BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of The East Ohio Gas)	
Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio for)	Case No. 19-0468-GA-ALT
Approval of an Alternative Form of)	
Regulation.		

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CELIA B. HASHLAMOUN ON BEHALF OF THE EAST OHIO GAS COMPANY D/B/A DOMINION ENERGY OHIO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
П.	PROPOSED CEP RIDER	2
Ш.	CONCLUSION	8

1 2		Direct Testimony of Celia B. Hashlamoun
3	I.	INTRODUCTION
4	Q1.	Please state your name, business address and position.
5	A.	My name is Celia B. Hashlamoun. I am employed by The East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a
6		Dominion Energy Ohio (DEO or Company) in the Rates Department as a Regulatory
7		Specialist. My business address is 1201 East 55th Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44103.
8	Q2.	Please describe your educational background and professional experience.
9	A.	I graduated from the University of Akron in 2007 with a Bachelor of Science in Business
10		Administration concentrating in Corporate Finance. I joined DEO in February 2018 as a
11		Regulatory Specialist in the Rates Department. My primary responsibilities include the
12		planning and preparation of analyses that support various regulatory proceedings and
13		other business needs. In performing my work, I interact with various groups within DEO
14		that are responsible for business planning and reporting. Prior to joining DEO, I was
15		employed by FirstEnergy Corp., in the Rates and Regulatory Affairs Department. In my
16		role, I supported all of the FirstEnergy's distribution utility subsidiaries on various
17		regulatory ad-hoc analyses. Prior to working at FirstEnergy, I was employed at Plante &
18		Moran Corporate Finance as an Investment Banking Analyst.
19	Q3.	Are you familiar with DEO's Application?
20	A.	Yes. I assisted in the preparation of DEO's Pre-Filing Notice and Application, including
21		the collection of the data and assembly of Exhibit I schedules that support the Capital
22		Expenditure Program (CEP) Rider revenue requirement and the determination of
23		associated proposed rates.

Q4. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

- 2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the revenue requirement and rate design
- 3 associated with DEO's proposed CEP Rider set forth in Application Exhibit I. My
- 4 analysis supports the request for an increase in revenue.

5 II. PROPOSED CEP RIDER

- 6 Q5. Please describe DEO's proposed CEP Rider Revenue Requirement.
- 7 A. The CEP Rider will allow the Company to earn a return on and of the net plant
- 8 investment attributable to the CEP, which was not included in the rate base from the
- 9 Company's last distribution rate case. See Case No. 07-829-GA-AIR. For the initial CEP
- Rider charge, the revenue requirement reflects investment activity from October 1, 2011,
- through December 31, 2018. The development of the proposed CEP rates and of the
- revenue requirement is shown in Exhibit I. As shown in Schedule 2 of that exhibit, the
- revenue requirement formula is rate base times pre-tax rate of return plus operating
- expenses. Each component is then supported by a schedule or schedules, which are
- further described below. The proposed charge for each rate schedule based on the
- requested revenue requirement is shown on Exhibit I at Schedule 1.
- 17 Q6. Please explain DEO's proposed CEP Rider rate design as shown on Exhibit I at Schedule 1.
- 19 A. The proposed CEP Rider charges were determined by allocating the revenue requirement
- shown on Schedule 1 at line 1 to each of DEO's rate schedules. The allocation is based
- on the cost of service used in DEO's last rate case. (See Exhibit I, Schedule 1, lines 2
- through 15.) The resulting revenue requirement by rate schedule is shown at lines 16
- 23 through 21. The revenue requirement by rate schedule for customers receiving General
- Sales Service, Energy Choice Transportation Service, General Transportation Service, or

Transportation Service for Schools¹ is then divided by the total number of actual bills issued for each rate class for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2018, to arrive at the monthly CEP Rider. (*See id.* lines 23–26.) The revenue requirement for rate schedule Daily Transportation Service (DTS) was divided by the total number of DTS volumes for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2018. The Firm Storage Service (FSS) charge was determined by dividing the total maximum storage capacity volumes contracted for the 2018/2019 season by the FSS allocated revenue requirement. The resulting charges by rate schedule are listed below:

CEP Rider Rate

_			
10		GSS-R and ECTS-R	\$3.89/month
11		GSS-NR and ECTS-NR	\$11.06/month
12		LVGSS and LVECTS	\$51.64/month
13		GTS and TSS	\$447.70/month
14		DTS	\$0.0475/Mcf
15		FSS	\$0.1269/Mcf
16 17	Q7.	Please identify the components and sche rate base shown on Exhibit I at Schedule	dules that support the calculation of CEP 2.
18	A.	Rate base consists of the following compor	nents: cumulative capital additions, net of cost
19		of removal and retirements, and accumulated provision for depreciation, net of cost of	
20		removal and retirements (see Schedule 3);	a depreciation offset (Schedule 5); cumulative

Rate Schedule

¹ This includes the following schedules: GSS-R, ECTS-R, GSS-NR, ECTS-NR, LVGSS, LVECTS, GTS, and TSS.

regulatory deferrals for depreciation, PISCC and property tax (Schedule 3); Accumulated

1		Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) on liberalized depreciation (Schedule 7); and deferred
2		income tax on deferred PISCC and property tax, which are determined by multiplying the
3		cumulative deferred PISCC and property tax amounts by the federal income tax rate of
4		21%.
5 6	Q8.	Please explain the information set forth on Schedule 3 and how that information is reflected in Schedule 2.
7	A.	Schedule 3 shows the CEP Annual Capital Investment and Deferral Summary. Certain
8		rate base components (namely, capital additions, cost of removal, retirements,
9		accumulated provision for depreciation, and deferrals by category) are displayed annually
10		and cumulatively from program inception in October 1, 2011, through December 31,
11		2018. The cumulative values are used in the computation of revenue requirement on
12		Schedule 2. Each annual value reflects what was filed in the Annual Informational Filings
13		filed as part of the CEP through 2017. The 2018 Annual Informational Filing is being
14		filed concurrently with this Application.
15 16	Q9.	Please explain the purpose of including the depreciation offset as a reduction to CEP rate base.
17	A.	For accounting purposes, as depreciation expense is recovered, the accumulated
18		depreciation reserve increases, therefore reducing rate base. The depreciation offset was
19		created to represent the portion of depreciation expense that has been collected from
20		customers through base rates, but not yet recognized as an offset to rate base. The offset
21		effectively provides a credit to customers by reducing CEP rate base.
22 23	Q10.	Please describe how the depreciation offset set forth on Schedule 5 and supported by Schedule 6 was calculated.
24	A.	The Calculation of the Depreciation Offset is shown on Schedule 5. First, a composite
25		depreciation rate was calculated. To calculate this rate, the annualized depreciation

expense utilized in the Company's last base rate case was divided by the associated gross plant in service. This resulted in a composite rate of 2.55 percent. Next, 2007 total retirements, net of PIR and AMR retirements, were subtracted from the gross plant in service from the last base rate case, resulting in a plant in service, net of retirements value. The resulting value was the beginning value of the following year. This methodology was followed annually through December 31, 2018.

A.

Beginning in October 2011, at the inception of the CEP deferral, the composite depreciation rate was applied to the plant in service, net of retirements value, resulting in an annual depreciation offset value. This was computed annually, and the cumulative depreciation offset value shown on Schedule 5 at line 16 appears as a rate base component on Schedule 2 at line 10.

Schedule 6 shows the support for the data used on Schedule 5 at Column C. Schedule 6 shows total retirements net of asset retirement obligations, which are published in the Company's annual report filed with the Commission. Asset retirement obligations are not included in rate base. Retirements included in the calculation of PIR rates are excluded from the total, resulting in the net retirements used in the depreciation offset calculation.

Q11. Please explain why deferred taxes are shown as a reduction to the net CEP investment?

Deferred taxes are a non-investor source of funds, resulting from a tax treatment of expense that is different from the book treatment. Recognition of deferred taxes measures DEO's net investment. These non-investor sources of funds, which are reflected as an offset to the net CEP investment, include deferred taxes resulting from the use of higher

tax depreciation and the recognition of the tax deduction available to DEO related to deferred PISCC and property taxes.

Q12. Please describe how deferred taxes were calculated.

3

12

- 4 Exhibit I at Schedule 7 shows deferred taxes on liberalized depreciation associated with A. 5 the CEP cumulatively through December 31, 2018. Deferred taxes on liberalized 6 depreciation represent the federal income tax liability associated with the difference 7 between depreciation allowed for income tax purposes, which is determined in 8 accordance with tax rules, compared with depreciation calculated for book purposes, 9 which is determined in accordance with accounting rules. The formula for the deferred 10 tax on PISCC and property tax deferrals shown on Exhibit I at Schedule 2 is cumulative 11 deferral balance times the Company's federal income tax rate of 21 percent.
 - Q13. Why did DEO use the pre-tax rate of return of 9.91 percent in developing the revenue requirement upon which the CEP Rider rates are determined?
- 14 This pre-tax rate of return reflects the federal income tax rate reduction associated with A. 15 the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) applied to the rate of return on rate base 16 authorized in DEO's last rate case. This is the same return used by DEO in its AMR and PIR Charges recently approved by the Commission. (See Case Nos. 18-1587- & 18-1588-17 18 GA-RDR.) It is also the same rate of return used by DEO to calculate the impact of 19 deferrals on customers in projecting CEP rate impacts to ensure compliance with the 20 \$1.50 rate cap. Finally, this approach is also consistent with the CEP Rider recently 21 approved by the Commission for Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. See, e.g., 17-2202 Order at 22 16 (Nov. 28, 2018) ("the rate of return used to develop the revenue requirement for each 23 application will be based on the capital structure and cost of capital authorized by the 24 Commission in Columbia's most recent base rate case").

Q14. Please identify the components and schedules that support the calculation of operating expense shown on Exhibit I at Schedule 2.

1

- A. Operating expense consists of five components: annualized depreciation and property tax expense shown on Schedule 8; and the amortization of deferred PISCC, depreciation expense, and deferred property tax expense shown on Schedule 9.
- O15. Please explain the Annualized Depreciation and Property Tax Expense calculation on Schedule 8 and how that information is shown on Schedule 2.
- 8 Schedule 8 sets forth Annualized Depreciation and Property Tax Expense. Annualized A. 9 depreciation expense represents the depreciation expense on cumulative capital additions, 10 net of cost of removal and retirements, as of December 1, 2018. This expense is 11 calculated by multiplying the value of the assets at the date certain for this Application by 12 the depreciation rate of the underlying assets. Annualized property tax expense represents 13 property tax expense on cumulative capital additions, net of cost of removal and 14 retirements, as of December 31, 2018, for the period that the adjusted CEP Rider will be 15 in effect. This expense is calculated by multiplying the value of the assets at the date 16 certain for this Application by the estimated 2018 effective tax rate of 1.3846 percent. 17 The annualized depreciation expense and annualized property tax expense are shown on 18 Schedule 2 at lines 23 and 24, respectively. Inclusion of these expenses are prudent and 19 necessary business expenses that will be incurred by DEO on an ongoing basis, and will 20 enable DEO to cease deferring depreciation and property tax expense on assets for which 21 a CEP recovery rate is implemented. This recovery is consistent with the approved 22 determination of the CEP Rider revenue requirement in Case No. 17-2202-GA-ALT. 23 (See, e.g., 17-2202 Stip., Ex. 1 (Oct. 25, 2018).)

- Q16. Please explain the amortization of deferred depreciation, property tax and PISCC expense calculation on Schedule 9 and how that information is shown on Schedule 2.
- 3 Schedule 9 sets forth the Annual Amortization of Deferrals. This amortization recovers A. 4 accumulated deferred PISCC, depreciation expense and property tax expense as of 5 December 31, 2018 over the composite life of the assets. The composite life amortization 6 rate was computed based on CEP capital additions, net of cost of removal and retirements 7 as of December 31, 2018. Details of the composite asset life rate computation are shown 8 on Schedule 10. The amortization of deferred PISCC, amortization of deferred 9 depreciation expense and amortization of deferred property tax expense are shown on 10 Schedule 2 at lines 25 through 27. Amortization will not start until DEO commences

recovery through rates and is calculated based on the cumulative deferral balance.

- 12 III. CONCLUSION
- 13 Q17. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Direct Testimony was served by electronic mail to the following on this 1st day of May, 2019:

Christopher Healey Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213 christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov

Frank P. Darr Matthew R. Pritchard McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 fdarr@mcneeslaw.com mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com Colleen Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy P.O. Box 12451 Columbus, Ohio 43212-2451 cmooney@opae.org

Jodi Bair Robert Eubanks Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 jodi.bair@ohioattorneygeneral.gov robert.eubanks@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

/s/ Rebekah J. Glover

One of the Attorneys for The East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/1/2019 4:10:36 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-0468-GA-ALT

Summary: Text Direct Testimony of Celia B. Hashlamoun electronically filed by Ms. Rebekah J. Glover on behalf of The East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio