BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the
Complaint of Johnnie
Graham, Jr.,

Complainant, : Case No. 17-2114-TP-CSS

:

VS.

Frontier North, Inc., :

Respondent.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before Daniel E. Fullin, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11A, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1:30 p.m. on Monday, March 4, 2019.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
          Bishop Johnnie Graham, Jr., Pro se
          308 Maeder Avenue
          Dayton, Ohio 45417
 3
 4
               On behalf of the Complainant.
 5
          Squire, Patton, Boggs LLP
          By Michele L. Noble, Esq.
 6
          2000 Huntington Center
          41 South High Street
          Columbus, Ohio 43215
 7
          Michele.noble@squirepb.com
 8
               On behalf of Frontier North, Inc.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	COMPLAINANT'S CASE	
4	WITNESSES:	PAGE
5	Bishop Johnnie Graham, Jr.,	9
6	Direct Testimony Cross-Examination by Ms. Noble	31
7		
8	COMPANY'S CASE	
9	WITNESSES:	PAGE
10	Cassandra Cole	4.2
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Noble Cross-Examination by Bishop Graham	43 53
12		
13	COMPANY EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED	
14	1 - Copies of billing statements 44	80
15		
16		
16 17		
17		
17 18		
17 18 19		
17 18 19 20		
17 18 19 20 21		
17 18 19 20 21 22		
17 18 19 20 21 22		

Monday Afternoon Session,
March 4, 2019.

- -

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: The

Commission has called for hearing at this time and

place Case No. 17-2114-TP-CSS, which is the complaint

of Johnnie Graham, Jr. -- who I found out that in

December he became Bishop Graham. I plan on trying

to call him Bishop Graham, versus Frontier

Communications, Incorporated.

My name is Dan Fullin. I'm the
Attorney-Examiner assigned to hear this case today.

I will begin by having appearances, so I'd like to
begin with you, Bishop Graham. If you would state
your name for the record, give your address, and
state for the record whether you're just representing
yourself here today, or if there's anyone
representing you, or if you have any witnesses.

BISHOP GRAHAM: No, I'm representing myself. My name is Bishop Johnnie Graham, Jr., and I'm the Pastor of the Church in Miracle Ministry, Dayton, Ohio.

23 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Address for the Church?

25 BISHOP GRAHAM: 308 Maeder.

1 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: That's 2 Dayton. And the ZIP code? 3 BISHOP GRAHAM: Dayton, Ohio 45417. ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank you. 4 5 And appearance on behalf of Frontier. 6 MS. NOBLE: Good afternoon. Michele 7 Noble, attorney for Frontier North with the firm 8 Squire, Patton, Boggs. 9 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. All 10 right. So then let me state what I -- how I intend 11 to proceed today. 12 Bishop Graham, you're the complainant. 13 You have the burden of proof, so that means you'll 14 get to go first. And today's hearing is -- what gets 15 established on the record at today's hearing will be 16 the record that the Commission uses to make its 17 decision in the case. 18 So even though you filed a complaint and 19 you filed other things in the case, the Commission is 20 not going to rely on what you've already filed, they 2.1 are going to rely on what you testify to here today, 22 and what documents that you introduce. And there may be a ruling on -- if 23 24 there's an objection to what you introduce, there 25 will be a ruling from me about whether the exhibits

will be admitted into the record or not.

2.1

But if they are admitted into the record, everything that's admitted in the record today by both sides will be the record on which the Commission will make its decision in the case.

So for purposes of today, you'll go first. I'll swear you in, or the witness can affirm instead of swear, if that's their preference, and then you'll provide the testimony that you want to put on that presents your case in the best light possible so that you can prove the allegations of your complaint, and put on the record everything that the Commission needs to consider in your case.

You'll be subject to cross-examination.

You can put on exhibits or other documents that you want to introduce.

When you do that, you should identify what it is that you're proposing to introduce, and then at that time you can provide them a copy and provide me a copy, and we'll mark the exhibit each time when you go to introduce it.

And then after she gets a look at it, she can decide whether she wants to raise any objections to it. But again, the idea is that we'll allow you to respond to any objections that she has,

and when it's appropriate we'll make a ruling on whether it comes into the record or not.

2.1

But you should feel free to try to introduce what you want to introduce to support your case. And rulings will fall as they do in terms of me trying to apply the law to the facts of the case.

When you're done presenting your testimony, then she'll cross-examine you about anything that came up in your testimony. You'll have to answer her questions.

And you might have to just directly address her questions as they are. And when she's done with her cross-examination, then if you want to add something besides what you said in answer to your questions -- her questions, you can do that, but you'll be limited to bringing up things that relate to the questions that she asked. And then she can follow up. So we keep narrowing it down to what actually has just been addressed by the questioning.

But at the end of all that, when you put on your whole case, then I'll ask you if that's everything. And if so, then you'll rest, and then it will be her turn to put on Frontier's case.

And I believe she has a witness here today, so that witness will be sworn in and she'll

provide the testimony for Frontier. And you can object to any exhibits or anything that comes up in the testimony that you have a legal reason for objecting to.

2.1

And at the end of her -- at the end of her testimony, just like yours, then you can ask her questions about anything that she testified to. So you get to do cross-examination of her. So just like what happened with you, that will happen with Frontier's witness.

And when the company rests, then both parties will have rested and I'll bring the hearing to a close. And based on the record at that point, that's what the Commission will use to make its decision in this case.

So is there any questions that anybody has about the procedure here, or anything else they want to bring up before we get started on the actual testimony?

MS. NOBLE: No, sir.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. No questions, then we'll get started.

I'll begin by having you swear in. I'll have you stand and raise your right hand and swear you in. I'll allow you to testify from where you're

sitting, and the same with the witness from Frontier, unless you would prefer to come up front, but otherwise, I'm happy to have you remain where you are.

Do you swear that the testimony you provide today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

BISHOP GRAHAM: I affirm.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank you. So you can begin by presenting your case however you want to go about providing testimony and/or exhibits.

2.1

Bishop Johnnie Graham, Jr., being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

BISHOP GRAHAM: Okay. To present my case, the bills that I paid, there's quite a few of them were overcharged, and so trying to get them right with Frontier was a problem.

But I wasn't hard on them, you know, but after it got where, you know, it got to be kind of ridiculous, is the way it went along -- because I tell you why I say that.

Back in 2012 I called Frontier and I had

them to check out the calls that was coming in on that -- on my telephone number 835-3217. And they checked it out and they found out I was right; wasn't no calls coming in or going out of there.

2.1

That's when -- when my telephone was out three months. I didn't know it. So how I found out is when I called and I tried to use the phone and I couldn't use it, so I called Frontier to find out what was wrong.

And the man told me that it had been off. He remembered when it happened. There was a fire up the street and it burned loose from the pole, okay? So that way I wasn't getting no -- nothing there. And not using the telephone, you know, I didn't know it was out that long.

Because, really, when -- all I had the telephone in Church for is for emergency purpose.

And in 2013, I put an alarm on the Church, okay? So after 2013, that's the most calls that come in there is -- would be my system.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Your what?

THE WITNESS: The alarm system. So

that's the reason I didn't know it was out that long.

But the technician, he knowed it because he heard it on the news. And so did I, but I didn't know it

11 1 was -- it had anything to do with my business, okay? 2 Because, you know, they have a fire, they put it on the news, but I didn't have the slightest idea, 3 because the line goes up the street -- I would say it 4 5 was about four houses up the street on the pole. So 6 when it burned loose there, I didn't have no 7 telephone. 8 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Just so I can 9 understand what you're saying, what do you mean by it 10 burned loose? 11 THE WITNESS: On the pole. It was a fire. It was a house there. The house caught on 12 13 fire, and it burned the wire loose. 14 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: The wire came 15 loose from the pole? 16 THE WITNESS: It burned it loose up on 17 the pole, because it was a big fire. 18 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I just want 19 to understand what you mean by the term "burn loose". 20 It caused the line to fall off the phone --2.1 THE WITNESS: Did you hear me say I 22 didn't have no telephone for three months? 23 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: That's what I mean.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

24

THE WITNESS: So not having telephone,
you know, I didn't know if something happened, you
know. I couldn't have did nothing, because I didn't
have no telephone there, okay?

2.1

But the technician was good enough to know that he worked on that line, and he would know, you know, that it was out. So that's how I called Frontier to let them know that my telephone was out for three months.

Now, they started to pay -- because I paid my bill, you know, they started to pay. But I found out something. Frontier had some people in there that you couldn't talk to. They would get very smart and nasty with you. And they didn't have no reason to do that; no reason to do that, okay?

But I had to face that, and when I would try to talk to them and tell them about my bill, they didn't want to hear that. They did not want to hear that, okay?

So I found out that the people that they hired was foreign people, and the foreigner people, they didn't know how to handle this situation like it is, and that's how come it ends up being like it was, because this is true, you could not talk to those people.

And being a customer -- you're not supposed to be like that, you know, to your customer, you know. So when I found out about this -- and then what happened is, I couldn't understand it when I reported this, that the technician called me at home one day and told me my telephone lines had been cut. And when he told me my telephone line had been cut -- and he say you need to come over here.

2.1

And I went over there and I asked him why -- what happened that you would call me and tell me that my lines are cut? I said how would you know that? And he said my boss sent me over here to do this.

And he -- and I said I didn't call in about this, how would he know that? And he said he ain't --

MS. NOBLE: I totally trust you're able to judge the testimony before you, but I do want to point out a lot of this is going to be hearsay.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: My intention probably overall is to allow hearsay in for today, judge it, give it the weight that the Commission ends up deciding to give it, realizing that it's hearsay.

So you don't have to continue to try to eliminate the hearsay, but if you want to help me

recognize it for what it is, you can raise it to point it out. But I'm not planning on excluding it today.

MS. NOBLE: Thank you.

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Okay. When I asked the technician how did he know that my lines had been cut, and he said my boss tried to call here and told me that to go and see what's the matter with your telephone.

And I said well, I didn't call your boss, I didn't call nobody, because I didn't know that the lines had been cut. When I got over there, they had been cut.

But one thing that -- I know that people gonna try to keep their job. I didn't get mad at him trying to keep his job because -- but here is what I looked at, and it happened like this, and this is the reason that I called the PUCO. He had me to take him inside and move the line over.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Who is "he"?

THE WITNESS: Frontier. We're talking about Frontier.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, you said you called the PUCO, and then you started

```
15
     talking about he.
 1
 2
               THE WITNESS: Well, you didn't
 3
     understand me. I said this is what made me call
     PUCO.
 4
 5
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.
 6
               THE WITNESS: Because of when they
 7
     had -- I had called there and they said something
     about me moving that line, and I said he didn't have
 8
 9
     to move that line, because the telephone line was cut
10
     on the outside, okay?
11
               But what they wanted to do, they wanted
12
     me to pay for a service call because he came in there
13
     and moved the line. And I know that wasn't right.
14
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Now, you
15
     described that the line was outside, but this move
16
     that you're talking about, that was inside?
17
               THE WITNESS: Come on the inside.
18
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: And this line
19
     we're talking about is the 835-3217 number?
20
               THE WITNESS:
                             Right.
2.1
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: That's a
22
     telephone line that serves the Church separate from
23
     the line that provides the alarm system connection?
24
               THE WITNESS: What do you say?
25
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: How does it
```

16 tie in with the alarm service, is it the same line? 1 2 THE WITNESS: It's the same line. 3 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So it serves 4 both the telephone and the alarm system? 5 THE WITNESS: Right. You know, it got 6 to be on the telephone and all for it to work. 7 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Another question I have, it's hard for me to 8 9 understand, from what you told me so far, about when 10 these things happened. If you described that the -for three months you were out service because of a 11 12 fire, and then you started talking about --13 THE WITNESS: It was 2015 that the 14 service was out three months. 15 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, earlier 16 you said it was in 2012, and then you started talking about 2012 and 2013. Now you're telling me it's 17 18 2015, and I'm still -- is it the same answer? 19 THE WITNESS: Let me answer that for 20 you. 2.1 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: All right. 22 THE WITNESS: I called -- and I told you 23 a while ago, but you didn't hear me. I called 24 Frontier and I told them that the telephone wasn't 25 used that much. This was back the year that you just

pronounced.

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: 2012/2013?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. And so what they did was they put a test on it and they seen that it wasn't used that much. So what they did, they brought the bill down, okay?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So the calls that you made in 2012 or '13 were about how high the bills were, and you were reporting --

THE WITNESS: There you go.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You didn't think your usage was enough to equal the amount on the bill, so that's what you were calling about. You weren't calling about the line being cut or no service --

THE WITNESS: No, that didn't happen until 2016.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So you called at that time, and then, again, at some point you said well, they started to pay you.

So I assume that means they started working towards giving you a refund on bills that they agreed to refund you on, is that what you meant by that testimony? You said they started to pay, so I'm trying to understand what you were talking about.

THE WITNESS: Well, this is what -- what 1 2 the other man that worked with you --3 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Jim Lend? 4 THE WITNESS: Jim told me that, but then 5 they changed that. 6 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Jim Lynn told 7 you? He told you what? 8 THE WITNESS: He told me they are 9 willing to pay something. 10 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, now it 11 sounds like you're talking about things that got 12 discussed in the settlement, and I'm not going to --13 what you tell me about what was discussed on 14 settlement I'm going exclude from the record. 15 I'm not going to take any testimony that 16 has to do with settlement discussions. So really Jim 17 Lynn doesn't really enter into this case. 18 THE WITNESS: 143.14. 19 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: What is that? 20 THE WITNESS: You said tell you what 2.1 they want to pay, right? 2.2 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: No, I didn't. 23 I told you that I'm not going to hear -- I'm not 24 going to allow into the record any testimony that has 25 to do with what was discussed between you, the

company, and Jim Lynn at any of the settlement discussions that took place between the three of you. That's not going to be part of the record.

THE WITNESS: How are you going to know the truth?

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You're going to have to tell me today. You can't tell me what was discussed at that time, you've got to present it for the first time here today.

THE WITNESS: Wait a minute. You asked me about 2012.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I was trying to get you to help me understand what you're telling me about.

THE WITNESS: Well, that's what I'm trying to -- excuse me. That's what I'm trying to help you with.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: No, you're telling me about what was discussed after this case was filed, during settlement discussions -- I'm still talking.

So you can understand, do not tell me about what is discussed during settlement after this case was filed. If you want to tell me on your own, nothing to do with Jim Lynn or settlement, what

20 happened in 2012, I'm giving you a chance to explain. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Sir --3 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: explanation is not what was discussed later on. 4 5 THE WITNESS: I'm listening to you but 6 you are hard to understand things. 7 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: We'll work 8 together. 9 THE WITNESS: I brought it out as clear 10 as I could bring it out. 11 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I'm only 12 offering you a chance to help me understand. If you 13 feel like you've described it as best you can, that's 14 fine, I'll take that answer. 15 THE WITNESS: That's what I did, sir. But I told you, you hard to understand it. You are 16 very hard to understand it. 17 18 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: The reason 19 I'm asking you these questions is to try to 20 understand your testimony better than I already do, 2.1 because I need to understand it if I'm going to 22 understand what you presented. 23 THE WITNESS: But if I tell you and you 24 don't understand it, what can I do? 25 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Explain it to

me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

THE WITNESS: That's what I did. Didn't you hear me say 2012, I called Frontier and had them to check my calls that's coming in, and calls that are going out, so they could see that the telephone wasn't being used that much? So they did, they seen that. They brought the bill down.

Is that hard for you to understand that?

They brought that bill down, okay? But when they got ready to pay me for that three months --

11 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: What three 12 months?

THE WITNESS: Where my phone was off three months.

15 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: What year was 16 that?

THE WITNESS: 2015. Then they started to pay, then they stopped that. And they started going up on my bill, okay?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: What's that mean? What does that mean they started going up on your bill?

THE WITNESS: On my telephone bill.

24 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You mean the 25 amount of the bill started going up?

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: And what -- do you understand why the amounts on your bill started to go up on 2015?

THE WITNESS: Because they didn't want to pay that three months that the phone was off, that's what it was.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. I'm going to stop asking questions for now. You can go ahead and explain whatever you want to put on the record.

THE WITNESS: Well, I -- if I say something to you that I'm not supposed to, I apologize for that, but I'm trying to get you to understand me.

And that's being -- I talk like I did, not trying to give you a rough time, okay?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I'm not trying to give you one either, so let's just continue. I think we're getting --

THE WITNESS: Well, when you told me to send Frontier some paperwork on what was charged, I did that. And when I send them the paperwork what was charged, I never did hear back from Frontier;

never did hear back.

2.1

But here is what got me upset. When you told me that they came in March of last year and they sent me some paper, and they didn't hear back from me, and I told you, I said well, I didn't hear back from them.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: All right.

Let me tell you how I'm understanding what you're talking about. When we had discussions -- you're bringing up discussions that you and I had. They always had to do with your need to respond to the discovery requests that they sent you.

So to the extent that I told you to respond to the discovery requests, that's what I'm understanding you're telling me about, that I told you to send them information.

THE WITNESS: You told me that they came forward and they want you to answer their questions.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Right, during discovery.

THE WITNESS: I remember that. Now, I did that and they must have did not tell you that I did that, because when you and I and that lady was talking on the telephone, she had to tell you that she got the paper.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: What we ended up doing in this case was we had a conference call that was a status conference call for the purpose of figuring out what issues might still exist in terms of discovery.

2.1

And during that call, as it's reflected in an entry that was issued in the case, the parties discussed the matter over the phone, I was on the call, too, and at the -- by the conclusion of the call the parties agreed that discovery was complete and that they didn't have any further questions that you needed to answer before we're ready to go to hearing.

So all the testimony that you have has to do with the discussions you and I had, and that we had on a three-way basis during that case status conference, and it all had to do with getting discovery completed so that we could be in a position to move to hearing today.

THE WITNESS: Let me ask you a question, sir. When I did what you told me to do, and I told you that I didn't receive any paperwork from Frontier, wasn't you to get in touch with them and have them send me some paperwork?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I'm not

answering that question, but I'll tell you it all got taken care of when we established the case status conference call when there was a three-way call between you, I, and Frontier, to discuss all discovery issues.

And during that call we all agreed that discovery was, by the close of that call, complete. So I don't need to have any more testimony about whether discovery occurred or not.

THE WITNESS: You said I could ask questions.

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: No, I didn't say that. You can ask questions of their witness when she takes the stand. You can ask questions of their witness when she takes the stand.

THE WITNESS: No, this is for you what I'm asking now.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I never said you could ask me questions.

THE WITNESS: When you had me to do it, how come you didn't have them to do it? That's the when I'm asking.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I can't ask them.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: But I'm not

necessarily answering your questions.

2.1

THE WITNESS: You was the one that told me to do it. And being over the situation, I didn't hear nothing until that time what you are talking about now. It done went all that time and I didn't hear nothing. But you don't do me like that.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I will add for the record that you and I had numerous discussions over a period of many months, probably close to a year, about discovery, and that when we had those conversations I would always try to explain to you.

You would ask me why you couldn't have a hearing, why can't I have my hearing, I need to have my hearing, you're not being fair because I'm not getting my hearing, and I would tell you that the reason we're not having a hearing is because we can't have the hearing until discovery is complete.

And the first step that needs to happen in order to complete discovery would be for you to reply to their discovery questions that I put out an entry that compelled you to do so, and until that happened, we weren't going to have a hearing.

And then finally it got resolved on the settlement conference call, which is a matter of

record in the case, and that's all I want to hear about discovery, because discovery is now complete. We are no longer talking about discovery.

2.1

2.2

THE WITNESS: Well, you can't get it right unless you hear and get it right, the question I'm asking you, because I'm not going to ask you no ridiculous question, I'm telling you what happened.

 $\label{eq:attorney-examiner fullin:} \mbox{I told you} \\$ what happened also.

THE WITNESS: And when I've got the paperwork that you told me to get, I did not hear no more about that until us three was on the telephone. And like I said, that's been a year, and I should have done heard something within before a year.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Again, we're not here to resolve discovery issues, they have already been resolved.

We're here for you to put on your case in chief about what it is that you're complaining about the company, and you can proceed to do so. I'm no longer open to questions about discovery. That's been resolved.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So you can go ahead with presenting whatever you want to say about

```
28
 1
     the company, or why you filed a complaint, and why
 2
     you should prevail based on what you're alleging.
               THE WITNESS: The complaint that I just
 3
     told you, did you get that?
 4
 5
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: We're talking
 6
     about the complaint that you filed to start this
 7
     formal proceeding, yes.
 8
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, did you get that?
 9
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: It's a
10
     matter -- it's on record.
11
               THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never
12
     did -- never did hear you say nothing about that,
13
     about the telephone wire being cut.
14
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I'm not here
15
     to say anything. I'm here to listen to your
     testimony and their testimony, and after the record
16
     is established, then we'll make a judgment based on
17
18
     today's record.
19
               THE WITNESS: When they start, then I
20
     got a question to ask.
2.1
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Are you
2.2
     finished?
23
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
24
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Would
25
     you like to -- are you sure? Because that's the end,
```

you don't get to present anything further. You've presented everything that you want to present?

2.1

THE WITNESS: You mean -- I thought you said I could ask them questions. When they -- I don't understand you.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Listen, when they put on their witness and she provides testimony, and she's done putting on her testimony, then it's your turn to ask her questions that have to do with what she testified to. Other than that, no, you don't get to ask questions.

But now if you're ready to say you're done presenting your evidence, that's when they will get their turn to ask you questions. So they won't do that until you're finished.

Now, if you're telling me that you're done and you have nothing else that you want to present, then it will be their turn to ask you questions about anything that you brought up in your testimony. And -- but they won't do that until you say you're finished.

THE WITNESS: Well, I gave you what I had, sir. That's it.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, I would remind you, just to give you a fair chance here, we

did spend some time before the hearing started, in case you wanted to present any exhibits or bills or anything else that you wanted to present.

If you say you're done and you never presented them to me, then they are not going to be considered.

If you have something that's -- again, you don't have to present anything. If you're done, you're done. But I'm reminding you that if you say you're done, you're not going to be bringing those things in later. This is your chance.

THE WITNESS: Okay. One thing I would like to add, then I be done. When I talked to the lady, when us three talked, she had this (indicating). She told you that she had that. So that's it.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. So you're finished?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

20 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: With all your 21 presentation of testimony?

Okay. Thank you. Ms. Noble, you can question the witness.

MS. NOBLE: Thank you.

25 | - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Ms. Noble:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

- Q. Bishop Graham, I do have a couple questions for you. I want to ask you about both the three-month power outage you talked about, and also your line being cut at the Church. Would that be okay?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. When did you become the Pastor of the Church of Miracle Ministries?
 - A. 22 years ago.
- 12 Q. Congratulations. It's a long time.
- Let's go back to 2012. You said that

 your phone wasn't being used that much and the bill

 was brought down, am I correct?
 - A. Yes, the bill was cut down after that.

 They tested out to see.
 - Q. So you're not claiming any damages from the time period of 2012/2013 today; is that correct?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Then let's move to 2015. Your complaint that you filed on record here says the time frame for the time your phone was off was the spring of 2015?
- 25 A. Right.

- Q. Do you think that's correct?
- A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. So the three-month outage we're talking about was in the spring of 2015?
 - A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And you said that you thought your phone was out for some period of time before you notified Frontier of that?
 - A. Right.
- Q. About how long was your phone out of power before you informed Frontier?
- A. I don't know. That's the reason I didn't know that it was out.
- Q. And then once you called Frontier, how long was your phone out before Frontier came and inspected and fixed the problem?
- A. It wasn't out very long after that.
- Q. And when you say "not very long", like less than a week?
- 20 A. Yeah, about a week.
- Q. Okay. And I said there that Frontier
 fixed it. Is it correct that Frontier came out and
 fixed your phone?
- A. Yeah, they fixed the line.
- Q. So they fixed the phone in the spring of

2015 after it had been out?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And they fixed it within a week of you calling them?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And you also said that Frontier paid you, and you used the words they paid for that period of time. Do you recall that?
 - A. Uh-huh. Right.
- Q. And when you say they paid you, are you agreeing that Frontier provided you some credits for that period of time when your phone was out in the spring of 2015?
- A. That's what they did. That's how they did it.
 - Q. Okay. Then I want to go on to your line being cut. Do you remember the time frame of when your line was cut from your testimony earlier?
 - A. The time frame?
 - Q. When did that happen?
- A. It happened in the summer of 2016.
- Q. Okay. And how long was your phone out that time when your line was cut in the summer of 24 2016?
- A. No longer than that man was out there.

- Q. We need a time frame. Days? One day?
- A. Yeah, one day.
 - Q. It was out one day?
 - A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. And you also mentioned that the Frontier representative came inside the Church. Do you recall that?
 - A. Uh-huh; yes, he did.
- Q. Did that Frontier representative come inside the Church as a courtesy to you, or trying to be helpful to the Church?
 - A. He came inside to move the line.
- Q. And when you're saying he moved the line, that has to do with the one-day outage of service?
 - A. It had to do with the -- yeah, the telephone being out. And what he -- what he did, he really didn't have to do that.
 - Q. And you said he didn't have to do that, so you're saying he came inside to be helpful?
 - A. Yeah, he didn't have to come inside, the damage was on the outside.
- Q. Okay. And during your testimony you
 didn't say any facts as to sort of how this line got
 cut. Do you know how the line was cut?

- A. He showed me the knife. The knife was laying on the ground.
- Q. Was the knife laying on the ground when the Frontier representative came to the Church?
- A. He told me this was the knife that cut the line, and it was laying on the ground, okay? So now when I got there, it was laying on the ground. So that's all I could tell you about that.
- Q. And you aren't saying you think the Frontier representative used the knife on the line for the Church, are you?
 - A. Yeah, he had to do that.
 - Q. You think the --

2.1

- A. And he was told to do that. He didn't do it on his own. He was told to do that by his boss, and he explained that to me, okay? He didn't do that -- he didn't have nothing against me.
- Q. And you didn't see that happen, am I correct?
 - A. No, I didn't. No, he called me -- now remember what I said. He called me from home and told me my line had been cut.

And I asked him how did he get over there, and he said his boss tried to call the Church and he couldn't get no reception, and his boss told

him to come over there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

But I looked at -- if he told this technician to come over to that Church, then how come they didn't find out when it was out three months and call me? And I looked at that very hard, ma'am.

- Q. And, Bishop Graham, when the Frontier representative was there at the Church in the summer of 2016, was he polite to you?
 - A. Yes, he was.
 - Q. He was courteous to you?
- A. Yes, he was. He didn't say nothing out of the way. He talked real nice.
 - Q. Bishop Graham, the last thing you mentioned was a vague list that you mentioned. was your purpose of mentioning a list?
 - A. A what?
 - The last thing you talked about --Q.
- The list? Okay. The list is what I Α. presented that what they would owe me. That's what that was.
 - Q. Okay.
- I got all the bills and I had to count it up. And when I did that, I sent you all a copy of it. Okay? And when I sent you all a copy of it, you 25 got it, because when us three were talking, she told

him that she had the -- I had sent the bill.

And she had everything that I had down there. She called it off to me when I would call it off to her. She would say yeah, it's on here. So that's what I was talking about, the last thing I was talking about.

MS. NOBLE: I have no further questions.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Well,

I think I have some, because I'm very confused.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

25

EXAMINATION

By Attorney-Examiner Fullin:

- Q. I think it was just during this questioning that it came up about a knife that cut the line, but earlier I heard testimony about a fire that caused the line to not work. So could you explain to me --
- A. Yes, I sure can. The fire was on a house about four houses up from the Church. The line -- my line and their line was on the same pole. That's how it burned my line a little.
 - Q. Pardon me?
- A. That's how it burned my line a little, because it was on the same pole.
 - Q. Okay.

A. So -- and the technician, he knowed about that, because he worked out there. And so when I told him about the -- I didn't have no telephone, and he said Reverend Graham, it probably got burned a little when the fire was up the street.

So I heard it, and I said, come to think about it, I heard it, too. But I didn't know that it did no damage to the -- my line to the Church.

Now, what you're talking about is two different things now.

- 11 Q. Well, help me understand when each thing 12 happened.
 - A. Okay. This happened in 2015.
 - Q. What happened in 2015?
- A. The three months that the telephone was out.
- Q. No, I just -- you can explain it --
- 18 A. You're getting yourself confused.
- 19 Listen, you got to listen. On the pole, 2015, that's 20 when the telephone was out, 2015.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

2.1

- A. The next year is what I'm talking about the lines were cut.
- 24 Q. 2016?
- A. That's the next year. Okay?

- Q. You're testifying.
- A. It happened in the summer of 2016.
 - Q. And what happened in 2016 in the summer?
- A. Is when the line was cut. And when he called me, he showed me the knife. The knife was down on the ground.
- Q. He came out to respond to you reporting that you didn't have service?
 - A. No, he came out --
- Q. When was the one-day repaired? It was all repaired in one day, right?
- A. He was referring to his boss, not me. I didn't know they were cut.
 - Q. When did it get repaired in one day?
- 15 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 16 Q. The same day --
- A. Yeah, because --
- Q. -- that he came out and showed you the knife?
- A. His boss sent him out there. I didn't know that the line was cut until he called me, okay?

 Now, you understand it now?
- 23 Q. No.
- A. What you don't understand?
- Q. Why did he come out?

- A. His boss sent him out there; his boss.
- Q. Why did his boss send him out there?
 When did you report that you were out of service?
 - A. I didn't.

2.1

- Q. You never called to report you were out of service?
 - A. No. No.
 - Q. They just showed up one day?
- A. I asked him, the technician, what was he doing over there, and he said his boss tried to call the Church, and he found out that the phone wasn't working.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Not me.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Okay. And so he called me at home. I was at home when he called me and told me to come over there, I had a telephone line cut. And so that's what I did, I went over there.

And I asked him -- I said well, I didn't report this, what are you doing over here, and he said my boss sent me over here. I said your boss? I said that seems kind of strange, if he called this line and finds out that it wasn't working, and I'm wondering how come nobody didn't call when it was off

three months. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. So you're telling me that in 2016, the summer of 2016, you found the technician out on your property?
 - He called me, yeah. I was at home.
- Q. And that's the first you knew you were out of service?
 - A. Right.
- Q. But then that same day when he called 10 you, he brought you outside to see the knife lying on 11 the surface --
- 12 A. He was outside.
- 13 Q. And told you that that is the knife that cut the line? 14
- 15 A. Yeah.
- Q. And on that same day, service was 16
- 17 restored?
- 18 A. Yeah, right.
- 19 Q. And you had never called to report
- 20 nonservice?
- 2.1 A. Because I didn't know.
- 22 Q. But the same day that he showed you the 23 knife, is the same day that he made the repair to the 24 line?
- 25 A. Right.

- Q. Which apparently happened because the company itself found out about the nonservice?
 - A. Right. There you go.
 - Q. I'll leave it at that.
 - A. Well, that's it. That's the truth.

MS. NOBLE: Mr. Fullin, may I asked one followup question based on that?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Sure.

By Ms. Noble:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Bishop Graham, you said the Frontier representative in the summer of 2016 showed you the knife that was on the ground?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Could you describe the knife?
- 15 A. It looked like a kitchen knife, one of
 16 those that had -- you know, had teeth in it, like a
 17 steak knife. It was made like that.

MS. NOBLE: No further questions.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank you.

Do you have anything further that you want to bring up that relates to what you've already presented?

THE WITNESS: No, that's it.

attorney-examiner fullin: So are you ready to rest your case? You're not going to present anything further?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't know anything else.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: If that's the case, then I will allow you to rest and I will let the company call their witness next.

And then she'll be sworn in, provide whatever testimony she's going to provide, and when she's done presenting, then you can ask her cross-examination questions. And they have to relate to what it is that she's testifying about. So I'll go ahead and swear -- well, I'll let you introduce the witness.

MS. NOBLE: Actually, if it's okay, I'll have the witness introduce herself.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You want to be sworn in first? Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you provide today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

MS. COLE: Yes.

_ _ _

21 Cassady Cole,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

25 | By Ms. Noble:

- Q. Ms. Cole, do you want to introduce yourself?
- A. My name is Cassandra Cole, I am the

 Frontier Regulatory and Government Affairs Manager

 for Ohio.
- Q. How long have you worked in that position?
 - A. 22 years.
 - Q. And have you been involved in this matter since the initial filing of the complaint by Bishop Graham?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

8

9

10

- Q. And have you reviewed all filings in
 this matter that Mr. Graham submitted to the public
 record?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And have you also reviewed all of the account documents regarding the account for the Church of Miracle Ministries?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MS. NOBLE: I'm going to mark one
 exhibit; it's actually a packet of bills. And I
 assume you don't care if I use letters or numbers?

1 MS. NOBLE: I'll mark it as Defense 2 Exhibit 1.

3 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: That will be 4 reflected on the record.

MS. NOBLE: And I'll have Ms. Cole help me with identifying the exhibit, but it is a packet of bills regarding the account.

8 By Ms. Noble:

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- 9 Q. Ms. Cole, are you familiar with 10 Exhibit 1?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And Exhibit 1 is a copy of bills for the account from March 2015 through March 2018. Do you agree with that?
 - A. I think it's just through February 2018.
 - Q. Thank you. And did you assist in compiling these documents for Exhibit 1?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you look through these exhibits for the purpose of all the complaints and concerns of Bishop Graham as it relates to the account?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And during your review have you found any of Bishop Graham's complaints regarding outages or monthly bills that need adjusted that haven't

already been adjusted in the bill packet?

A. No.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And I want to first talk about the three months outage in 2015. Was there a repair ticket regarding that outage?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you review that in your review of the account for this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And for an outage, Mr. Graham testified it was about a one-week. Did you hear that testimony?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And under the PUCO rules, how much would be due for the period of time for that three-month outage?
- A. The PUCO rules in effect for credits at that time require a credit for regulated local service charges for one month if the regulated services are out more than 72 hours, with some exception.
- Q. And let's back up just for a second. I think my question was a little bit confusing. Sorry about that. Bishop Graham testified that his phone was out for three months. Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And he also testified at the time he informed Frontier of the outage, it only remained out of service for one week thereafter. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if Bishop Graham's service was only out for one week after informing Frontier of the outage, would anything be due to Pastor Graham?
- A. Depending on the cause of the outage, a 30-day regulated local service credit may have been due.
- Q. And have you reviewed the bill package,
 Exhibit 1, to see if in fact Bishop Graham's account
 was in fact credited due to this outage?
 - A. Yes, he was credited.
- Q. And let's actually pull out the exact bill that he was credited.
- MS. NOBLE: And, Mr. Fullin, I did put
 Post-It notes to make it easier on us for all the
 exhibits where these credits are.
- 22 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: That sounds
 23 like a good idea.
- MS. NOBLE: And the first Post-It I've
 marked there --

- 1 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Is it in this 2 packet somewhere?
- MS. NOBLE: It's a Post-It note.
- 4 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So it's the 5 first one that has a marking on it -- sticky on it?
- MS. NOBLE: Yeah.
- 7 By Ms. Noble:
- Q. And, Ms. Cole, why don't you walk us through those credits, when they were issued, for how much?
- ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Just to make

 sure, it looks like the date of the bill is

 August 22nd of 2015, looking at page 1 of 2 of that

 bill.
- MS. NOBLE: The last tab. There's two
 separate credits during that time period.
- 17 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So that's the bill of July 22nd of 2015?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. On the July 2015

 bill there's a credit on the first page of the bill

 for 34.72, and the line item detail says out of

 service credits over 72 hours.
- 23 By Ms. Noble:
- Q. And is there any other credits on this account -- these bills for that outage and that

ticket?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. There was an additional credit issued on the August 2015 bill for \$73.40, and that also appears on the first page of the August 2015 bill.
- Q. Let's move then to the cut line in the summer of 2016. Have you reviewed Frontier's tickets regarding that outage?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And have you also reviewed the bills for any credits issued to Reverend Graham for that one day out of service?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And how much in credits were issued to Bishop Graham's account for that one day out of service?
- A. There was a credit issued of \$25 on August 3rd, 2016.
- MS. NOBLE: Mr. Fullin, I don't have this one posted, but we will find it here.
- 20 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: The August
 21 bill, 2016. I'm looking at page 3 of that. Now it's
 22 in front of me.
- THE WITNESS: The August 2016 bill
 reflects a \$25 billing adjustment on the first page
 of the bill. There's also a \$17 customer refund

noted on that bill. That is for inside wire maintenance service.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So in the middle of the page it's marked "Billing Adjustment", minus 25, and right below it, "Customer Refund", minus 17.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I see it. By Ms. Noble:

- Q. Ms. Cole, do you remember Pastor Graham testifying that he thought after receiving these credits his bill then went up as a result of some sort of retaliation?
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Q. All right. I wanted to look back at these bills and see what the amount of the bill was prior to these credits and compare it with afterwards.

So if we go to the earliest date possible in this packet, that would be what month, and what was the amount of that invoice?

- A. That would be the March 2015 bill. The total amount due was \$46.39.
- Q. If we go maybe one more bill before the credits are issued, how much is that bill and what's

the date?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. That is the June 2015 bill. The total amount due is \$47.73.
- Q. And if we look at the most recent bill in this packet of March 2018, what is the monthly charge of that bill?
 - A. February 2018?
 - Q. Yeah, February 2018.
 - A. The total amount due is \$43.08.
- Q. Does this account have inside wiring maintenance coverage?
- 12 A. The account did have inside wire 13 maintenance coverage.
 - Q. Was it removed at some point in time?
- 15 A. Yes, I believe the date was July 29th,
 16 2016, which is why the credit reflects on the August
 17 bill for the \$17.
 - Yeah, the August 2016 bill on page 2 reflects a proration credit when the inside wire maintenance was removed. So it gives a \$5.57 credit, prorating back to July 30th, and all subsequent bills had no inside wire maintenance coverage billed.
- 23 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You say
 24 that's the August 2016 bill?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. The same one that

had that the \$25 credit and the \$17 credit.

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Where is it on the page that it shows it?

THE WITNESS: It actually shows it twice, but it is on the first page, almost to the bottom of that page.

But there's more detail on the second page that shows the partial month credit, inside wiring maintenance. There's a number after that that starts with an 03. That would be the order number that removed it, and effective credit back to July 30th of 2016 for \$5.75.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: That helps me when I go to find them later on that you testified about, and I see it.

MS. NOBLE: Mr. Fullin, I think that addresses Bishop Graham's testimony at the beginning of this hearing as to the outage in the spring of 2015, and the outage in the summer of 2016.

And unless anything is brought up on cross-examination, I don't think that we need to respond to anything further.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. So then I'll allow him to cross-examine the witness on anything that she testified to, including the

exhibit.

2.1

2.2

Anything you wanted to ask her about her testimony or her exhibit, this is your chance. And anything you ask, then she'll get to respond by answering you and then later she'll -- Ms. Noble will be allowed to ask her further questions to everything you bring up. So it's your turn to ask questions.

_ _

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Bishop Graham:

- Q. What is your name?
- A. Cassandra.
- Q. Cassandra, I noticed that after this happened in 2015, I noticed that my bills went up, and I couldn't figure that out. Why did they go up, you know, after that? You know, why did they —because if you look and see, my bill is like \$48, and it kept keeping in line like \$47, you know. That's what it went up.

And I couldn't understand why would my bill go up, because they had brought it down, you know. And I couldn't understand that.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Do you want to give her a chance to respond? To me it might be helpful -- you cited a bill at \$48. Did you have a

month for her to look at?

2.1

This exhibit purports to be a copy of the amounts on the bills, so you mentioned \$48. Are you referring to a particular bill? What month is it? What is it -- maybe she could look at that month and that might help her answer the question. I think it would just be fair.

BISHOP GRAHAM: The second month in 2016. Second month, 16th day, and this bill is 48.12.

THE WITNESS: February 2016?

12 | By Bishop Graham?

13 | Q. Yes.

A. Okay. Let me find it. So your question is?

Q. Why is it \$48.12?

A. Well, there are several rates that are totalled to get the \$48.15. Your basic line rate, which is a measured business rate, is 26.59. There is a subscriber line charge, \$8.13, measured service of 3 cents, federal excise tax, 1.15, USF recovery charge, 1.48, state access recovery charge, \$3, telecom relay service is 3 cents. 911 surcharge, 24 cents for a total of 40; 67 cents, plus the inside wire maintenance plan, because this was prior to the

inside wire maintenance plan being removed in July of that year, \$7.50, for a total of \$48.15.

2.1

Q. Okay. I found a bill, and it goes to 2012 when I called them about bringing my bill down, okay? And you go and you look at that and you can see how much my bill is, and I still had the wiring on there. I still had the wiring on there.

And when I looked at what you just got through saying, I looked at that, and I wondered why did they increase that like that? You know, I couldn't figure that out. Why would they increase that when it wasn't supposed to be like that.

Now, if you can look at when I got in touch with PUCO, they kept that bill down after that. And you go and look and see it's \$42 and something, you know. That's -- now, that's what it's supposed to be.

And I was wondering, why did they go up like this, what you just got through saying. That wasn't supposed to do that, it was supposed to be down to \$42, at least 43. It wasn't supposed to be 48.

They overcharged me on that. They was supposed to not charge me like that. So I'm being honest, that's true. That's the reason I looked at

that and I said well -- and see, what that told to me, when I was trying to call to get it right, you couldn't get it right with them guys. You're talking about they wouldn't talk right, okay?

Now, a customer, you're supposed to listen to them until you prove that he's wrong -- you prove that he's wrong, you know.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Mr. Graham, this is your chance to ask questions. It's her testimony.

BISHOP GRAHAM: That's a question.

2.1

12 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You're
13 testifying about your case.

BISHOP GRAHAM: I asked her a question. Why did they go up on my bill like that? You didn't hear? You didn't hear me ask her that?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You were still talking. When are you going to give her a chance to answer the question?

BISHOP GRAHAM: Well, you can say that, but don't say I didn't ask her a question, sir, because you're not hearing. I did ask her a question.

24 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You were 25 testifying. You already had your chance to testify. This is your chance to ask her a question about her testimony.

BISHOP GRAHAM: You may call it testimony, but I was explaining myself.

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: This is your chance to ask about her testimony.

BISHOP GRAHAM: If it's not explained, they are not going to understand me. I believe in understanding. Understanding is the best thing it is. You can ask a question, but if you ain't got no understanding, how is a person going to understand the answer?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: If she can't understand it, she can tell you that and you can ask another question. You can clarify what you're trying to ask her.

BISHOP GRAHAM: You don't do me right, sir. I don't understand that. I don't understand that.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So once more, what is the question you're asking the witness?

BISHOP GRAHAM: I asked her why did they go up on my bill when they wasn't supposed to be that much. And to look at the list, she can see how much the bill was.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Are you able to answer that question?

THE WITNESS: I will try to answer the question. I'll preface it by confirming that you're asking me why the bill would increase from 2012 through 2019?

By Bishop Graham:

2.1

- Q. Yeah. It increased after that three months. Well, it increased a little before that, but I think what happened is they got new employees.
- A. Okay. So I guess I'll have to say in general, without going through every single bill to itemize it since we have itemized copies here, going back to 2015, overall, bills will vary. They can vary as rates increase.
- Q. But not that much. Not that much, you know, because I'm looking --

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Is that a question? Is that a question, or are you just answering -- you're answering your own question, or what's going on here?

She's answering the question and you're interrupting her by saying what your opinion is. Let her answer the question, then ask her another one.

BISHOP GRAHAM: I can't, because you're

messing up.

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Because you're testifying instead of asking her a question. It's not your turn to talk. She is answering your questions, and you've interrupted her.

BISHOP GRAHAM: Well, you don't want me to answer no question.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: No, you're done answering questions. You're done answering questions.

Now, are you finished with your answer.

THE WITNESS: I could provide some additional information if it would help clarify the situation.

There are many rate elements on the bills; those can increase. There are opportunities -- technically, Frontier has been allowed to raise local rates by 1.25 per year, so there can be up to 1.25 rate increase on your dial tone rates annually.

Over time there can be new surcharges, there can be adjustments to surcharge rates. There can be add-on charges. You have a measured local service rate, which means that any local calls being made out from the Church line are billable. So if

there were usage calls on the bill, the bill would obviously vary.

2.1

If someone used a phone line to dial 411, if someone used a phone line to dial long distance, those would be additional changes in the bill, with accordingly adding taxes, surcharges, whatever is applicable.

So at no point when I reviewed -- at no point when I reviewed the bill did I find any added charges. There were no charges for labor or inside wiring maintenance after you removed the inside wire maintenance.

Frontier did not bill any trip charges for the technician to go out, and your rate was not unilaterally increased from anything that is not already in the tariff.

So the rates that are in the tariff are what is applicable to your service. So there have been increases in certain line items, which is why we send you an itemized bill so that you can see the detail.

Unfortunately, at this point, I have not seen any specific line items that you questioned, or have done a comparison of to ask me specifically about, so that's my answer in general.

By Bishop Graham:

2.1

- Q. How come Frontier charges you two months? Why do they do that?
- A. Frontier does not charge you for two months. I think what you're referring to is if Frontier charges you a late fee that is applicable.
 - Q. No, ma'am.
 - A. Would you let me finish?
 - Q. No, no.
- A. Okay. So you're referring to your June 2015 statement. That shows a previous balance of 106.91, and the new charge is a 58.09.

So if you miss a month or you don't pay on a timely basis, when the bill prints, the day that it prints, if your payment has not been received it is, of course, going to show you the amount from last month, minus any payment, and your new charges.

So it is not a double bill, it is simply telling you that the past -- the bill prior to, was not paid. There are no duplication of charges. It's just simply stating that -- what your carryover balance is from the prior bill.

Q. I'm being honest with you, I don't quite understand that, you know, because I'm not perfect. Sometimes I would be a day late, but, you know, I

```
don't mind paying the late charges, but when they send me a bill for double like that, you know, I don't like that, you know. There's a right way and a wrong way.
```

2.1

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Do you have a question? This is your chance to ask your questions. She responded to your --

BISHOP GRAHAM: You got on me a while ago about asking questions.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: No, this is your chance to ask questions.

BISHOP GRAHAM: You got on me and I was asking a question trying to explain to what --

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: She gave you an answer to your last question. Do you have a followup question of any kind?

BISHOP GRAHAM: Well, I'm still not clear on that. Like she was telling me they add \$1 something on there, or \$2 or something on the bill. I wasn't clear on that. And some time ago, I think I tried to talk to somebody about that.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I don't hear a question. I don't hear any question.

BISHOP GRAHAM: The question was why did they do that?

```
63
 1
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Why did they
 2
     do what?
 3
               BISHOP GRAHAM: Add the money on to the
     bill like that.
 4
 5
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: And what
 6
     money are you talking about?
 7
               BISHOP GRAHAM: You have to look at the
 8
     bill to see. You have to look at the bill to see,
9
     okay?
10
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Are you able
     to answer the question? I don't know what money
11
12
     you're talking about. Do you understand the
13
     question?
14
               THE WITNESS: I can only assume that
15
    he's referring to a late payment fee -- can I finish?
16
     By Bishop Graham:
17
           O. No, I'm talking about the bill that
18
     comes out. You can look and see on the bill, ma'am.
19
     I'll show it to you so you know.
20
           Α.
               What is the date you're looking at?
2.1
           Ο.
               See how they had the $48 on there? That
22
     ain't supposed to be no $48.
23
          A. Those are your monthly charges.
24
           Q. That's what I'm saying. Why do they do
25
    me like that?
```

```
64
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Which bill
 1
 2
     are we talking about so I can identify it on the
 3
     record?
              BISHOP GRAHAM: 12-22-15.
 4
 5
              ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. I'm
     looking for it.
 6
 7
               BISHOP GRAHAM: And I do have several of
 8
     them like that, ma'am.
 9
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Let's talk
10
     about one at a time. Okay. I have it in front of
    me. So which number are you talking about? I see 48
11
12
    written here several times.
13
               BISHOP GRAHAM: That's what I'm asking,
14
    why is it --
15
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So are you
16
    talking about the total amount due on the first line?
17
               BISHOP GRAHAM: I'm talking about the
18
    total down here. The total is $48.
19
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: The last line
20
     is 48, it says total?
               BISHOP GRAHAM: That's what I'm talking
2.1
2.2
     about.
23
              ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: On page 1
24
    of 2. So you want her to explain that amount on the
25
    bill?
```

BISHOP GRAHAM: I wanted to know why they raised it like that. They ain't supposed to raise it like that.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Are you able to answer?

THE WITNESS: I think we have to go back to -- just so happens that the exact amount of the bill prior, the November 2015 bill, actually was 48 even.

So the December bill was also exactly \$48, which would mean that no taxes, surcharges, usage, nothing changed from one bill to the next. So your new bill is \$48. Can I finish?

- Q. I'm sorry. Forgive me.
- A. And then -- so for example, the next bill, which is January 2016, the bill reflects just one \$48 payment. So you did not make duplicate \$48 payments, your bills happen to be \$48 even, more than once.

When you don't pay a bill on time and the bill is printed, it does not double bill you. We actually bill a late payment charge on the account. That's all we bill, is a late payment charge.

25 By Bishop Graham:

By Bishop Graham:

2.1

Q. I got them out of line when we took them back there to make copies off of there to show you. He wanted me to give you a copy, him a copy, of the bill. Okav?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

I want to show you, one, ma'am, it's back -- and the reason why I'm showing you this is to show you what my bill would normally be. This is what the bill would normally be. Now, when it comes up to \$48, I don't understand that.

- A. So you're showing me a bill from June 2012? You don't have all of the pages of the bill here.
- Q. I want to show you what they cut it down That is what they cut it down to.
- A. And I don't know what it was before, so I apologize, but Frontier doesn't retain bills back to 2012.
- Q. No, no, I wanted to let you know what my bill is supposed to be. That's what I'm telling you.
- Well, what a bill is from one time period to the next, there's no guarantees that when you have a bill, that that bill is never going to go up or never going to go down.
- So there is no rate that Frontier can 25 quote that any customer's bill will be because they

don't -- they can't foresee ten years in the future to know what rate increases may occur, what taxes or surcharges may change.

2.1

And there have been differences since 2012 and 2019. However, your bill in June 2012, what you're showing to me, appears to be 43.66 cents.

The most recent bill in this packet is February 2018, and the bill is \$43.08. So if the assumption is that the differences in all of the bills for the prior seven years were penalties or inaccurate charges, I did not see that when I reviewed any of the bills.

And even today I could not tell you what your next bill will be or what your bill will be in a year.

- Q. Why are they like that? Why do they change the bills like that? I don't understand that. Can you explain that to me? I don't understand, why my bill is supposed to be a certain price, and they keep changing it?
- A. But I have answered that. I've explained to you all the scenarios -- or some of the scenarios that could happen. No different than your electric bill; your electric bill can change based on if you change companies.

Q. But what the difference is that you use more. I am a retired employee from Dayton Power & Light, so I know about that.

2.1

But this telephone. I had it fixed that no calls, like long distance -- don't have that on there. I had it fixed where -- emergency calls. So having it fixed like that -- and that's the reason I have to go to the line to let them know that I wasn't using the phone that much. That's what that was for.

That's the reason I went back to '12. I know that you probably wouldn't have that, but I was showing you what I did to bring my bill down. That's what I was bringing back to you.

A. So I understand that perhaps you called in June 2012, made some change, maybe you added a long distance block so no one could make toll calls from your Church line.

However, again, Frontier is allowed to increase rates on applicable charges. There are changes in other rates and federal rates, local rates. There can be changes in taxes. There can be additional charges that can be billed.

That's why I can't tell you, no Frontier employee, can tell you what your bill will be forever. We don't have a one --

- Q. They supposed to let you know.
- A. We do. When we send you the bill we let you know if there's an increase coming. We provide the proper customer notification on the bill.
- Q. I don't never remember seeing that.

 Maybe it's my fault, but I don't remember -- I don't never remember seeing that.
- A. In this packet of bills there are several bill messages that you should pay attention to when they come out on your bill that alert you to changes of the account, increases, if there are any, changes in certain taxes, surcharges, rates.
 - Q. That's on this what you gave me?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. It's on that?
- A. Yes. What you get at home is a different version of this bill. It's a little bit prettier, it has the different format, but the same lines and the same detail are on that bill, those bills that we sent every month, but I don't believe that that was a complete bill from 20 --
- Q. Let me ask you this question, ma'am. If
 I get a bill and your company makes a mistake, how
 could I get it right? How could I get it right, you
 know? If I get a bill and it's not right, I'm saying

how could I get it right?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

- A. If you notice an error on your bill, you can call the toll free number, which I have notes that you have contacted that toll free number in the past. So you do have that number. But it's also printed on --
 - Q. Do you know that is hard to get?
 - A. I can't answer to that.
- Q. But I can, see? And that's what I can talk to the --
- BISHOP GRAHAM: And yes, sir, I'm asking her a question.
- 13 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, let's 14 get to it.
- 15 By Bishop Graham:
- Q. I just want to know that Frontier needs
 to get their business right. Believe me, they don't
 have it right, okay?
- Now, I am a -- I have a Church, okay?

 And it's supposed to be tax exempt. They put it on
 there for a little while, and take it off. And so I
 called them and said why do you -- this is a Church,
 why do you take my tax exempt off of me.
- Well, can we get it back on there? But it never goes back on there. So, you know, certain

```
things that they supposed to do, and when you tell
1
2
     them, they won't do it. So that's it, man.
 3
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Do you have
     any other questions?
 4
 5
               BISHOP GRAHAM: No, that's it.
 6
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Any followup
7
     questions?
8
               MS. NOBLE: No, sir.
9
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I'm asking
10
    her if she has followup to what you asked.
11
               MS. NOBLE:
                           No.
12
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Does the
13
     company have any further evidence to present?
14
               MS. NOBLE: No further evidence. I
15
    would just like to make a quick statement in closing.
16
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Closing
17
     argument? We'll have closing statements, and
18
     Complainant gets to go first if you want to. It's
19
     not required.
20
               You can make a closing statement, and
2.1
     you can really say anything that you want this time,
2.2
     I'm not going to stop you.
23
               But what would be helpful to me most
24
    would be if you kind of summarize what it is that
25
     your complaint's about and why you think that you
```

should win, what it is that you've shown me so that I understand what you've shown me. Try to explain it to me.

2.1

But again, you can say anything you want. I'm going to let you talk, unless you go too long, but that's not going to happen. So you can say anything you want at this point.

Now, what you're saying now is not going to be part of the evidence, but it is going to be your closing statement. I'll rely on it if you help me explain -- understand the case, that would be very helpful, or anything else that you want to say at this point.

And you get to go first, and when you're done she'll get to have a chance, and you can get started.

BISHOP GRAHAM: Okay. As I listened to the lady as she was explaining it to me -- and I can see why that it's going like it's going, okay?

Because they got one way they do it, and I was understanding it another way, okay? But I don't understand how they bill you. And I listened to her when she said they go up -- when they feel like they want to go up, they go up.

And it's just after if the government

goes up, they go up. Well, you could understand that, that part. That's not hard to understand.

2.1

But when I make an arrangement about this, and they did not tell me no different, you know, on the price that I pay for the bill, they didn't -- if they told me different, then I would have known, you know. So I'm not together with them on the bill.

And I can tell you this much, when I would talk to people, they wouldn't talk right, you know. There's a way to treat a customer. You don't treat customers bad, because I didn't treat them bad, you know.

And a lot of times you would call and they put a foreigner on the line, and they start talking that talk that you don't understand, and I say hey, speak English, don't be doing this, you know. And then they get mad and hang up on you.

So you got things there that I just don't understand. And I listened to what she said, and it may go like that, but some parts of it I just don't understand, sir. I'm just going to be honest and tell you the truth.

But it's always been if a bill is set -
I'm talking about telephone bills -- if it is set one

way, and if you use long distance stuff -- but that's going to make your bill higher, we know that. But if you don't have that -- and I don't understand how come they went up like that on my bill.

2.1

I'm going to be honest and tell you the truth, I just don't understand that. So I will leave here not understanding that, because I really don't. So that's it.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: All right.

Thank you. Ms. Noble, you can give a closing statement on behalf of Frontier.

MS. NOBLE: Thank you. First I want to talk about the 2015 outage. The testimony is clear that service was only out for a week.

There was a credit of \$110 provided to this account. Even if the service charge should have been a reverse for those months, service was under 30 days, the total credit was only 90 days, so he was overpaid for the amount of credit that was provided.

The same story happened for the outage in the summer of 2016. Service was out one day, the account was credited \$25.

Bishop Graham testified that the representative was courteous, helpful, kind. There was some vague testimony that Bishop Graham thinks it

was the Frontier representative that cut the line.

He describes an knife as a kitchen knife.

2.

2.1

2.2

These Frontier representatives have all kinds of pocket tools. They are not bringing a kitchen knife to a scene. It's most likely that someone in the community tore the line; there's no evidence otherwise.

The monthly bills, they do vary slightly from month to month. Ms. Cole explained the basis why. I know Bishop Graham is under the belief that the bills keep going up and up and up, but actually what the testimony revealed is the bills have stayed the same or gone down slightly.

He showed Ms. Cole a bill from 2012. That bill total was \$43.66. In Exhibit 1, the most recent bill is February 2018, so seven years later the bill was \$43.08.

As to the rudeness of Frontier representatives, clearly it's not what the company wants or expects from its representative, but clearly there's no damage due to Bishop Graham for that discourteousness. And that's all I have.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: All right.

Thank you. Then we have had closing statements, so normally that brings an end to the hearing. And I

guess that will be the case in this case also.

2.1

I guess I'll bring up the issue, does either party have a wish to do briefs, or can I just rely on the closing statements as the explanation of your case?

BISHOP GRAHAM: I can't hear too good, so I didn't understand you.

you, do you want to file a brief in the case that would be -- would serve the same -- in my opinion it would serve the same purpose as your closing statement, to help me understand your case, or are you satisfied that you've explained your case sufficiently today and we'll just close the case at this point? Which would you prefer?

BISHOP GRAHAM: Okay. Only thing I can do, sir, is what I presented in the meeting, you know, and what I already have presented.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: What you stated today. Okay. Unless there's a desire by either --

MS. NOBLE: I'm in agreement.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I appreciate that you both answered that way, and I'm going to bring this case to close, and the hearing is

adjourned.

2.1

2 (Discussion off the record.)

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Let's go back on the record, and let's move -- have exhibits moved into evidence.

6 MS. NOBLE: I'll move to admit Exhibit 1 into evidence.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Do you have an objection to me taking this exhibit as evidence in the case to be considered by the Commission as it makes it's decision?

BISHOP GRAHAM: I don't think she have all the information that I presented.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, this is her Exhibit. So do you have an objection to --

BISHOP GRAHAM: No, I don't have an objection to what she done. But I just wanted to explain to the information that the lady -- Michele, the information that she have, that's what I'm going on.

asking you if you have an objection to the Commission making this part of the record in the case, which is the exhibit that Ms. Cole presented today.

BISHOP GRAHAM: I want to find out, sir,

78

```
is that the same as --
```

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, you got 3 a copy of it.

MS. NOBLE: I can clear that up a little bit, sir. We attached all those bills to a request for admission and asked Bishop Graham to admit that those are true and accurate copies of his bills. So it is -- he did receive this during the discovery process.

10 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

11 BISHOP GRAHAM: The bill that I'm

12 talking about will be in here.

MS. NOBLE: I'm Michele. I'm

14 Ms. Noble.

BISHOP GRAHAM: Michele, you know about
the -- because I called them out to you. I called
them out to you.

MS. NOBLE: Yeah. Bishop Graham, I sent this packet to you previously.

BISHOP GRAHAM: Is that the same as me and you talked about on here?

MS. NOBLE: I believe so.

BISHOP GRAHAM: That's what I need to know. I need to know, is this the same as you and I talked about, the bills that I presented?

79

```
1
               MS. NOBLE: Bishop Graham, you're
 2
     pointing to a closed envelope.
 3
               BISHOP GRAHAM: Here you go. Is that
     the same as this? This is what I brought --
 4
 5
               MS. NOBLE: Bishop Graham is holding up
 6
     a piece of paper where he's written out bills and
 7
     amounts, and no, that's not what we're talking about.
               BISHOP GRAHAM: This is what I want to
 8
9
     see.
10
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, you
     didn't introduce that as an exhibit.
11
12
               BISHOP GRAHAM: This is my evidence.
13
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I do know
14
     what you're holding up, because it was discussed in
15
     the settlement. I'm assuming it looks like the same
16
     thing that was discussed during the --
17
               BISHOP GRAHAM: That's right, you were
18
     there.
19
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: -- during the
20
     case conference call. But you never introduced it
2.1
     today at hearing, so it's not part of the evidence in
2.2
     the case.
23
               BISHOP GRAHAM: Well --
24
               ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: I'm going to
25
     go ahead and rule that this will be admitted into the
```

80 evidence at this time. 1 2 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 3 BISHOP GRAHAM: All right. Go ahead. 4 If you want to move it, go ahead. But I put it in 5 the hands of the Lord. ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Is there 6 7 anything else you need to have presented? BISHOP GRAHAM: If you don't go on this, 8 9 I put it in the hands of the Lord. 10 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You did not 11 introduce that when it was your turn to produce it. 12 BISHOP GRAHAM: Didn't give me a chance 13 to introduce it. ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: And before I 14 15 let you go off the stand, I reminded you that we went 16 upstairs and made copies of things, and if you wanted 17 to present them, this was your last chance to do so, 18 and you did not present it. 19 BISHOP GRAHAM: All right. 20 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So that's --2.1 BISHOP GRAHAM: That's all right, sir, 22 you go ahead and do it like you want to. 23 ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: You do recall 24 that before you closed your presentation I reminded

you that you might want to consider whether you

25

wanted to introduce anything that you had in front of you, and you just chose not to. That's why --

2.1

BISHOP GRAHAM: But, you know, I -you're right. But I was thinking that we had this
already in there. That's why I see said that
business.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: -- when we went upstairs to make copies, I told you that nothing you presented before the hearing is part of the record -- it's part of the record in the sense that it was filed in the case, it's not part of the evidence, so you would need to introduce it today at the hearing.

BISHOP GRAHAM: How do you think I could do that? If this wasn't part of what we were talking about, how did you think I could do that, sir?

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: That's why I reminded you before you were done presenting your evidence.

BISHOP GRAHAM: But I was thinking it was going to be in there.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay, but it wasn't. And I did explain it to you. So with that --

BISHOP GRAHAM: If you don't put it in

1 | there, it's all right, sir.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: If you don't put it in there -- it's not for me to present evidence, it's for you to present it.

BISHOP GRAHAM: I presented it to

Frontier. I presented this to Frontier. She got it.

And then you didn't understand it then, because she
had to tell you, "I got the papers served and he did
send me a paper," okay? She admitted that I sent her
the paper.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: Again, you're talking about what was discussed during the case status conference call. I was part of that call. I do recall what you're talking about. It has nothing to do with whether you presented anything today here at the hearing.

BISHOP GRAHAM: Okay.

ATTORNEY-EXAMINER FULLIN: So with that,

I'm going to adjourn the hearing. We're closed.

(Thereupon, the hearing was

adjourned at 3:10 p.m.)

_ _ _

2.1

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, March 4, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

of Ohio.

My commission expires August 11, 2021.

OTARY DESIGNATION OF OHIO

Court Reporter and Notary

Public in and for the State

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/18/2019 2:20:34 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-2114-TP-CSS

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Complaint of Johnnie Graham, Jr. vs. Frontier North, Inc., hearing held on March 4th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Grubaugh, Valerie