BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The)	
Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company)	Case No. 18-563-EL-ATA
for Approval of a Tariff Change.)	

THE OHIO CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONSE FILED BY THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code, the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association ("OCTA") respectfully moves to strike the June 22, 2018 response filed by The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company ("CEI") in this matter. The utility's June 22, 2018 response is an untimely reply to the OCTA's objections. It was filed three weeks late and CEI did not appropriately seek leave to file a late reply or obtain an extension for a reply. CEI also failed to explain why its reply was late or to present good cause. CEI should not be allowed to unfairly build a record for its pole attachment adjustment at this juncture. For these reasons and as explained further in the attached Memorandum in Support, the Commission should strike CEI's June 22, 2018 response, in addition to suspending the application as explained previously by the OCTA in its objections.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Gretchen L. Petrucci
Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608)
VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
Tel. (614) 464-5407
glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE OHIO CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE RESPONSE FILED BY THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

On June 22, 2018, CEI filed a document titled "Response of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company to Objections of the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association." The response is clearly a reply to the OCTA objections as CEI is attempting to build the record for its pole attachment adjustment application and it addresses the points previously raised by the OCTA. The Commission should strike CEI's response in its entirety.

CEI's response is untimely. The Commission established a procedural process for pole attachment tariff amendments several years ago. *In the Matter of the Adoption of Chapter 4901:1-3, Ohio Administrative Code, concerning Access to Poles, Ducts, Conduits and Rights-of-Way by Public Utilities*, Case No. 13-579-TP-ORD, Entry (November 30, 2016). Under that process, pole attachment/conduit tariff adjustments follow a 60-day automatic approval process, under the following process:

Steps in the Process	Filing Deadline
Objections to an application	21 days after the application is filed
Replies to objections	10 days after the objections are filed
Suspension of the automatic approval	No later than the 60 th day after the application's filing (otherwise automatically approved on the 61 st day)

Id. at ¶17. Multiple pole attachment/conduit tariff adjustment cases have followed this process since it was adopted by the Commission, including an application filed by CEI. See, e.g., In the Matter of the Application of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company to Update its Pole Attachment Rate, Case No. 17-2005-EL-ATA; In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company to Update Its Pole Attachment Rate, Case No. 17-2006-EL-ATA; In the Matter of the

Application of The Toledo Edison Company to Update Its Pole Attachment Rate, Case No. 17-2007-EL-ATA; In the Matter of the Application of AT&T Ohio to Update its Pole Attachment and Conduit Rates, Case No. 16-2117-TP-ATA; and In the Matter of the Application of AT&T Ohio to Update its Pole Attachment and Conduit Rates, Case No. 17-2090-TP-ATA.

CEI filed this application to adjust its pole attachment tariff on May 1, 2018. The OCTA timely filed its objections to the application on May 22, 2018. CEI's reply was due 10 days later – by June 1, 2018. CEI did not file a reply (or anything) within that 10-day period. Instead, CEI filed its untimely response weeks after the deadline. CEI's response should be stricken as untimely.

Additionally, CEI filed its response without appropriately seeking permission to file late, either by properly asking for leave or by asking for an extension of time. CEI's response included one sentence buried in the first paragraph of its response: "[t]he Company respectfully requests the Commission granted it leave to file and accept this Response to OCTA's Objections." This sentence falls short of what is required and necessary for due consideration of the request. CEI failed to present any grounds or good cause and the Commission has no basis for allowing the response. CEI also did not ask in advance for an extension to file after the 10-day deadline. Rule 4901-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code allows a party to request an extension of time, and allows the Commission to grant such a request upon a showing of good cause. CEI did not ask for an extension or present good cause. CEI's response should be stricken for failing to follow the Commission's procedural rules and for being deficient.

Regardless of whether approval is suspended (which it should be), CEI should not be allowed to build the record at this point in the proceeding. CEI was untimely, failed to follow

the Commission's rules and failed to present good cause, and the Commission should strike CEI's June 22 response immediately and not consider it.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Gretchen L. Petrucci Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608) VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP

52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

Tel. (614) 464-5407 glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's e-filing system will electronically serve notice

of the filing of this document on the parties referenced on the service list of the docket card who

have electronically subscribed to the case. In addition, the undersigned hereby certifies that a

copy of the foregoing document is also being served (via electronic mail) on the 28th day of June

2018 upon the persons listed below.

Robert M. Endris at: rendris@firstenergycorp.com

William L. Wright at:

william.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

/s/ Gretchen L. Petrucci

Gretchen L. Petrucci

5

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/28/2018 10:56:41 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-0563-EL-ATA

Summary: Motion To Strike The Response Filed By The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company electronically filed by Mrs. Gretchen L. Petrucci on behalf of Ohio Cable Telelcommunications Association