
24	rights as we currently have little or no
25	control of the process other than my

offerings, along with our fellow yellow brothers and sisters, testimony here tonight.

I object to the negative effects that the wind turbines will have on my family's quality of life, public safety, negative impact wildlife, and the devaluation of my property. The fact that there are documented cases of turbine blade failures where debris was thrown greater distances than what our setbacks distances are doesn't make any logical sense. There is clearly a public safety issue.

Also, with regard to our wildlife and very fine local Seneca County Parks

District, Ohio Department of Natural

Resources studies indicate a 2.5-mile

minimum radius distance for turbine siting

near natural resources, woods, forests,

prairie, water sources, with respect to not

negatively impacting flight and travel

patterns, nesting, inspect activity, et

cetera. Within the footprint of Seneca

Wind we have Forrest Nature Preserve,

nearest turbine, 0.37 mile. Garlo

1	Heritage, 0.6. Bowen, the champion but
2	still defeated at 2.0 miles.
3	There's overwhelming opposition to
4	this project in Seneca County as attested
5	by this evening's attendance of my fellow
6	yellow brothers and sisters.
7	I strongly urge you to deny the
8	construction certificate for this specific
9	project, Seneca Wind.
10	Thank you, God bless and have a safe
11	drive.
12	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
13	Is there any cross for this witness?
14	On deck is Beth Walliser. Is Beth
15	here? Thank you.
16	Mr. Lease, will you please raise your
17	right hand?
18	* * * * * * * * * *
19	KURT LEASE
20	being of lawful age, was first duly
21	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
23	hereinafter certified, testified and
24	said as follows:
25	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please

state and spell your name for the record, 1 2. your address and township. 3 MR. LEASE: Name is Kurt 4 Lease, K-U-R-T, L-E-A-S-E. Address is 5251 5 East County Road 16, Tiffin, Ohio, 44883. 6 And I live in Bloom Township. 7 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please proceed. 9 MR. LEASE: My name is Kurt 10 Lease. I live and own the -- I get a 11 little tongue-tied, sorry. I live and own 12 land inside the footprint of the Seneca 13 Wind project. I object to this project because of the unsafe conditions that will 14 15 result from building these turbines and 16 because of the lack of input allowed by the 17 local citizens. 18 One of the safety issues is the impact that turbines will have on air ambulances. 19 20 I have been a member of the Bloom Township 2.1 Volunteer Fire Department for 21 years. 2.2 Our department has responded to many 23 accidents where air ambulances have had to 24 land on scene to evacuate wounded. 25 often under less than ideal conditions and

weather, visibility-type things. Turbines will most certainly prevent some of the scene landings. I can tell you from experience these accidents can be kind of gruesome. I have personally called for an air ambulance at an auto accident and was later told that the victim would not have survived had the air ambulance been delayed for any reason. I mean, they actually did surgery on the back, in the back of the squad before they flew him, it was that close. Being able to land a helicopter on scene is many times the only way that can save lives.

At the very least someone is going to have to build and maintain several helicopter landing pads in various locations throughout the project so patients can be moved to them locations with a ground ambulance to help speed things along. Our average transport time for our ambulance is 10 to 15 minutes. So we can have a Life Flight there in that amount of time and have them heading to a trauma center. You add 15 to 15, it ain't

a good combination. But even then, extra
the time and extra moving of patients will
cost some lives.

Another safety issue is injury by the turbines themselves. The old saying of good fences make good neighbors is a good way to look at this subject. It is now public knowledge that large wind turbines can and do have blade failures. 3800 per year is the number often quoted. The blade throw workshop held by the Power Siting Board earlier this year was a real eyeopener for everyone. Now we know that blade pieces large enough to cause severe injury fly hundreds of feet farther than the current setbacks used to design this project. With that type of information shouldn't the Board be, sorry, considering longer setbacks than this minimums the Ohio Revised Code currently finds? How can this project be given the go-ahead knowing the public safety is at risk? Why is there no mention of this known blade throw hazard in the Staff report?

Today's public meeting is supposed to

1

2.

3

25

be the time when the Board receives input from the public. We would hope that you would, you might consider what we have to say, especially our concerns about public safety. But the Staff released its report three weeks ago. How can you say our input means anything at all? This entire process makes no sense. You make your decisions with no input from the people who will have to live inside the projects for decades and you don't even consider our safety. can you expect us to do anything other than oppose the plan? It should be no surprise to see us trying as hard as we can to defend our homes and families. You know the turbines are dangerous at the distances drawn on the plans. Please make public safety the number one priority on your list by moving them to a safe distance. Make sure something is done to help air ambulance issue. I personally have volunteered my time to protect the citizens and wouldn't think twice to do it again. Now it's your turn to do the same. have no higher duty than to protect the

1	people of Seneca County and Ohio. Thank
2	you.
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Is there any cross
4	for this witness?
5	On deck is Richard Hughes. Is Mr.
6	Hughes here?
7	Please raise your right hand.
8	* * * * * * * * * *
9	BETH WALLISER
10	being of lawful age, was first duly
11	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
12	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
13	hereinafter certified, testified and
14	said as follows:
15	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: State and spell
16	your name for the record, your address and
17	the township you reside in.
18	MS. WALLISER: Okay. My name is
19	Beth, B-E-T-H, Walliser, W-A-L-L-I-S-E-R.
20	I live at 45 Thomas Street here in Tiffin,
21	Ohio. I believe it's Clinton Township but
22	I'm not 100 percent sure. I'm on the north
23	side of town. My sister does live in Eden
24	Township and they've resided there for 30
25	vears.

I'd like to start off by saying thank you for hosting this meeting. Is it appreciative that you come to our county instead of us traveling to Columbus. I'd like to start off by saying I am an environmentalist. I truly believe we need to develop more green energy to offset our dependence on fossil fuels, however, location, location, location is the key.

Location of these industrial wind turbines and their infrastructure will create adverse health and safety effects on people, homes, schools, farmland, livestock, wildlife, roads, bridges, Light Flight assessability and on and on.

It is disturbing to think that 16

turbines would be circling Seneca East

School. For any child who could develop

health issues as a result of these

turbines, where would they go for a safe

education? What would by an alternate

school? There are so many unanswered

questions that should be alarming as for

the public safety of us citizens in Seneca

County. Our Seneca County Commissioners

1	should adhere to the Seneca County
2	Comprehensive Plan created by the Seneca
3	County Regional Planning Commission,
4	rescind the alternative energy zone and
5	vote to intervene against Republic Wind and
6	Seneca Wind.
7	The Ohio Power Siting Board should
8	deny this application. And I
9	wholeheartedly hope that you take into
10	consideration everything that's been said
11	tonight.
12	Thank you for your consideration.
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
14	Is there cross for this witness?
15	On deck is Bryan Stacklin. Is Bryan
16	here? Thank you.
17	Please raise your right hand.
18	* * * * * * * * * * *
19	RICHARD HUGHES
20	being of lawful age, was first duly
21	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
23	hereinafter certified, testified and
24	said as follows:
25	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and

spell your name for the record, your address and the township you reside in.

MR. HUGHES: Okay. My name is Richard Hughes, H-U-G-H-E-S. I live at 335 South Monroe in Tiffin and, um, actually I'm in Hopewell Township part of it.

Okay. And I would like to address the Board. It's a pleasure to have you here today, but we do have concerns coming through of this effort and I trust that you'll take them to heart and really look, dig into the facts and the research. I know you have many duties but this is a very critical area for this county.

We have a lot of advertising going through, and I'm not going to lay a lot of facts on you, we're hearing much of it, I can tell you, through our other speakers.

We do have a mixed concern, one is the integrity of the information being put out by the wind farm. Okay? I personally perceive a lot of deception going on with this program. They're going to tell you what they can do for you but they're not

going to tell you the consequences, and this is a serious issues for the residents of Seneca County.

Also, we have the ideas that, of the height of these turbines. Okay, as you may understand the very tallest tree in the world is the great Sequoia tree along the coast of California. It's going to be dwarfed by the size of these windmills that will be perspectively from some of the largest in the United States. Why such a large unit being put into our county? All right. And this is, this is going to be huge. You're going to see them and you're going to feel all these effects. Even though we have advocates that say well, you know, there's a financial gain here for us. Well, I think the risk is far greater than any financial gain we could ever do.

And of course, with these wind farms, as we're well aware, without huge government subsidies these wind farms would not it exist. All right. That's just a fact of life.

So we have to really take a look at

1

2.

the environmental impact definitely on our, our youth. Seneca East has been mentioned several times which is a serious issue on the health of these children which is real.

I do believe it is quite real.

Also the environmental impacts of our county. And do we really have enough wind days to support such windmills or is much of this power going to be generated through use of other resources with huge generators? I personally do not believe we're going to have sufficient wind days to support such a, such a program.

Also, we are going to have definitely economic impact coming in to our people.

There are going to be a loss of values of property I believe. Also I can see our, or perceive that I see a loss of business coming into this community that that's going to have such a huge impact with the influx of these large turbines.

We also have now the one other area that I want to look at is, of course, the land value that they, from what I have been identifying in my own personal research it

2.1

2.2

will impact land value for these people in 1 2 a negative way. 3 So these some of the areas that I 4 really trust when you weigh the impact and 5 benefits of these windmills on the 6 residents of Seneca County, I trust you'll 7 see that this project is in no way of benefit to these individuals, but rather 8 9 more of a risk to the community as a whole. 10 Thank you very much. 11 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Is there cross for this witness? 12 13 Thank you. 14 On deck is Mark, and I can't read your 15 last name, but your address is 8720 West 16 Township Road 96. Are you here, Mark? 17 Okay. Ed Clark, you're on deck. Mr. Clark here? Thank you. 18 19 Please raise your right hand. * * * * * * * 20 2.1 BRYAN STACKLIN 2.2 being of lawful age, was first duly 23 sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the 24 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as 25 hereinafter certified, testified and

1	said as follows:
2	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
3	spell your name for the record, your
4	address and your township.
5	MR. STACKLIN: My name is Bryan
6	Stacklin, B-R-Y-A-N, S-T-A-C-K-L-I-N. I
7	reside at 210 South Main Street, that's in
8	Attica, Ohio, that's in Venice Township.
9	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
LO	proceed.
L1	MR. STACKLIN: Well, me and a
L2	gentleman earlier had the same idea, I
L3	think it was Mr. White, Article 1 of the
L 4	Ohio Constitution, all men are, by nature,
L5	free and independent, and have certain
L6	inalienable rights, among which are those
L7	of enjoying and defending life and liberty,
L8	acquiring, possessing and protecting
L9	property, and seeking and obtaining
20	happiness and safety.
21	The majority of residents within
22	Seneca County are against this project. I
23	know there's been various, I think
24	Tiffin.net had three different polls and
25	they were all majority against it. This

1	project will hinder our right to enjoy our
2	lives and obtain happiness due to the
3	effects of the industrial wind turbines;
4	such as but not limited to, shadow flicker,
5	scarring of our landscape, impact on
6	wildlife, and I could go on and on but I'll
7	try to keep it short. We have the right by
8	Article 1 of the Constitution to safety
9	from dangers of these industrial wind
10	turbines; such as but not limited to,
11	infrasound, blade throws, ice throw, and
12	protecting our children from the 16
13	industrial wind turbines within 2 miles of
14	Seneca East Local Schools, which I have a
15	five year old and a three year old that
16	will be attending them, one that was a
17	learning disability. And we are here today
18	to protect our families, friends and
19	properties, we ask that Ohio Power Siting
20	Board deny this application. Thank you.
21	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Is there cross for
22	this witness?
23	Would you like to leave your
24	statement?
25	Okay. On deck is Joe Anderson.

1	Please raise your right hand.
2	* * * * * * * * * *
3	EDWARD CLARK
4	being of lawful age, was first duly
5	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
6	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
7	hereinafter certified, testified and
8	said as follows:
9	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
10	state and spell your name for the record,
11	your address and township.
12	MR. CLARK: Edward Clark,
13	E-D-W-A-R-D, C-L-A-R-K. 3671 South State
14	Route 231, Tiffin, and that is Eden
15	Township.
16	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
17	proceed.
18	MR. CLARK: I'm going to, I'm
19	going to kind of protest on a little bit
20	different level. Give you a little
21	background about myself. I've got over 30
22	years in electrical trades. I been in
23	construction industry for quite some time.
24	I, too, went to Van Wert. I spent the
25	better half of a day with a good friend and

my wife talking to people down there. And a lot of things kind of come into play with me on these projects. There's really no oversight.

When I look at the National Electrical Code in that book it does cover an article through the NEC, but yet we do not use the state people for our local building code officials to police these projects. So it just kind of comes to mind that there's a lot of different things. Um, and I'm just going to touch on a few of the articles, because if anybody knows the National Electrical Code it's like reading cereal, seriously.

Article 694.4, equipment grounding.

Wind turbine tower shall be connected to a grounding electric pole -- electrode system, excuse me. Where installed in close proximity to galvanized foundation or tower anchor components, galvanized grounding electrodes shall be used.

Bonding conductor; equipment grounding conductors or supply-side bonding jumpers, as applicable, shall be required between

1 turbines, towers, and premise grounding.

And three, tower connections.

Equipment grounding, bonding and grounding electrode conductors, where used, shall be connected to metallic towers using listed means. All mechanical elements used to terminate these conductors shall be accessible.

So who's policing this to make sure that the grounding system is complete? I mean, we have, we have a transformer that sits outside each one of these turbines that kick the voltage up to 38,000 volts. So is the bonding done per the electrical code?

I also, Article 300.5 E states

backfill. Backfill containing large rocks,

paving materials, cinders, large or sharp

angular substances, or corrosive materials,

shall not be placed in the excavation where

materials can damage or contribute to the

corrosion or raceways, cables, or other

substructures which may prevent adequate

compaction of fill.

Protection in the form of granular or

selected materials or suitable sleeves shall be provided to prevent physical damage to the raceway or cable.

2.1

2.2

Now, when you read your cable
manufacturer specifications, the cable
manufacturer states in there that ground,
inground conductors as this, if they're not
installed correctly the life of these
cables can decrease down to 10 or 15 years.
So there's a lot of different substances
that should be used when you're doing that.

Table 300.50. And this is for over 22,000 KV and below 40,000 KV direct buried cable is to be a depth of 36 inches of cover. That's not to the bottom of the trench, that's to the top of the conductor. So as they stack these things in we have multiple runs that are coming through these trenches. How deep are they going to go and who's checking to make sure that the depth is there? They could be at 24 inches if they're in a PVC conduit or metal raceway.

It also goes on to note, to general note two in that same section, where solid

rock prevents compliance with cover depth specified in this table, the wiring shall be installed metal or non-metallic raceway permitted for raceway burial. The raceway shall be covered by a minimum of 1 inches of concrete extending down to rock.

There again, who's policing this?

Who's making sure that the installer of these cables are doing this correctly?

Because I spoke to the Ohio Power Siting Board, the Corp of Engineers, they do periodically inspections to the job site, but they do not do a phase construction as what I have to go through where, before I can bury the cable or I can cover it in concrete, it has to be looked at.

Given these three sections of the NEC, who verifies that these standards are upheld? One of the projects consists of over 20,000 acres of wind farms in cases we have bedrock of 8 inches or below.

Shouldn't there be a concern for the farmer's use of sub soilers that can easily reach depths of 16 inches? If these installations are not monitored closely

who's watching out for the wellbeing of our local farmers?

These are just a few items that should require site inspections prior to any concrete pour or table installed and covered, in addition to the turbines being erected. I view this as the turbine contractors get a free pass on these inspections ands it puts landowner and the general public at risk.

I am going to touch on setbacks. I'd also like to speak about setbacks and possible blade throws. There are documented cases of blade throws have thrown debris more than 1800 feet. The current setbacks for Seneca Wind are 1334 feet from the nearest non-participating property line. This results in a 500-foot shortfall and protection of the public.

And in closing I'd like to talk about a local vote. You've seen House Bill 6, they pulled the Reineke Referendum again. But it was a close margin, it was a six to seven vote. And we know we got the House

25

of Representatives majority controlling this and we need this. And I would like to say speaking on behalf of the proposed referendum that was added to House Bill 6 the House Bill Representative and Bill Reineke Representative -- Representative Bill Reineke. In the Senate committee review, the local referendum was removed but the tremendous support and attention that the local referendum received at the Statehouse should strongly demonstrate to the Ohio Power Siting Board that the people in the affected areas want to have some means of local control. If wind power's so great, why is the industry so afraid of giving us citizens the opportunity to vote? I personally feel a referendum should not just be here in Ohio, but should be a federal law that allows the public to vote that are going to be affected.

I do have a few other things I'm going to leave with you, this trenching and excavation, bury cables from, from one of the articles, along with some other OSHA concerns.

1	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Is
2	there any cross from this witness?
3	Please come up with your comments.
4	Mr. Anderson is up and Jessica Cornett
5	is on deck. Is Ms. Cornett here? Ms.
6	Cornett?
7	Dustin Ash? Is Mr. Ash here? I don't
8	know if I'm reading that correctly.
9	AUDIENCE MEMBER: What's the
10	address?
11	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Address is
12	14281
13	AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's me.
14	Austin.
15	JUDGE SEE: Oh, thank you.
16	I'm glad you asked. Thank you.
17	Please raise your right hand.
18	* * * * * * * * * *
19	JOSEPH ANDERSON
20	being of lawful age, was first duly
21	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
23	hereinafter certified, testified and
24	said as follows:
25	JUDGE SEE: Please state and

spell your name for the record, your 1 2 address and township. MR. ANDERSON: 3 My name is Joseph Anderson, J-O-S-E-P-H, A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N. 4 5 life in Scipio Township. 9444 East 6 Township Road 124, Republic, Ohio. 7 JUDGE SEE: Thank you. Please 8 proceed. 9 Okay. MR. ANDERSON: I'm not 10 going to address a lot of the technical 11 data that you see in these times, but why 12 I'm against this project, 20 years ago we 13 purchased land and developed it and we 14 enjoy being out in the country. It's 15 quiet. We've actually had people say your 16 birds woke me up, when they come to visit. 17 Now we're told well, these things won't be 18 much more than like a distance jet, but the 19 distance jets aren't seven days a week or 20 24/7, whatever that they run. So that's 2.1 going to be annoying to us. 2.2 So our property value will diminish 23 greatly. Who's going to want to buy my 24 house? I don't think any of you will want 25 to live there with the turbines down the

street. So also I'd like, curious, how
many of these lease holders will be living
next to these turbines? From what I've
heard not very close. So -- and by the
way, a lot of these towers we're going to
be putting up, to put things in
perspective, the Trump Tower is 664 feet
high. So we're talking how many in our
Seneca County? So 70-some Trump Towers.

I'm against. My personal house, the aggravation seeing these both day and night will lead to more stress, not to mention negative effect it will have our skylight. At night we like to sit out and look at galaxies such as, whatever, meteor showers. Now we're going to have flickering lights as well as noise. So that's going to take away from us. So, there again, our diminished lifestyle.

Our Bald Eagles, symbol of America will be endangered as well as birds of prey. It will be a buffet for coyotes, that is before the pickup man gets there in the morning, so they'll be lots of -- I've

2.

talked to people in Harding County who live 1 2 right by these and they said yeah, they 3 create a job, he personally knew of a 4 gentleman that lived near Kenton, his job 5 was to be out there at dawn to pick up the 6 dead animals, the birds I should say, 7 underneath these things. So these are just some of the negative 8 9 effects and that's why I'm against it. 10 Um, I don't know how many of you had 11 to come up Route 53 from 23, but prior to 12 today there was numerous anti wind signs, 13 but for some odd reason here recently, just 14 the other day, a lot them disappeared. 15 this is what's happening in our county. 16 It's creating a great division and a lot of 17 us just flat out don't want it. So thank 18 you for your time, appreciate your coming. 19 JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Is there cross for 20 this witness? 21 MR. BORCHERS: Your Honor, just 2.2 one clarification. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. BORCHERS: 25 Sir, are you the Mr. Joseph Anderson who's part

1	of the local
2	A I'm sorry, I can't hear you please.
3	Q Can you hear me okay now, sir?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Thank you. Just for clarification, are you the
6	Mr. Joseph Anderson who is part of the local residents
7	group who has intervened in the Republic Wind Ohio Power
8	Siting Board proceeding?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. BORCHERS: That's all I have.
12	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
13	Dustin, are you up and on deck is Maria
14	Anderson. Is Ms. Anderson here? Ms.
15	Anderson?
16	Michael who lives at 102 Dorchester
17	Square, Westerville, Ohio?
18	JUDGE SEE: Waterville?
19	JUDGE AUGOSTINI:
20	Waterville? Michael? Is there a
21	Michael?
22	Okay. Chris Zeman. Is Mr. Zeman
23	here? Thank you.
24	Please raise your right hand.
25	* * * * * * * * * *

DUSTIN AUSTIN

being of lawful age, was first duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as hereinafter certified, testified and said as follows:

JUDGE SEE: Please state and spell your name for the record, your address and the township you reside in.

MR. AUSTIN: My name is Dustin Austin and it's spelled D-U-S-T-I-N,
A-U-S-T-I-N. I live at 14281 County Road
46, Bellevue, Ohio, Thompson Township.

I come to you as a long time Seneca

County resident, a resident whose family
has been in this county for over 170 years.

Furthermore, my home is located on the
family farm which has been in our family
for 131 years. Over the years I've heard
generations of family members tell stories
about the land, give insights to the
farming operations and the geology of the
farm. This is very important since we're
here today to talk about the industrial
wind farms proposed for our area.

I have grave concerns over these

projects, as they will greatly impact my

family, my home, the surrounding area where

I reside. I have three major concerns with

this project that I hope the Ohio Power

Siting Board takes into account before

One is the impact the turbines will have on the water systems in this county. You see people, actually many of my neighbors, rely on their wells for their main and only water source for their home, barns and animals. Many families today cannot afford another water source or have one brought in. Especially, since most wells are a free water source, helping to make it financially easier for families to

granting certificate to this project.

In one community in Chatham-Kent,

Ontario, there are three major -- there are
major problems with water wells being
contaminated with black shale sediment.

This has affected over 200 homes, which was
first noticed during construction and
operations of the North Kent Wind project.

pay their other monthly bills.

This has been believed to have been caused by what is called pile driving, along with the different types of vibrations from the operation of the turbines. Why we want to take a chance of affecting our precious water sources in this county, which our families desperately rely on?

Secondly, with this being said, it is very important for the Ohio Power Siting Board to consider the large karst area in Seneca County. With a large amount sinkholes in this county, it is very important to consider what lies below the surface and I can only pray that this is highly researched and considered before allowing the wind projects. On our family farm there are five large sinkholes and a sixth one in the process of opening up. This is very concerning for my family as we do not know how many more sinkholes could or would open up during and after construction of these large wind turbines. The vibrations caused by these large wind turbines are sure to have negative side effects here, just like they have in other

1

2.

communities. The fact of the matter is, new sinkholes could open up and the current sinkholes could open even larger making this a very serious problem. According to go a report, Elevating Karst Risk at Proposed Windpower Projects, William J. Bangsund of Barr Engineering Company and Kenneth J. Johnson of Oklahoma Geological Survey, I quote, karsts can lead to a wind turbine tilting and even toppling. I ask you all, is this something you'd want to topple near your home or your family? Especially since the wind companies are pushing for shorter setbacks from homes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Lastly, I want to urge the Ohio Power
Siting Board to consider our local parks
which would also be negatively affected by
these wind projects. I live near Bowen or
Bowen Nature Preserve. I urge the Ohio
Power Siting Board to let the Seneca County
Park District intervene in these
proceedings, as they have given ample time
for the wind companies to fix and update to
their own applications. To discount Seneca
County Park District's request to

25

intervene, due to a small oversight in the required timeframe, seems unreasonable. the wind companies get breaks on these, so should the Park District, as they have a lot to lose. We need to protect our area wildlife as they are essential to farming operations, with the many benefits they bring such as bats. An estimated 600,000 birds are killed annually by wind turbines, so why would we want to participate in this manslaughter? Some of the most beneficial bird being bats, which eat large numbers of night-flying insects which include mosquitoes; and they pollinate the seeds and the fruits we eat. Furthermore, our eagle population has finally returned and these large turbines threaten the species once again. Bird watching is a real thing in this area, which brings tourists to the area. Why would we want to risk all of this for wind turbines which are really not green at all? The major negative impacts far outweigh any minute monetary benefits these turbines would bring.

1	deny the certificate and do not let these
2	industrial wind farms into Seneca County.
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Is there cross for
4	this witness?
5	Mr. Zeman is up and on deck is Tom
6	Scheele, Scheele.
7	Please raise your right hand.
8	* * * * * * * * * *
9	CHRIS ZEMAN
10	being of lawful age, was first duly
11	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
12	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
13	hereinafter certified, testified and
14	said as follows:
15	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
16	spell your name, your address and township.
17	MR. ZEMAN: Okay. It's Chris
18	Zeman, C-H-R-I-S, Z-E-M-A-N. Adams
19	Township, Republic, Ohio. My address is
20	6868 East Township Road 138.
21	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: What township?
22	MR. ZEMAN: Adams Township.
23	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: All right. Thank
24	you. Please proceed.
25	MR. ZEMAN: Okay. My name is

Chris Zeman and I am a resident of

Republic, Ohio which is located in Seneca

County. I'm here today to speak in

opposition to the proposed Seneca Wind

project filed by sPower, renewable energy

company.

According to the Ohio -- excuse me. According to the Ohio Power Siting Board website, the Seneca Wind project is proposed to consist of up to 77 industrial scale wind turbines with a total generating capacity of 212 megawatts. One of the main questions here today is does this project meet the need, excuse me, met the requirement of public need? In my opinion, the answer is no. Proof of this can be found on Wikipedia where it's listed that in northern Ohio alone there is currently 3,049 megawatts of active base load generation. Baseload power generation is defined on Wikipedia as being the baseload on a grid is the minimum level of demand on electrical grid over a span of time, for example, one week. This demand can be met by unvarying power plants, dispatchable

25

1

25

generation, or by collection of smaller intermittent energy sources, depending on which approach has the best mix of low cost, availability and high reliability in any particular market. The remainder of demand, varying throughout a day, is met by dispatchable generation, load following power plants, and peaking power plants, which can be turned up or down quickly, operating reserve, demand response and energy storage. Power plants that do not charge their power output quickly, such as large coal or nuclear plants, are generally called baseload power plants. Of this 3,049 megawatts of power, 2,160 megawatts is produced by natural gas. These plants are located in Oregon, Ohio, which is near Toledo, Lorain Ohio, and Fremont, Ohio. The remaining output is currently produced by Davis Besse Nuclear Power station in Oak Harbor, Ohio, which is 889 megawatts. the contrary, wind energy located on Ohio's largest wind projects are only contributing hundred 728.9 megawatts of power, which is being produced with a total of 334

1	industrial wind uridines. These turbines
2	currently are located in Paulding and Van
3	Wert Counties. On average, and according
4	to Sciencing.com 2 megawatt industrial wind
5	turbine consumes one an a half acres of
6	land. Using that math, 501 acres of land
7	is being consumed just to produce
8	728.9 megawatts of power. In comparison,
9	the Fremont Energy Center is only 80 acres
10	and producing nearly the same amount of
11	energy, the 707 megawatts, as 334
12	industrial wind turbines. In addition, the
13	Fremont Energy Center meets the definition
14	of dispatchable generation as it puts out
15	predictable and reliable electricity for
16	our area. With this sort of energy
17	available in our area, is wind development
18	really necessary? Once again, that answer
19	is no. Thank you.
20	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Is
21	there cross for this witness?
22	MR. BORCHERS: Yes, Your Honor.
23	BY MR. BORCHERS:
24	Q Mr. Zeman, are you an intervenor in the Republic
25	Wind case at the Power Siting Board?

1	A Yes, sir, I am.
2	MR. BORCHERS: Thank you.
3	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Mr. Scheele,
4	please raise your right hand.
5	* * * * * * * * * *
6	TOM SCHEELE
7	being of lawful age, was first duly
8	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
9	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
10	hereinafter certified, testified and
11	said as follows:
12	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
13	spell your name for the record, your
14	address and your township.
15	MR.SCHEELE: Tom Scheele,
16	T-O-M, S-C-H-E-E-L-E. I live at 4686 North
17	Township Road 175, Republic, Ohio, and that
18	is Adams Township.
19	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
20	proceed.
21	MR.SCHEELE: I am here today in
22	opposition of the development of Seneca
23	Wind which is proposed to be constructed in
24	rural Seneca County. The wind industry is
25	here for one reason, convenience. This is

because our county commissioners granted 1 2 them a PILOT program back in 2011 and also 3 because of proximity the to PJM grid. 4 Seneca County pilot program grants 5 renewable energy developers, including 6 wind, Payment in Lieu of Taxes, or PILOT, 7 which allows them to compensate the county with a flat rate of \$9,000 per year per 8 9 megawatt. In addition, the proximity to 10 the PJM grid allows a developer to save 11 money by reducing the amount of transmission lines needed to transmit wind 12 13 energy to the multi-state PJM network. 14 According to PJM, this multi-state network 15 consists of up to 13 states and also the 16 District of Columbia. A quick internet 17 search of the PJM website today, July 23rd, 18 indicates that at 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time 19 there was approximately 2,177 megawatts of 20 wind energy being released down to the 2.1 In comparison, just Ohio's two grid. 2.2 nuclear plants alone can produce 23 2,149 megawatts of baseload generation. 24 Using PJM numbers for wind energy output of 25 2,177 megawatts over 13 state network,

1	which equates to only 167 megawatts per
2	state, and does not include the District of
3	Columbia, it is obvious that wind energy is
4	contributing very little to the PJM grid
5	system. So why have it? The answer for
6	the wind industry is simple, state
7	requirements that mandate renewable energy
8	on the grid and the requirements to
9	purchase it. With that being said, there
10	is an artificial need that is being created
11	that justifies wind energy, and thus the
12	associated convenience for its developers.
13	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Is
14	there a cross for this witness?
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR BORCHERS:
17	Q Mr. Scheele, are you an intervenor in the
18	Republic Wind case?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	MR. BORCHERS: Thank you.
21	JUDGE SEE: That conclusion
22	the local public hearing. The evidentiary
23	hearing will follow
24	CHAIRMAN RANDAZZO: Whoa, whoa
25	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Kurt Dunn and on

1	deck Paula Dunn. Paula Dunn on deck.
2	Please raise your right hand.
3	* * * * * * * * * * *
4	KURT DUNN
5	being of lawful age, was first duly
6	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
7	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
8	hereinafter certified, testified and
9	said as follows:
10	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Please state and
11	spell your name for the record, your
12	address and your township.
13	MR. DUNN: Kurt Dunn, K-U-R-T
14	D-U-N-N. 1500 East County Road 50, Tiffin
15	Ohio, excuse me.
16	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: And your township?
17	MR. DUNN: Clinton, Clinton.
18	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please
19	proceed.
20	MR. DUNN: I am definitely in
21	support of the wind turbines. We've been
22	waiting over ten years for this project to
23	get started. I have to reason to believe
24	it's not a good project. It's going to be
25	an economic boom for the county, the

1	schools. So why not proceed with it? And
2	it's free energy. I know they were talking
3	about nuclear plants, they're getting old,
4	I think we need to upgrade our system, not
5	just for now but for the future. So thank
6	you.
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you.
8	Is there cross for this witness?
9	MR. SHUFF: Yes.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SHUFF:
12	Q Are you a lease holder, Mr. Dunn?
13	A Yes, I am.
14	MR. SHUFF: Thank you very
15	much.
16	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Paula Dunn?
17	Please raise your right hand.
18	* * * * * * * * * * *
19	PAULA DUNN
20	being of lawful age, was first duly
21	sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, as
23	hereinafter certified, testified and
24	said as follows:
25	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Please

1	state and spell your name for the record,
2	your address and your township.
3	MS. DUNN: Paula Dunn,
4	P-A-U-L-A, D-U-N-N, Seneca.
5	JUDGE SEE: And the township?
6	MS. DUNN: Clinton.
7	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Clinton Township.
8	JUDGE SEE: Go ahead with your
9	testimony.
10	MS. DUNN: I'm for it. I
11	think it will help the schools, capture the
12	wind, why not? They're not ugly. Cell
13	phone towers are uglier.
14	MS. FRY: Is this bullying?
15	JUDGE SEE: Any cross for this
16	witness?
17	MR. SHUFF: No, thank you.
18	MS. FRY: Is this bullying,
19	Mr. Shuff? If this bullying, Mr. Shuff?
20	JUDGE AUGOSTINI: Okay. That
21	concludes this local hearing. The
22	evidentiary hearing for Seneca Wind, Board
23	Staff and the intervening parties will have
24	the opportunity to present testimony in
25	support of their respective positions is

1 currently scheduled to commence on 2 August 26, 2019 at the Board's offices in 3 Columbus, Ohio. After the evidentiary 4 hearing is concluded the parties will have 5 the opportunity to submit written briefs 6 and reply briefs in support of their 7 respective positions. Thereafter, the 8 Board will issue it's ruling on the Seneca 9 Wind's application. 10 On behalf of the Board, we would like 11 to thank you and everyone for attending the 12 hearing. This will conclude the public 13 hearing which will be submitted on the 14 record for this Board's consideration in 15 this case. This hearing is adjourned. Thank you 16 17 and safe travels. 18 (WHEREUPON, the public meeting 19 concluded at 8:31 p.m.) 20 2.1 2.2. 23 24 25

1	STATE OF OHIO :
2	COUNTY OF LUCAS : C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E
3	
4	I, KRISTIE L. BIRCH, Notary Public in and for
5	the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do
6	hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and
7	correct transcript of my notes taken in the above-styled
8	case and thereafter transcribed by me.
9	I do further certify that I am not a relative,
10	employee or counsel of any of the parties hereto, and
11	further that I am not a relative or employee of any
12	attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, or
13	financially interested in the action.
14	I do further certify that I am not, nor is the
15	court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a
16	contract as defined in Civil Rule 28 (D).
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
18	and affixed my seal of office at Toledo, Ohio, on this
19	26th day of July, 2019.
20	
21	WDIGHTH I DIDGU
22	KRISTIE L. BIRCH Notary Public in and for the
23	State of Ohio.
24	My Commission Expires: September 30, 2020.
25	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/2/2019 1:35:33 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-0488-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Public Hearing held July 23, 2019 in Tiffin, Ohio electronically filed by Kristie L. Birch on behalf of Birch, Kristie L.