BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
36 EAST SEVENTH STREET
SUITE 1510
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202
TELEPHONE (513) 421-2255

TELECOPIER (513) 421-2764

Via E-file

May 23, 2018

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio PUCO Docketing 180 E. Broad Street, 10th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

In re: Case No. 18-0450-EL-AEC

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please find attached the DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LARRY SCHUTTE ON BEHALF OF AK STEEL CORPORATION for filing in the above-referenced docket.

Please place this document of file.

Respectfully yours,

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.

BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

MLKkew Attachment

Cc: Certificate of Service

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application for Approval of an Economic Development Arrangement between AK Steel Corporation and Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.)	Case No. 18-0450-EL-AEC
	,	

OF

LARRY SCHUTTE

ON BEHALF OF AK STEEL CORPORATION

May 23, 2018

1		I. QUALIFICATIONS AND SUMMARY
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	My name is Larry Schutte. My business address is 9227 Centre Pointe Drive, West Chester
4		Township, OH 45069.
5		
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7	A.	I am Corporate Manager of Energy Optimization at AK Steel Corporation ("AK Steel").
8		
9	Q.	Please describe briefly your education and professional experience.
10	A.	In 1980, I graduated from the University of Cincinnati with a Bachelor of Science Mechanical
11		Engineering degree. I am a professional engineer in the State of Ohio and project management
12		professional who has worked at AK Steel (and its predecessor company, Armco Steel) for over
13		forty years.
14		
15	Q.	On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?
16	A.	I am testifying on behalf of AK Steel, an electric customer within the Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
17		("Duke" or "Company") service territory.
18		
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
20	A.	My testimony explains how the unique arrangement set forth in AK Steel's March 15, 2018
21		Application for Expedited Approval of a Reasonable Arrangement ("Application"), as modified
22		by the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation filed in this proceeding on May 11, 2018
23		("Stipulation"), satisfies the seven key factors used by Staff and the Commission when
24		considering unique arrangements.
25		

1	Ų.	what are the seven key factors used by Stair and the Commission when considering
2		unique arrangements?
3	A.	The seven key factors are:
4		(1) whether the applicant's business is acutely energy-intensive or has a distinct energy profile;
5		(2) whether the applicant has made a commitment to investing in Ohio either in a new
6		investment or support of a new industry;
7		(3) whether the economic impact of the applicant's project on the region will be significant;
8		(4) whether the applicant has explored or taken advantage of other opportunities for operational
9		savings, such as a basic budgetary management, shopping for or self-generating electricity,
10		energy efficiency, and participation in utility or regional transmission organizations'
11		conservation or reliability programs;
12		(5) whether the charges paid to the utility cover all incremental costs of service and contribute
13		to the payment of fixed costs;
14		(6) whether the benefits to the community and the project outweigh the costs imposed on other
15		retail customers because of the reasonable arrangement; and
16		(7) whether the application is for a set term which will allow the project to continue afterward
17		without subsidies.1
18		
19	Q.	Is AK Steel's business acutely energy-intensive and does it have a distinct energy profile?
20	A:	Yes. AK Steel's Middletown facility is the largest single-site electric customer in Ohio. The
21		energy delivered to AK Steel is more than 6% of all of the energy delivered by Duke. The
22		facility has a distinct energy profile that allows the facility to interrupt its power use in order to
23		support firm service customers. AK Steel is also one of only two customers participating in
24		Duke's current large interruptible load program.

¹ In the Matter of the Joint Application for Approval of an Economic Development Arrangement Between Ohio Power Company and Acero Junction, Inc., Case No. 17-2132-EL-AEC, Opinion and Order (May 2, 2018) at 6-7.

1	Q.	Has AK Steel made a commitment to investing in Ohio as part of the unique
2		arrangement?
3	A:	Yes. In the Stipulation, AK Steel commits to \$105 million in new capital investment in Ohio
4		during the term of the unique arrangement.
5		
6	Q.	Does AK Steel's Middletown facility have a significant economic impact in Ohio?
7	A:	Yes. That facility employs approximately 2,160 people, with an annual payroll of about \$144
8		million, plus \$67 million in fringe benefits. The facility spends approximately \$590 million
9		annually in purchases from Ohio vendors. In 2017, the total net annual economic impact of the
10		facility in Ohio was estimated to be approximately 8,075 jobs and \$529 million in labor income.
11		Of that, about 5,840 jobs and \$403 million in labor income was in Butler County. State and
12		local governments in Ohio also received at least \$43.6 million in tax revenues annually in 2016
13		and 2017 related to operations at the Middletown facility, of which about \$5.8 million was in
14		the form of local income and sales tax receipts in Butler County.
15		
16	Q.	Has AK Steel explored or taken advantage of other opportunities for operational savings
17		such as a basic budgetary management, shopping for or self-generating electricity, energy
18		efficiency, and participation in utility or regional transmission organizations' conservation
19		or reliability programs?
20	A:	Yes. AK Steel actively manages its PJM peak load contribution at significant operational cost
21	*	to the facility and actively participates in PJM demand response programs in addition to
22		undertaking cost-effective energy efficiency projects.
23		
24	Q.	Will the charges paid by AK Steel to Duke under the unique arrangement cover all
25		incremental costs of service and would AK Steel contribute to the payment of fixed costs?

1	A:	Yes. Duke Energy Unio will incur no incremental costs of service or new investment in
2		transmission or distribution facilities as a result of the unique arrangement. AK Steel would
3		also contribute to the payment of fixed costs. The arrangement would not result in a negative
4		transmission and distribution bill for the facility. Nor would it provide AK Steel with a discount
5		on any transmission or distribution tariffs. Rather, any interruptible credit received by AK Steel
6		under the unique arrangement would be in exchange for its commitment to serve as an
7		interruptible resource on Duke's system.
8		
9	Q.	Will the benefits to the community and the project outweigh the costs imposed on other
10		retail customers because of the unique arrangement?
11	A:	Yes. As discussed above, the total net annual economic impact of AK Steel's facility in Ohio
12		last year was estimated to be approximately 8,075 jobs and \$529 million in labor income. This
13		significant annual benefit vastly exceeds the \$25.8 million seven-year total cost of the unique
14		arrangement. Additionally, AK Steel is committing to \$105 million in new capital investment
15		in Ohio over the term of the unique arrangement. Further, AK Steel's ability to interrupt its
16		service in order to support firm service customers will provide energy efficiency and reliability
17		benefits to other customers.
18		
19	Q.	Is the application for a set term?
20	A:	Yes. The unique arrangement will expire May 31, 2025. This is the same end date proposed
21		for Duke's currently pending ESP.
22		
23	Q.	Does that complete your testimony?
24	A.	Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced on the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served (via electronic mail) on the 23rd day of May, 2018 to the following:

Mionael L. Kurtz, Esq. Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.

*BINGHAM, DEB J. MS.
OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL
65 EAST STATE STREET 7TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215-4203

*BOJKO, KIMBERLY W. MRS.
CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND LLP
280 NORTH HIGH STREET 280 PLAZA SUITE 1300
COLUMBUS OH 43215

DUKE ENERGY
JEANNE W. KINGERY
155 EAST BROAD STREET 20TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

DUKE ENERGY OHIO ELIZABETH H. WATTS 155 EAST BROAD STREET, STE 2020 COLUMBUS OH 43215

GATES, DEBBIE L. MRS.
DUKE ENERGY
139 EAST FOURTH STREET, 1303-MAIN
CINCINNATI OH 45202

*WILLIAMS, JAMIE MS.
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL
65 EAST STATE STREET 7TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215-4213

*DARR, FRANK P MR.
MCNEES, WALLACE & NURICK
21 E. STATE STREET 17TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*FRISCH, ADELE M. MRS.
DUKE ENERGY
139 EAST FOURTH STREET
CINCINNATI OH 45202

D'ASCENZO, ROCCO O DUKE ENERGY OHIO 139 EAST FOURTH ST, 1303-MAIN CINCINNATI OH 45202

FISCHER, MARY E. MS.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OHIO
180 EAST BROAD STREET
COLUMBUS, OH 43215

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/23/2018 1:48:48 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-0450-EL-AEC

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of Larry Schutte on behalf of AK Steel Corporation electronically filed by Mr. Michael L. Kurtz on behalf of AK Steel Corporation