# BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of Nestlewood:
Solar I, LLC for a:
Certificate of:
Environmental:

Compatibility and Public : Case No. 18-1546-EL-BGN

Need to Construct an
Electric Generating
Facility in Brown and
Clermont Counties.

- - -

# PROCEEDINGS

before Anna Sanyal, Hearing Examiner, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, June 13, 2019.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP
            By MacDonald W. Taylor
 3
            and Michael J. Settineri
            52 East Gay Street
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
 5
                 On behalf of Nestlewood Solar I, LLC.
 6
            Ohio Farm Bureau
            By Amy Milam
 7
            280 North High Street, 6th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 8
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
 9
                 Federation.
10
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            By Thomas G. Lundgren
11
            Public Utilities Section
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
12
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
13
                 On behalf of the Staff of the Public
                 Utilities Commission of Ohio.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
2.2
23
24
25
```

				2	
1				3	
1	INDEX				
2					
3	Witness		Pa	.ge	
4	Joseph Jordan Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor Examination by The Examiner		7		
5			1	15	
6	Lynn Gresock Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor		1	17	
7			T	. 1	
8	Derek F. Collins Direct Examination by Mr. Lundgren Examination by The Examiner		2	20 23	
9			2		
10	Com	pany Exhibit Ic	Nontified	l Admitted	
11			5		
	1	11		17	
12	2	Footprint Modification	6	17	
13	3	Responses to Staff Data Requests		17	
14	4	Proofs of Service	6	17	
15	5	Proofs of Mailings	6	17	
16	6	Not Identified			
17	7	Direct Testimony of Joseph Jorda	an 6	17	
18	8	Direct Testimony of Lynn Gresock	x 17	20	
19					
20	Joi	Joint Exhibit Identified Admitted			
21	1	Joint Stipulation	7	17	
22					
23	Sta	Staff Exhibit Identified Admitted			
24	1	Direct Testimony of Derek Collin	ns 22	23	
25					

Thursday Morning Session,
June 13, 2019.

- - -

2.1

2.2

THE EXAMINER: The Ohio Power Siting
Board has assigned an evidentiary hearing at this
time and place, Case No. 18-1546-EL-BGN, which is
captioned In the Matter of the Application of
Nestlewood Solar I, LLC for a Certificate of
Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to
Construct an Electric Generating Facility in Brown
and Clermont Counties, Ohio.

My name is Anna Sanyal, and I'm the Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Ohio Power Siting Board to preside over this local hearing.

I'll start with appearances and I'll start with Nestlewood first.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, your Honor, on behalf of Nestlewood Solar I, LLC, MacDonald Taylor and Michael Settineri, with the law firm of Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, 52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

THE EXAMINER: Thank you.

I'll go with Staff next.

MR. LUNDGREN: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Board Staff, Ohio Attorney General Dave

```
5
     Yost, by Thomas G. Lundgren, Assistant Attorney
 1
 2
     General at 30 East Broad Street, 16th floor,
     Columbus, Ohio, 43215.
 3
                 THE EXAMINER: Thank you very much.
 4
 5
                 And Ohio Farm Bureau.
 6
                 MS. MILAM: Thank you, your Honor, Amy
 7
     Milam on behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau Federation, 280
     North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.
 8
 9
                 THE EXAMINER: Thank you very much.
10
                 And Mr. Taylor, you may proceed.
11
                 MR. TAYLOR: We'd like to call Mr. Joe
12
     Jordan.
13
                 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Jordan. Thank you for
     already being seated. Will you please raise your
14
15
     right hand.
16
                 (Witness placed under oath.)
17
                 MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, we'd like to
18
    begin today by marking a number of exhibits.
19
                                That works.
                 THE EXAMINER:
20
                 MR. TAYLOR: Company Exhibit 1 is the
2.1
     Application that's filed in this case.
2.2
                 THE EXAMINER: Is it the large binder?
23
                 MR. TAYLOR: Yes, it is.
24
                 THE EXAMINER: It is so marked.
25
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
```

6 MR. TAYLOR: Company Exhibit 2 is the 1 2 Footprint Modification filed in the docket in this 3 case. THE EXAMINER: Okay, it is so marked. 4 5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 MR. TAYLOR: Company Exhibit 3 are the 7 Responses to Staff Data Requests also filed in the 8 docket in this case. 9 THE EXAMINER: It is so marked. 10 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 11 MR. TAYLOR: Company Exhibit 4 is the 12 Proof of Service of the Application on the local 13 libraries and various local government entities. 14 THE EXAMINER: It is so marked. 15 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 16 MR. TAYLOR: Company Exhibit 5 is the 17 Proof of the Mailing of the various public notices as 18 well as the various proofs of publication of those 19 mailings in this case. 20 THE EXAMINER: Okay. So marked. 2.1 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 2.2 MR. TAYLOR: Company Exhibit 7 is the 23 Direct Testimony of Joseph Jordan.

THE EXAMINER: It is so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

24

MR. TAYLOR: And finally at this time I'd like to mark Joint Exhibit 1 which is the Joint Stipulation entered into by the parties in this case.

THE EXAMINER: It is so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, your Honor.

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

6

1

2

3

4

5

JOSEPH JORDAN

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 By Mr. Taylor:

- Q. Mr. Jordan, I'd like to walk through those exhibits with you and have you identify them. Before we begin, can I have you give your name and business address, please.
- Yes, my name is Joseph Jordan. My business address is 909 Lake Carolyn Parkway, Suite 260, Irving, Texas, 75039.
- Q. Can you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 1.
- Α. Company Exhibit 1 is our Application to the Ohio Power Siting Board for a Certificate of 24 Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for 25 Nestlewood Solar.

- Q. Mr. Jordan, was that prepared by you or at your direction?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 2, please.
- A. Company Exhibit 2 is a notice regarding Modification of Project Footprint.
  - Q. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 3, please.
- A. Company Exhibit 3 are our Responses to

  Data Requests from staff at the Ohio Power Siting

  Board.
- Q. Were those responses prepared by you or at your direction?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 4.
- A. Company Exhibit 4 is Proof of Service of the Application to government officials.
- Q. Could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 5.
- 25 A. Company Exhibit 5 is Proof of Mailing and

- various notices for the public information meeting and the public hearing for the project.
- Q. And does Company Exhibit 5 also include the Proofs of Publication?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Thank you. And were you involved in developing the mailing list for this project?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 7, please.
  - A. Company Exhibit 7 is my Direct Testimony.
- Q. And finally, could you please identify what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 1.
- A. Joint Exhibit 1 is the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation between all the parties in this case.
- Q. And were you involved in the negotiations that led to that Joint Stipulation?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Jordan, I'd like to turn your attention to Exhibit 7 which is your Direct
  Testimony, please.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And was this prepared by you or at your direction?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

- Q. And do you have any corrections to that testimony at this time?
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. And what are they?
- A. I have one minor addition and one minor correction.
  - Q. What is the addition?
  - A. On Page 6, line 5, answer 12. After the sentence ending "Ohio Administrative Code," add a new sentence, "An additional notice was provided by letter sent May 2nd, 2019 following a change in the date of the public hearing from May 31st, 2019 to May 30th, 2019."
  - Q. Is a copy of that additional notice included as a part of Company Exhibit 5?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. Is Proof of Publication of that additional notice in the local newspapers also included in Company Exhibit 5?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. You said you also had a correction. What is that correction?
- A. On Page 6, line 10, please change
  "Exhibit 6" to "Exhibit 5."

- Q. And Mr. Jordan, other than the correction and addition we just noted, if I were to ask you the questions in your testimony today, would your answers be the same?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And Mr. Jordan, you attended the public hearing as well as the public information session in this case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And at those hearings, some individuals had questions about the project?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe the interactions you've had with the members of the public at those meetings and outside of them?
- A. Sure. Starting with the public information meeting, approximately 70 people attended, many of them neighbors of the project. The questions that a lot of neighbors asked were around things such as storm water, drainage, property value, toxicity of panels, wildlife concerns and roads and construction process. We handed out our business cards to people who were concerned for follow-up or any additional questions.

Following the public information hearing,

we had the public hearing last month in Hamersville. Approximately 30 to 35 people attended that hearing. Five people got up to speak voicing concerns and questions. Out of those five people, one was a regulator representing the Soil Conservation District of Clermont County, John McManus. I had spoken to Mr. McManus in depth prior to the hearing.

2.1

Following the hearing, we stayed after the hearing to talk to the four people who got up to talk through their questions and concerns, and I have also reached out to them subsequent to that hearing to maintain contact throughout the process.

- Q. Do you intend to continue to engage with members of the public as the project moves forward?
- A. Yes, as we continue with design for our landscaping plan and stormwater calculations, we will keep both those four people who got up to talk abreast of where things are going, where things are at, as well as other neighbors of the project as we progress the design of the project.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Jordan. I'd like to turn your attention to what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 1, please. Do you have that in front of you?
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. And just to clarify, you were involved in

negotiations that led to this Joint Stipulation?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And just to be clear, in your original June 3rd, 2019 prefiled testimony, it's marked as Company Exhibit 7, did you make any recommendations at that time regarding the conditions proposed by Staff in the May 15th, 2019 Staff Report?
  - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. And does the Joint Stipulation that's marked as Joint Exhibit 1 reflect any changes to those recommendations, to those revisions you had suggested?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And so to be clear, the recommended conditions in the Joint Stipulation replace the revisions to Staff's proposed conditions that you presented in your additional prefiled testimony?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is the Joint Stipulation identified as

  Joint Exhibit 1 a product of serious bargaining among

  capable and knowledgable parties?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you personally involved in the negotiation of the Joint Stipulation?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And were the signatory parties to the Joint Stipulation all represented by counsel?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And were the conditions that you proposed revised in your original Direct Testimony revised in the Joint Stipulation?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And does the Joint Stipulation benefit the public interests?
- A. Yes, it does. The Joint Stipulation reflects my recommendations regarding Staff's proposed conditions after negotiation with Staff. To be clear, the recommended conditions in the Joint Stipulation replaced the revisions to Staff's proposed conditions that I presented in my initial prefiled testimony marked as Company Exhibit 7.
- Q. And Mr. Jordan, does the Joint Stipulation benefit the public interests?
- A. Yes, the project is a major infrastructure project and a major capital investment. It benefits the community. The project will generate clean and quiet renewable electricity and will provide on-peak power during the high demand period of midday and late afternoon.

In addition, the project will benefit the

local economy through additional jobs, economic growth and increased tax revenue.

- Q. Mr. Jordan, does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?
  - A. No.
- Q. What do you recommend the Ohio Power Siting Board do in regard to the Joint Stipulation?
  - A. I recommend that they approve it.

MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you very much.

11 Witness available for cross-examination, your Honor.

THE EXAMINER: I actually just have a couple of questions for you before we do cross.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

# EXAMINATION

By The Examiner:

Q. Initially thank you for giving us testimony about how you're continuing to resolve issues brought that were identified during the public hearing because I did have several questions but I think you kind of answered those.

When do you anticipate the construction will start on this project because I think the application had June 2019?

A. Yeah, I think the earliest that we would

be starting would be January of next year. That's our current expected commencement of construction.

- Q. Then do we know when the final solar panel technology will be identified?
- A. At the time of start of construction, we will need to have procured modules, so we will know the final technology at that point.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

THE EXAMINER: I think those are all the questions I have. Any redirect based on my questions?

MR. TAYLOR: No, your Honor.

13 THE EXAMINER: The witness is available

for cross. Any questions from Staff?

MR. LUNDGREN: No questions, your Honor.

THE EXAMINER: Miss Milam?

MS. MILAM: None, your Honor.

THE EXAMINER: Then you may step-down.

19 | Thank you for your testimony.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, at this time,
we'd ask that Company Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, as

23 | well as Joint Exhibit 1 be moved into the record in

morr do como rimizaro i so moved rindo ene recera ri

24 this case.

THE EXAMINER: Any objections to those?

```
17
 1
                 MR. LUNDGREN: No objections.
 2
                 MS. MILAM: None, your Honor.
 3
                 THE EXAMINER: Well, then they are
     admitted.
 4
 5
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 6
                 MR. TAYLOR: And your Honor, next witness
 7
     I will call is Lynn Gresock.
 8
                 THE EXAMINER: Please raise your right
     hand.
9
10
                 (Witness placed under oath.)
11
                 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Taylor, you may
12
    proceed.
13
                 MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to mark an
14
     additional Exhibit. I'd like to have Company Exhibit
15
     8 marked as the Direct Testimony of Lynn, L-Y-N-N,
     Gresock, G-R-E-S-O-C-K.
16
17
                 THE EXAMINER: It is so marked.
18
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
19
20
                          LYNN GRESOCK
2.1
     being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
2.2
     examined and testified as follows:
23
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
    By Mr. Taylor:
25
            Q. Miss Gresock, could you tell us your name
```

- and business address, please.
- A. My name is Lynn Gresock. My business address is 3 Lan Drive, Suite 100, Westford,

  Massachusetts, 01886.
  - Q. Thank you. Could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 8, please.
    - A. Company Exhibit 8 is my testimony.
    - Q. And do you have any corrections to your Direct Testimony?
      - A. I do not.
- Q. And if I asked you the same questions of your testimony today, would your answers be the same?
- 13 A. Yes, they would.
- MR. TAYLOR: That's it for this witness.
- 15 She's available for cross-examination.
- THE EXAMINER: Any questions for this
- 17 witness?

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. LUNDGREN: No, questions, your Honor.
- MS. MILAM: None, your Honor.
- THE EXAMINER: You may step-down.
- 21 MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, I would move
- 22 that Company Exhibit 8 be moved into the record.
- THE EXAMINER: Any objections to the
- 24 | Exhibit being admitted?
- MR. LUNDGREN: No, objections, your

```
19
 1
     Honor.
 2
                 MS. MILAM: No objections, your Honor.
 3
                 THE EXAMINER: It is so admitted.
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 4
 5
                 MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, that concludes
 6
     our presentation.
 7
                 THE EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any
     other matters that we would like to discuss before we
 8
     go off the record?
9
                 MR. LUNDGREN: Excuse me, the Staff would
10
11
     like to call a witness.
12
                 THE EXAMINER: I'm sorry. Of course
13
     Staff would like to call a witness. You may do so.
14
                 MR. LUNDGREN: The Staff calls
15
    Mr. Collins to the stand.
16
                 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Collins, please come
17
     up here. Will you please raise your right hand.
18
                 (Witness placed under oath.)
19
                 THE EXAMINER: You may proceed.
20
                        DEREK F. COLLINS
2.1
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
22
     examined and testified as follows:
23
24
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
     By Mr. Lundgren:
```

- Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. Collins. Can you state your full name for the record.
  - A. My real full name is Derek F. Collins.
  - Q. What is your business address?
- A. My business address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215, 6th floor.
  - Q. Thank you. Where are you employed?
- A. I'm employed with the Public Utilities
  Commission of Ohio, the Ohio Power Siting Board.
- Q. What are your duties for the Board, for the Power Siting Board?
- A. I'm a Utility Specialist, and I look at all of the geotechnical -- do all the geotechnical work for the agency.
  - Q. Thank you. Were you involved in the Staff's investigation of the proposed Nestlewood Solar Farm?
- A. Yes, I was. I was the Project Lead for this project.
  - Q. Thank you. And do you have before you a document that has been marked as Staff Exhibit 1?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

2.1

22

- Q. And can you explain what it is?
- 25 A. Exhibit 1 is the Staff Report of

- 1 | Investigation for Nestlewood Solar Farm.
- 2 Q. Thank you. Were you involved in the 3 preparation of this report?
- 4 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Thank you. Does it accurately reflect the results of Staff's Investigation?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Thank you. Are you familiar with the terms of the Joint Stipulation that has been filed in this case?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.

7

- Q. Would you recommend that the Ohio Power Siting Board adopt the Stipulation?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- THE EXAMINER: Mr. Lundgren, do I have a copy of Staff Exhibit 1?
- MR. LUNDGREN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I
  will get one for you.
- THE EXAMINER: Let the record reflect that I am marking this as Staff Exhibit 1.
- 22 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MR. LUNDGREN: I have no further
- questions, and I would move for the admission of Staff Exhibit 1.

THE EXAMINER: Okay. Any objections?

MR. TAYLOR: No objection.

THE EXAMINER: It is so admitted.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

### EXAMINATION

By The Examiner:

2.1

- Q. Mr. Collins, I just have one question, and this is really just for my education. In condition No. 28, there was just one sentence added at the very end, and it's about noxious weeds. And I just didn't know if noxious weeds show up when we plant pollinator friendly plantings. This is not very important. This is just so I know why that sentence was added.
  - A. For condition 28 did you say?
- Q. Correct. I think that was the only real difference between the Staff Report, the conditions contained within the Staff Report and in the Stipulation, and it's fine if you don't --
- A. Yes, those are sort of the indigenous vegetation that's already there.
- THE EXAMINER: Thank you. I was just
  wondering. That's all the questions I have, and I
  assume you have no redirect based on that question?

```
23
 1
                 MR. LUNDGREN: No, your Honor.
 2
                 THE EXAMINER: Any cross-examination of
 3
     this witness?
                 MR. TAYLOR: No, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 MS. MILAM: No, your Honor.
 6
                 THE EXAMINER: You may step-down,
7
     Mr. Collins.
                 Do we have any other issues?
 8
 9
                 MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, I just wanted to
10
     confirm that Joint Exhibit 1 was admitted into the
11
     record in this case.
12
                 THE EXAMINER: It was, yes, according to
13
    my records.
14
                 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.
15
                 THE EXAMINER: Any other matters to
16
     discuss?
17
                 MR. TAYLOR: No.
18
                 THE EXAMINER: No other witnesses?
                 MS. MILAM: No, your Honor.
19
20
                 THE EXAMINER: Hearing that there are no
2.1
     other issues, this case will be submitted to the
22
     record and the Power Siting Board will issue a
     decision in due course. Thank you very much.
23
24
                 (The hearing was concluded at 10:20 a.m.)
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, June 13, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Cynthia L. Cunningham



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

6/27/2019 11:14:11 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-1546-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Nestlewood Solar I, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to Construct an Electric Generating Facility in Brown and Clermont Counties, hearing held on June 13, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Cunningham, Cindy