#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for an Increase in its Electric Distribution Rates	) )	Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Accounting Authority	) )	Case No. 15-1831-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs	)	Case No. 15-1832-EL-ATA

# TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN J. BORER RESEARCH AND POLICY DIVISION RATES AND ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

STAFF EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_\_

**Dated: July 16, 2018** 

1			TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN J. BORER
2	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3		A.	My name is Jonathan J Borer. My business address is 180 East Broad
4			Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.
5			
6	2.	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7		A.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO or
8			Commission) as a Utility Auditor 2 in the Research and Policy Division of
9			the Rates and Analysis Department. My duties include conducting
10			investigations of assigned phases of rate case applications and other
11			financial audits of public utility companies subject to the jurisdiction of the
12			PUCO.
13			
14	3.	Q.	Would you briefly state your educational background?
15		A.	I earned a Bachelor of Science in Accounting and a Bachelor of Science in
16			Management from Purdue University in 2014. In 2017, I attended the
17			Annual Regulatory Studies Program offered by the Institute of Public
18			Utilities as well as the National Association of Regulatory Utility
19			Commissioners (NARUC) Utility Rate School.
20			
21			
22			

1	4.	Q.	Please briefly	y outline y	your wo	ork experienc	e.
---	----	----	----------------	-------------	---------	---------------	----

A. I have been with the PUCO since November 2016 with my entire time

spent in the Rates and Analysis Department. Prior to working at the PUCO,

I was employed with Morgan Stanley within the Global Wealth

Management Group.

6

7

8

9

10

11

#### 5. Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to address various objections to the Staff Report of Investigation (Staff Report). More specifically, this testimony will address the various objections related to the reduction in the federal income tax rate associated with the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA).

12

- 13 6. Q. The Retail Energy Supply Association's (RESA) Objection 3¹ relates to
  14 the tax effects of the reduction in the federal income tax rate from 35%
  15 to 21%. RESA objects to the fact that the revenue requirement as
  16 calculated in the Staff Report does not account for the reduction in the
  17 federal income tax rate associated with the TCJA. How do you
  18 respond?
- A. The Staff of the PUCO (Staff) acknowledges that the Staff Report did not include an adjustment for reduced income tax expense to reflect the new

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DP&L Rate Case, Objections to the Staff Report and Summary of Major Issues of Retail Energy Supply Association at 1 (April 11, 2018).

21% rate, nor did the report include a reduction to the Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF) to reflect the lower rate. Additionally, Staff acknowledges that no adjustment was made in the Staff Report to amortize excess Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT), which represent money that must be returned to customers since it is no longer owed to the IRS. Although the Staff Report did not include these changes associated with the TCJA, the objections have been rendered moot because the Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) incorporates the tax reductions associated with the TCJA.

### 7. Q. What tax reductions associated with the TCJA have been addressed in the Stipulation?

A. The Stipulation addresses four aspects of the TCJA: a reduction to the federal income tax expense and GRCF; amortization and refund of distribution-related, eligible unprotected excess ADIT (unprotected excess ADIT); amortization and refunds of distribution-related, eligible protected excess ADIT (protected excess ADIT); and the amortization and refund of the regulatory liability ordered by the Commission effective January 1, 2018 in Case No. 18-0047-AU-COI (Regulatory Liability). As part of the Stipulation, the Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L or the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See In the Matter of the Commission's Investigation of the Financial Impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 on Regulated Ohio Utility Companies, Case No. 18-47-AU-COI, Entry at 2 (Jan. 10, 2018).

1			Company) has agreed that <u>all</u> excess ADIT and the Regulatory Liability
2			constitute monies that will be owed to customers. The Company has agreed
3			to calculate the net impact of the TCJA by no later than January 1, 2019. <sup>3</sup>
4			
5	8.	Q.	Please describe each of the aforementioned TCJA aspects included in
6			the Stipulation, and quantify the adjustment where applicable.
7		A.	Each component is identified and described at length below.
8			
9			The reduction to the Federal income tax expense and Gross Revenue
10			Conversion Factor.
11			Generally speaking, reducing the Federal Income Tax rate (FIT) from 35%
12			to 21% affects the income tax expense and the GRCF. All else being equal,
13			reducing the FIT had the effect of lowering the stipulated revenue
14			requirement by approximately \$7.5 million. As part of my testimony, I have
15			included an updated Schedule C-4, Adjusted Jurisdictional Income Taxes
16			and Schedule A-2, Computation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor,
17			which reflect the reduced Federal income tax rate. These schedules are
18			included as Attachment JJB-1-3.
19			Amortization and refund of protected excess ADIT.
20			The balance of the protected excess ADIT is required to be amortized

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> DP&L Rate Case, Stipulation and Recommendation at 3-5 (June 18, 2018).

1	according to the Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM). Put simply,
2	this means the excess is amortized over the remaining lives of the
3	underlying assets. Since there is no discretion as to how quickly this is
4	returned, then as part of the Stipulation, the Company agrees to return the
5	protected excess ADIT in accordance with Federal law. By no later than
6	March 1, 2019, the Company will address this refund by filing an
7	application to commence a proceeding (TCJA Application). <sup>4</sup>
8	
9	Amortization and refund of unprotected excess ADIT and Regulatory
10	Liability
11	The unprotected excess ADIT represents monies owed to customers for
12	which the excess is <u>not</u> required to be amortized according to ARAM.
13	Essentially, this means the excess can be amortized and refunded over a
14	purely discretionary time period. Broadly speaking, the Regulatory
15	Liability represents the savings resulting from a reduced Federal income tax
16	rate, which the Commission ordered to be booked as a deferred liability. <sup>5</sup>
17	As part of the Stipulation, the Company has agreed to refund both the
18	unprotected excess ADIT and the Regulatory Liability as part of the TCJA
19	Application. Additionally, the Company has agreed to refund the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id*. <sup>5</sup> "[The] Commission ordered utilities to record the savings from the TCJA as a deferred liability on their books. This liability would include in part, the savings resulting from a reduced federal income tax expense and the "excess" accumulated deferred income taxes. Staff believes the savings resulting from a reduced federal income tax expense will be deferred from January 1, 2018 until rates are adjusted to reflect the reduced income tax rate."

1			unprotected excess ADIT and Regulatory Liability over a period no greater
2			than ten years. Furthermore, the Company has agreed that the aggregated
3			refund of the unprotected excess ADIT and Regulatory Liability will be no
4			less than \$4.0 million in each of the first five years. Any remaining balance
5			after the first five years will be refunded to customers over a maximum of
6			five additional years. <sup>6</sup>
7			
8	9.	Q.	Are there any additional aspects of the TCJA included in the
9			Stipulation?
10		A.	Yes. The Company has agreed to withdraw and waive arguments that it is
11			unlawful to refund or credit the deferred amounts in Case No. 18-0047-AU-
12			COI, the TCJA Application, and any other proceeding addressing a return
13			of the tax savings from the TCJA to DP&L's customers for any of the
14			following reasons:
15			1. Retroactive ratemaking or the filed-rate doctrine;
16			2. That the refund or credit would constitute an unlawful refund;
17			3. The Company's Return on Equity is too low; and
18			4. That the issue can be addressed only in a rate case. <sup>7</sup>
19			

20

Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> DP&L Rate Case, Stipulation and Recommendation at 5 (June 18, 2018).

#### 1 10. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes it does. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes available or in response to positions taken by other parties.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing **Testimony of Jonathan J. Borer** has been served upon all of the parties of record in Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR by electronic and/or U.S. mail, postage pre-paid mail this 16th day of July, 2018.

#### /s/Thomas W. McNamee

Thomas W. McNamee Assistant Attorney General

#### **PARTIES OF RECORD:**

Michael J. Schuler
Dayton Power & Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, OH 45432
michael.schuler@aes.com

Ellis Jacobs
Advocates for Basic Legal Equality
130 West Second Street, Suite 700 East
Dayton, OH 45402
ejacobs@ablelaw.org

Trent A. Dougherty
Ohio Environmental Council
1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite 1
Columbus, OH 43212
tdougherty@theoec.org

Robert Dove
The Law Office of Robert Dove
P.O. Box 13442
Columbus, OH 43213
rdove@attorneydrove.com

Charles J. Faruki
Jeffrey S. Sharkey
Faruki Ireland & Cox
500 Courthouse Plaza, S.W.
10 North Ludlow Street
Dayton, OH 45402
<a href="mailto:cfaruki@ficlaw.com">cfaruki@ficlaw.com</a>
jsharkey@ficlaw.com

Colleen L. Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
P.O. Box 1793
Findlay, OH 45839-1793
cmooney@ohioipartners.org

David F. Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dboehm@bkllawfirm.com

David D. Parram
Taft Stettinius & Hollister
65 East State Street, Suite 100
Columbus, OH 43215
dparram@taftlaw.com

Kimberly W. Bojko Carpenter Lipps & Leland 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, OH 43215 bojko@carpenterlipps.com

Joseph E. Oliker IGS Energy 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, OH 43016 joliker@igsenergy.com

Justin Vickers
Environmental Law and Policy Center
35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1600
Chicago, IL 60601
jvickers@elpc.org

Matthew R. Pritchard Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, #1700 Columbus, OH 43215 mpritchard@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com

Madeline Fleisher Environmental Law and Policy Center 21 West Broad Street, Suite 500 Columbus, OH 43215 mfleisher@elpc.org

John Finnigan
Environmental Defense Fund
128 Winding Brook Lane
Terrace Park, OH 45174
jfinnigan@edf.org

Stephen M. Chmiel
Michael Austin
Thompson Hine
41 South High Street, Suite 1700
Columbus, OH 43215
stephanie.chmiel@thompsonhine.com
michael.austin@thompsonhine.com

John R. Doll
Doll Jansen & Ford
111 West First Street, Suite 1100
Dayton, OH 45402-1156
jdoll@djflawfirm.com

Beren S. Argetsinger Keyes Fox & Wiedman 401 Harrison Oaks Boulevard Suite 100 Cary, NC 27513 bargetsinger@kfwlaw.com

Jacob Schlesinger Keyes Fox & Wiedman 1580 Lincoln Street, Suite 880 Denver, CO 80203 jschlesinger@kfwlaw.com

Thomas A. Jernigan AFCEC/JA-ULFSC 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403 thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil

Lisa M. Hawrot Spilman Thomas & Battle Century Centre Building 1233 Main Street, Suite 4000 Wheeling, WV 26003 lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com Steven D. Lesser
James F. Lang
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold
41 South High Street
1200 Huntington Center
Columbus, OH 43215
slesser@calfee.com
jlang@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

#### **Attorney Examiners:**

Greg Price gregory.price@puco.ohio.gov

Derrick Price Williamson Spilman Thomas & Battle 1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

Carrie M. Harris Spilman Thomas & Battle 310 First Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 90 Roanoke, VA 24002-0090 charris@spilmanlaw.com

Dylan F. Borchers Bricker & Eckler 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 dborchers@bricker.com

#### **ATTACHMENT JJB-1**

# The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR Computation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor For the Twelve Months Ended May 31, 2016

Work Paper Reference No(s).: None

Schedule A-2 Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description	% of Incremental Gross Revenues
1	Operating Revenues	100.0000%
2		
3	Less: Commercial Activities Tax (CAT)	0.2600%
4		
5	Percentage of Income After CAT	99.7400%
6		
7	Less: Ohio Municipal Income Tax Return	
8	Municipal Income Tax Due	\$390,875
9	Federal Taxable Income	\$83,432,860
10	Effective Ohio Municipal Tax Rate	0.4685%
11	Effective Ohio Municipal Tax Rate as a Percent of Line 15	0.4673%
12		
13	Percentage of Income Before Federal Income Tax	99.2727%
14		
15	Less: Federal Income Tax (FIT)	
16	FIT Marginal Rate	21.0000%
17	Effective Marginal Rate	20.8473%
18		
19	Net Operating Income Percentage	78.4254%
20		
21	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.275097

#### **ATTACHMENT JJB-2**

Schedule C-4

Page 1 of 2

## The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR Adjusted Jurisdictional Income Taxes For the Twelve Months Ended May 31, 2016

#### Work Paper Reference No(s).: Schedule C-4.1, WPC-4

**At Current Rates** At Proposed Rates Line Description Unadjusted Schedule C-3 No. Adjusted Adjustments Pro Forma **Jurisdictional Adjustments** (A) (B) (C) (D) (E) = (C) + (D)(F) (G) = (E) + (F)1 Operating Income Before State & Local Income Taxes 33,651,267 \$ (9,263,317)24,387,950 65,600,719 \$ 89,988,669 2 3 Current State & Local Income Tax Expense 144,630 (153,006)(8,376)309,045 300,669 4 5 Operating Income Before Federal Income Taxes 33,506,637 (9,110,311)24,396,326 65,291,674 89,688,000 6 7 Reconciling Items: 8 Interest Charges (7,021,928)(9,188,569)(16,210,497)(16,210,497)9 Schedule M Reconciling Items: 10 Tax Accelerated Depreciation 31,066,838 31,066,838 31,066,838 11 12 **Book Depreciation** 55,607,708 (8,172,443)47,435,264 47,435,264 13 Excess of Book Over Tax Depreciation 24,540,870 16,368,426 16,368,426 (8,172,443)14 15 Other Reconciling Items (20,469,804)(5,854,163)(26,323,967)(26,323,967)16 17 Total Schedule M Reconciling Items 4,071,065 (14,026,606)(9,955,540)(9,955,540)18 19 Federal Taxable Income 30,555,774 (32, 325, 485)(1,769,711)65,291,674 63,521,963

20 21

Federal, State, Local Income Taxes

22 Federal @ 35% Statutory Rate

23 State & Local @ Various Effective Tax Rates

#### **ATTACHMENT JJB-3**

# The Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR Adjusted Jurisdictional Income Taxes For the Twelve Months Ended May 31, 2016

Work Paper Reference No(s).: Schedule C-4.1, WPC-4

Schedule C-4 Page 2 of 2

Line			At Current Rates						At Proposed Rates			
Line No.	Description		Unadjusted Jurisdictional		Schedule C-3 Adjustments		Adjusted		Adjustments		Pro Forma	
(A)	(B)		(C)		(D)		(E) = (C) + (D)		(F)		(G) = (E) + (F)	
1	Current Federal Income Tax @ Statutory Rates	\$	6,416,713	\$	(6,788,352)	\$	(371,639)	\$	13,711,252	\$	13,339,613	
2	Adjustments		-		-		-		-		-	
4	Current Federal Income Tax Expense		6,416,713		(6,788,352)		(371,639)		13,711,252		13,339,613	
5												
6	Deferred Income Tax Expense (Net):											
7	Depreciation Related		(5,153,583)		1,716,213		(3,437,370)		-		(3,437,370)	
8	Excess DFIT Reversal - Depreciation		(653,418)		-		(653,418)		-		(653,418)	
9	Other Temporary Differences		4,336,100		1,229,374		5,565,474				5,565,474	
10												
11	Total Deferred Federal Income Taxes (Net)		(1,470,900)		2,945,587		1,474,687		-		1,474,687	
12		·	_			·-					_	
13	Amortization of Deferred Investment Tax Credits		(169,278)				(169,278)		-		(169,278)	
14											_	
15	Total Federal Income Tax Expense		4,776,535		(3,842,765)		933,770		13,711,252		14,645,022	
16						1						
17	Current State & Local Income Tax Expense		144,630		(153,006)		(8,376)		309,045		300,669	
18	Deferred State & Local Income Tax Expense		(14,494)		52,203		37,709		-		37,709	
19	·		, ,									
20	Total State & Local Income Tax Expense		130,136		(100,803)		29,333		309,045		338,378	
21	·		·		, , ,		·					
22	Total Income Tax Expense	\$	4,906,671	\$	(3,943,568)	\$	963,103	\$	14,020,297	\$	14,983,400	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

7/16/2018 3:20:07 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-1830-EL-AIR, 15-1831-EL-AAM, 15-1832-EL-ATA

Summary: Testimony of Jonathan Borer electronically filed by Ms. Tonnetta Scott on behalf of PUC