

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke     )  
Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Adjustment to     ) Case No. 19-0174-GA-RDR  
Rider MGP Rates.     )

In the Matter of the Application of Duke     ) Case No. 19-0175-GA-ATA  
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval     )

---

**MOTION TO INTERVENE  
BY  
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

---

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke Energy") seeks to collect \$19.8 million<sup>1</sup> in charges from its customers for the cleanup of defunct manufactured gas plant ("MGP") sites during 2018.<sup>2</sup> OCC is filing on behalf of the 425,000 residential utility customers of Duke Energy. The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the "PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Direct Testimony of Todd L. Bachand on Behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. at 13 (Mar. 29, 2019).

<sup>2</sup> See R.C. Chapter 4911; R.C. 4903.221; Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973)  
Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Christopher Healey  
Christopher Healey (0086027)  
Counsel of Record  
Amy Botschner-O'Brien (0074423)  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**

65 East State Street, 7th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Telephone [Healey]: (614) 466-9571  
Telephone [Botschner]: (614) 466-9575  
christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov  
amy.botschner.obrien@occ.ohio.gov  
(will accept service via email)

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke     )  
Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Adjustment to     ) Case No. 19-0174-GA-RDR  
Rider MGP Rates.     )

In the Matter of the Application of Duke     ) Case No. 19-0175-GA-ATA  
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval     )

---

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO INTERVENE  
BY  
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

---

Duke Energy Ohio (“Duke” or “Utility”) seeks to charges its customers over \$19.8 million for a year’s (2018) worth of cleanup costs related to defunct manufactured gas plant sites through its Rider MGP. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all of the 425,000 residential utility customers of Duke Energy, under R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential consumers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding regarding charges that residential customers pay through Rider MGP as part of their natural gas distribution rates. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;

- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of Duke Energy in this case involving the rates that they pay for Rider MGP. This interest is different from that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for consumers will include, among other things, advancing the position that Duke Energy's customers should receive adequate service at a reasonable rate under Ohio law.<sup>3</sup> OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case, which is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

---

<sup>3</sup> See R.C. 4905.22 ("All charges made or demanded for any service rendered, or to be rendered, shall be just, reasonable, and not more than the charges allowed by law or by order of the public utilities commission . . .").

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a “real and substantial interest” according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where Duke Energy seeks to charge millions of dollars for attempting to remediate defunct MGP facilities.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B), which OCC already has addressed, and which OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider the “extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility consumers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio (“Court”) confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶ 13-20.

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential consumers, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973)  
Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Christopher Healey  
Christopher Healey (0086027)  
Counsel of Record  
Amy Botschner-O'Brien (0074423)  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**  
65 East State Street, 7th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Telephone [Healey]: (614) 466-9571  
Telephone [Botschner]: (614) 466-9575  
christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov  
amy.botschner.obrien@occ.ohio.gov  
(will accept service via email)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electric transmission this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 2019.

/s/ Christopher Healey  
Christopher Healey  
Counsel of Record

**SERVICE LIST**

john.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Attorney Examiner:

megan.addison@puc.state.oh.us

rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com

elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com

jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**4/16/2019 2:19:23 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 19-0174-GA-RDR, 19-0175-GA-ATA**

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Jamie Williams on behalf of Healey, Christopher Mr.