

BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the Application of)	
AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.)	
For a Certificate of Environmental)	Case No. 18-33-EL-BTX
Compatibility and Public Need for the)	
Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV)	
Transmission Line Project.)	

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
RONALD M. HOWARD, JR.
ON BEHALF OF
AEP OHIO TRANSMISSION COMPANY, INC.
IN SUPPORT OF THE
STIPULATION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Filed October 22, 2018

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Ronald M. Howard, Jr. and my business address is 700 Morrison Road,
3 Gahanna, Ohio 43230.

4 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5 A. I am employed by American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEPSC”) and provide services
6 to AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. (“AEP Ohio Transco” or the “Company”) as an Ohio
7 Transmission Line Siting Specialist.

8 **Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND**
9 **PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?**

10 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree from The Ohio State University in Chemical
11 Engineering with an Environmental Specialty. I have worked with state and federal
12 regulatory processes since 1993 and have sited linear corridors since 2003. I joined AEP
13 Ohio Transco in 2014 as a Transmission Line Siting Specialist Sr. I am responsible for the
14 siting of Ohio transmission projects for AEP Ohio Transco.

15 **Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS A TRANSMISSION LINE SITING**
16 **SPECIALIST?**

17 A. I am responsible for the development of and adherence to the Ohio Power Siting Board
18 siting process and work closely with a diverse siting team, including project management,
19 engineering, environmental, permitting, right-of-way, and public outreach.

20 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

21 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe and support the Stipulation and Recommendation
22 (“Stipulation”) (incorporated by reference into this testimony and attached as Exhibit RMH-1)
23 entered into by AEP Ohio Transco and the Staff of the Ohio Power Siting Board (collectively,
24 the “Signatory Parties”) to resolve the issues in this case and filed on October 22, 2018. The

1 Signatory Parties recommend that the Ohio Power Siting Board (“Board”) issue a Certificate
2 of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for construction and operation for the
3 Project along the Preferred Route, as identified in the Company’s April 30, 2018 Application
4 (“Application”) and subject to the conditions further described in the Stipulation. This
5 testimony demonstrates that: (1) the Stipulation is a product of serious bargaining among
6 capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) the Stipulation does not violate any important regulatory
7 principle or practice; and (3) the Stipulation, as a whole, will benefit customers and the public
8 interest.

9 **Q. DO YOU SPONSOR ANY EXHIBITS AS PART OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

10 A. Yes. I am sponsoring and attaching Joint Exhibit No. 1, the Stipulation and
11 Recommendation reached with the Board Staff in this case, as Exhibit RMH-1 to my
12 testimony.

13 **Q. WHAT ARE THE MAJOR PROVISIONS OF THE STIPULATION?**

14 A. AEP Ohio Transco plans to upgrade an existing power source to the Brown County, Ohio
15 area’s electric transmission grid and upgrade the infrastructure to provide reliable
16 electricity to customers by building a 3.70- to 4.50-mile long double circuit 138 kilovolt
17 (kV) transmission line between the existing Sardinia substation and the existing Hillsboro-
18 Maysville 138 kV transmission line. Once completed, the new Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV
19 transmission line would replace the existing 11.9-mile radial Seaman-Sardinia 69 kV
20 transmission line, which would then be retired. The goal of the Project is to modernize and
21 improve the reliability of the Applicant’s transmission system in Brown County. This
22 Project will enhance service for customers, decrease power interruptions, and speed
23 recovery of service when outages occur. Additional details can be found in the
24 Application’s Review of Need and Schedule, in Section 4906-5-03.

1 The Project will be constructed using primarily steel, single-pole structures with an
2 estimated aboveground height of 110 feet. Figure 2-1 in the Application shows the Project
3 vicinity, interconnection points, and the Preferred and Alternate Routes identified by AEP
4 Ohio Transco.

5 **Q. WHAT CRITERIA HAS THE BOARD USED IN REVIEWING AND**
6 **APPROVING STIPULATIONS AMONG SIGNATORY PARTIES TO A**
7 **PROCEEDING?**

8 A. My understanding is that a stipulation must satisfy three criteria: (1) the stipulation must
9 be a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) the
10 stipulation must not violate any important regulatory principle or practice; and (3) the
11 stipulation must, as a whole, benefit customers and the public interest.

12 **Q. DOES THE STIPULATION REPRESENT A PRODUCT OF SERIOUS**
13 **BARGAINING AMONG CAPABLE, KNOWLEDGEABLE PARTIES?**

14 A. Yes, it does. All parties to the Stipulation (i.e., the Board Staff and the Company, who are
15 the only parties to the case) were represented by experienced, competent counsel. Also, the
16 parties to the Stipulation regularly participate in proceedings before the Board and are
17 knowledgeable in regulatory matters. All parties were invited to participate in settlement
18 discussions regarding the Stipulation. All parties were provided the draft Stipulation and
19 given an opportunity to further engage in settlement discussions. Therefore, the Stipulation
20 represents a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties.

1 **Q. DOES THE STIPULATION VIOLATE ANY IMPORTANT REGULATORY**
2 **PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES?**

3 A. No, it does not. Based on my experience with the regulatory process and review of the
4 Stipulation, I believe that the Stipulation is consistent with, and does not violate, regulatory
5 principles and practices in Ohio. On the contrary, the Stipulation is designed to comply
6 with the requirements of Revised Code 4906.10, which provides the basis for decision
7 granting or denying a certificate.

8 **Q. DOES THE STIPULATION BENEFIT CONSUMERS AND THE PUBLIC**
9 **INTEREST?**

10 A. Yes, it does. The Stipulation, which provides for the construction of the Project on the
11 Preferred Route, benefits consumers insofar as the Project will help ensure that increased
12 demands for electricity are met in the future and that existing service reliability is
13 strengthened and enhanced throughout the area. The Project will also produce tax revenues
14 for the local community. The Stipulation also benefits the public by requiring AEP Ohio
15 Transco to comply with numerous conditions to minimize environmental, sociological,
16 cultural, and other impacts to the area.

17 **Q. DID AEP OHIO TRANSCO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE PUBLIC INPUT IN ITS**
18 **DELIBERATIONS?**

19 A. Yes. AEP Ohio Transco has worked very closely with affected residents to ensure that
20 their concerns were taken into consideration when determining the Preferred Route.
21 Details regarding AEP Ohio Transco's public information program for this Project are set
22 forth on pages 4-3 and 4-4 of the Application and incorporated herein.

1 **Q. IS IT AEP OHIO TRANSCO’S POSITION THAT THE STIPULATION MEETS**
2 **THE THREE-PART TEST REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF STIPULATIONS**
3 **AND SHOULD BE ADOPTED BY THE BOARD?**

4 **A.** Yes, it is. The Stipulation is reasonable and should be adopted by the Board to resolve the
5 present proceeding.

6 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

7 **A.** Yes it does.

8

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the OPSB's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document upon all parties of record and the administrative law judge on this 22nd day of October, 2018.

/s/ Christen M. Blend

Christen M. Blend

thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

dan.fullin@puco.ohio.gov

EXHIBIT RMH-1

BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the Application of)	
AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.)	
For a Certificate of Environmental)	Case No. 18-33-EL-BTX
Compatibility and Public Need for the)	
Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV)	
Transmission Line Project.)	

STIPULATION AND RECOMMENDATION

I. INTRODUCTION

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. (“AEP Ohio Transco” or the “Applicant”), and the Staff of the Ohio Power Siting Board (“OPSB Staff”) (at times, collectively referred to as the “Parties”) submit and recommend this Stipulation and Recommendation (“Stipulation”) for adoption by the Ohio Power Siting Board (“Board”). This Stipulation is intended by the Parties to resolve all matters pertinent to Applicant’s proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project (“Project”).

AEP Ohio Transco plans to upgrade an existing power source to the Brown County, Ohio area’s electric transmission grid and upgrade the infrastructure to provide reliable electricity to customers by building a 3.70- to 4.50-mile long double circuit 138 kilovolt (kV) transmission line between the existing Sardinia substation and the existing Hillsboro-Maysville 138 kV transmission line. Once completed, the new Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV transmission line would replace the existing 11.9-mile radial Seaman-Sardinia 69 kV transmission line, which would then be retired. The Project will be constructed using primarily steel, single-pole structures with an estimated aboveground height of 110 feet. Figure 2-1 in the April 30, 2018 Application (“Application”) shows the Project vicinity,

interconnection points, and the Preferred and Alternate Routes identified by AEP Ohio Transco.

The goal of the Project is to modernize and improve the reliability of the Applicant's transmission system in Brown County. This Project will enhance service for customers, decrease power interruptions, and speed recovery of service when outages occur. Additional details can be found in the Application's Review of Need and Schedule, in Section 4906-5-03, which the Parties incorporate by reference herein.

This Stipulation is the product of serious bargaining among capable and knowledgeable parties. The Parties have each participated in negotiations. This Stipulation has been signed by the Applicant and OPSB Staff (collectively, "Signatory Parties"). Each of the Signatory Parties was represented by experienced counsel who regularly practice and participate in proceedings before the Board.

The Stipulation will benefit customers and the public interest. In the Stipulation, the Applicant has made commitments (as more fully described below) to comply with OPSB Staff conditions to minimize adverse impacts associated with the Project. The Stipulation does not violate any important regulatory principle or practice. The Project is more fully described in the Application. This Stipulation results from discussions between the Parties, who agree that it is supported by adequate data and information and is therefore entitled to careful consideration by the Board.

Accordingly, the Parties recommend that the Board issue a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need (“Certificate”) for construction and operation of the Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project, as identified in the Application, and subject to the conditions set forth in this Stipulation.

II. STIPULATIONS

A. Recommended Findings of Fact

The Signatory Parties agree that the record in this case, which consists of the Application, any supplemental or related information, and the Staff Report of Investigation, contains sufficient probative evidence for the Board to find and determine, as findings of fact, that:

- (1) AEP Ohio Transco is an Ohio corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of AEP Transmission Company, LLC, which is a Delaware limited liability company.
- (2) The proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project is a “major utility facility,” as defined in Section 4906.01(B)(1) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (3) On January 11, 2018, the Applicant filed a pre-application notification letter for the Project pursuant to O.A.C. 4906-3-03(A).
- (4) On January 16 and 19, 2018, the Applicant filed its proof of publication of public notice to property owners and affected tenants of the date of a public informational meeting held pursuant to O.A.C. 4906-3-03(B)(2).
- (5) On February 1, 2018, the Applicant held a public informational meeting regarding the Project.

- (6) On April 30, 2018, the Applicant filed the Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project Application.
- (7) On June 29, 2018, the Director of Rates and Analysis for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio issued a letter of compliance regarding the Application to the Applicant. On July 18, 2018, the Applicant filed proof of service of the certified application pursuant to O.A.C. 4906-3-07.
- (8) On August 21, 2018, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Entry setting the effective date for the Application to August 10, 2018, scheduling local public and adjudicatory hearings in this matter, ordering AEP Ohio Transco to notice the hearings, and establishing a procedural schedule for the filing of lists of issues and testimony.
- (9) On September 25, 2018, OPSB Staff filed its Report of Investigation (“Staff Report”).
- (10) On October 11, 2018, the Applicant filed proof of notice and regarding the date, time, and location of the public hearing and adjudicatory hearing, including proof of notice of the public hearing and adjudicatory hearing to affected property owners and elected officials, in substantial compliance with O.A.C. 4906-3-09(A).
- (11) On October 11, 2018, a local public hearing was held at the Northview Elementary School in Jackson, Ohio.

- (12) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and OPSB Staff to determine the basis of the need for the proposed facility, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(1) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (13) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and OPSB Staff to determine the nature of the probable environmental impact of the proposed facility, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(2) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (14) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and OPSB Staff to determine that the Preferred Route contained in the Application, as filed by the Applicant on April 30, 2018, if conditioned in the Certificate as recommended by the Parties, represents the minimum adverse environmental impact, considering the available technology and nature and economics of the various alternatives, and other pertinent considerations, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(3) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (15) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and its Staff to determine that construction of the Project located on Applicant's Preferred route is consistent with plans for expansion of the regional power grid and that it will serve the interests of electric system economy and reliability, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(4) of the Ohio Revised Code.

- (16) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and OPSB Staff to determine that the Project will comply with Chapters 3704, 3734, and 6111, of the Ohio Revised Code and all rules and standards adopted under Sections 1501.33, 1501.34 and 4561.32 of the Ohio Revised Code, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(5) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (17) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and OPSB Staff to determine that the proposed facility will serve the public interest, convenience and necessity, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(6) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (18) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and OPSB Staff to determine the proposed facility's impact on the viability as agricultural land of any land in an existing agricultural district established under Chapter 929 of the Ohio Revised Code that is located within the Preferred Route of the proposed Project, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(7) of the Ohio Revised Code.

- (19) Adequate data on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project has been provided to the Board and OPSB Staff to determine that the facility incorporates maximum feasible water conservation practices, considering available technology and the nature and economics of the various alternatives, under Section 4906.10(A)(8) of the Ohio Revised Code. Due to the fact that the facility will not require the use of water for operation, Section 4906.10(A)(8) of the Ohio Revised Code is not applicable to certification of the Project.
- (20) The information, data and evidence in the record of this proceeding provide substantial and adequate evidence and information to enable the Board to make an informed decision on the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project.

B. Recommended Conclusions of Law

The Signatory Parties further agree that the record in this case contains sufficient probative evidence for the Board to find and determine, as conclusions of law, that:

- (1) Applicant, AEP Ohio Transco, is a “person” pursuant to Section 4906.01(A) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (2) The proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project is a “major utility facility” as defined by Section 4906.01(B)(2) of the Ohio Revised Code. Applicant’s Application, filed on April 30, 2018, complies with the requirements of Ohio Adm. Code 4906-5-01, *et seq.*

- (3) The record establishes the need for the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project as required by Section 4906.10(A)(1) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (4) The record establishes the nature of the probable environmental impact from construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project as required by Section 4906.10(A)(2) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (5) The record establishes that the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project, if conditioned in the Certificate as recommended by the Parties, represents the minimum adverse environmental impact, considering the state of available technology and the nature and economics of the various alternatives, and other pertinent considerations, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(3) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (6) The record establishes that the proposed Preferred Route for the Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project is consistent with plans for expansion of the regional power system, and serves the interests of electric system economy and reliability, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(4) of the Ohio Revised Code.

- (7) The record establishes that the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project, if conditioned in the Certificate as recommended by the Parties, will comply with Chapters 3704, 3734 and 6111 of the Ohio Revised Code, and all rules and regulations adopted under those chapters, and under Sections 1501.33, 1501.34 and 4561.32 of the Revised Code, all as required by Section 4906.10(A)(5) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (8) The record establishes that the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project, if conditioned in the Certificate as recommended by the Parties, will serve the public interest, convenience and necessity, as required by Section 4906.10(A)(6) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (9) The record establishes the impact of the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project on the viability as agricultural land of any land in an existing agricultural district established under Chapter 929 of the Ohio Revised Code that is located within the Preferred Route of the proposed Project as required by Section 4906.10(A)(7) of the Ohio Revised Code.
- (10) The record establishes that the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138 kV Transmission Line Project incorporates maximum feasible water conservation practices as determined by the board, considering available technology and the nature and economics of the various alternatives required by Section 4906.10(A)(8) of the Ohio Revised Code.

C. Recommended Conditions of the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need

- (1) The facility shall be installed on the Applicant's Preferred Route, utilizing the equipment, construction practices, and mitigation measures as presented in the application filed on April 30, 2018, and further clarified by recommendations in the Staff Report of Investigation.
- (2) The Applicant shall conduct a preconstruction conference prior to the start of any construction activities. Staff, the Applicant, and representatives of the prime contractor and/or subcontractors for the project shall attend the preconstruction conference. The conference shall include a presentation of the measures to be taken by the Applicant and contractors to ensure compliance with all conditions of the certificate, and discussion of the procedures for on-site investigations by Staff during construction. Prior to the conference, the Applicant shall provide a proposed conference agenda for Staff review to ensure compliance with this condition. The Applicant may conduct separate preconstruction conferences for each stage of construction.

- (3) At least 30 days before the preconstruction conference, the Applicant shall submit to Staff one set of detailed engineering drawings of the final project design, including the facility, temporary and permanent access roads, construction staging areas, and any other associated facilities and access points, so that Staff can determine that the final project design is in compliance with the terms of the Certificate. The final project design shall be provided in hard copy and as geographically referenced electronic data. The final design shall include all conditions of the Certificate and references at the locations where the Applicant and/or its contractors must adhere to a specific condition in order to comply with the Certificate.
- (4) Within 60 days after the commencement of commercial operation, the Applicant shall submit to Staff a copy of the as-built specifications for the entire facility. The Applicant shall provide as-built drawings in both hard copy and as geographically referenced electronic data.
- (5) The certificate shall become invalid if the Applicant has not commenced a continuous course of construction of the proposed facility within five years of the date of journalization of the certificate.
- (6) As the information becomes known, the Applicant shall provide to Staff the date on which construction will begin, the date on which construction was completed, and the date on which the facility begins commercial operation.

- (7) Prior to the commencement of construction activities in areas that require permits or authorizations by federal or state laws and regulations, the Applicant shall obtain and comply with such permits or authorizations, including any permits necessary for aviation clearance. The Applicant shall provide copies of permits and authorizations, including all supporting documentation, to Staff within seven days of issuance or receipt by the Applicant. The Applicant shall provide a schedule of construction activities and acquisition of corresponding permits for each activity at the preconstruction conference.
- (8) At least 30 days prior to the preconstruction conference, the Applicant shall provide to Staff a complaint resolution procedure to address potential public grievances resulting from project construction and operation. The resolution procedure must provide that the Applicant will work to mitigate or resolve any issues with those who submit either a formal or informal complaint and that the Applicant will immediately forward all complaints to Staff.
- (9) At least 30 days prior to the preconstruction conference, the Applicant shall provide to Staff a copy of its public information program that informs affected property owners and tenants of the nature of the project, specific contact information of Applicant personnel who are familiar with the project, the proposed timeframe for project construction, and a schedule for restoration activities. The Applicant shall give notification to property owners and tenants at least 7 days prior to work on the affected property.

- (10) If the Alternate Transmission Line Route is chosen by the Board, prior to commencement of any construction, the Applicant shall prepare a Phase I cultural resources survey program for archaeological work within the construction disturbance area, in consultation with Staff and the Ohio Historic Preservation Office. If the resulting survey work discloses a find of cultural or archaeological significance, or a site that could be eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, then the Applicant shall submit an amendment, modification, or mitigation plan to the Board.
- (11) The Applicant shall repair or replace agricultural field tiles damaged from this project, and the Applicant shall segregate excavated topsoil in agricultural fields and restore in its proper position upon backfilling. All mitigation procedures to agricultural land shall restore affected systems to previous or better condition unless otherwise specified by the affected property owner.
- (12) Prior to construction, the Applicant shall provide a copy of any floodplain permit required for construction of this project, or a copy of correspondence with the floodplain administrator showing that no permit is required.
- (13) The Applicant shall adhere to seasonal cutting dates of October 1 through March 31 for removal of any trees greater than or equal to three inches in diameter, unless coordination efforts with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) allows a different course of action.

- (14) The Applicant shall contact Staff, the ODNR, and the USFWS within 24 hours if state or federal threatened or endangered species are encountered during construction activities. Construction activities that could adversely impact such plants or animals shall be halted until an appropriate course of action has been agreed upon by the Applicant, Staff, and the ODNR in coordination with the USFWS. Nothing in this condition shall preclude agencies having jurisdiction over the facility with respect to threatened or endangered species from exercising their legal authority over the facility consistent with law.
- (15) The Applicant shall not conduct mechanized clearing and shall not remove any stumps within 25 feet of any stream channel.
- (16) The Applicant shall provide a construction access plan for review prior to the preconstruction conference. The plan shall consider the location of streams, wetlands, wooded areas, and sensitive plant species, as identified by the ODNR Division of Wildlife (DOW), and explain how impacts to all sensitive resources will be avoided or minimized during construction, operation, and maintenance. The plan shall include the measures to be used for restoring the area around all temporary access locations, and a description of any long-term stabilization required along permanent access routes.

- (17) The Applicant shall avoid construction in suitable habitat for the loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) during the species nesting period of April 1 through August 1 in order to minimize impacts to the species. If suitable habitat cannot be avoided during this period, then a presence/absence survey shall be conducted, or an avoidance/ minimization plan shall be developed in accordance with the DOW.
- (18) Prior to commencement of construction activities that require transportation permits, the Applicant shall obtain all such permits. The Applicant shall coordinate with the appropriate authority regarding any temporary or permanent road closures, lane closures, road access restrictions, and traffic control necessary for construction and operation of the proposed facility.
- (19) General construction activities shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., or until dusk when sunset occurs after 7:00 p.m. Impact pile driving, hoe ram, and blasting operations, if required, shall be limited to the hours between 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. Construction activities that do not involve noise increases above ambient levels at sensitive receptors are permitted outside of daylight hours when necessary. The Applicant shall notify property owners or affected tenants within the meaning of Ohio Adm.Code 4906-5-08(C)(3), of upcoming construction activities including potential for nighttime construction activities.

- (20) The Applicant shall remove all construction staging area and access road materials after completion of construction activities, as weather permits, unless otherwise directed by the landowner. Impacted areas shall be restored to preconstruction conditions in compliance with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit(s) obtained for the project and the approved Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan created for this project.
- (21) The Applicant shall not dispose of gravel, or any other construction material, during or following construction of the facility by placing such material on agricultural land. All construction debris and all contaminated soil shall be promptly removed and properly disposed of in accordance with Ohio EPA regulations.

III. EXHIBITS

The Parties agree and stipulate that the following information has been filed in the docket and is to be marked and admitted into the record as exhibits of this proceeding and that cross- examination is waived thereon:

- AEP Ohio Transco Exhibit No. 1: Pre-Application Notification Letter filed on January 11, 2018
- AEP Ohio Transco Exhibit No. 2: Proofs of Notification and Publication filed January 16 and 19, 2018
- AEP Ohio Transco Exhibit No. 3: Application filed April 30, 2018, and certified as completed by the Board on June 29, 2018
- AEP Ohio Transco Exhibit No. 4: Notice of Compliance filed on July 18, 2018
- AEP Ohio Transco Exhibit No. 5: Proof of Notification and Publication filed on October 11, 2018

- AEP Ohio Transco Exhibit No. 6: Direct Testimony of Ronald M. Howard, Jr.
- Staff Exhibit No. 1: Staff Report of Investigation filed on September 26, 2018.
- Staff Exhibit No. 2: Prefiled Testimony of Jonathan Pawley.
- Joint Exhibit No. 1: This Stipulation.

In deliberating the merits of the Application and reasonableness of this Stipulation, the Signatory Parties encourage the Board to review and consider all evidence and exhibits submitted and admitted in this case.

IV. OTHER STIPULATIONS

- (1) This Stipulation is expressly conditioned upon its acceptance by the Board without material modification. In the event the Board rejects or materially modifies all or part of this Stipulation, or imposes additional conditions or requirements upon the Parties, each Party shall have the right, within thirty (30) days after the Board's order, to file an application for rehearing with the Board. Upon a grant of rehearing by the Board, each party shall have the right, within ten (10) days after the Board's order granting rehearing, to file a notice of termination and withdrawal of the Stipulation. Upon notice of termination and withdrawal of the Stipulation by any party, pursuant to the above provisions, the Stipulation shall immediately become null and void, and any party to the Stipulation shall be free to petition the Board or the Administrative Law Judge for such additional process as may be necessary to address any of the remaining issues in this case. In such an event, a hearing shall go forward, and the Parties shall be afforded the opportunity to present evidence through witnesses, to cross-examine all witnesses, to present rebuttal testimony, and to file briefs on all

issues.

- (2) The Parties agree and recognize that this Stipulation has been entered into only for the purpose of this proceeding. Each of the Parties agrees not to assert against another party in any proceeding before the Board or any court, other than in a proceeding to enforce the terms of this Stipulation, that party's participation in this Stipulation as support for any particular position on any issue. Each of the Parties further agrees that it will not use this Stipulation as factual or legal precedent on any issue, except as may be necessary to support enforcement of this Stipulation. The Parties request that the Board recognize that its use of this Stipulation in any proceeding other than this proceeding is contrary to the intentions of the Parties in entering into this Stipulation.

WHEREFORE, based upon the record and the information and data contained therein, the Signatory Parties recommend that the Board issue a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed Seaman-Sardinia 138kV Transmission Line Project as described in the Application filed with the Board on April 30, 2018.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of:

STAFF OF THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

By: /s/ Thomas G. Lindgren
Thomas G. Lindgren (0039210), Counsel of Record
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 466-4395
E-mail: thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

(willing to accept service by email)

AEP OHIO TRANSMISSION COMPANY, INC.

By: /s/ Christen M. Blend
Christen M. Blend (0086881), Counsel of Record
Hector Garcia (0084517)
Counsel for AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 716-1915
(614) 716-3410
E-mail: cmblend@aep.com
hgarcia1@aep.com

(willing to accept service by e-mail)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the individuals listed via electronic mail, this 22nd day of October, 2018.

/s/ Christen M. Blend

Christen M. Blend

thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
dan.fullin@puco.ohio.gov

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/22/2018 11:00:36 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-0033-EL-BTX

Summary: Testimony (Direct Testimony of Ronald M. Howard, Jr. in Support of the Stipulation and Recommendation) electronically filed by Ms. Christen M. Blend on behalf of AEP Ohio Transmission Power Company, Inc.