EXHIBIT NO. _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

| In the Matter of the Application Seeking |) | |
|--|---|-------------------------|
| Approval of Ohio Power Company's |) | |
| Proposal to Enter Into Renewable Energy |) | Case No. 18-1392-EL-RDR |
| Purchase Agreements for Inclusion in the |) | |
| Renewable Generation Rider |) | |
| In the Matter of the Application of Ohio |) | Case No. 18-1393-EL-ATA |
| Power Company to Amend its Tariffs |) | |

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN F. TORPEY ON BEHALF OF OHIO POWER COMPANY



Filed: Sep 27, 2018

This is to certify that the images appearing are ap accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician _____ Date Processed _____28/18

INDEX TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN F. TORPEY

4.

| PERSONAL DATA | . 1 |
|----------------------|-----|
| PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY | . 3 |
| RFP ANALYSIS | . 4 |

,

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN F. TORPEY ON BEHALF OF OHIO POWER COMPANY

1 PERSONAL DATA

2 Q. STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is John F. Torpey, and my business address is 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus,
Ohio 43215.

5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?

- 6 A. I am employed by the American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) as the
- 7 Managing Director Resource Planning and Operational Analysis. AEPSC supplies
- 8 engineering, financing, accounting, planning, and advisory services to the eleven
- 9 electric operating companies of American Electric Power (AEP), including Ohio Power
- 10 Company (AEP Ohio or the Company).

11 Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND

12 **PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?**

13 A. I received a Bachelor of Engineering from the Cooper Union for the Advancement of

14 Science and Art (New York) in 1979 and a Master of Business Administration from

- 15 Saint John's University (New York) in 1984. In addition, in 1995, I completed the
- 16 American Electric Power System Management Development Program at the Ohio State
- 17 University, and in 2000, I completed the Darden Partnership Program at the Darden
- 18 Graduate School of Business Administration, University of Virginia.

| 1 | | In 1979, I was employed by AEPSC as a Design Engineer in the Structural |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Design Department. In 1985 I became the Project Controls Engineer for the Zimmer |
| 3 | | Conversion Project and then for the Gavin FGD Retrofit Project. I became Manager of |
| 4 | | the Controls Services Department in 1994, with responsibility for capital and expense |
| 5 | | budgeting, and maintenance outage planning for the AEP generating plants. I held |
| 6 | | various managerial positions in the AEPSC generation organization related to planning, |
| 7 | | budgeting, and cost control. In 2004, I became the Director of Corporate Budgeting in |
| 8 | | the Corporate Planning and Budgeting Department, and in 2007 became Director - |
| 9 | | Integrated Resource Planning. I assumed my current position in January 2018. |
| 10 | | I am a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Ohio and a Certified |
| 11 | | Management Accountant. I have been an adjunct instructor at Franklin University |
| 12 | | (Ohio) since 2006 and have taught classes in the Accounting program and the Energy |
| 13 | | Management program. |
| 14 | Q. | WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS MANAGING DIRECTOR- |
| 15 | | RESOURCE PLANNING AND OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS? |
| 16 | A. | I am primarily responsible for the supervision and administration of long-term generation |
| 17 | | resource planning and analysis for AEP. In such capacity, I coordinate the use of short |
| 18 | | and long-term generation production costing and other resource planning models used in |
| 19 | | the ultimate development of operating and capital budget forecasts for the Company and |
| 20 | | AEP. I regularly monitor actual performance and review the preparation of forecasted |
| 21 | | information for use in regulatory proceedings. |
| | | |

1.

. 2

Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY IN ANY REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS?

- A. Yes. On behalf of AEP Ohio, I submitted testimony in Case No. 18-501-EL-FOR, the
 Amended Long-Term Forecast Report (Amended LTFR). I have testified or provided
 testimony on behalf of AEP Ohio affiliates Appalachian Power Company (APCo) and
 Wheeling Power Company before the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, and
 for APCo before the Virginia State Corporation Commission. I also testified on behalf of
 AEP Ohio affiliate Indiana Michigan Power Company before the Michigan Public
- 9 Service and the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commissions.

10 Q. WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THE AMENDED

11 LTFR CASE?

- A. In addition to sponsoring the Integrated Resource Plan and Forecast Report Requirements
 for Electric Utilities sections of the Amended LTFR, my testimony in the Amended
- 14 LTFR case explained the methodology used by AEP Ohio to develop its assumptions for
- 15 renewable resource costs and presented the economic benefits associated with the
- 16 addition of renewable resources for AEP Ohio. Specifically, I presented the economic
- 17 benefits to AEP Ohio's customers of adding generic wind and solar projects, I calculated
- 18 a break-even cost of renewable projects, and I performed a probabilistic simulation of
- 19 renewable project benefits.

20 <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>

21 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the methodology used to evaluate two

23 Renewable Asset Purchase Agreement (REPA) bids received in response to AEP Ohio's

| 1 | | recent Solar Energy Request for Proposals (RFPs). This evaluation identifies the benefits |
|----|------------|---|
| 2 | | the REPAs bring to AEP Ohio and its customers, as compared to other available products |
| 3 | | in the market. In addition, I discuss how the REPAs will act as a hedge to market |
| 4 | | volatility. In summary, the REPAs result in a \$332 million savings (\$99.6 million on a |
| 5 | | net present value basis) when compared to market under the AEP Fundamental Analysis |
| 6 | | department's 2018 Fundamentals Forecast. Each project individually results in a net |
| 7 | | savings on a levelized cost, a nominal dollar, and a net present value basis under all |
| 8 | | pricing scenarios. |
| 9 | Q. | ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS? |
| 10 | A. | I am supporting the following exhibits: |
| 11 | | • Exhibit JFT-1: AEP Ohio Renewable Bid Net Cost of Energy Summary |
| 12 | | • Confidential Exhibit JFT-2: Highland Solar Detailed Evaluation |
| 13 | | • Confidential Exhibit JFT-3: Willowbrook Solar Detailed Evaluation |
| 14 | <u>RFP</u> | ANALYSIS |
| 15 | Q. | WOULD YOU FIRST PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE |
| 16 | | METHODOLOGY USED IN YOUR ANALYSIS OF THE SOLAR ENERGY |
| 17 | | BIDS? |
| 18 | A. | Yes. I performed an analysis on the short-listed bids that passed an initial screening |
| 19 | | assessing their suitableness for consideration as a resource within AEP Ohio. Company |
| 20 | | witness Bradley discusses this initial screening process. I evaluated each REPA based |
| 21 | | upon its levelized Net Cost of Energy (NCOE). NCOE takes into account the cost to |
| 22 | | procure energy from the owner per the REPA and the avoided cost of energy from the |
| 23 | | market. The equation below shows how Net Cost of Energy is calculated, where |

4 -

1 Avoided Cost of Energy and Avoided Cost of Capacity are typically negative numbers. $Net \ Cost \ of \ Energy \ \left(\frac{\$}{MWh}\right) = REPA \ Price \ \left(\frac{\$}{MWh}\right) + \frac{Avoided \ Cost \ of \ Energy \ (\$) + Avoided \ Cost \ of \ Capacity \ (\$)}{Annual \ Generation \ (MWh)}$ PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY LEVELIZED COSTS ARE USED IN YOUR 2 Q. 3 ANALYSIS. 4 Α. As shown on Exhibit JFT-1, the NCOE changes each year due to increasing energy and 5 capacity prices. By discounting the annual values to a present value in terms of the base year, 2021, and then levelizing those values over a 20-year period, I provide a metric that 6 7 is readily comparable across project sizes. Other metrics that were calculated and 8 presented on Confidential Exhibits JFT-2 and JFT-3 include the REPA net present value

¢

10 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE AVOIDED COST OF ENERGY WAS

11 FACTORED INTO YOUR ANALYSIS.

A. For each REPA bid, the annual avoided cost of energy was calculated as the sum of the
hourly-avoided energy costs for all 8,760 hours in a year. For each hour, the avoided cost
of energy was calculated as the site's energy output multiplied by the forecasted hourly
market price of energy.

Expected hourly site energy output values were provided with each bid for one calendar year, or 8,760 hours. Hourly market prices for energy were based upon the AEP Fundamental Analysis Department's 2018 Fundamentals Forecast, which were also used in the Amended LTFR. For this analysis, I calculated the levelized NCOE (LNCOE) and NPV for each REPA using the 2018 Fundamentals Forecast Base Band energy and capacity prices in addition to energy and capacity prices in three other scenarios – Low Band, High Band, and Status Quo. As the names indicate, the Low Band is a forecast of

1 market prices based on lower natural gas prices than in the Base Band. High Band uses 2 higher natural gas prices, and Status Quo is derived from the Base Band but assumes no additional carbon regulations. The Base Band, Low Band, and High Band all include the 3 4 assumption that a carbon burden would be in place beginning in 2028. In the Amended LTFR filing, Company witness Bletzacker describes the basis for these forecast 5 scenarios. In this analysis, the avoided cost of energy is a savings compared to the PJM 6 market. For example, if for a given hour, the cost of energy received through a REPA 7 was \$35/MWh, and the market price of energy was \$40/MWh, AEP Ohio would realize 8 9 an avoided cost of energy of \$5/MWh.

10

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AND WHY CAPACITY CREDIT WAS

11 CONSIDERED IN YOUR ANALYSIS.

12 The capacity credit for the solar REPA sites equals 19% of their nameplate ratings. In A. 13 these analyses, the monetary value of capacity is viewed as a savings versus the market. 14 Each MW of PJM capacity credit obtained through a REPA represents capacity that 15 could be offered into the PJM capacity auction. The monetary value of capacity resources was calculated using the AEP Fundamental Analysis Department's 2018 16 17 Fundamentals Forecast. This forecast utilizes capacity values which have been established through the PJM Base Residual Auction through 2021, and then incorporates 18 19 forecasted values for each subsequent year. Capacity credit is evaluated in dollars per 20 megawatt-day. To determine the annual capacity credit value each site's PJM capacity 21 credit was multiplied by 365 and then multiplied by the monetary value of capacity. 22 These capacity credits reflect the conservative capacity value AEP Ohio would 23 place on intermittent resources under PJM's Capacity Performance requirement, which

| 1 | | goes into full effect in June 2020. PJM publishes class-average capacity values for |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | renewable energy projects. The latest values, published June 1, 2017, were 60% for solar |
| 3 | | with ground mounted tracking, and 38% for solar with "other than ground mounted" |
| 4 | | tracking. As a point of comparison, if the REPA analysis used the full 60% for solar over |
| 5 | | the 20-year REPA period, compared to the 19% capacity value used in this analysis, the |
| 6 | | NPV of savings versus market for the two REPAs would increase by \$73 million. |
| 7 | | Although the Company acknowledges that using a higher capacity value would introduce |
| 8 | | a higher risk of non-performance, the key takeaway is that there is significant additional |
| 9 | | upside potential associated with the REPAs that this analysis conservatively did not take |
| 10 | | into account. |
| 11 | Q. | PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW YOUR ANALYSIS ADDRESSED RENEWABLE |
| 12 | | ENERGY TAX CREDITS. |
| 13 | A. | Renewable energy tax credits were not included as a direct benefit to AEP Ohio in the |

14 REPA analysis. Any available tax credits would accrue to the owner of the site, and the
15 REPA bid prices factored in the value of these credits.

16 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RESULTS OF YOUR ANALYSIS.

A. As shown in Exhibit JFT-1, in all pricing scenarios, the two solar REPAs result in net
cost savings over the lives of the projects when compared to other like products available
in the market. The change in net revenue requirements on a wholesale basis for the two
REPAs results in a nominal savings of \$332 million (or \$99.6 million on a net present
worth basis) under Base Band pricing assumptions. The chart below shows the wholesale
savings for both projects under all pricing scenarios. The benefits range from a high
savings on a nominal dollar basis of \$404 million (\$133 million on a net present worth

basis) to a low savings of \$196 million nominally (\$41 million on a net present worth

.





Confidential Exhibits JFT-2 through JFT-3 present the full analysis of the REPA Bids.

4

3

1

Q. HOW DO THE REPAS ACT AS A PRICE HEDGE TO THE MARKET?

| 5 | A. | The REPAs are fixed-priced contracts and as such, offer a hedge against volatile market |
|----|----|---|
| 6 | | prices. In addition, the contracts offer physical energy supply for reasonable |
| 7 | | arrangements, and renewable energy credits for green tariffs, as explained by Company |
| 8 | | witnesses Allen and Williams, respectively. As the PJM market price for energy |
| 9 | | fluctuates over the next 20 years, the REPAs will maintain a level of stability relative to a |
| 10 | | portion of AEP Ohio customers' energy costs. Energy prices may spike upward during |
| 11 | | severe weather events or periods of high forced outage rates among other generators. |
| 12 | | During these periods, AEP Ohio, through the REPAs, will have a degree of certainty for a |
| 13 | | portion of its energy costs. |

| 1 | Q. | ARE THESE RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH THE 2018 AEP OHIO |
|---|----|---|
| 2 | | INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN FILING MADE IN CASE NO. 18-501-EL- |
| 3 | | FOR? |
| 4 | A. | Yes. The 2018 AEP Ohio Integrated Resource Plan included generic solar resources |
| 5 | | and showed the benefit to AEP Ohio from adding solar projects that had similar cost |
| 6 | | and performance characteristic to those found in the REPAs that are the subject of this |
| 7 | | case. |
| 8 | Q. | DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY? |

••

.

9 A. Yes.

Exhibit JFT-1

AEP Ohio Renewable Bids Net Cost of Energy (NCOE)

•••

| | HIGHL | AND SOLAR | 300 MW | | | | WILLOW | BROOK SOLA | R 100 MW | |
|-----------|------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| | HIGH | BASE | STATUS_QUO | LOW | | | HIGH | BASE | STATUS_QUO | LOW |
| | NCOE | NCOE | NCOE | NCOE | | | NCOE | NCOE | NCOE | NCOE |
| YEAR | (\$/MWh) | (\$/MWh) | (\$/MWh) | (\$/MWh) | | YEAR | <u>(\$/MWh)</u> | _(\$/MWh) | (\$/MWh) | <u>(\$/MWh)</u> |
| 2021 | \$1.11 | \$5.11 | \$5.58 | \$10.31 | 1 | 2021 | (\$3.33) | \$0.67 | \$1.12 | \$5.83 |
| 2022 | \$0.67 | \$4.42 | \$5.13 | \$10.20 | 11 | 2022 | (\$3.82) | (\$0.09) | \$0.62 | \$5.65 |
| 2023 | (\$1.28) | \$2.60 | \$3.66 | \$9.16 | 11 | 2023 | (\$6.09) | (\$2.17) | (\$1.14) | \$4.35 |
| 2024 | (\$3.29) | \$0.81 | \$1.94 | \$7.86 | | 2024 | (\$7.74) | (\$3.63) | (\$2.51) | \$3.35 |
| 2025 | (\$4.78) | (\$0.96) | \$0.23 | \$6.47 | 1 | 2025 | (\$9.33) | (\$5.50) | (\$4.33) | \$1.85 |
| 2026 | (\$6.58) | (\$2.45) | (\$1.54) | \$5.47 | | 2026 | (\$10.83) | (\$6.70) | (\$5.82) | \$1.10 |
| 2027 | (\$8.01) | (\$3.67) | (\$2.88) | \$4.17 | | 2027 | (\$12.32) | (\$8.00) | (\$7.24) | (\$0.27) |
| 2028 | (\$20.20) | (\$15.26) | (\$5.26) | (\$7.07) | | 2028 | (\$24.47) | (\$19.54) | (\$9.56) | (\$11.52) |
| 2029 | (\$22.64) | (\$17.44) | (\$7.33) | (\$9.09) | | 2029 | (\$27.16) | (\$21.96) | (\$11.87) | (\$13.72) |
| 2030 | (\$27.40) | (\$21.62) | (\$10.61) | (\$12.99) | | 2030 | (\$31.60) | (\$25.78) | (\$14.85) | (\$17.35) |
| 2031 | (\$29.88) | (\$24.32) | (\$12.91) | (\$15.25) | | 2031 | (\$34.21) | (\$28.68) | (\$17.24) | (\$19.75) |
| 2032 | (\$33.00) | (\$27.13) | (\$15.52) | (\$17.33) | | 2032 | (\$37.13) | (\$31.24) | (\$19.70) | (\$21.62) |
| 2033 | (\$36.48) | (\$29.52) | (\$18.57) | (\$20.44) | | 2033 | (\$40.61) | (\$33.65) | (\$22.74) | (\$24.79) |
| 2034 | (\$37.10) | (\$31.86) | (\$19.54) | (\$21.78) | | 2034 | (\$41.61) | (\$36.39) | (\$24.03) | (\$26.50) |
| 2035 | (\$40.80) | (\$35.28) | (\$22.54) | (\$25.59) | | 2035 | (\$45.21) | (\$39.58) | (\$26.90) | (\$30.04) |
| 2036 | (\$42.34) | (\$37.48) | (\$24.09) | (\$28.23) | | 2036 | (\$46.40) | (\$41.48) | (\$28.14) | (\$32.49) |
| 2037 | (\$44.53) | (\$40.25) | (\$25.80) | (\$29.57) | | 2037 | (\$48.14) | (\$43.89) | (\$29.49) | (\$33.51) |
| 2038 | (\$47.72) | (\$44.93) | (\$27.62) | (\$32.57) | | 2038 | (\$51.43) | (\$48.68) | (\$31.40) | (\$36.53) |
| 2039 | (\$50.42) | (\$45.84) | (\$30.03) | (\$34.48) | | 2039 | (\$54.14) | (\$49.66) | (\$33.81) | (\$38.48) |
| 2040 | (\$53.33)_ | (\$49.3 <u>1</u>) | (\$32.60) | (\$38.20) | | 2040 | _(\$57.30) | (\$53.33) | (\$36.53) | (\$42.34) |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | ├─── ── |
| Levelized | (\$16.75) | (\$12.22) | (\$6.26) | (\$4.29) | | Levelized | (\$21.09) | (\$16.56) | (\$10.63) | (\$8,75) |

Confidential Exhibit JFT-2, page 1 of 4

Net Cost of Energy Highland Solar (300 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals Base Case 2021 - 2040

| A | _в | C | D | E | F | G | н | | 1 | K | L | м | N |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | REPA Cost | | | Avoided E | nergy Cost | Avoid | led Capacity | / Cost | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | Change in | |
| | | | | | C 1 | | 50lar | المرادين الم | • | C+1 | Solar | Net | |
|]] | Present Volue | Lapacity | Salar | Conscine | Solar | Solar . | Pricodat | Avoided Cost of | Canacine | Joiar Canacity | Credit | Revenue | Not Cost of |
| Year | Factor | (Namepiot | Enerøy | Factor | Cost | Total Cost | Market | Energy | Price | Credit | Value | nt | Energy |
| | | (MW) | (GWb) | (%) | (\$/MWb) | (SM) | (S/MWh) | (\$M) | S/MW-Day | (MW) | (\$M) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh) |
| 2021 | 0 9217 | 300 | (0011) | (20) | | (011) | 37.8 | | 50.8 | 57.0 | (1.1) | (\$11 <u>9</u> | 5.11 |
| 2022 | 0.9217 | 300 | | | | | 39.2 | | 30.1 | 57.0 | (0.6) | | 4 4 2 |
| 2012 | 0.0400 | 200 | | | | | 40.5 | | 44.7 | 57.0 | (0.0) (0.0) | | 2 60 |
| 2023 | 0.7025 | 300 | | | | | 41.8 | | 58.7 | 57.0 | (0.0) | | 0.81 |
| 2025 | 0.6650 | 200 | | | | | 43.0 | | 73.6 | 57.0 | (1.5) | | (0.95) |
| 2025 | 0.0000 | 300 | | | | | 44.0 | | 88.9 | 57.0 | (1.8) | | (2.45) |
| 2020 | 0.5649 | 300 | | | | | 44.0 | | 104.7 | 57.0 | (2.0) | | (3.67) |
| 2027 | 0.5045 | 300 | | | | | 55.6 | | 120.9 | 57.0 | (2.5) | | (15.26) |
| 2020 | 0.3207 | 300 | | | | | 57.2 | | 137.6 | 57.0 | (2.5) | | (17 44) |
| 2025 | 0.4733 | 300 | | | | | 60.7 | | 154.8 | 57.0 | (3.2) | | (21.62) |
| 2030 | 0.4076 | 200 | | | | | 62.7 | | 172.2 | \$7.0 | (3.6) | | (24.32) |
| 2031 | 0 2757 | 200 | | | | | 64.9 | | 190.1 | 57.0 | (4.0) | | (27.13) |
| 2032 | 0.3737 | 200 | | | | | 64.5 | | 209 5 | 57.0 | (4.2) | | (20.53) |
| 2033 | 0.3403 | 200 | | | | | 60.0 | | 200.5 | 57.0 | (4.3) | | (23,52) |
| 2034 | 0.5151 | 200 | | | | | 70.9 | | 227.5 | 57.0 | (4.7) | | (25.00) |
| 2035 | 0.2541 | 200 | | | | | 70.0 | | 240.5 | 57.0 | (5.1) | | (33.20) |
| 2030 | 0.2/11 | 200 | | | | | 74.2 | | 200.5 | 57.0 | (5.0) | | (37.40) |
| 1020 | 0.12499 | 300 | | | | | 79.0 | | 200,5 | 57.0 | (0.0) | | (40.23) |
| 2030 | 0.2503 | 200 | | | | | 70.0 | | 278.6 | 57.0 | (0.4) (6.2) | | (44.55) |
| 2039 | 0.1956 | 200 | | | | | \$0.1 \$0.7 | | 350.6 | 57.0 | (0.0) | | (49.21) |
| | 0.1530 | | | | | | 00.7 | | 0.010 | | <u>())</u> | (67.2) | (49.51) |
| Present worth | 9.4633 | ╂─────┤ | | | | | | | | | (25.4) | (67.3) | ┣ |
| Levelized | <u> </u> | 1 | | | | | 52.3 | | 129.0 | 57.0 | (2.7) | ((7.1) | <u>(12.22)</u> |

Column Definitions:

8. Present valued to 2021 at 8.5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA.

D. Total estimated energy output of the REPA,

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPA price.

G. REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Base Case.

1. Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H ÷ 1000).

J. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Base Case

K. Based on 19 percent PJM Capacity Credit,

L. Column J x Column K x 365 \div 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, I, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the solar energy impact on market sales/purchase, plus the tapacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column Mx 1000 \div Column D

Confidential Exhibit JFT-2, page 2 of 4

.

OPCo Net Cost of Energy Highland Solar (300 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals Low Case 2021 - 2040

| A | В | c | 0 | ٤ | F | G | Н | | | ĸ | <u> </u> | M | N |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| | _ | | - | REPA Cost | _ | | Avoided E | nergy Cost | Avoid | led Capacity | y Cost | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
| | | 9 | | | | | | | | | | Change in | |
| 1 1 | | 1 | | | | | Solar | | | | Solar | Net | |
| | Present | Capacity | . . | . | Solar | A . I | Energy | Avoided | C | Solar | Capacity | Revenue | N |
| l Vanz | Value | (Nameplat | Solar | Capacity | Energy | 2019L | Priced at | Enormy | Capacity | Credit | Value | Requireme | Net Lost of |
| | Factor | ej | Energy | Factor | | (Cha) | (¢ /kasa/h) | (CINA) | C /MM/-Day | (MM/) | | /\$M) | CHECRY |
| 2000 | 0.0047 | (MW) | (Gwn) | (%) | (ə/wwn) | (\$191) | (3/10/0011) | (\$191) | 13/14/44-084 | (19199) | (200) | (3141) | 10.24 |
| 2021 | 0.9217 | 300 | | | | | 32.8 | | 46.5 | 57.0 | (1.0) | | 10.31 |
| 2022 | 0.8495 | 300 | | | | | 33.6 | | 25.0 | 57.0 | (0.5) | | 10.20 |
| 2023 | 0.7829 | 300 | | | | | 34.6 | | 25.2 | 57.0 | (0.5) | | 9.16 |
| 2024 | 0.7216 | 300 | | | | | 35.8 | | 29.3 | 57.0 | (0.6) | | 7.86 |
| 2025 | 0.6650 | 300 | | | | | 36.9 | | 36.5 | 57.0 | (0.8) | | 6.47 |
| 2026 | 0.6129 | 300 | | | | | 37.6 | | 44.4 | 57.0 | (0.9) | | 5.47 |
| 2027 | 0.5649 | 300 | | | | | 38.6 | | 53.2 | 57.0 | (1.1) | | 4.17 |
| 2028 | 0.5207 | 300 | | | | | 49.5 | | 62.9 | 57.0 | (1.3) | | (7.07) |
| 2029 | 0.4799 | 300 | | | | | 51.1 | | 73.4 | 57.0 | (1.5) | | (9.09) |
| 2030 | 0.4423 | 300 | | | | | 54.6 | | 84.9 | 57.0 | (1.8) | | (12.99) |
| 2031 | 0,4076 | 300 | | | | | 56.4 | | 97.3 | 57.0 | (2.0) | | (15.25) |
| 2032 | 0.3757 | 300 | | | | | 58.0 | | 110.8 | 57.0 | (2.3) | | (17.33) |
| 2033 | 0,3463 | 300 | | | | | 60.5 | | 125.3 | 57.0 | (2.6) | | (20.44) |
| 2034 | 0.3191 | 300 | | | | | 61.3 | | 141.0 | 57.0 | (2.9) | | (21.78) |
| 2035 | 0.2941 | 300 | | | | | 64.4 | | 157.8 | 57.0 | (3.3) | | (25.59) |
| 2036 | 0.2711 | 300 | | | | | 66.3 | | 175.9 | 57.0 | (3.7) | | (28.23) |
| 2037 | 0.2499 | 300 | | | | | 66.9 | | 195.3 | 57.0 | (4.1) | | (29.57) |
| 2038 | 0.2303 | 300 | | | | | 69.1 | | 216.3 | 57.0 | (4.5) | | (32.57) |
| 2039 | 0.2122 | 300 | | | | | 70.1 | | 238.7 | 57.0 | (5.0) | | (34.48) |
| 2040 | 0.1956 | 300 | | | | | 72.9 | | 262.7 | 57.0 | (5.5) | | (38.20) |
| Present Worth | 9.4633 | | | | | | | | | | (15.7) | (23.6) | |
| Levelized | | [| | | | | 46.1 | | 79.9 | 57.0 | (1.7) | (2.5) | (4.29) |

Column Definitions:

8. Present valued to 2021 at 8.5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA

D. Total estimated energy output of the REPA.

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPA price.

G. REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Low Case.

). Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H + 1000).

). Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Low Case

K. Based on 19 percent PIM Capacity Credit.

L. Column J × Column K × 365 ÷ 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, I, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the solar energy impact on market sales/purchase, plus the capacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column M x 1000 + Column D

Confidential Exhibit JFT-2, page 3 of 4

OPCo Net Cost of Energy Highland Solar (300 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals High Case 2021 - 2040

| A | В | C C | D | E | F | G | <u>н</u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u>K</u> | <u> </u> | <u>M</u> | <u>N</u> |
|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | _ | REPA Cost | | _ | Avoided E | ner <u>gy C</u> ost | Avoid | led Capacity | Cost | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | |] | | | Total | |
| [[| | [| | | | | | | [| | | Change in | |
| | |] | | | | | Solar | | | - | Solar | Net | |
| { (| Present | Capacity | | | Solar | | Energy | Avoided | | Solar | Capacity | Revenue | |
| | Value | (Nameplat | Solar | Capacity | Energy | Solar T-sel Cent | Priced at | Cost of | Capacity | Capacity | Volue | Requireme | Enormy |
| | Factor | (_ e) | Energy | Factor | COST | Iotal Cust | Market | Ellergy | Price | Creuit | value | | Energy |
| | | (MW) | (GWh) | (%) | (\$/MWh) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh} | (\$M) | \$/MW-Day | (MW) | (\$M) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh) |
| 2021 | 0.9217 | 300 | _ | | | | 42.0 | | 46.5 | 57.0 | (1.0) | | 1.11 |
| 2022 | 0.8495 | 300 | | | | | 43.1 | | 27.1 | S7.0 | (0.5) | | 0.67 |
| 2023 | 0.7829 | 300 | | | | | 44.7 | | 36.3 | \$7.0 | (0.8) | | (1.28) |
| 2024 | 0.7216 | 300 | | | | | 46.2 | | 50.0 | \$7.0 | (1.0) | | (3.29) |
| 2025 | 0.6650 | 300 | | | | | 47.2 | | 64.2 | \$7.0 | (1.3) | | (4.78) |
| 2026 | 0.6129 | 300 | | | | | 48.5 | | 78.9 | 57.0 | (1.6) | | (6.58) |
| 2027 | 0.5649 | 300 | | | | | 49.3 | | 94.2 | 57.0 | (2.0) | | (8.01) |
| 2028 | 0.5207 | 300 | | | | | 60.9 | | 110.1 | \$7.0 | (2.3) | | (20.20) |
| 2029 | 0.4799 | 300 | | | | | 62.8 | | 126.6 | 57.0 | (2.6) | | (22.64) |
| 2030 | 0.4423 | 300 | | | | | 66.9 | | 143.6 | 57.0 | (3.0) | | (27.40) |
| 2031 | 0.4076 | 300 | | | | | 68.7 | | 161.2 | 57.0 | (3.4) | | (29.88) |
| 2032 | 0.3757 | 300 | | | | | 71.1 | | 179.4 | 57.0 | (3.7) | | (33.00) |
| 2033 | 0.3463 | 300 | | | | | 73. 9 | | 198.2 | 57.0 | (4.1) | | (36.48) |
| 2034 | 0.3191 | 300 | | | | | 73.7 | | 217.7 | 57.0 | (4.5) | | (37.10) |
| 2035 | 0.2941 | 300 | | | | | 76.7 | | 237.8 | 57.0 | (4.9) | | (40.80) |
| 2036 | 0.2711 | 300 | | | | | 77.4 | | 258.6 | 57.0 | (5,4) | | (42.34) |
| 2037 | 0.2499 | 300 | | | | | 78.7 | | 280.2 | 57.0 | (5.8) | | (44.53) |
| 2038 | 0.2303 | 300 | | | | | 81.0 | | 302.6 | 57.0 | (6.3) | | (47.72) |
| 2039 | 0.2122 | 300 | | | | | 82.8 | | 325.9 | 57.0 | (6.8) | | (50.42) |
| 2040 | 0.1956 | 300 | | | | | 84.7 | | 350.0 | _ 57.0 | (7.3) | | (53.33) |
| Present Worth | 9.4633 | | | | | | | | | | (23.8) | (92.3) | |
| Levelized | | | | | | | 57.1 | | 12 <u>1.</u> 0 | 57.0 | <u>(2</u> .5) | (9.7) | (16.75) |

Column Definitions:

B. Present valued to 2021 at 8.5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA.

D. Total estimated energy output of the REPA.

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPAprice.

G, REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - High Case.

I. Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H + 1000).

J. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - High Case

K. Based on 19 percent PJM Capacity Credit.

L. Column J x Column K x 365 ÷ 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, I, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the Solar energy Impact on market sales/purchase, plus the capacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column Mx 1000 \div Column D

Confidential Exhibit JFT-2, page 4 of 4

OPCo Net Cost of Energy Highland Solar (300 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals Status Quo Case 2021 - 2040

| A | <u> </u> | C | D | E | <u> </u> | G | н | <u> </u> | ſ | ĸ | <u> </u> | M | N_ |
|---------------|----------|------------|-------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| | | | | REPA Cost | | | Avoided E | nergy Cost | Avoid | led Capacity | Cost | | |
| | | | | | | _ | | | | | | Total | |
| } } | | } | | | | | | | 1 | | | Changein | |
| | | | | | | | Solar | | | | Solar | Net | |
|)] | Present | Capacity | a. 1- | <i>.</i> | Solar | <i>a</i> - <i>l</i> | Energy | Avoided | Course and | 50lar | Capacity | Revenue | |
| Vaar | Value | (Nameplat | Solar | Capacity | Energy | Solar Tetel Cost | Market | Costor | Drico | Crodit | Value | rkeyurreme | Enormy |
| | Factor | <u>e</u> , | LOUIL | Factor | (COST | Total Cost | Widt Ket | Liter By | FILLE | (haw) | Value (Ch4) | (**** | LITER BY |
| | | (MW) | (GWN) | (%) | (\$ <u>/</u> wiwn)_ | (\$171) | (\$/101971) | (\$IV() | STIVIV-Day | (NIN) | (2194) | (\$101) | (\$/(VIVVII) |
| 2021 | 0.9217 | 300 | | | | | 37.5 | | 46.5 | 57.0 | (1.0) | | 5.58 |
| 2022 | 0.8495 | 300 | | | | | 38.7 | | 25.0 | 57.0 | (0.5) | | 5.13 |
| 2023 | 0.7829 | 300 | | | | | 39.9 | | 33.0 | 57.0 | (0.7) | | 3.66 |
| 2024 | 0.7216 | 300 | | | | | 41.1 | | 46.9 | 57.0 | (1.0) | | 1.94 |
| 2025 | 0.6650 | 300 | | | | | 42.3 | | 61.3 | 57.0 | (1.3) | | 0.23 |
| 2026 | 0.6129 | 300 | | | | | 43.5 | | 76.4 | 57.0 | (1.6) | | (1.54) |
| 2027 | 0.5649 | 300 | | | | | 44.3 | | 92.1 | 57.0 | (1.9) | | (2.88) |
| 2028 | 0.5207 | 300 | | | | | 46.0 | | 108.4 | 57.0 | (2.3) | | (5.26) |
| 2029 | 0.4799 | 300 | | | | | 47.5 | | 125.4 | 57.0 | (2.6) | | (7.33) |
| 2030 | 0.4423 | 300 | | | | | 50.1 | | 143.0 | 57.0 | (3.0) | | (10.51) |
| 2031 | 0.4076 | 300 | | | | | 51.7 | | 161.1 | 57.0 | (3.4) | | (12.91) |
| 2032 | 0.3757 | 300 | | | | | 53.6 | | 180.0 | 57.0 | (3.8) | | (15.52) |
| 2033 | 0.3463 | 300 | | | | | 55.9 | | 199.6 | 57.0 | (4.2) | | (18.57) |
| 2034 | 0.3191 | 300 | | | | | 56.1 | | 219.9 | 57.0 | (4.6) | | (19.54) |
| 2035 | 0.2941 | 300 | | | | | 58.3 | | 240.9 | 57.0 | (5.0) | | (22.54) |
| 2036 | 0.2711 | 300 | | | | | 59.0 | | 262.7 | 57.0 | (5.5) | | (24.09) |
| 2037 | 0.2499 | 300 | | | | | 59.8 | | 285.3 | \$7.0 | (5.9) | | (25.80) |
| 2038 | 0.2303 | 300 | | | | | 60.7 | | 308.9 | \$7.0 | (6.4) | | (27.62) |
| 2039 | 0.2122 | 300 | | | | | 62.1 | | 333.S | \$7.0 | (6.9) | | (30.03) |
| 2040 | 0.1956 | 300 | | | | | 63.6 | | 359.0 | 57.0 | (7.5) | | (32.60) |
| Present Worth | 9.4633 | | | | | | | | | | (23.8) | (34.5) | |
| Levelized | | | | | | | 46.6 | | 120.6 | 57.0 | (2.5) | (3.6) | (6.26) |

Column Definitions:

B. Present valued to 2021 at 8.5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA.

D. Total estimated energy output of the REPA.

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPAprice.

.

G. REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Status Quo Case.

I. Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H + 1000).

J. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Status Quo Case

K. Based on 19 percent PJM Capacity Credit.

L. Column J x Column K x 36\$ + 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, I, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the solar energy impact on market sales/purchase, plus the capacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column M x 1000 \div Column D

Confidential Exhibit JFT-3, page 1 of 4

Net Cost of Energy Willowbrook Solar (100 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals Base Case 2021 - 2040

| A] | В | с | Ð | E | F | G | н | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u>1</u> | <u>M</u> | <u>N</u> |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|---|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | REPA Cost | | | Avoided Energy Cost Avoided Capacity Cost | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | - | | | | | |] | | j — | | | Total | |
|) | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 6 .1 | Change in | |
| | 0 | Come in . | | | Calas | | Solar | Austral | | Falar | Solar | Net | |
| | Value | (Nameniat | Solar | Canacity | Solar | Solar | Priced at | Cost of | Canacity | Canacity | Credit | Requireme | Net Cost of |
| Year | Factor | e) | Energy | Factor | Cost | Total Cost | Market | Energy | Price | Credit | Value | nt | Energy |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | <u> </u> | | [| | | [| |
| | | (MW) | (GWh) | (%) | (S/MWh) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh} | (SM) | S/MW-Day | (MW) | (\$M) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh) |
| 1 1 | | 1 | ,, | 1, | 1+7 | | | , | (| • • | • | | |
| 2021 | 0.9217 | 100 | | | | | 37.6 | | 50.8 | 19.0 | (0.4) | | 0.67 |
| 2022 | 0.8495 | 100 | | | | | 39.0 | | 30.1 | 19.0 | (0.2) | | (0.09) |
| 2023 | 0.7829 | 100 | | | | | 40.7 |] | 44.2 | 19.0 | (0.3) | | (2.17) |
| 2024 | 0.7216 | 100 | | | | | 41.6 | | 58.7 | 19.0 | (0.4) | | (3.63) |
| 2025 | 0.6650 | 100 | | | | | 43.0 | j | 73.6 | 19.0 | (0.5) | | (5.50) |
| 2026 | 0.6129 | 100 | | | | | 43.7 | | 88.9 | 19.0 | (0.6) | | (6,70) |
| 2027 | 0.5649 | 100 | | | | | 44.4 |] | 104.7 | 19.0 | (0.7) | | (8.00) |
| 2028 | 0.5207 | 100 | | | | | 55.4 | | 120.9 | 19.0 | (0.8) | | (19,54) |
| 2029 | 0.4799 | 100 | | | | | 57.2 |] | 137.6 | 19.0 | (1.0) | | (21.96) |
| 2030 | 0.4423 | 100 | | | | | 60.5 | | 154.8 | 19.0 | (1.1) | | (25,78) |
| 2031 | 0.4076 | 100 | | | | | 62.7 | | 172,2 | 19.0 | (1.2) | | (28.68) |
| 2032 | 0.3757 | 100 | | | | | 64.6 | | 190.1 | 19.0 | (1.3) | | (31.24) |
| 2033 | 0.3463 | 100 | | | | | 66.4 | | 208.5 | 19.0 | (1.4) | | (33.65) |
| 2034 | 0.3191 | 100 | | | | | 68.4 | | 227.3 | 19.0 | (1.6) | | (36.39) |
| 2035 | 0,2941 | 100 | | | | | 70.9 | | 246.5 | 19.0 | (1.7) | | (39.58) |
| 2036 | 0.2711 | 100 | | | | | 72.1 | 1 | 266.3 | 19.0 | (1.9) | | (41.48) |
| 2037 | 0.2499 | 100 | | | | | 73.7 | | 286.5 | 19.0 | (2.0) | | (43.89) |
| 2038 | 0.2303 | 100 | | | | | 77.7 | | 307.1 | 19.0 | (2.1) | | (48.68) |
| 2039 | 0.2122 | 100 | | | | | 77.9 | | 328.6 | 19.0 | (2.3) | | (49.66) |
| 2040 | 0.1956 | 100 | | | | | 80.7 | | 350.6 | 19.0 | (2.4) | | (53.33) |
| Present Worth | 9.4633 | | | | | | | | | - <u></u> | (8.5) | (32.3) | <u> </u> |
| Levelized | | | | | | | 52.2 | | 129.0 | 19.0 | (0.9) | (3.4) | (16.56) |

Column Definitions:

B. Present valued to 2021 at 8,5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA.

D. Total estimated energy output of the REPA.

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPAprice.

G. REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Base Case.

I. Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H + 1000).

J. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Base Case

K. Based on 19 percent PJM Capacity Credit.

L. Column J x Column K x 365 ÷ 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, I, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the solar energy impact on market sales/purchase, plus the capacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column M x 1000 \div Column D

Confidential Exhibit JFT-3, page 2 of 4

- -

OPCo Net Cost of Energy Willowbrook Solar (100 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals Low Case 2021 - 2040

| A | В | C | D | E | F | G | н | <u> </u> | J | ĸ | L | м | N |
|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|------------|----------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| | | REPA Cost | | | | Avoided E | nergy Cost | Avoided Capacity Cost | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
| 1 1 | | { | | | | | | | ł | | | Change in | i l |
| \ \ | | | | | | | Solar | | | | Solar | Net | |
| } | Present | Capacity | | . . | Solar | | Energy | Avoided | | Solar | Capacity | Revenue | |
| New of | Value | (Nameplat | Solar | Capacity | Energy | Solar Texel Cart | Priced at | Costor | Capacity | Lapacity | Credit | Requireme | Net Cost of |
| Teal | Pactor | <u> </u> | chergy | | | IUtal Cost | | CUELRA | | | vanue | <u> </u> | |
| j | | (MW) | (GWh) | (%) | (\$/MWh) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh) | (\$M} | \$/MW-Day | (MW) | (\$M) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh) |
| 2021 | 0.9217 | 100 | | | | | 32.6 | | 46.5 | 19.0 | (0.3) | | 5.83 |
| 2022 | 0 8495 | 100 | | | | | 33.5 | | 25.0 | 19.0 | (0.2) | | 5.65 |
| 2023 | 0.7829 | 100 | | | | | 34.8 | | 25.2 | 19.0 | (0.2) | | 4.35 |
| 2024 | 0,7216 | 100 | | | | | 35.6 | | 29.3 | 19.0 | (0.2) | | 3.35 |
| 2025 | 0.6650 | 100 | | | | | 36.9 | | 36.5 | 19.0 | (0.3) | | 1.85 |
| 2026 | 0.6129 | 100 | | | | | 37.4 | | 44.4 | 29.0 | (0.3) | | 1.10 |
| 2027 | 0.5649 | 100 | | | | | 38.4 | | 53.2 | 19.0 | (0.4) | | (0.27) |
| 2028 | 0.5207 | 100 | | | | | 49.3 | | 62.9 | 19.0 | (0.4) | | (11.52) |
| 2029 | 0.4799 | 100 | | | | | 51.2 | | 73.4 | 19.0 | (0.5) | | (13.72) |
| 2030 | 0.4423 | 100 | | | | | 54.4 | | 84.9 | 19.0 | (0.6) | | (17.35) |
| 2031 | 0.4076 | 100 | | | | | 56.4 | | 97.3 | 19.0 | (0.7) | | (19.75) |
| 2032 | 0.3757 | 100 | | | | | 57.8 | | 110.8 | 19.0 | (0.8) | | (21.62) |
| 2033 | 0.3463 | 100 | | | | | 60.4 | | 125.3 | 19.0 | (0.9) | 1 | (24.79) |
| 2034 | 0.3191 | 100 | | | | | 61.5 | | 141.0 | 19.0 | (1.0) | | (26.50) |
| 2035 | 0.2941 | 100 | | | | | 64.5 | | 157.8 | 19.0 | (1.1) | | (30.04) |
| 2036 | 0.2711 | 100 | | | | | 66.3 | | 175.9 | 19.0 | (1.2) | | (32.49) |
| 2037 | 0.2499 | 100 | | | | | 66.6 | | 195.3 | 19.0 | (1.4) | | (33.51) |
| 2038 | 0.2303 | 100 | | | | | 68.8 | - | 216.3 | 19.0 | (1.5) | | (36.53) |
| 2039 | 0.2122 | 100 | | | | | 69.9 | | 238.7 | 19.0 | (1.7) | | (38.48) |
| 2040 | 0.1956 | 100 | | | | | 72.9 | | 262.7 | 19.0 | (1.8) | | (42.34) |
| Present Worth | 9.4633 | | | | | | | | | | (5.2) | (17.0) | |
| Levelized | | | | | | | 46.0 | | 79.9 | 19.0 | (0.6) | (1.8) | (8.75) |

Column Definitions:

8. Present valued to 2021 at 8.5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA.

D. Total estimated energy output of the REPA.

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPA price.

G. REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Low Case.

1. Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H ÷ 1000).

J. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Low Case

K. Based on 19 percent PJM Capacity Credit.

L. Column J x Column K x 365 ÷ 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, I, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the solar energy impact on market sales/purchase, plus the capacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column Mx 1000 \div Column D

Confidential Exhibit JFT-3, page 3 of 4

-

OPCo Net Cost of Energy Willowbrook Solar (100 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals High Case 2021 - 2040

| A | В | c | D | E | F | G | н | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ĸ | L | м | N |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| } | | REPA Cost | | | | | Avoided Energy Cost | | Avoid | led Capacity | | | |
| } | | | | | | | Solar | | | _ | Sofar | Total Change in Net | |
| l I | Present | Capacity | | | Solar | | Energy | Avoided | | Solar | Capacity | Revenue | |
| | Value | (Nameplat | Solar | Capacity | Energy | Solar | Priced at | Cost of | Capacity | Capacity | Credit | Requireme | Net Cost of |
| Year | Factor | e) | Energy | Factor | Cost | lotal Cost | Market | Energy | Price | Credit | value | nt | Energy |
| | | (MW) | (GWh) | (%) | (\$/MWh) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh) | (\$M) | \$/MW-Day | (MW) | (\$M) | (\$M) | (\$/MWh) |
| 2021 | 0.9217 | 100 | | | | | 41.8 | | 46.5 | 19.0 | (0.3) | | (3.33) |
| 2022 | 0.8495 | 100 | | | | | 42.9 | | 27.1 | 19.0 | (0.2) |] | (3.82) |
| 2023 | 0.782 9 | 100 | | | | | 44.8 | | 36.3 | 19.0 | (0.3) | | (6.09) |
| 2024 | 0.7216 | 100 | | | | | 46.0 | | 50.0 | 19.0 | (0.3) | | (7.74) |
| 2025 | 0,6650 | 100 | | | | | 47.1 | | 64.2 | 19.0 | (0.4) | | (9.33) |
| 2026 | 0.6129 | 100 | | | | | 48.1 | | 78.9 | 19.0 | (0.5) | | (10.83) |
| 2027 | 0.5649 | 100 | | | | | 49.1 | | 94.2 | 19.0 | (0.7) | | (12.32) |
| 2028 | 0.5207 | 100 | | | | | 60.7 | | 110.1 | 19.0 | (0.8) | | (24.47) |
| 2029 | 0.4799 | 100 | | | | | 62.8 | | 126.6 | 19.0 | (0.9) | | (27.16) |
| 2030 | 0.4423 | 100 | | | | | 66.7 | | 143.6 | 19.0 | (1.0) | | (31.60) |
| 2031 | 0.4076 | 100 | | | | | 68.6 | | 161.2 | 19.0 | (1.1) | | (34.21) |
| 2032 | 0.3757 | 100 | | | | | 70.9 | | 179.4 | 19.0 | (1.2) | | (37.13) |
| 2033 | 0,3463 | 100 | | | | | 73.7 | | 198.2 | 19.0 | (1.4) | | (40.61) |
| 2034 | 0.3191 | 100 | | | | | 74.0 | | 217.7 | 19.0 | (1.5} | | (41.61) |
| 2035 | 0.2941 | 100 | | | | | 76.8 | | 237.8 | 19.0 | (1.6) | | (45.21) |
| 2036 | 0.2711 | 100 | | | | | 77.3 | | 258.6 | 19.0 | (1.8) | | (46.40) |
| 2037 | 0.2499 | 100 | | | | | 78.2 | | 280.2 | 19.0 | (1.9) | | (48.14) |
| 2038 | 0.2303 | 100 | | | | | 80.6 | | 302.6 | 19.0 | (2.1) | | (51.43) |
| 2039 | 0.2122 | 100 | | | | | 82.5 | | 325.9 | 19.0 | (2.3) | | (54.14) |
| 2040 | 0.1956 | 100 | | | | | 84.7 | | 350.0 | 19.0 | (2.4) | | <u>(57.</u> 30) |
| Present Worth | 9.4633 | | | | | | | | | | (7.9) | (41.1) | |
| Levelized | L | | | | | | 57.0 | | 121.0 | 19.0 | (0.8) | (4.3) | (21.09) |

Column Definitions:

B. Present valued to 2021 at 8.5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA.

D. Total estimated energy output of the REPA.

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPA price.

G. REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - High Case.

I. Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H ÷ 1000).

1. Based on 2018 HZ AEP Fundamental Forecast - High Case

K. Based on 19 percent PJM Capacity Credit.

L. Column J x Column K x 365 ÷ 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, J, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the solar energy impact on market sales/purchase, plus the capacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column M \times 1000 + Column D

Confidential Exhibit JFT-3, page 4 of 4

....

OPCo Net Cost of Energy Willowbrook Solar (100 MW) 2018H2 Fundamentals Status Quo Case 2021 - 2040

| A | В | c | D | E | 4 | G | н | <u> </u> | | K | | M | N |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|
| | | | | REPA Cost | | | Avoided E | nergy_Cost | Avoid | led Capacity | y Cost | | |
| | | | | | | | | | [| | | Total | |
| | |] | | | | | | | 4 | | | Change in | |
| } | | | | | | | Solar | | ļ | - . | Solar | Net | |
| | Present | Capacity | Color | (| Solar | Color | Energy | Avolded | Conscitu | Solar | Capacity | Revenue | Not Cost of |
| Vear | Value | (Namepiat | Solar | Capacity | Cort | Solar Total Cost | Market | Eneral | Price | Capacity | Value | Requireme | Free Cost of |
| | 14000 | (6014) | (CIMb) | 1000 | /¢ /heth(h) | (ć sa) | (C/MANA/bi | (SAA) | S/MM-Dav | /MANA/S | /ChA) | (Ch4) | (C/MM/h) |
| 1021 | 0 0 0 1 7 | | (Gwn) | 70 | | (3)01) | 27.2 | (2141) | 16 5 | 10.0 | (əivi) 70-23 | (3141) | 1 1 2 |
| 2021 | 0.9217 | 100 | | | | | 37.3 30 F | | 40.5 | 19.0 | (0.5) | | 1.12 |
| 2022 | 0.8495 | 100 | | | | | 38.5 | | 25.0 | 19.0 | (0.2) | | 0.62 |
| 2023 | 0.7829 | 100 | | | | | 40.0 | | 33.0 | 19.0 | (0.2) | | (1.14) |
| 2024 | 0.7216 | 100 | | | | | 40.9 | | 46.9 | 19.0 | (0.3) | | (2.51) |
| 2025 | 0.6650 | 100 | | | | | 42.2 | | 61.3 | 19.0 | (0.4) | | (4.33) |
| 2026 | 0.6129 | 100 | | | | | 43.2 | | 76.4 | 19.0 | (0.5) | | (5.82) |
| 2027 | 0.5649 | 100 | | | | | 44.1 | | 92.1 | 19.0 | (0.6) | | (7.24) |
| 2028 | 0.5207 | 100 | | | | | 45.8 | | 108.4 | 19.0 | (0.8) | | (9.56) |
| 2029 | 0.4799 | 100 | | | | | 47.6 | | 125.4 | 19.0 | (0.9) | | (11.87) |
| 2030 | 0.4423 | 100 | | | | | 49.9 | | 143.0 | 19.0 | (1.0) | | (14.85) |
| 2031 | 0.4076 | 100 | | | | | 51.7 | | 161.1 | 19.0 | (1.1) | | (17.24) |
| 2032 | 0.3757 | 100 | | | | | 53.4 | | 180.0 | 19.0 | (1.3) | | (19.70) |
| 2033 | 0.3463 | 100 | | | | | 55.8 | | 199.6 | 19.0 | (1.4) | | (22.74) |
| 2034 | 0.3191 | 100 | | | | | 56.3 | | 219.9 | 19.0 | (1.5) | | (24.03) |
| 2035 | 0.2941 | 100 | | | | | 58.4 | | 240.9 | 19.0 | (1.7) | | (26.90) |
| 2036 | 0.2711 | 100 | | | | | 58.9 | | 262.7 | 19.0 | (1.8) | | (28.14) |
| 2037 | 0.2499 | 100 | | | | | 59.4 | | 285.3 | 19.0 | (2.0) | | (29.49) |
| 2038 | 0.2303 | 100 | | | | | 60.4 | | 308.9 | 19.0 | (2.1) | | (31.40) |
| 2039 | 0.2122 | 100 | | | | | 61.9 | | 333.5 | 19.0 | (2.3) | | (33.81) |
| 2040 | 0.1956 | 100 | | | | | 63.6 | | 359.0 | 19.0 | (2.5) | | (36.53) |
| Present Worth | 9.4633 | | | | | | | | | | (7.9) | (20.7) | |
| Levelized | | | | | | | 46.5 | | 120.6 | 19.0 | (0.8) | (2.2) | (10.63) |

Column Definitions:

B. Present valued to 2021 at 8.5% discount rate.

C. Total nameplate capacity of the REPA.

O. Total estimated energy output of the REPA.

E. Estimated annual capacity factor based on estimated energy, nameplate capacity and hours per year.

F. REPAprice.

G. REPA cost based on estimated energy and REPA price.

H. Weighted average of hourly market price of energy displaced by hourly incremental solar purchase. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Status Quo Case.

I. Change in revenue requirement due to solar energy impact on market sales/purchases (Column D x Column H + 1000).

J. Based on 2018 H2 AEP Fundamental Forecast - Status Quo Case

K. Based on 19 percent PJM Capacity Credit.

L. Column J x Column K x 365 + 1,000,000. Adjusted for leap years

M. Total Change in Net Revenue Requirement is the sum of columns G, I, & L, i.e., the cost of the REPA, plus the solar energy impact on market sales/purchase, plus the capacity credit value.

N. The net cost of energy for the REPA, Column M x 1000 \div Column D