BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
The Dayton Power and Light Company to)	Case No. 19-0577-EL-RDR
Update its Transmission Cost Recovery)	
Rider – Non-Bypassable.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene¹ in this case where The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L") proposes to change the rates customers pay for transmission costs. OCC is filing on behalf of DP&L's 460,000 residential utility customers. The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973) Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Ambrosia E. Logsdon

Angela O'Brien (0097579)

Counsel of Record

Ambrosia E. Logsdon (0096598)

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, 7th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213

Telephone [O'Brien]: (614) 466-9531 Telephone [Logsdon]: (614) 466-1292

Angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov

 $\underline{Ambrosia. Logsdon@occ.ohio.gov}$

(Will accept service via email)

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221, and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
The Dayton Power and Light Company to)	Case No. 19-0577-EL-RDR
Update its Transmission Cost Recovery)	
Rider – Non-Bypassable.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

In this case, DP&L seeks PUCO approval to change the rates that its customers will pay over the next year for transmission costs. The transmission rates are to be adjusted for federal tax changes and recent developments at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), coming from its Settlement Order in EL05-121-09. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all of DP&L's 460,000 residential utility customers, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential customers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding where the PUCO is setting the rates to be charged to customers for transmission expenses over the next year. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest:
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;

- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings;
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing DP&L's residential customers in this case where DP&L will be setting the rates customers will pay over the next year for transmission projects. This interest is different from that of any other party and especially different from that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that rates that customers pay should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. In this case reasonable and just rates should include credits for federal tax decreases and credits for transmission charges coming from a 2018 settlement approved by FERC. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.

OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider to equitably and lawfully decide the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case that involves setting the appropriate transmission rates that customers will pay over the next year.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider "[t]he extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio ("Court") confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.²

3

² See Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20.

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973) Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Ambrosia E. Logsdon

Angela O'Brien (0097579) Counsel of Record Ambrosia E. Logsdon (0096598) Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213 Telephone [O'Brien]: (614) 466-9531 Telephone [Logsdon]: (614) 466-1292 <u>Angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov</u> <u>Ambrosia.Logsdon@occ.ohio.gov</u> (Will accept service via email)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 1st day of April 2019.

/s/ Ambrosia E. Logsdon
Ambrosia E. Logsdon
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

John.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Michael.schuler@aes.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/1/2019 11:15:17 AM

in

Case No(s). 19-0577-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Logsdon, Ambrosia E.