Confidential Release

Case Nu	ımber:	09-119	-EL-AEC
---------	--------	--------	---------

Date of Confidential Document: 8/29/2013

Release Date: 3/22/19

Page Count: 25

Document Description: Proceedings before Sarah Parrot on Tuesday, August 28, 2013

"Consent to Release to the PUCO DIS Website"

Date Reviewed 03/22/19

Tals is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a complete document delivered in the regular course of plainess.

Technician Date Processed 3/22/19

CONFIDENTIAL

Confidential treatment has been requested for the following document:

Case # 09-119-EL-AEC

Page Count: 25

Date Filed: THURSDAY, AUGUST 29, 2013

Filed by: MARIA DI PAULO JONES

Behalf of: ARMSTRONG & OKEY INC

Summary of document: PROCEEDINGS BEFORE SARAH PARROT ON WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 28, 2013

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ormet

Primary Aluminum : Case No. 09-119-EL-AEC

Corporation for Approval : of a Unique Arrangement : with Ohio Power Company. :

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Sarah Parrot, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, August 28, 2013.

VOLUME II - CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

2013 AUG 29 PM 3: 05

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

		169
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Mr. M. Howard Petricoff	
3	52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008	
4	SNR Denton US, LLP	
5 6	By Mr. Daniel D. Barnowski 1301 K Street NW, Suite 600 East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005	
7	On behalf of the Applicant.	
8	American Electric Power Service Corporation By Mr. Steven T. Nourse	
9	1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
10	Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur, LLP	
11	By Mr. Andrew C. Emerson 41 South High Street	
12	Columbus, Ohio 43215-6194	
13	On behalf of the Ohio Power Company.	
14	Bruce E. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Ms. Maureen R. Grady	
15	Mr. Edmund "Tad" Berger Assistant Consumers' Counsel	
16	10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485	
17	On behalf of the Residential Consumers	of
18	Ohio Power Company.	
19	McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC By Mr. Frank P. Darr	
20	Mr. Joseph Oliker Fifth Third Center, Suite 1700	
21	21 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4228	
22	On behalf of the Industrial Energy User	ce
23	of Ohio.	. S
24	~	
25		

	170
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry By Mr. David Boehm
3	Ms. Jody Kyler Cohn Mr. Michael Kurtz
4	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 2110 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
5	On behalf of the Ohio Energy Group, Inc.
6	
7	Bricker & Eckler, LLP By Mr. Thomas Siwo
8	Ms. Maria J. Armstrong 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
9	
10	On behalf of the OMA Energy Group. Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
11	By Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief
12	Public Utilities Section Mr. Thomas W. McNamee,
13	Principal Attorney General 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
14	Columbus, Ohio 43215
15	On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	

__

(CONFIDENTIAL PORTION.)

MR. BERGER: Your Honor, can we have marked as OCC Exhibits No. 2, 3, and 4, the three exhibits I just handed out, the first one, which will be OCC Exhibit No. 2, is a copy of the pages from HARBOR Intelligence's monthly forecasts from February 2009 to August of 2013 that were provided -- these are one page from each of those reports that were provided to us in discovery.

EXAMINER PARROT: Just to make sure the record's clear, can you tell me what the heading of that document is, because I don't think that's the

one you had on top of your stack, so I just want to make sure what it is.

MR. BERGER: It has a green page and it says "In our opinion, it seems reasonable" at the top of the page.

EXAMINER PARROT: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

MR. BERGER: The second exhibit says -is marked Confidential and says "Harbor Aluminum
Forecasts Versus Actual Base Case (Realistic)
Scenario February 2009 to August 2013." This exhibit
takes the numbers on Exhibit 2, uses the realistic
scenario numbers -- uses the realistic scenario
numbers which I'll go through with the witness.

And Exhibit No. 4 just has the annual forecasts included in those realistic scenario numbers, and you'll see in the first column on each of these sheets is the actual price, the first two columns are the month and the actual price for Exhibit 3 and the year and actual price for Exhibit 4.

And then each column on these exhibits has a percentage error calculation as well.

EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

	195
1	MR. BERGER: The exhibits have been
2	marked, correct?
3	EXAMINER PARROT: Yes, they have.
4	MR. BERGER: Okay. Thank you.
5	Q. (By Mr. Berger) Mr. Vazquez, did your
6	company, HARBOR Intelligence, prepare the reports
7	that are part of OCC Exhibit No. 2?
8	A. Yes, we prepared them.
9	Q. And if you look on the first page of that
10	exhibit, do you see where February has bold numbers?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. February 2009.
13	A. Yes, I see that.
14	Q. Does that mean does that mean that the
15	February prices have become actual numbers?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And the numbers after that reflect HARBOR
18	Intelligence's forecasts for the succeeding months
19	and year; is that correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Now, if you look at OCC Exhibit 3, you'll
22	see that if you look at the February 9 HARBOR
23	forecast, would you agree with me you see the
24	forecast numbers for the realistic scenario shown on
25	that page 1 there?

1 A. Correct.

- Q. And I know you don't have time to go through all of these right now, but have you looked at these numbers before in the context of the deposition and determined that the numbers were consistent with what is on your reports?
- A. We talked about this during the deposition and overall the numbers looked like they were part of our Aluminum Intelligence reports, although I didn't analyze each data point.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But overall it seems like these are the numbers that we use.
- Q. Now, I would note to you that I've added a couple of months for two reports that I didn't have in this document before.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And also for August 2013 since we just received that document at the end of last week.

Do you also agree with the percentage error calculation shown here reflecting the difference between your projection and -- between your forecast and the actual prices indicated?

A. It looks like the margin of error seems to be correct.

Q. Thank you.

)

And could you say the same thing with respect to the Exhibit 4 that shows the annual numbers?

MR. PETRICOFF: Your Honor, could I have the question read back?

EXAMINER PARROT: You may.

(Record read.)

- A. It seems they are the same.
- Q. Thank you. So what you're saying is that the annual numbers reflect an accurate calculation of the margin of error for your reports?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Thank you.

Now would you look at OCC Exhibit No. 3 and, in particular — the monthly forecast, and in particular would you look at your forecast, the September 2009 for July of 2010. And do you see where you have forecast in September 2009 for July of 2010 of \$2,985 per metric ton of aluminum and the actual price turned out to be \$1,988?

- A. I see that.
- Q. And that there's a margin of error there of 50 percent approximately.
 - A. I see that.

Q. Thank you.

And with respect to your forecasts beginning in August of 2010 for October 2011 through December 2012, would you agree that those forecasts were significantly too high, generally speaking?

- A. Yes. They were two -- double digit higher than the actual price.
- Q. And that includes a forecast in July of 2011 on the third page of a price for August 2012 of \$3,134, and the actual price turned out to be \$1,838 per metric ton; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that was 70.5 percent too high; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And with respect to 2000 -- your forecast for 2013 prices, would you agree that starting in July 2012 your forecasts were as much as 38 percent too high for July 2013, if you look on page 4 of the exhibit?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, with respect to OCC Exhibit 4, the annual forecast, would you agree that your forecast for 2010 prices were as much as 20 percent off for that year?

- A. Can you repeat the monthly --
 - Q. If you look at January 2010 --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- the forecast there for 2010 prices was \$2,629 per metric ton and the actual price turned out to be \$2,173, which is 20.98 percent too high; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Your annual forecast for 2011 prices, however, were very close, only 5 to 6 percent off. Would you agree with that?
 - A. Yes, I would.
- Q. But your forecast for 2012 prices for the annual numbers was as much as 53 percent too high if you look in your forecast from April -- from April 2011 for 2012; is that correct?
- A. No. Let me clarify. It's not annual forecast. It's the monthly forecast. Because when we say "annual forecast" in the industry, we refer to the average of the year. So this is the monthly forecast of one year ahead rather than the average or annual forecast per se.
- Q. But the number of \$3,100 that's shown on page 3 as your forecast from April 2011 for the year 2012, that's a forecast of the annual price for 2012,

isn't it?

- A. No. No. No, it's not. No. That's the forecast for the monthly price of that particular month. So these are not annual forecasts. These are monthly forecasts.
- Q. Let's go back to OCC Exhibit No. 2 and look at your April --
- A. If you want to have the annual, you have to average all of the monthly forecasts. If you want to have a feel of what was the average annual forecast we had for, let's say 2010 or 2011, you have to average all of the months to come up with the annual.
- Q. Okay. But let's look at your forecast from April 2011, and I think we can determine which page that is if we look at the bolded figure on the page, if the last bolded figure is April 2011.

MR. BERGER: And the pages aren't numbered, your Honor, so . . .

- A. From page 6 of this exhibit on, that's where you find the annual forecast.
- Q. Well, I'm talking about the annual forecast, okay?
- A. That's what I want to make the indication. One thing is the annual forecast and

another thing is the monthly forecast for a particular year.

Q. Well, I'm trying to -- let's go to the annual -- to that page that I'm talking about from the April 2011 forecast that shows May 2011 forward.

MR. PETRICOFF: Your Honor, just so I can follow along, which exhibit are you referring to?

MR. BERGER: I'm on OCC Exhibit 2.

MR. PETRICOFF: All right. And what month are you looking at?

MR. BERGER: I'm on month of April 2011.

The last month that's bolded, the April 2011. And it has a price for April 2011, the actual price of \$2,669 and there's a page --

EXAMINER PARROT: Fifty-one?

- Q. Fifty-one. The one that has a 51 on it.

 And do you see at the bottom there where it has a

 forecast realistic scenario for 2012 of \$3,100?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Isn't that an annual forecast for the year 2012?
- A. Yes, but this is an annual forecast and what we were analyzing before is monthly forecasts.
 - Q. No, I'm on OCC Exhibit 4.
 - A. My comment came from this analysis that

you were referring to because you were saying your annual forecast and we were talking about monthly forecasts; that's where my comment comes. So it seems like you were misusing the term when referring to this by saying that our annual error was 50 percent when the actual annual error was the average of all of those errors.

- Q. Now, I'm turning to the other exhibit.
 Exhibit 4.
- A. No. No. I know. You're talking about this one.
 - Q. No.

- A. Or the other one. They're basically the same. It's the same interrogatory that you were following.
- Q. You should have three exhibits there, do you not?
- A. Yeah, I have one here which is the green one, the one we discussed during our deposition, and the one you just gave me today.
- Q. No, I gave you two -- there should be three exhibits there that you received today.
 - A. And then I have another one here.
- Q. That's Exhibit 4 that has the annual forecasts on it.

```
1
                  MR. BOEHM: Your Honor, I'm wondering --
2
      I'm now totally confused myself. I'm wondering if
3
     OCC could go through these again and tell us which is
4
     which because I may have numbered mine wrong.
5
                  MR. BERGER: The annual forecast only has
6
      the year in the column on the left.
7
                  MR. BOEHM: So the annual --
 8
                  EXAMINER PARROT: That's Exhibit 4.
                                                       OCC
      Exhibit 4.
9
10
                  MR. BOEHM: Okay, I'm good on that.
11
                  EXAMINER PARROT: Do you have that?
12
                  MR. BERGER: The monthly forecast has --
13
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Here.
14
                  EXAMINER PARROT: That's the one he was
15
      referring to.
16
                  THE WITNESS: Okay.
17
                  MR. BOEHM: The first page says
18
      "February-09, 1329" in the left-hand margin, right?
19
                  MR. BERGER: That's the monthly forecast.
20
                  MR. BOEHM: Is that 3?
21
                  EXAMINER PARROT: That's OCC Exhibit 3,
22
      it has the months listed.
23
                  MR. BERGER: It has the month listed on
24
      the left, that's OCC Exhibit 3.
25
                  MR. BOEHM: And 2 is the green one.
```

į	204
1	MR. BERGER: Yes.
2	MR. BOEHM: And is there another one?
3	EXAMINER PARROT: Yes. OCC Exhibit 4 has
4	only years listed in the left-hand column.
5	MR. BOEHM: No, I'm sorry, your Honor, I
6	just named three of them. Is there another one?
7	MS. GRADY: No, 2, 3, and 4.
8	EXAMINER PARROT: Two, 3, and 4. You're
9	dealing with three total exhibits right now that are
10	numbered 2, 3, and 4.
11	MR. BOEHM: Thank you.
12	Q. (By Mr. Berger) Could you mark the
13	exhibits that I provided today as Exhibits 2, 3, and
14	4 for your information so we don't get confused
15	again. And the annual one is
16	A. What's the number of this exhibit?
17	Q. The green one is OCC Exhibit 2.
18	A. Two.
19	Q. The monthly forecast I handed out today
20	is OCC Exhibit 3.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. And the annual forecast is OCC Exhibit 4.
23	Right now I'm looking at page 3 of OCC Exhibit 4
24	A. Okay.

-- where I compare the annual forecast to

25

Q.

the annual prices.

- A. Okay.
- Q. And would you agree with me that your margin of error from your forecast for annual 2012 prices in April 2011 was 53.47 percent?
 - A. Correct. Correct.
 - Q. Thank you.

And with respect to your forecast for 2013 prices for the annual, you projected a price in April and July of 2011 for the annual price for 2013 of \$3,400; is that correct?

- A. Correct. Yeah.
- Q. And what is your projection of 2013 prices in total now currently?
 - A. Today? It's 1923.
- Q. Would you agree with me that in forecasting aluminum prices there are always factors that can't be accurately predicted?
- A. There are factors that you cannot anticipate, yes.
- Q. Would you agree that one factor that you didn't accurately predict for the current market cycle was the psychological effect Europe had on the market?
 - A. Yeah, we didn't anticipate that effect.

1	Q. Would you agree with me that since you
2	haven't provided your long-term forecast for the
3	period before 2010, we can only determine the extent
4	of accuracy that you've had for long-term forecasting
5	for the last based on the last three years?
6	A. With that particular report, I think
7	you're pretty limited in assessing how accurate we
8	are in the long run and how we compare to the rest of
9	the market, yes.
10	Q. Thank you.
11	MR. BERGER: That's all I have. Thank
12	you.
13	Thank you, Mr. Vazquez.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	EXAMINER PARROT: Thank you. Let's go
16	off the record.
17	(Discussion off the record.)
18	EXAMINER PARROT: Let's go back on the
19	record.
20	(OPEN RECORD.)
21	
22	
23	
24	,
25	

(CONFIDENTIAL PORTION.)

EXAMINER PARROT: All right. At this point we are going back into the confidential portion of the transcript. Mr. Oliker.

MR. OLIKER: Before we do that, can we just clean up the one issue that Howard and I discussed? I'm sorry, your Honor.

EXAMINER PARROT: Actually, let's do that when we are going through the process of moving exhibits.

MR. OLIKER: Okay.

- Q. (By Mr. Oliker) Mr. Vazquez, your testimony at page 5, you provide forecasts of aluminum prices for 2014 and 2015, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And those prices are, for 2014, \$2,294 per metric ton and \$2,400 per metric ton, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Would you agree that those prices reflect

	214
1	base case scenario?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Would you agree that your testimony is
4	based upon a June-July 2013 forecast prepared by
5	HARBOR?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. And would you be able to identify that
8	forecast if I put it in front of you?
9	A. Yes.
ro	MR. OLIKER: Your Honor, may I please
11	approach the witness?
12	EXAMINER PARROT: You may.
L3	MR. OLIKER: I'd like to mark as IEU-Ohio
14	Exhibit No. 5 the HARBOR 2013 June-July monthly
15	forecast.
1.6	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
17	Q. Mr. Vazquez, do you recognize the
18	document that's been marked as IEU-Ohio Exhibit
19	No. 5?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Was this document prepared by you or
22	under your direction?
23	A. Yes, it was.
24	Q. Could you please identify what the
25	document is.

- 1	213
1	A. This is the Aluminum Intelligence report
2	that we released in July of this year.
3	Q. And would you agree that this document
4	provides the basis for the forecast you include in
5	your testimony?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Could you turn to page 11 of IEU-Ohio
8	Exhibit No. 5.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And if you look at HARBOR base case
11	scenario, under the column for 2014 and 2015, do
12	those numbers match up with the forecast in your
13	testimony?
14	A. Yes, they hold on.
15	Yes, they match.
16	Q. And HARBOR attaches a 50 percent
17	probability that the base case scenario will occur,
18	correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And if you look underneath that, HARBOR
21	attaches a 40 percent probability that the downside
22	scenario will occur, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. The downside scenario for 2014 is \$1,977,
25	correct?

	216
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And the downside scenario for 2015 is
3	\$1,887, correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. So the difference between the base case
6	and the downside in 2014 is \$317, correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And in 2015 the difference is \$513,
9	correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Could you turn to page 5, please, of that
12	document.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Would you agree that the June-July report
15	decreased the probability of the base case scenario
16	occurring in 2013 through 2015 from 60 percent to
17	50 percent?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And HARBOR raised the probability of the
20	downside scenario occurring from 2013 to 2015 from
21	30 percent to 40 percent, correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Would you agree that HARBOR's June-July
24	report also lowered its price forecast for the base
25	case scenario in 2013 from \$1968 to 1923?

	217
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And would you agree that the June-July
3	report lowered its price forecast for the downside
4	scenario for 2013 from \$1842 to \$1778?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. The June-July report lowered its price
7	forecast for the 2014 downside scenario from \$2100 to
8	\$1,997.
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Please turn back to page 11.
11	A. Okay, I'm here.
12	Q. On the second line down where it says
13	"Average Forecast." The average forecast is the
14	average LME forecast from 60 different analysts,
15	correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And the average forecast provided that
18	aluminum prices will average \$2,131 in 2014 and
19	\$2,268 in 2014, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Sorry, I think I stated that incorrectly.
22	You understood that I meant 2015 in the second part
23	of that question, correct?

Oh, can you rephrase it, please?

Sure. The average of those forecasts

24

25

A.

Q.

provided that the aluminum prices will average \$2,131 in 2014 and \$2,268 in 2015, correct?

A.

overhang of aluminum?

Correct.

Q. Mr. Vazquez, would you agree that disappointing global end-user demand in China, the U.S., India, Brazil, and Europe has increased bearish sentiment in the market toward aluminum given overproduction and overcapacity and unprecedented

- A. Can you repeat it, please?
- Q. You would agree that disappointing global end-user demand in China, U.S., India, Brazil, and Europe has increased bearish sentiment the market has toward aluminum given the overproduction, overcapacity, and unprecedented overhang of aluminum.
- A. Correct. At that point in time that was the case, yes.
- Q. And you agree that there is currently a record oversupply of aluminum.
- A. We have a record nominal overhang of metal, but in terms of weeks of consumption, we don't. So if we are talking about nominal level aluminum metrics, we do have a record, but in terms of how many weeks of consumption that equals to, we don't. So it depends on what metric we want to use.

1 But nominally speaking, yes.

- Q. And you agree that aluminum production is set to boom in the next six months.
- A. Aluminum production set to boom in the next six months? I think at this point in July, yes. Mainly in China, two projects at least in India, and one project in the Middle East.
- Q. Do you agree that LME inventories reached a record high in June 2013?
- A. Yes. Nominally speaking, yes. We need to remember that LME inventories are only a portion of the equation. We also have Shanghai metrics, we have regional Chinese aluminum metrics, Japanese aluminum metrics, and we have the International Aluminum Institute metrics, some of them are multiyear record lows. So you need to add up all of these different reported inventories to come up with a global number, yes.

MR. OLIKER: Could I have one minute to see if I've got any more questions? Thank you.

Your Honor, I think that's all the questions I have.

EXAMINER PARROT: I have one for you.

This entire exhibit, IEU Exhibit 5, is claimed to be confidential; is that correct? Because it's not

Confidential - Ormet Volume II marked as such. I just want to be sure we're talking about the entire exhibit. MR. DARR: That's the way it was provided to us, your Honor. MR. OLIKER: I believe it's proprietary. MR. PETRICOFF: Your Honor, we would consider this --EXAMINER PARROT: The entire -- okay. MR. PETRICOFF: -- to be proprietary. EXAMINER PARROT: All right. MR. OLIKER: Thank you. EXAMINER PARROT: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off the record.) (OPEN RECORD.)