## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the Application: of the Significantly Excessive:

Earnings Test under Section :Case No. 4928.143(F), Ohio Revised Code, :17-1213-EL-UNC

and Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(10), :
Ohio Administrative Code for The :

Dayton Power and Light Company. :

In the Matter of the Application :
of the Significantly Excessive :

Earnings Test under Section : Case No.

4928.143(F), Ohio Revised Code, :18-873-EL-UNC and Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(10), :

and Rule 4901:1-35-03(C)(10), :
Ohio Administrative Code for The :
Dayton Power and Light Company. :

PROCEEDINGS

Before Gregory Price, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Tuesday, October 23, 2018, at 10:00 A.M.

\_ \_ \_

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Mr. Michael J. Schuler 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, Ohio 45432	
4		
5	On behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company.	
6		
7	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry By Mr. Kurt J. Boehm 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 150	
8	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	
9	On behalf of The Ohio Energy Group.	
10	-	
11	Mr. Thomas W. McNamee Assistant Attorney General	
12	30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
13	On behalf of the Staff of the	
14	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

						3
1		INDEX	TO WITNESSES	S		
2						
3	DP&L WITNESSES			DIRECT	CROSS	
4	Nathan Parke			9		
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

					4			
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS							
2								
3			MARKED	ADMT				
4	1 5	NT EXHIBITS Stipulation and	7	12				
5	Recommendation							
6	DP&L	EXHIBITS						
7	1	Application in Case No. 17-1213-EL-UNC	7	12				
8			0	1.0				
9	2	Confidential Version of Exhibit CAF-7 in Case No. 17-1213-EL-UNC	8	12				
10	3	Application in	8	12				
11		Case No. 18-873-EL-UNC	-					
12 13	4	Confidential Version of Exhibit CAF-7 in Case No. 18-873-EL-UNC	8	12				
14	5	Testimony of Nathan Parke	9	12				
15								
16	STAFF EXHIBITS							
17	1	Testimony of Joseph Buckley	13	13				
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

Tuesday Morning,

October 23, 2018.

- - 
ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good morning.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has set for hearing at this time and place Case Nos.

17-1213-EL-UNC and Case No. 18-873-EL-UNC, being

9 Power and Light Company for Administration of

in the Matter of the Application of The Dayton

10 the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test under

Ohio Revised Code 4928.143) F) and Ohio

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

12 Administrative Code 4901:1-35-10 for 2016.

And in the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company for Administration of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test under Revised Code 4928.143(F) and Ohio Administrative Code 4901:1-35-10 for 2017 respectively.

My name is Gregory Price, I am the Attorney Examiner assigned to preside at today's hearings. Let us begin by taking appearances starting with the Company.

MR. SCHULER: Good morning, your
Honor. On behalf of The Dayton Power and Light
Company Michael Schuler, address 1065 Woodman

```
1 Drive, Dayton, Ohio 45432.
```

Columbus, Ohio 43215.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Ohio 3 Energy Group.

MR. BOEHM: Good morning, your

Honor. Kurt Boehm appearing on behalf of the

Ohio Energy Group.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And Staff.

MR. MCNAMEE: For the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Thomas W. McNamee, Assistant Attorney General. The address is 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor,

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand we 14 have a stipulation in today's case?

MR. SCHULER: Yes, that is correct, your Honor. We have a stipulation and a number of exhibits to follow it.

18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And Mr. Parke.

MR. SCHULER: And Mr. Parke will be the witness supporting the stipulation.

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Call your

22 witness.

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

23

24

25

MR. SCHULER: At this time the Company would like to call Nathan Parke to the stand.

7 1 (WITNESS SWORN) 2 3 NATHAN PARKE called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 4 testified as follows: 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please be seated 6 7 and state your name and business address for 8 the record. 9 THE WITNESS: My name is Nathan C. 10 Parke. Business address is 1065 Woodman Drive, 11 Dayton, Ohio 45432. 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please proceed. 13 MR. SCHULER: Thank you, your 14 Honor. I would like to first start by marking 15 six separate exhibits in both case numbers. 16 May I approach? 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may. 18 MR. SCHULER: First I would like to mark as Joint Exhibit 1, the Stipulation and 19 20 Recommendation filed in both the aforementioned 2.1 cases. 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So marked. 23 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF 24 IDENTIFICATION)

MR. SCHULER: Then marked as DP&L

```
8
     Exhibit 1, the application of Dayton Power and
 1
 2
     Light Company in Case No. 17-1213-EL-UNC.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Shall be so
    marked.
 4
 5
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
                 MR. SCHULER: Then marked as DP&L
 6
 7
     Exhibit 2, the Confidential version of Exhibit
     CAF-7 filed in Case No. 17-1213-EL-UNC.
 8
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will be so
10
    marked.
11
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
12
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
13
                 MR. SCHULER: I would like to have
14
    marked as DP&L Exhibit 3 the Application of the
15
     Dayton Power and Light Company in Case No.
16
     18-873-EL-UNC.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will be so
18
    marked.
19
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
20
                 MR. SCHULER: I would like to have
2.1
     marked as DP&L Exhibit 4 the confidential
2.2
     version of Exhibit CAF-7 in Case No.
23
     18-873-EL-UNC.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will also be
25
     so marked.
```

9 1 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR 2 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES) 3 MR. SCHULER: And finally I would like to have marked as DP&L Exhibit 5 the 4 testimony of Nathan C. Parke filed in Case No. 5 17-1213-EL-UNC and 18-873-EL-UNC. 6 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That will be so 8 marked. 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 10 MR. SCHULER: Thank you, your Honor. 11 May I proceed with the witness? 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Schuler: 15 Q. Good morning, Mr. Parke. 16 A. Good morning. 17 Do you have in front of you copies Q. 18 of the six exhibits that were just marked by the 19 court reporter? 20 Α. Yes, I do. 2.1 Ο. Let's go through each one of those 22 individually. Do you recognize Joint Exhibit 1? 23 Yes. That is the Stipulation and Α.

Recommendation filed in this case.

24

25

Q. And do you recognize DP&L Exhibit 1?

A. Yes. That is the application of Dayton Power and Light Company for their significantly excessive earnings test. It was filed in 2017 for the 2016 year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

2.2

- Q. Do you recognize DP&L Exhibit 2?
- A. Yes. That is the confidential attachment to the application.
  - Q. Do you recognize DP&L Exhibit 3?
  - A. Yes. That is the company's application for the significantly excessive earnings test that was filed in 2018 for the 2017 year.
  - Q. Do you recognize DP&L Exhibit 4?
- A. Yes. That is the confidential attachment to that application.
- Q. Finally, do you recognize DP&L Exhibit 5?
- A. Yes. That is my testimony that has been filed in this case.
- Q. Do you have any corrections to your testimony that is labeled as DP&L Exhibit 5?
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. If I asked you the same questions today would you answer them in the same manner?
- 25 A. Yes, I would.

```
1
                 MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, at this
 2
     time I have no further questions, and would
 3
     offer Mr. Parke for cross-examination.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: OEG.
 4
 5
                 MR. BOEHM: No questions, your
 6
     Honor.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Staff.
 8
                 MR. MCNAMEE: No, questions, your
9
     Honor.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are excused.
11
     Thank you.
12
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13
                 MR. SCHULER: Your Honor, at this
     time DP&L would move for the admission of Joint
14
15
     Exhibit 1 and DP&L Exhibits 1 through 5.
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any objections?
17
                 MR. MCNAMEE: No objection, your
18
    Honor, but I should clarify things a bit for
19
     the record.
20
                 The Bench will note that the
2.1
     stipulation does not include the signature of
2.2
     the Staff. That should not be taken as
23
     opposition. We do not oppose the adoption of
24
     the stipulation in this case.
25
                 Rather, the press of time and other
```

```
business simply didn't allow us the ability to
 1
 2
     review stipulations in the degree of
     thoroughness we normally do, and so we were not
 3
     able to sign onto the document.
 4
                 But, the outcome of that document,
 5
 6
     the resolution of the case, is just fine.
 7
     have no opposition to that at all.
                 And, in fact, I believe the parties
 8
 9
     agreed to stipulate in the testimony of Mr.
10
     Buckley which we will get to in a minute.
11
                 And, so there is no opposition to
12
     the stipulation in this case.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
                                                  Ιt
14
     will be noted for the record. At this time we
15
     will admit Joint Exhibit 1 and DP&L Exhibits 1
16
     through 5.
17
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO
18
     EVIDENCE)
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. McNamee.
20
                 MR. MCNAMEE: Your Honor, at this
2.1
     time I will ask to have marked for
22
     identification the prefiled testimony of Joseph
     P. Buckley filed in this case on October 9 of
23
24
     this year. It says the wrong year on there I
25
     notice.
```

```
13
 1
                 And I believe the parties have
 2
     agreed to stipulate that testimony in without
 3
     cross.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Marked as Staff
 4
 5
     Exhibit 1.
 6
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
 7
     IDENTIFICATION)
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any objection to
 8
     the admission of Staff Exhibit 1?
9
10
                 MR. SCHULER: None from the Company,
11
     your Honor.
12
                 MR. BOEHM: No, your Honor.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will be
14
     admitted.
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO
15
16
     EVIDENCE)
17
                 MR. MCNAMEE: Your Honor, I would
18
     note that that testimony is, the conclusion of
19
     that testimony is consistent with the outcome
20
     recommended in the stipulation in this case.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. One
22
     last housekeeping matter.
23
                 MR. MCNAMEE: Yes.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The joint
25
     stipulation indicates the parties asked that OEG
```

be granted intervention in 17-1213-EL-UNC. At this time we will grant intervention of OEG in that case. MR. BOEHM: Thank you, Your Honor. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything else? MR. MCNAMEE: Nothing ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This case will be submitted on the record to the Commission, and we are adjourned. (At 10:10 A.M. the hearing was concluded) 

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on October 23, 2018, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer,
Registered Professional
Reporter.

OF OHIO

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

11/7/2018 11:28:00 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-1213-EL-UNC, 18-0873-EL-UNC

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test under Section 4928.143(F), Ohio Revised Code, and Rules 4901:1-35-03(C)(10), Ohio Administrative Code for The Dayton Power and Light Company and In the Matter of the Application of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test under Section 4923.143(F), Ohio Revised Code, and Rules 4901:1-35-03(C)(10), Ohio Administrative Code for The Dayton Power and Light Company, hearing held on October 23rd, 2018. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.