BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Gregory :
D. Willet, Notice of :

Apparent Violation and : Case No. 18-946-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess : Forfeiture. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Lauren Augostini and Mr. Nick Walstra,
Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D,
Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday,
April 4, 2019.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
 2
            By Mr. Steve Beeler,
 3
            Assistant Attorney General
            Public Utilities Section
 4
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                  On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 6
            Mr. Gregory D. Willet
 7
                  On his own behalf.
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Trooper Timothy Mularcik	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Beeler Cross-Examination by Mr. Willet	5 18
	Direct Examination on Rebuttal by Mr. Beeler	32
6	Cross-Examination on Rebuttal by Mr. Willet	35
7	Rod A. Moser Direct Examination by Mr. Beeler	21
8	Gregory D. Willet	
9	Direct Examination	26 29
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Beeler	29
11	STAFF EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED ADM	MITTED
12	1 Driver/Vehicle Examination	0.5
13	Report 8	25
14	2 Google Map of Alum Creek Drive 11	25
15	3 5/1/2018 Letter to Mr. Willet 23	25
16	RESPONDENT EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED ADN	
17	A Photographs 28	31
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

4 1 Thursday Morning Session, 2 April 4, 2019. 3 4 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Let's go on the 5 record. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 6 7 has assigned for hearing at this time and place Case No. 18-946-TR-CVF, being in the Matter of the Gregory 8 D. Willet, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to 9 10 Assess Forfeiture. 11 My name is Lauren Augostini, and with me 12 is Nick Walstra. And we are the Attorney Examiners 13 assigned by the Commission to hear this case. 14 At this time I would like to take 15 appearances of the parties beginning with Staff. 16 Thank you, your Honor. On MR. BEELER: 17 behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities 18 Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost, 19 I'm Steve Beeler, Assistant Attorney General, 30 East 20 Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio. 2.1 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: And then, 22 Mr. Willet, will you please state your name for the 23 record.

MR. WILLET: Gregory D. Willet.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you.

24

```
5
                 Mr. Beeler, are you ready to proceed?
 1
 2
                 MR. BEELER: Yes, your Honor.
 3
                 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You may call your
     first witness.
 4
 5
                 MR. BEELER: Your Honor, the Staff would
 6
     call Trooper Mularcik.
 7
                 (Witness sworn.)
 8
                 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you. You may
    be seated.
9
10
11
                    TROOPER TIMOTHY MULARCIK
12
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
     examined and testified as follows:
13
14
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
    By Mr. Beeler:
16
               Good morning, sir.
            Q.
17
            A. Good morning.
18
                Just to begin please state your full name
            Q.
     for the record.
19
20
            Α.
                 Trooper Timothy Mularcik.
2.1
            Q.
                 Where are you employed?
22
                 The Ohio State Highway Patrol District 6
            Α.
     Columbus District.
23
24
                 Okay. What is your position at the
            Ο.
25
     Highway Patrol?
```

A. Commercial motor vehicle inspections primarily, outside of that enforce traffic and criminal laws on the roadways of Ohio.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. How long have you been with the Patrol?
- A. With the Highway Patrol for coming on 15 years. I've been with the LCS section for almost two and a half.
 - Q. Okay. And the LCS section is?
- A. I apologize, commercial vehicle section, the commercial enforcement, doing inspections and whatnot.
- Q. Okay. In your current duties, explain what your current duties are.
- A. My current duties assigned to the specialty position of Licensing Commercial Standards. We primarily focus on the FMCSA/PUCO regulations and violations, stopping the commercial vehicles subject to PUCO, doing inspections and safety inspections based on that.
- Q. Okay. And what sort of training do you have in that area?
- A. I started off with the North American

 Part A Standards. I was at the post level doing just

 logbooks and vehicle and driver credential

violations. That certification gave me preference to get into the section full-time. I then participated in multiple one- and two-week long classes at the Ohio State Patrol Academy, training by FMCSA for a hazmat vehicle and commercial vehicle, North American Part A, Part B, things of that sort.

- Q. Okay. In the -- in the inspection process would you generally say that motor carrier inspections are conducted to protect Ohio's traveling public?
- A. Absolutely. The point of them is not for fines and forfeitures. The idea is to make sure they are safe, they are not causing crashes and causing injury.
- Q. All right. How many inspections on average do you think you perform in a year?
- A. On average somewhere in the ballpark 750 to 800, on average 65 to 80 a week.
 - Q. Okay.

- MR. BEELER: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
- 22 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You may.
- MR. BEELER: And I have here what has
 been previously marked as Staff Exhibit 1. This is
 the Driver/Vehicle Examination Report with an

inspection date of February 7, 2018. I ask -- it's been previously marked.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thanks.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) Do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes. This inspection report I generated in regards to why we are here today.
 - Q. Okay. Is this document a Patrol record?
- 10 A. It is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

- Q. Is it kept in the ordinary course of your business?
- 13 A. It is.
- Q. And it's the practice of the patrol to make this report, right?
- 16 | A. It is.
- Q. And do you report on matters you observe pursuant to a duty imposed by law as to which there was a duty by you to report?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Is this document in the same substantial condition as when you prepared it?
- 23 A. It is.
- Q. And was the reason for you producing this report an inspection of the Containerport Group,

Incorporated?

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

20

2.1

- 2 A. It is.
 - Q. You remember the inspection?
- 4 A. I do.
 - Q. Who is the driver listed on the report?
- 6 A. The driver listed is Gregory D. Willet.
 - Q. Okay. Is Mr. Willet here today?
 - A. He is seated in the defendant's table.
 - Q. And does the driver get a copy of that report at the stop?
- 11 A. They do.
- Q. Okay. Are there any violations noted on the report?
- A. The only violation is -- the only one discovered is the seat belt violation.
- Q. Okay. And you observed Mr. -- Mr. Willet not wearing his seat belt on the day of the inspection, correct?
- 19 A. I did note the violation.
 - Q. Just in the narrative how did you observe Mr. Willet not wearing his seat belt?
- A. On this day, the 7th, about 4 o'clock in the afternoon, I was on Alum Creek Road as it overpassed 270. I observed a commercial motor vehicle configuration exiting from 270 would have

been eastbound to Alum Creek Southbound.

2.1

What caught my attention was the vehicle was in the far right hand in the right turn lane. I was in the middle lane coming southbound and had no view obstructions to my right. As the commercial vehicle came to a stop at the red light, as I had the green, the driver leaned forward away from the seat back, then looked at southbound traffic, to observe for the safety belt on the right.

As I do, my 15 years, it's just a habit. Seat belt violations are very important to us in Patrol, that we are always looking for those. As the driver leaned away from the seat back, it was clear and evident that the seat belt was not being used as I could see clearly obviously not coming from the door pillar to the shoulder.

I don't recall a shirt color to say if it was a light-colored shirt contrasted with the belt to say if there was any type of contrast between the belt and the shirt. But another primary indicator that I use over my 15 years, and I found to be almost more reliable, is that belt coming from the door pillar to the shoulder, that was clearly and obviously not present in this case.

Because the way the intersection was, the

busyness of it, obviously it didn't make sense to stop dead in the intersection to wait for the truck to pull out.

I then rode past the truck. I moved to the right shoulder, waited for the truck to come out from the stop light. As I came out, I came off the berm, made the traffic stop and made the contact and did the inspection.

Q. Okay.

2.1

2.2

MR. BEELER: May I approach?

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You may.

Q. You've described the -- the intersection a little bit that the truck was -- was at at the time, at the time you observed him.

MR. BEELER: I would like -- this is previously marked as Staff Exhibit 2. I would like to have it marked.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Is this the intersection that you observed Mr. Willet not wearing his seat belt?
 - A. It is.
- Q. Is this an -- is this an accurate depiction of -- of the intersection?
- 25 A. It is.

- Q. And from this picture you see that it is -- it's a Google image, and the image captured was June of 2018?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Is that correct?
- A. That is very accurate. In fact, the lane which is depicted with the silver pickup truck in it, that would have been approximately where my vehicle was. The defendant's vehicle would have been to the right of where the white commercial vehicle is sitting. It looks like it's about in the middle of the left turn.
- Q. Back to the June 2000 -- this inspection took place in February of 2018?
- 15 A. Right.
- Q. So we are talking four months' difference there?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. So when you observed -- so you would
 be -- your vehicle would have essentially been what
 this truck -- this truck, the four door -- I am not
 sure what sort of truck that is. Do you know what
 sort of truck that is?
 - A. It looks like a Toyota Tundra.
- Q. Toyota Tundra. So you are traveling

southbound like the Toyota Tundra, and the semitrailer was parked one lane over making a -- making a right turn, and you crossed the face of the truck while -- when you observed it.

A. Right, correct.

2.1

- Q. So you observed -- you observed the violation from the driver's side, and then as you crossed the entire -- the entire windshield, correct?
- A. Correct. My visual observation was through the driver side window as the driver was leaning forward, and when I came past, I would have been approximately one lane width away from the front bumper of his vehicle. On these roadways the way they are built, these lane widths are average 12-1/2 feet, berm, 15, 20 feet from the driver at the time I observed the violation.
- Q. And then when you -- when you went through the intersection, then the semitrailer made a right turn and began following you and then that's when -- that's when the stop occurred?
- A. Yes. I drove past him, stopped on the right berm, waited for the light cycle for the driver to pull out, waited for the driver to pull out. It came down near me. I pulled out and then fell in behind him and made the traffic stop. I paused

momentarily next to it to get the DOT information, the company name and number just for my information as I fell in behind it, but the entire violation was observed as it was stopped, and I came past approximately 35, 45 miles per hour.

2.1

- Q. At any point were you next to the semi?
- A. No. I've never had any luck -- it's not possible to observe a violation from a side because at that point you got to be observing it through a mirror, or through multiple different view obstructions that wouldn't make a violation credible.
- Q. Okay. Going back to Staff Exhibit 1, which is your report, down in the inspection notes area, could you just explain the information that's in -- that's in that.
- A. Yes. The inspection notes -- we have since changed our state field, but at the time we weren't including in our state field what the company safety score was, the ISS. The ISS is the company safety score indicator of 97 which is generally high. OBVI states it's obvious, observed violation, not a traffic, not a safety score stop, or an IDOT or anything like, OBVI obviously.
- Q. Obviously the violation here was you witnessed -- you observed --

- A. SBA is a seat belt. SBA is an abbreviation used in Ohio for a seat belt arrest.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. And then outside of that it just states no ORC for indicating that there was no traffic rules. There wasn't a red light violation. It wasn't a speed or lane change or a turn signal or any, you know, simple traffic violations for me to then justify any violations under ORC to stop him for to then issue a traffic citation.
- Q. Again, you didn't cite him for a Revised Code traffic --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- violation for seat belt because there wasn't a primary -- you cited him for a regulatory --
- A. Correct. The entire inspection and violations are noted under the PUCO regulatory. It was nothing under Ohio traffic or through Municipal Court because of how the seat belt --
- Q. And you -- you can do that because this is a different field than the Revised Code; this is a regulatory field?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Would you say this field has heightened statutes?

- A. Absolutely, yeah, with the chance of injury due to the extra size, extra weight, the dynamics of the vehicle. My background in crash reconstruction can speak to that, as to how -- stopping and how it acts in a crash. There's need for heightened requirement and safety.
- Q. Okay. And you might have already said this, but so you commonly as part of your job and duties look specifically for drivers wearing or not wearing their seat belt, correct?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. According to the report, the stop was at 4:04 in the afternoon; is that correct?
 - A. Yes. The indication is 1604.
- Q. Okay. The weather was clear from the best of your recollection?
- 17 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 18 Q. Okay. Do you wear glasses or contacts?
- 19 A. I do not.
 - Q. Okay. Regular vision checkups?
- A. Yes. I mean, at the very least every two
 years GXT which I did a month ago and passed with
 flying colors.
- Q. So, again, it's your -- your testimony
 here that you observed Mr. Willet not wearing his

seat belt, correct?

2.1

- A. Yeah. And to speak to that, I'll say that it's that I observed that he was not wearing it. It's not that I couldn't see that he wasn't wearing it. In order for me to put a violation, particularly a seat belt, it's no doubt in my mind that he wasn't wearing it or else I wouldn't have put it on the inspection.
- Q. Okay. Anything else you think would be important to note or have -- we have not discussed here that would be important for the Examiners or the Commission to know?
- A. Just outside, as I just reiterated about that, is that, again, the credibility is important to me on these because of the magnitude it brings the driver in his career. If it's not something I am 100 percent certain about, I am not going to put it on an inspection to the tune I can probably count on multiple occasions just in the course of one day that I'm 98 percent certain I saw a seat belt violation, but because of how I would have to ultimately testify as to how I observed it, I am not going to stop for it, not going to go for it because I am more worried about my credibility than I am a seat belt on an inspection. It's not that important to me.

MR. BEELER: No further questions, your Honor. I would move for the admission of Staff Exhibits 1 and 2, subject to cross-examination. And then, again, I would reserve this witness for rebuttal, if it's necessary.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Do you have any questions, Mr. Willet?

MR. WILLET: Actually I do.

2.1

2.2

CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 By Mr. Willet:

- Q. You just stated that -- he asked you about the weather, and you said it was clear that day. Do you recall that day?
- A. To the best of my recollection, there was no inclement weather, i.e., heavy snow, heavy rain, anything that would have impeded my observation.
- Q. Okay. I have turned in documents, which I don't know if I need to bring these up, I already turned them in, but it showed clearly the night before and the day of how much snow we had and how much overcast skies there were. So how long were you on Patrol prior to pulling me over?
- A. My shift that day should have been 2:00 to 10:00. My normal shift is 2:00 to 10:00 so this

would have been approximately an hour and a half, two hours after I started shift.

2.1

- Q. A couple of hours, so would it be fair to say that your windows or my windows on my commercial vehicle were probably not the cleanest being that it had been snowing, there's salt out, there's road out, there's grime out?
- A. No, because if those were issues, I wouldn't have made the stop and noted the violation.
- Q. So there is no way any salt or residue could have been on the commercial vehicle windows and/or your -- within your two-hour limit, and I started at 6:00 in the morning with my commercial vehicle, so you pulled me over at 4:00, I will have almost 12 hours, 10 hours of driving on roadways with salt, snow, debris?
- A. To answer that I think most directly is I think it's absolutely plausible there could have been any dirt. On the cleanest day there is going to be dirt on windows. However, had I believed any of that type of vision obstruction to be an issue, I wouldn't have made the traffic stop and issued the violation. The only way I would have issued the violation is if I clearly and obviously observed it, was 100 percent certain of it.

1 MR. WILLET: Okay. I have no other 2 questions. 3 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Do you have any? 4 MR. BEELER: Just one second, please. 5 Thank you. 6 Thank you. No further questions. 7 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: I just had one 8 question. When you pulled him over, was he wearing his seat belt? 9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. Upon --10 11 it's very common the overwhelming majority of the 12 time when I observe a seat belt, by the time my 13 lights come on behind them and they come to a stop, 14 they have it on because -- and my -- you can go 15 through all my traffic stops where I have them. 16 Majority of the time I will ask them when I observed 17 you this is what I observed. Did you see me and 18 remind you to put it on? Almost always they will 19 say, yes, it is. 20 I think that's very common behavior is 2.1 they realize they don't wear it, and when the lights come on, they put it on real quick. But, again, upon 22 23 the point that I was next to him, I was in no 24 position to try to make that observation. And by the 25 time we came to a stop and I made the 70-foot walk

21 between the vehicles, there is more than enough time 1 2 to have placed it on. 3 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you. You may be excused. Would you like to move for the 4 admission? 5 MR. BEELER: We can do it now or do we 6 want to do it at the end? Either way. 7 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Okay. We will do it 8 9 at the end. 10 MR. BEELER: Staff at this point would like to call Chip Moser to the stand. 11 12 (Witness sworn.) 13 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Please be seated. 14 15 ROD A. MOSER being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 16 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Beeler: 20 Ο. Good morning. State your name for the 2.1 record. 2.2 My name is Rod Allen Moser, M-O-S-E-R. Α. 23 Where are you employed? Q. 24 I'm the Compliance Chief within the 25 Transportation Department of the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. And so you are the Compliance Chief, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. How long have you been in that position?
- A. Two years.
 - Q. Okay. What are your duties in that position?
 - A. I manage the civil forfeiture process for the public -- for the PUCO's Transportation

 Department.
 - Q. Okay. What are your expert qualifications for violation in the subject matters of these inspections?
 - A. North American Standards Parts A and B certified, cargo tank, motor coach, hazardous -- general hazmat and then nonbulk and bulk hazmat, and then just on-the-job training in my current position with a mentor who also works for the PUCO.
 - Q. And you have experience doing stops as well in your past?
- A. I do. I am an Inspector also, and my
 prior position before coming to the PUCO was the
 Commercial Enforcement Coordinator for the Highway
 Patrol District 6.

Q. Okay. Just generally explain kind of the forfeiture process at the PUCO.

2.1

2.2

- A. All violations that are written on inspections are subject to forfeiture if they are considered an out-of-service violation or if they are a Group 4 violation. Violations are divided into five different groups for non-hazmat violations, Groups 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4. And violations get categorized depending upon the nature of the violation. Group 4 violations are primarily driver offenses and authority and insurance violations.
- Q. And in this process does the Commission apply it uniformly to everyone it regulates?
- A. It's an automated process, yes.

MR. BEELER: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You may.

MR. BEELER: I have what has been previously marked as Staff Exhibit 3. It's the Notice of Preliminary Determination that is sent to the driver with a date of May 1, 2018. Ask that it be marked.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. Do you recognize this document?

A. I do, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

2.1

22

23

- Q. What is it?
- A. It's a copy of the letter that was sent to Mr. Willet following an unsuccessful telephone conference. By unsuccessful just means the issue couldn't be resolved.
- Q. Okay. Did you review this document in preparation for this hearing?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Is this document a Commission record?
- 11 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Kept in the ordinary business of the Commission?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Explain how the civil forfeiture in this case was derived.
- A. Well, like I said, it's a Group 4
 violation. The fine for that is always \$100. It's
 just an automated process. Since that was the only
 violation the fine total was \$100.
 - Q. Okay. Is that penalty consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Alliance?
- 25 A. It is, yes.

```
And it's also consistent with the
 1
            Ο.
 2
     Commission's rules?
 3
            A. Yes, sir.
               Set forfeiture amount is $100, correct?
 4
            Ο.
 5
            Α.
                That's correct.
                 Would you -- that's the correct amount?
 6
            Q.
 7
            Α.
                 It's the correct amount, the amount I
 8
     would recommend, yes.
 9
            Ο.
                 Okay. Anything else important to note
10
     that we have not discussed which you would like the
11
     Commission to know for the record?
12
            Α.
                No, sir.
13
                 MR. BEELER: Thank you. No further
14
     questions.
15
                 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Mr. Willet, do you
     have any questions?
16
17
                 MR. WILLET: No.
18
                 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You may be excused.
19
     Thank you.
20
                 MR. BEELER: At this time Staff would
2.1
     move for the admission of Staff Exhibit 1, Staff
22
     Exhibit 2, and Staff Exhibit 3.
23
                 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Staff Exhibits 1, 2,
24
     and 3 will be so admitted into the record.
25
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
```

26 1 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Mr. Willet, do you 2 want to testify? 3 MR. WILLET: I can. 4 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You can come up here. I'll swear you in. 5 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you. Will you start by stating your name for the record and 8 9 spelling it for our court reporter. 10 THE WITNESS: My name is Gregory D. 11 Willet, G-R-E-G-O-R-Y, middle initial D, and last 12 name Willet, W-I-L-E-T. 13 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Just go ahead and 14 tell your story however you feel comfortable. 15 16 GREGORY D. WILLET 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 18 examined and testified as follows: 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 THE WITNESS: As I recall on that day, I 2.1 did come off of 270 coming eastbound to go south on 22 Alum Creek. I did have my seat belt on that day --23 I'm sorry. Let's go to the previous night. It had 24 snowed 3 or 4 inches which I turned in evidence of 25 that. That day it had snowed. There was clearly

stuff on my windshields. I had been working since 6 o'clock in the morning. When I come off, I made a right-hand turn. I did not see on the left-hand side. When I noticed you, we was at the light at Groveport Road. I was in the far left lane to go straight, and you was right beside me, so we proceeded.

2.1

I seen you look up through the side of the truck which you cannot see my seat belt from my angle. We proceeded through the light. We weren't underneath the underpass and then that's when you got behind me and pulled me over to the right.

I mean, that's basically all I got. I mean, I did not see you again sitting in the middle lane like you said you were, so if I was looking forwards to cross traffic, I would have seen you clearly in that lane, but I do not recall that so.

I just wanted to put on the record that, you know, the weather conditions were not favorable. They were not clear. There was at least 4 or 5 inches of snow still on the ground by 4:00 p.m. You know, again, the weather conditions was the biggest thing. I don't know how he seen even if it's the way he said he seen. The truck was covered in salt and brine, and I just do not foresee him being

able to see clearly through the window. And as I stated earlier, he looked straight through the window. You wouldn't be able to tell so. I had my seat belt on; and, I mean, that's the only reason I have come to court and tried to fight this at all. I've lost a job because of this so. I mean, that's all I have. EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: We'll see if -- so you had mentioned pictures that you took. Do you want to move them into evidence today? THE WITNESS: I already turned them in. They said it was supposed to be for evidence because I have a copy of it. Do you want me to show that to you? EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Yeah, if you don't mind. THE WITNESS: This is the weather reports

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

and here are pictures of what it was like outside.

This was another pickup truck to get these pictures trying to give reference to his vehicle that he was in.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Okay. Let's mark these as Willet Exhibit 1.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

THE WITNESS: I don't have another copy.

```
This is the only one I had.

EXAMINER WALSTR
```

EXAMINER WALSTRA: This is part of your original filing, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

5 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Do you have copies of

6 that?

8

7 MR. BEELER: I've seen it, yes.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Go ahead.

9

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 By Mr. Beeler:

- 12 Q. I do have a few questions for you.
- 13 Looking at the -- looking at the pictures, the two
- 14 pictures that you have up there, do you still have
- 15 | the two pictures?
- 16 A. Yes, I have them.
- Q. You -- the picture that -- is that you in
- 18 | the picture?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that picture is taken from
- 21 | the -- from the passenger side, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then -- so then the picture
- 24 | that shows two trucks in there, I mean, you're
- 25 | focusing on the passenger side of the truck.

- A. Correct, because that's where I had observed him. Again, if I was turning south on Alum Creek, he said he was to the right, and he said he observed me looking across traffic, I would have clearly seen a State Highway Patrol vehicle.
 - Q. Okay. Do you always wear your seat belt?
- A. Yes, because -- as part of when I was with CPG, they had put into ordinance that if -- again, if you got a seat belt violation, that's automatic termination, so they enforced. We had, I don't want to say class on it, but our safety instructor, you know, he made it clear that it had to be worn.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever been cited for a seat belt violation before?
 - A. Younger, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. Do you know how many?
- A. No. I have no idea.
- O. More than three?
- A. Probably, yes, when I was younger.
- Q. When do you think the last one was?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
 - Q. More than five?
- A. I honestly couldn't tell you.
- MR. BEELER: Okay. No further questions,

31 1 your Honor. 2 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Do you have any additional testimony, Mr. Willet? 3 THE WITNESS: No. 4 5 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You may be excused. Mr. Willet, would you like to move into 6 7 evidence Willet Exhibit 1, those photographs? MR. WILLET: Please. 8 MR. BEELER: I would like to recall for a 9 10 rebuttal witness the Inspector. 11 EXAMINER WALSTRA: You don't object to 12 the exhibit? 13 MR. BEELER: No, don't object to the 14 exhibit. 15 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: They will be 16 admitted into the record. Thank you. 17 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 18 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: I will just remind 19 you you are still under oath. 20 THE WITNESS: Of course. 2.1 MR. BEELER: May I approach? 22 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You may. 23 24

TROOPER TIMOTHY MULARCIK

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified on rebuttal as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Beeler:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

- These are just the pictures that were Ο. just admitted through Mr. Willet. Looking at both these photos, do you recognize this truck?
- I couldn't recall if the white one here Α. is the same one as the blue front, if that was absolutely the truck that same day. I just recall it was an early model Freight Liner.
- Q. Okay. And -- and do either of these pictures depict your vision line on that day?
 - Α. No.
- 16 Why not? Q.
- Because this wasn't where the violation Α. was observed. The violation wasn't observed alongside as I testified earlier. My vehicle is silver. It blends in with the gray road very easily. 2.1 It has reflective taping, but it's only reflective if 22 light illuminates against it in the dark. While working on Interstate 270, it's very, very incomparably common -- how common it is that cars 25 will pass while I am traveling along at or slightly

above the posted speed limit, comfortable, safe, 3 to 5 miles over the posting. Cars will fly by 90 miles an hour and say they never saw me. The fact Mr. Willet didn't see me as I am southbound over three lanes with a large field of gray of roadway, movement behind my silver SUV, as he came to a stop and was immediately looking in the area behind me, absolutely credible that he wouldn't see my vehicle until he made the right-hand turn and then was focused forward where I was already parked.

- Q. Okay. Was the -- was the intersection accurately described by Mr. Willet, or are you talking about a different -- a different intersection?
- A. I am talking about the same intersection. It's multiple lanes wide, and I was in the middle of them as you are looking from an elevated height as his vehicle would have been. My silver SUV, there was nothing about it. It's not a white SUV. It's not a black SUV. It's a silver SUV, and it's going to blend in pretty easily with the multitude of lanes of gray pavement.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

A. And I think as the timing was that I wasn't far back out of the intersection, I was very

close to it, so as Mr. Willet would have pulled up and he looked north, he would have been essentially looking behind my vehicle and nothing would have drew his attention to my vehicle.

And you don't recall anything on the windshield, salt, grime, that would have been obstructing your vision?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

questions?

- Α. No. This was much later in the day. He stated there was snow on the roadways, but it wasn't snowing at the time. The roads were clear. was no longer spraying at that point. My windshield was clean. I can't attest to, you know, how often he cleans his windshield, but I know mine was absolutely clean. And, again --
- What about his windshield from -- from the best you remember, you could see through that windshield?
- His windshield was clean, or he wouldn't Α. have been driving. If you can't see out the windshield enough to see in it, how could he be operating down the roadway?
- 2.2 MR. BEELER: Okay. No further questions. 23 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Do you have any 24
- 25 MR. WILLET: Yeah. He just said that my

windshield, yes, it would have been clean.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 By Mr. Willet:

- Q. You earlier stated that you seen it through the driver's side window. Those don't have wipers on them.
 - A. No, they don't.
- Q. Cruddy and nasty and, again, throughout the day it had been snowing. There's -- it could have been dirty. So, again, you kept saying the windshield, the windshield. It's -- it's going to be clean to drive forward.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Them side windows, they do get dirty throughout the day with the salt and the snow and the debris.
- A. Absolutely but, again, to reiterate what I stated -- testified earlier, the observation was through the driver side window. It was cleared and obviously to me as it was, regardless of dirt or not, that dark silhouette of the belt coming off the door pillar would have been very obvious and looked through the front windshield to verify that observation.

- Q. Okay. Did you -- you said the dark silhouette of the -- do you remember the shirt I was wearing that day?
 - A. No, I don't.

2.1

- Q. Could you tell me what color the interior of the truck was because you did approach and come in from the passenger side?
- A. It was an early model Freight Liner. The majority of them was dark colored. I believe it was before the era of the orange seat belt, but I didn't make any notations nor have any strong recollection as to coloration of a shirt or interior.
- Q. So you're saying dark color inside. You don't know what color clothes I was wearing. And you couldn't see a dark-colored seat belt. Would it be fair to say that you couldn't see it if everything inside was dark?
- A. It would have been accurate to say I clearly observed a dark seat belt not coming off the door pillar through that big gab to your shoulder.

 As I was looking through, I was seeing the silhouette of you against the light background, so my observation was clearly straight through from the two sides through the driver's side window and silhouette of the white or illuminated or the sky or whatnot

behind you, that it wasn't you silhouetted against the dark background. It was you silhouetted against the light coming through the passenger side window here and obviously that seat belt silhouette was not there. And as I drove past the front of you and looked through the windshield, that observation was just verified.

2.1

Q. Okay. This is a -- I don't know if you are common with a sleeper truck, it has a bed in the back so there is no windows on the sides besides the doors or the front windshield.

So my question is if you are looking as you are driving by and you are looking this way, there is no light coming in from the passenger side. You are looking at it through an angle.

- A. Well, I am speaking to as you can see that silhouette of yourself through the passenger side window.
 - Q. That's not where you said you observed.
- A. No. I am just using this visual illustration trying to indicate as to what I observed. As I was coming down looking through your driver side window, that observation was clear through the passenger side window, you silhouetted across the window light coming from the passenger

side. I could see your shoulder. I could see you leaning up off the seat back because the way you had come up and kind of angled a bit to give yourself a visual in that turn lane, you were silhouetted through your driver side window and the light coming through the passenger side window, you leaning forward off of the seat back. That seat belt was clearly not coming off of your shoulder to the door pillar and as I came past the front of you, it was clearly not observed at that time.

- Q. I don't think that angle is right.

 MR. BEELER: Objection.
- A. I've testified --

2.1

MR. BEELER: Object. Let me talk. Not a question, just a statement, just an editorial.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: You have to ask a question.

- Q. (By Mr. Willet) Okay. You just stated my truck was angled, not straight at the light. It was angled off to the side. So as you are driving by, you would see more of the inside of the cab than you would that passenger side window to where you said you seen the light and the silhouette of the seat belt.
- A. I am not sure how further I can

articulate my observation. I think we are going in 1 2. circles. MR. BEELER: Yeah. These have been asked 3 and answered, your Honor. He has given his 4 5 statement. I would object. MR. WILLET: I am just trying to set for 6 the record the interior of the truck was dark. I had 7 a dark shirt. It's a dark seat belt. 8 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Overruled. I will 9 10 take it into consideration. 11 MR. BEELER: Okay. Thank you. 12 EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Do you have any 13 other questions? 14 MR. WILLET: No. That's it. EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Do you have any 15 16 redirect? 17

MR. BEELER: No, your Honor.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Okay. You may be

excused. Thank you.

18

19

2.2

23

24

25

20 All right. Are there any other matters 2.1 that need to be addressed?

MR. BEELER: No, your Honor.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Hearing none, this case is submitted on the record. This hearing is adjourned. Thank you.

(Thereupon, at 10:41 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.) CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, April 4, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Merit Reporter. (KSG-6724)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/18/2019 3:54:17 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-0946-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Gregory D. Willet, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on April 4th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.