1

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the
Complaint of:
:

Erin Dahl,

Complainant, : Case No. 17-1822-GA-CSS

vs.

East Ohio Gas Company : d/b/a Dominion Energy : Ohio, :

Respondent. :

## **PROCEEDINGS**

before Ms. Lauren Augostini, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, February 25, 2019.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Whitt Sturtevant LLP
            By Ms. Rebekah J. Glover
 3
            88 East Broad Street, Suite 1590
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
                  On behalf of the East Ohio Gas Company
 5
                  d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio.
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Monday Morning Session,
February 25, 2019.

2.1

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for hearing at this time and place Case No. 17-1822-GA-CSS being in the Matter of the Complaint of Erin Dahl, Complainant, versus the East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio, Respondent.

Good morning. My name is Lauren

Augostini. I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by
the Commission to hear this case.

At this time I would like to take appearances of the parties. I would like to note on the record that the Complainant, Ms. Erin Dahl, is not currently in attendance this morning.

Let's begin with the Company.

MS. GLOVER: Good morning, your Honors.

On behalf of the East Ohio Gas Company doing business as Dominion Energy Ohio, the law firm of Whitt Sturtevant, Rebekah Glover, 88 East Broad Street, Suite 1590, Columbus, Ohio 43215. And I would also like to note we have on behalf of the Company Margaret Callahan, Charles Resnik, and Angela Zizic.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you.

I would like to note on the record that the witnesses are here today. Thank you.

At this time I think we'll go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Let's go back on the record.

Please proceed.

2.1

2.2

MS. GLOVER: Thank you, your Honor. At this time the Company would make a motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute with prejudice. And if the Bench would indulge me, I have a few arguments I would like to make on the record.

This Complainant has demonstrated a complete lack of willingness from the very beginning to follow through on her complaint or work with the Company to try to resolve it. Starting about a month after she filed the complaint, she was not responding to any contact from either the Company or the Commission. She had moved out of state, did not provide a forwarding address. Missed the first settlement conference that was scheduled entirely.

When she did six months later reach back out to the Commission, there were three additional settlement conferences scheduled. She only was in

attendance for the final one.

2.1

After that point when she was granted a hearing, she has now been given, I believe today would mark the fifth hearing date that has been scheduled for this Complainant. You know, the Company does understand that things happen, things come up.

And while certainly there is some consideration allowed for Complainants who take the time to alert the Commission and the Company to their inability to appear ahead of time rather than just simply not showing up, the fact of the matter is that every time that this proceeding is delayed, it costs the Company hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal fees and lost work time in preparation for a hearing that is not going to occur.

And as to Ms. Dahl's assertions that the delays have been the fault of the Company, that is simply untrue. She argued that we've not provided documents which is an unfounded allegation, and she actually even admits in one of her multiple filings that she received documentation from the Company, just wasn't what she had wanted or expected therefore, she has been receiving mail from us.

Whether or not she actually received the

billing statements that we say we have sent and we did is -- there's only so much we can do in providing the assistance to the Complainant that we have done. You know, we can't control the post office or the fact that mail has to be forwarded, you know, back and forth across the country.

2.1

2.2

And with the specific most recent motion for continuance that she's made, I would just note for the record that by her own admission she had over a week of notice that she was going to be having transportation issues. And while, again, we're sympathetic, everybody has to get places. We've had witnesses that have come down from the Cleveland area today to be here. Everybody has to arrange their own transportation and this was not a sudden occurrence that she was not able to handle. She had plenty of notice.

So for all those reasons the Company would move to dismiss with prejudice. Thank you.

EXAMINER AUGOSTINI: Thank you. At this time I'll reserve my ruling on the motion to dismiss for a later time.

All right. At this point in time this matter shall be considered submitted on the record.

We are adjourned. Thank you.

(Thereupon, at 10:21 a.m., the hearing was concluded.) CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, February 25, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Gibson, Merit Reporter. (KSG-6702) 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

3/8/2019 11:14:18 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-1822-GA-CSS

Summary: Transcript In the matter of the Complaint of: Erin Dahl vs. East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio, hearing held on February 25th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.