BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Aspirity:

Energy, LLC 2016 Renewable : Case No.
Portfolio Standard Status : 17-1067-EL-ACP

Report

PROCEEDINGS

Before Daniel Fullin, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Friday, February 8, 2019, at 10:00 A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Robert Eubanks
            Assistant Attorney General
 3
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
                 On behalf of the Staff of
 5
                  the Public Utilities
                 Commission of Ohio.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2		
3	IDENTIFIED	
4	STAFF EXHIBITS	
5	1 Prefiled Testimony of 9 Stuart M. Siegfried	
6	2 Staff Findings and Recommendations 10	
7	2 Starr Fridaings and Necondicinaterons 10	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Friday Morning,
February 8, 2019.

- - -

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Commission has scheduled on its calendar a hearing in this case, Case No. 17-1067-EL-ACP, In the Matter of the Aspirity Energy, LLC 2016 Renewable Portfolio Standard Status Report.

The specific purpose for the hearing as announced in the entry that scheduled it, which came out on the 2nd of January, saying that the purpose of this hearing is to resolve the issue of the amount of the alternative compliance payment that Aspirity must make in order to satisfy its 2016 RPS obligation.

My name is Dan Fullin, I am the
Attorney Examiner assigned to the case. And I
am going to begin by taking appearances. I will
ask for appearances on behalf of Aspirity, but
will note that it doesn't appear that there is
anyone here to represent the company.

Noting that I am also going to note that -- take administrative notice of the letter that we received in the docket on the 8th of January from a former regulatory consultant for

the company which indicates that to his knowledge the company is no longer a going concern, it's currently in Federal bankruptcy proceedings and he didn't know who, if anyone, is representing the interests of the company.

2.1

Also when I served the entry that was issued on January 2nd we made two attempts to service. The first time on January the 4th an address on Whitewater, I am not sure what that R stands for, but anyway I am noting what's in the docket.

The first address sent it to on January 4th it was returned to sender, not deliverable as addressed, unable to forward.

And I found a different address which I attempted to serve the entry again at a different address, and that was returned to sender. That was sent on January the 17th.

Both of those items are also of record in the docket.

So, I will note that it does not appear that the company is appearing today and no one has entered an appearance on their behalf.

Could I have appearance on behalf of

6 the Staff? 1 2 MR. EUBANKS: Robert Eubanks, 3 Attorney General's office representing the Staff. 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Public 4 5 Utilities section. 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I am 7 going to plan on allowing the Staff to go forward with presentation of anything they 8 9 would like to present in this case. And I will 10 swear Mr. Siegfried in, but you can testify from where you are sitting. You don't have to come 11 12 up to the stand if you don't want to. 13 (WITNESS SWORN) 14 15 STUART M. SIEGFRIED called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 16 17 testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Eubanks: 20 Ο. Please state your name for the 2.1 record, please. 2.2 Α. Stuart Siegfried. 23 Q. And your position? 24 I am a Utility Specialist 3. Α. 25 Q. And your duties?

about your testimony that you would like to correct?

25 Α. No, sir.

23

24

Q. Could you give us a summary of your testimony, particularly the calculation?

2.1

A. Okay. The discussion of Staff's calculation begins on page 3 of my testimony, line 16, where the formula starts with the company's proposed compliance baseline, which was 11,800 megawatt hours.

To that you apply the statutory benchmarks for the 2016 compliance year to come up with the number of megawatt hours that are required under the RPS for the solar and the non-solar. And non-solar in this instance, just being the total renewables minus the specific solar obligation.

So, you come up with those obligations. And then under Commission rule you are required to round up to the next megawatt hour in the instance of an alternative compliance payment, such as what we are proposing here.

So, I rounded those up. And then multiplied those by the specific compliance payments that were in place for 2016. For solar that is \$300 per megawatt hour. And for the non-solar it was \$49.75 per megawatt hour.

```
So simply multiplied those by
 1
 2
     the calculated obligations to come up with the
 3
     total proposed compliance payment in this
     instance.
 4
 5
                 MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have
 6
     the prefiled testimony marked as Staff Exhibit
 7
     1.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. I am
 8
    marking my copy that I took off the docket card
 9
10
     and I will Mark that as Staff Exhibit 1.
11
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
12
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
13
            Ο.
                 The calculation that you made, is
     that the same calculation that was made in the
14
15
     Staff Findings and Recommendation?
16
            Α.
                 Yes.
17
                 MR. EUBANKS: If I may approach
18
     the witness?
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
20
            Ο.
                Take a look at that document and
2.1
     identify it if you can.
                 This is the Staff Findings and
2.2
            Α.
23
     Recommendations that I filed in this proceeding.
24
                 Is it a true and accurate copy?
            Ο.
25
            Α.
                 It appears to be, yes.
```

```
10
                And it has the same calculation as
 1
            Ο.
 2
     you said that was in your testimony?
 3
                 Yes, sir.
            Α.
                 MR. EUBANKS: I would like to have
 4
 5
     Staff's Findings and Recommendations marked as
     Staff Exhibit 2.
 6
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. It will be
 8
     so marked.
9
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
10
                 MR. EUBANKS: Also at this time I
11
     would like to move to have both of Staff
12
     exhibits placed into the record, placed into
13
     evidence.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. Without
15
     objection it will be introduced into the record
16
     as evidence.
17
                 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
18
    EVIDENCE)
19
                 MR. EUBANKS: With that I have no
20
    more questions.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
22
     Thank you very much.
23
                 Is there anything else that needs to
24
     come before the Commission in terms of
25
     addressing the matters of this hearing?
```

```
11
                 MR. EUBANKS: No.
 1
 2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Hearing none I
 3
     will adjourn the hearing. Thank you very much.
 4
 5
                 (At 10:10 A.M. the hearing was
 6
     concluded)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on February 8, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer,
Registered Professional

Reporter.



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/4/2019 10:11:03 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-1067-EL-ACP

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Aspirity Energy, LLC 2016 Renewable Portfolio Standard Status Report, hearing held on February 8th, 2019 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.