BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	
Illuminating Company and The Toledo)	Case No. 19-361-EL-RDR
Edison Company for an Extension of the)	
Distribution Modernization Rider)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

SANTINO L. FANELLI

ON BEHALF OF

OHIO EDISON COMPANY
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

MARCH 1, 2019

1	INTRO	DDU	CTION
---	--------------	-----	--------------

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is Santino L. Fanelli. I am employed by FirstEnergy Service Company as
- 4 Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs. My business address is 76 South Main Street,
- 5 Akron, Ohio 44308.
- 6 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
- 7 PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
- 8 A. I graduated from John Carroll University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics
- and I received a Master of Science degree in Operations Research from Rutgers University.
- I joined FirstEnergy Service Company in 2004 and have worked in various departments,
- including Rates and Regulatory Affairs, the Controller's Department, Internal Auditing,
- Treasury, and Investor Relations. I have worked in Rates and Regulatory Affairs since
- 13 2007 and have taken on roles of increasing responsibility. I assumed my current position,
- as Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs, in May 2016.
- 15 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CURRENT JOB DUTIES AND AREAS OF
- 16 **RESPONSIBILITY?**
- 17 A. I am responsible for managing the regulatory activities of Ohio Edison Company, The
- 18 Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company ("CEI"), and The Toledo Edison Company
- 19 (collectively, the "Companies"). I have experience in numerous matters that have come
- before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission"), and I interact with
- 21 Commission Staff ("Staff") and other stakeholders on a routine basis.
- 22 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES
- 23 **COMMISSION OF OHIO?**

- 1 A. Yes. I testified on behalf of the Companies in Case Nos. 09-906-EL-SSO, 14-828-EL-
- 2 UNC, 14-1297-EL-SSO, 16-481-EL-UNC, 17-2436-EL-UNC, 18-1604-EL-UNC, and
- 3 18-1656-EL-ATA.
- 4 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 5 A. My testimony addresses the following topics:
- the purpose of the Distribution Modernization Rider ("Rider DMR");
- how the conditions for recovery under Rider DMR have been satisfied;
- the Companies' proposal for extending Rider DMR; and
- benefits of extending Rider DMR.
- 10 Q. HAS THE COMMISSION AUTHORIZED THE COMPANIES TO FILE AN
- 11 APPLICATION IN THIS PROCEEDING TO EXTEND RIDER DMR FOR AN
- 12 **ADDITIONAL TWO-YEAR TERM?**
- 13 A. Yes. In its Fifth Entry on Rehearing in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO ("ESP IV"), the
- 14 Commission adopted and approved Staff's proposal to establish Rider DMR for an initial
- three-year term, with a possible two-year extension. The initial three-year term of Rider
- DMR ends December 31, 2019. Specifically, in the Eighth Entry on Rehearing in ESP IV,
- the Commission ordered that the Companies may apply for a two-year extension of Rider
- DMR by filing an application in a separate docket by February 1, 2019. Thus, on February
- 1, 2019, the Companies filed an application in this proceeding to extend Rider DMR for a
- two-year period.
- 21 O. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF RIDER DMR?
- 22 A. As explained in the Commission's Fifth Entry on Rehearing in ESP IV, Rider DMR
- provides a needed incentive to the Companies to focus innovation and resources on grid

- 1 modernization. The credit support provided by Rider DMR assists the Companies in
- 2 accessing capital markets in order to fund grid modernization investments.

3 Q. WHAT IS THE CURRENT AUTHORIZED AMOUNT OF RIDER DMR?

- 4 A. The Companies are authorized to collect Rider DMR revenue of \$132.5 million annually,
- 5 adjusted for income taxes at the prevailing federal corporate income tax rate.

6 FIRSTENERGY AND THE COMPANIES HAVE SATISFIED THE CONDITIONS FOR

CONTINUED RECOVERY OF RIDER DMR

8 Q. WHAT CONDITIONS MUST FIRSTENERGY AND THE COMPANIES SATISFY

TO CONTINUE RECEIVING RIDER DMR REVENUES?

- 10 A. The Commission conditioned recovery of Rider DMR revenue upon: (1) continued
- retention of the corporate headquarters and nexus of operations of FirstEnergy Corp.
- 12 ("FirstEnergy") in Akron, Ohio; (2) no change in "control" of the Companies as that term
- is defined in R.C. 4905.402(A)(1); and (3) a demonstration of sufficient progress in the
- implementation and deployment of grid modernization programs approved by the
- 15 Commission. The Commission also directed Staff to ensure that FirstEnergy and the
- 16 Companies use Rider DMR revenues, directly or indirectly, in support of grid
- 17 modernization.

7

9

18 Q. HAVE FIRSTENERGY AND THE COMPANIES SATISFIED THESE

19 CONDITIONS DURING THE INITIAL TERM OF RIDER DMR?

- 20 A. Yes. FirstEnergy has retained its corporate headquarters and nexus of operations in Akron
- during the initial term of Rider DMR, and there has been no change in control of the
- Companies during this time. The Companies have made sufficient progress toward
- implementing and deploying grid modernization programs. Company witness Pannell
- 24 describes how Rider DMR has directly and indirectly supported grid modernization.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE COMPANIES HAVE MADE SUFFICIENT PROGRESS TOWARD IMPLEMENTING AND DEPLOYING GRID MODERNIZATION PROGRAMS.

A.

Consistent with the Commission's directives, the Companies have invested substantial time and effort into researching, analyzing, and developing grid modernization programs that have been filed with the Commission.

The Companies have continued to gain practical experience and knowledge from a grid modernization pilot program in CEI's service territory. This pilot includes the deployment of advanced metering infrastructure ("AMI"), distribution automation ("DA") and integrated Volt/VAR control ("IVVC"). The ongoing management, operation, and analysis of the pilot has informed and contributed to the Companies' grid modernization efforts.

Also, FirstEnergy has partnered with the Electric Power Research Institute and the U.S. Department of Energy since 2018 in the development of the Next Generation Distribution System Platform. This ongoing project is intended to develop a framework that will guide investments needed to integrate reliable and resilient operation of the distribution system with emerging grid technologies, for the benefit of customers. The results of this work can be utilized as a prototype for other utilities.

In addition, FirstEnergy and the Companies have dedicated significant time to researching and implementing emerging technologies. These efforts included a crossfunctional initiative to identify, analyze, and prioritize potential opportunities such as grid modernization, microgrids, energy storage, and plug-in electric vehicles. FirstEnergy spent nearly \$1 million for an expert consultant to support this initiative, which spanned multiple

months. Following this work, FirstEnergy created an Emerging Technologies (EmT) organization, consisting of two departments responsible for developing strategy and implementing emerging technologies. The establishment of the EmT departments demonstrates FirstEnergy's and the Companies' commitment to institutionalize a process for focusing on grid modernization that will benefit customers.

A.

Moreover, the Companies have been and continue to be active participants in the Commission's PowerForward Initiative, including all phases held throughout 2017 and 2018. I was one of several representatives of the Companies who joined the more than 100 speakers at the PowerForward sessions, which included many hours of education on all aspects of grid modernization. The Commission's PowerForward Roadmap, released on August 29, 2018, makes several recommendations about the future of the distribution grid, and further recommends the creation of a collaborative along with two additional workgroups, the Distribution System Planning Workgroup and the Data and Modern Grid Workgroup. The Companies will continue to engage in the robust discussions of the collaborative and the two workgroups.

16 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN OTHER STEPS THE COMPANIES HAVE TAKEN TO 17 EXPAND GRID MODERNIZATION IN OHIO.

The Companies have developed and made two filings with the Commission requesting approval of grid modernization programs. The Companies filed their Grid Modernization Business Plan in Case No. 16-481-EL-UNC ("Business Plan") on February 29, 2016, and filed their Distribution Platform Modernization ("DPM") Plan in Case No. 17-2436-EL-UNC on December 4, 2017.

O. WHAT WERE THE COMPONENTS OF THE BUSINESS PLAN?

A. The Companies' Business Plan identified three potential scenarios for grid modernization deployment that would provide benefits to customers. Each of the three scenarios included full deployment of AMI and an Advanced Distribution Management System ("ADMS"), along with investments in DA and IVVC on a varying number of circuits.

5 Q. WHAT DID THE COMPANIES PROPOSE IN THEIR DPM PLAN?

- A. The DPM Plan is a portfolio of work intended to modernize the Companies' distribution system, provide meaningful benefits to customers, and enable future grid modernization investments. The categories of work included in the DPM Plan are circuit ties, circuit reconductoring, remote-controlled recloser installations, a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition ("SCADA") System, and an ADMS.
- 11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROGRESS MADE IN THE BUSINESS PLAN AND
 12 DPM PLAN FILINGS.
- 13 The Companies, Staff and interested parties filed a Stipulation and Recommendation in the A. 14 Business Plan, DPM Plan, and other cases on November 9, 2018, and a Supplemental 15 Stipulation with the same and additional parties on January 25, 2019 (collectively, 16 "Stipulation"). The Stipulation provides for the first phase of significant grid 17 modernization investments by the Companies over a three-year period, called "Grid Mod 18 I." The Stipulation provides a significant step towards modernizing the Companies' grid, 19 while setting up a collaborative process to discuss and evaluate future phases of investment. 20 Grid Mod I will benefit customers through investments in AMI, DA, IVVC, an ADMS, 21 and other distribution system upgrades. These investments are expected to improve system 22 reliability, facilitate faster restoration, reduce end-use energy consumption, allow 23 customers to make more informed choices about energy usage, and better enable the

Companies to make future grid modernization investments. Hence, the Companies have made more than sufficient progress toward implementing and deploying grid modernization programs. The needs for full deployment of grid modernization, however, will exceed the initial three-year term of Grid Mod I.

TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF RIDER DMR

- 6 Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANIES' PROPOSAL FOR EXTENDING RIDER DMR?
- 7 A. The Companies request that the Commission extend Rider DMR for a two-year period at the same level as currently approved and under the same terms and conditions.
- 9 Q. WILL EXTENDING RIDER DMR IMPROVE FIRSTENERGY'S ABILITY TO
- 10 MAINTAIN ITS CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS AND NEXUS OF
- 11 **OPERATIONS IN OHIO?**

5

- 12 Yes. As explained in the testimony of Company witness Staub, extending Rider DMR will A. 13 help FirstEnergy maintain its headquarters in Akron, Ohio. If an out-of-state utility 14 company acquired FirstEnergy, the City of Akron (and the state of Ohio) would be 15 dramatically impacted by the departure of a major employer, taxpayer, and contributor. In 16 ESP IV, the Commission found that Rider DMR has a \$568 million annual economic 17 impact through the retention of the FirstEnergy corporate headquarters in Akron, Ohio, 18 which supports thousands of jobs and generates hundreds of millions of dollars in annual 19 payroll throughout Ohio. An extension of Rider DMR will help Ohioans continue to 20 benefit from FirstEnergy's substantial economic impact on the state.
- 21 Q. HOW IS EXTENDING RIDER DMR CONSISTENT WITH AND SUPPORTIVE
- 22 **OF STATE POLICY?**

Rider DMR helps position the Companies to make future grid modernization investments, consistent with the Commission's stated objectives in the PowerForward Initiative. Importantly, the need for distribution grid modernization will not end after the initial three-year Rider DMR term, or even after the proposed three-year deployment of Grid Mod I. To the contrary, future grid modernization phases could potentially require billions of dollars of investment over many years. Indeed, of the three scenarios included in the Companies' Business Plan, the shortest deployment period was eight years and the longest deployment was 15 years, while all three proposed scenarios included investments in excess of the levels proposed in Grid Mod I. By providing credit support to assist in accessing capital markets, extending Rider DMR will continue to support the Companies' grid modernization efforts, consistent with the Commission's PowerForward Initiative.

In addition, an extension of Rider DMR to support grid modernization is consistent with state policy in R.C. 4928.02(A) to "[e]nsure the availability to consumers of adequate, reliable, safe, efficient, nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail electric service." The Rider DMR extension also furthers the state policy in R.C. 4928.02(D), to "[e]ncourage innovation and market access for cost-effective supply- and demand-side retail electric service including . . . smart grid programs, and implementation of advanced metering infrastructure."

CONCLUSION

A.

20 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

21 A. Yes. I reserve the right to supplement my testimony.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was filed electronically through the Docketing Information System of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on this 1st day of March, 2019. The PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on all parties of record.

/s/ James F. Lang

One of the Attorneys for Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/1/2019 3:33:39 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-0361-EL-RDR

Summary: Testimony of Santino L. Fanelli electronically filed by Mr. James F Lang on behalf of Ohio Edison Company and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company