BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)
The Application of Ohio Edison)
Company, The Cleveland Electric) Case Nos. 18-1604-EL-UNC, et al.
Illuminating Company, and The)
Toledo Edison Company to)
Implement Matters Relating to the)
Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017	

TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN J. BORER RESEARCH AND POLICY DIVISION RATES AND ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

STAFF EXHIBIT NO. _____

1			TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN J. BORER
2	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3		A.	My name is Jonathan J. Borer. My business address is 180 East Broad
4			Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.
5			
6	2.	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7		A.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO or
8			Commission) as a Utility Specialist I in the Research and Policy Division of
9			the Rates and Analysis Department. My duties include conducting
10			investigations of assigned phases of rate case applications and other
11			financial audits of public utility companies subject to the jurisdiction of the
12			PUCO.
13			
14	3.	Q.	Would you briefly state your educational background?
15		A.	I earned a Bachelor of Science in Accounting and a Bachelor of Science in
16			Management from Purdue University in 2014. In 2017, I attended the
17			Annual Regulatory Studies Program offered by the Institute of Public
18			Utilities as well as the National Association of Regulatory Utility
19			Commissioners (NARUC) Utility Rate School.

2		A.	I have been with the PUCO since November 2016 with my entire time
3			spent in the Rates and Analysis Department. Prior to working at the PUCO.
4			I was employed with Morgan Stanley within the Global Wealth
5			Management Group.
6			
7	5.	Q.	Have you previously provided testimony before the PUCO?
8		A.	Yes. I have provided testimony regarding income tax related matters in
9			Case Nos. 15-1830-EL-AIR, 16-1725-PL-AIR, and 18-0047-AU-COI.
10			
11	6.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
12		A.	The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the aspects of the Stipulation
13			and Recommendation filed on November 9, 2018 in this proceeding
14			(Stipulation) that relate to the tax savings associated with the Tax Cuts and
15			Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA). More specifically, I will address how Ohio
16			Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The
17			Toledo Edison Company (collectively, Companies) will be flowing back to
18			customers the tax savings associated with the TCJA.
19			
20			
21			

Please briefly outline your work experience.

1

Q.

4.

1	7.	Q.	Please provide a general summary of the tax savings the Companies
2			will flow back to customers as part of this Stipulation.
3		A.	There are two primary categories of tax savings that the Companies will
4			flow back to customers:
5			1. The savings in federal income tax expense as a result of the
6			reduction in the federal corporate income tax rate from a
7			highest bracket of 35% to a flat rate of 21%, and
8			2. The savings associated with Excess Accumulated Deferred
9			Income Taxes.
10			The Companies have agreed to pass back all tax savings deferred from
11			January 1, 2018 until the commencement of the tax savings credit
12			mechanism discussed below. In total, the tax savings to be returned to
13			customers are estimated to be approximately \$900 million.
14			
15	8.	Q.	Please describe how the Companies will flow the tax savings back to
16			customers.
17		A.	As part of the Stipulation, the Companies have agreed to establish a new
18			credit mechanism through which the tax savings will be refunded to
19			customers.
20			
21			

1	9.	Q.	How are the Companies refunding the savings associated with the
2			reduction in the federal income tax rate?
3		A.	The Companies' income tax expense savings not reflected in riders are
4			approximately \$35 million annually.
5			
6	10.	Q.	How are the Companies refunding the savings associated with EDIT?
7		A.	There are three categories of EDIT which the Companies have agreed to
8			pass back to customers:
9			1. Normalized EDIT consists of balances that are required to be
10			amortized in accordance with the Average Rate Assumption
11			Method (ARAM). The pre-tax balance of the Companies'
12			normalized EDIT net liabilities is approximately \$483
13			million, and will be amortized over the remaining lives of the
14			underlying assets.
15			2. Non-normalized property EDIT consists of property-related
16			EDIT balances that do not have any IRS limitations placed on
17			the amortization period. The pre-tax balance of the
18			Companies' non-normalized property EDIT net liabilities is
19			approximately \$194 million, and will be amortized over a 10
20			year period.
21			3. Non-normalized non-property EDIT consists of EDIT
22			balances not related to property and do not have any IRS

1			limitations placed on the amortization period. The pre-tax
2			balance of the Companies' non-normalized non-property
3			EDIT net assets is approximately \$93 million, and will be
4			refunded over a 5 year period.
5			
6	11.	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
7		A.	Yes it does. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony
8			as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes available or
9			in response to positions taken by other parties.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing **Testimony of Jonathan J. Borer** has been served upon all of the parties of record in Case Nos. 18-1604-EL-UNC, et al. by electronic and/or U.S. mail, postage pre-paid mail this 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Thomas G. Lindgren

Thomas G. Lindgren
Assistant Attorney General

PARTIES OF RECORD:

mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com kboehm@bkllawfirm.com jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com gkrassen@bricker.clm dstinson@bricker.com mleppla@theoec.org tdougherty@theoec.org ifinnigan@edf.org paul@carpenterlipps.com bojko@carpenterlipps.com dressel@carpenterlipps.com ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com cmooney@ohiopartners.org glpetrucci@vorys.com mwarnock@bricker.com dborchers@bricker.com terry.etter@occ.ohio.gov Christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov Angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov

jeckert@firstenergycorp.com bknipe@firstenergycorp.com ilang@calfee.com mkeaney@calfee.com fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com mfleisher@elpc.org rdove@keglerbrown.com mdortch@kravitzllc.com dborchers@bricker.com dparram@bricker.com nhewell@bricker.com joliker@igsenergy.com mnugent@igsenergy.com Bethany.allen@igs.com whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com glover@whitt-sturtevant.com

Attorney Examiners:

Megan.addison@puc.state.oh.us Gregory.price@puc.state.oh.us This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/14/2019 3:58:58 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0481-EL-UNC, 17-2436-EL-UNC, 18-1656-EL-ATA

Summary: Testimony of Jonathan J. Borer electronically filed by Ms. Tonnetta Scott on behalf of PUC